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I will not always be here on guard.
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A Galaxy away.

You won’t always be here.
    But before you go,
Whisper this to your sons
    And their sons —
“The work was free.
    Keep it so. “
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—L. Ron Hubbard
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The points at which Ron gave tape recorded lectures have been indicated as they occurred. Where they were given as part of an event or course, information is given on that event or course on the page in the chronological volumes which corresponds to the date. The symbol “**” preceding a tape title means that copies are available from both Publications Organizations. A tape preceded by “*” means that it will soon be available. No asterisk (*) means that neither Publications Organization nor Flag has a master copy of that lecture. If you have, or know anyone who has, copies of these tapes, please contact the Flag Audio Chief, P.O. Box 23751, Tampa, Florida, 33623, U.S.A. The number in the tape title is a code for the date; example: 5505C07—55 = year, 1955; 05 = month, May; C = copy; 07 = day, 7th; 7 May 1955. The abbreviation tells what group the tape is a part of. For an explanation of the abbreviations see Volume X, page 539.
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HCO BULLETIN OF 23 AUGUST 1971

(HCO B 24 May 1970 Revised)

C/S Series 1

AUDITOR’S RIGHTS

(Revised to update and delete the O/R list
and add Auditing Over Out Ruds.
All changes are in this type style.)

AUDITOR RESPONSIBILITY FOR C/Ses

An auditor who receives a Case Supervisor direction (C/S) of what to audit on a
pc is NOT discharged of his responsibility as an auditor.

THE AUDITOR HAS A SERIES OF RESPONSIBILITIES THAT ARE PART
OF EVERY C/S HE GETS TO AUDIT.

ACCEPTING THE PC

No auditor is required to accept a specific pc just because the pc is assigned to
him.

If an auditor does not believe he can help that particular pc or if he dislikes
auditing that particular pc the auditor has a right to refuse to audit that pc. The auditor
must state why.

The Case Supervisor, Director of Processing or Director of Review, nor any of
their seniors, may not discipline the auditor for refusing to audit a particular pc.

An auditor who refuses to audit his quota of hours or sessions is of course
subject to action.

Thus refusing to audit a particular pc, so long as one is not refusing to audit other
pcs, is not actionable.

“I do not wish to audit this pc because______. I am willing to audit other pcs,” is
the legal auditor statement in the matter.

Some pcs get a bad name with some auditors, some don’t appreciate the auditing,
some conflict with a particular auditor’s own personality. There are such instances. It
does not mean certain pcs cannot be helped by others.

It is also true that an auditor who dislikes a pc may not do a good job so the rule
also has a practical side to it.

One auditor disliked young men and did a bad job on them. Another disliked old
ladies and chopped them up in session. One pc had messed up several Scientologists
and couldn’t find anyone to audit him at all.

We are not auditing people to make amends to the world.

Thus an auditor has a right to reject or accept the pcs he is given.
ACCEPTING A C/S

When the auditor gets a C/S to do on a case and if he thinks it is not the correct thing to do he has the right to reject the C/S for that pc and require another one he can agree to.

The auditor does not have the right to start doing a C/S and change it during the session except as noted below.

The auditor may NOT C/S in the auditing chair while auditing the pc. If he has NO Case Supervisor at all the auditor still audits from a C/S. He writes the C/S before session and adheres to it in session. To do something else and not follow the C/S is called “C/Sing in the chair” and is very poor form as it leads to Q and A.

STALE DATED C/S

A C/S that is a week or two old or a Repair (Progress) Pgm that is a month or two old is dynamite.

This is called a “Stale Dated Pgm’’ or a ‘’Stale Dated C/S” meaning it is too old to be valid.

It should have been done sooner. The pc of last week when the C/S was written may have been well and happily employed but a week later may have headaches and reprimand from the boss.

It is dangerous to accept a Repair (Progress) Pgm if it is old.

The auditor who sees his C/S is old and sees the pc has Bad Indicators is justified in demanding a fresh C/S giving his reasons why.

A program written in January may be completely out of date in June. Who knows what may have happened in between.

Use fresh C/Ses and fresh Pgms.

Stale Dates only occur in poorly run backlogged Divisions anyway. The real remedy is reorganize and hire more and better auditors.

ENDING THE SESSION

When the C/S he has is proving unworkable during the session, the auditor has a right to end the session and send the folder to the C/S.

Ending the session is totally up to the auditor.

If the auditor just doesn’t complete an action that was producing TA and could be completed it is of course a flunk. Such a case is just not running a basic engram the one more time through that would bring the TA down and give a proper end phenomena. This and similar actions would be an auditor error.

The judgement here is whether or not the auditor’s action is justified in ending the session.

Even though he may have made an error, the auditor cannot be blamed for the ending off of the session as that is totally up to him. He can be given a flunk for the error.

AUDITING OVER OUT RUDS

Auditing a pc on something else whose ruds are out is a MAJOR AUDITING ERROR.
Even if the C/S omits “Fly a rud” or “Fly ruds” this does not justify the auditor auditing the pc over out ruds.

The auditor can do one of two things: He can Fly all ruds or he can return the folder and request ruds be flown.

The DIANETIC AUDITOR is not excused from auditing over out ruds and in an HGC must be specially cautioned not to do so but return the folder for a new C/S. Better still he should learn to Fly ruds.

INABILITY TO FLY RUDS

If an auditor cannot get a rud to F/N, cannot get any rud to F/N, he is justified in starting a Green Form.

The auditor solution to no F/N on ruds is to do a GF whether the C/S said to or not.

This is an expected action.

It is understood the auditor would use Suppress and False in trying to Fly ruds.

SESSIONS FAR APART

When a pc has not had a session for some time, or when a pc gets sessions days apart, RUDS MUST BE FLOWN. Otherwise the pc will get audited over out ruds. This can develop mental mass.

Optimum session scheduling is a series of sessions or a whole program done in a block of sessions close together. This prevents the world from throwing the pc’s ruds out between sessions.

Giving sessions far apart barely keeps up with life. The auditing time is absorbed in patching life up.

Rapid gain gets above life’s annoyances and keeps the pc there.

UNREADING ITEMS

When an item the auditor has been told to run doesn’t read on the meter, even when the auditor puts in Suppress and Invalidate on it, the auditor MUST NOT do anything with the item no matter what the C/S said.

It is expected he will see if it reads and use Suppress and Invalidate on it. And if it still doesn’t read he will be expected NOT to run it.

LISTS

When an auditor whose C/S told him to list “Who or what______” or any list question finds that the list question does not read, the auditor MUST NOT list it.

When doing a list ordered by the C/S it is assumed that the auditor will test it for read before listing and that he will NOT list an unreading question. (A read is an actual fall, not a tick or a stop.)

LIST TROUBLE

When an auditor has trouble doing a list and getting an item it is expected he will use a Prepared List like L4B to locate the trouble and handle it.

As it is very hard on a pc to mess up a list it is expected the auditor will handle the situation then and there with no further C/S directions.
HIGH TA

When the auditor sees the TA is high at session start yet the C/S says to “Fly a rud” or run a chain, the AUDITOR MUST NOT TRY TO FLY A RUD and he must not start on a chain.

Trying to bring a TA down with ARC Brks or ruds is very hard on a pc as ARC Breaks aren’t the reason TAs go up.

Seeing a high TA at start the Dianetic auditor or Scn auditor up to Class II does not start the session but sends the folder back to the C/S and for a higher class auditor to do.

Seeing a high TA at start the Scientology auditor (Class III or above) (a) checks for exteriorization in a recent session and if so the session is ended and the C/S is asked for an “Interiorization Rundown”; (b) if the pc has had an Interiorization Rundown the auditor asks the C/S for permission to do a “C/S Series 53” or a Hi-Lo TA assessment or whatever the C/S indicates. The Int RD may have been (usually is) overrun and needs rehab or correction and it is usual to check it—it is included in a “C/S 53” and a Hi-Lo TA.

These actions are expected of the auditor even when not stated in the C/S.

GOING ON HOPING

When a case is running badly session to session the LAST thing you do is go on hoping, either in auditing or C/Sing.

“Let’s try _____”, “Then this”, “Then this”, is not going to solve the case.

YOU GET DATA. You can get data by a White Form (Pc Assessment Form). You can get data from a GF fully assessed (Method 5). You can get data by 2-way comm on various subjects. You can have the D of P interview and get answers. You can even ask his mother.

You look for case errors. You study the folder back to where the pc ran well and then come forward and you’ll find the error every time.

DO NOT JUST GO ON SESSION AFTER FAILED SESSION HOPING. That’s pure idiocy.

You get data! from prepared lists, from life, from the pc, from the folder.

FIND THE BUG!

Ah, good Lord, he is a Pinkerton Agent sworn to secrecy! He does yoga exercises after every session. He was tried for murder when he was 16 and nobody has run the engram of it.

Various auditors ran the same engram chain four times

An auditor ran Int RD twice.

After Power she had her baby and nobody ran the delivery.

He doesn’t like to talk but is a “Grade Zero”!

A dozen dozen reasons can exist

An auditor does NOT let a C/S C/S hopefully. He refuses the C/Ses until a Folder Error Summary is done and the bug found.
THINGS DONE TWICE

By carelessness the same rundowns can be called for twice and done twice or even more.

A Folder Summary inside the front cover must exist and must be kept up.

Over it there must be a program on which the case is being audited. But just because it’s covered, never neglect entering a session and what was run on the Folder Summary (FS).

If Hold it Still is ordered, see if it was run before.

Don’t let major Rundowns be done twice.

DIANETIC ITEMS must NEVER be run twice. Dianetic lists must not be scattered through a folder. Bring them together and keep them together and being brought forward.

COPY

Don’t copy Dianetic lists or worksheets from notes or items from lists.

Keep all admin neat and in the original form.

Copying makes errors possible.

RUDS GOING OUT

When the ruds go out during the session the auditor recognizes the following:

Pc Critical = W/H from auditor
Pc Antagonistic = BPC in session
No TA = Problem
Tired = Failed Purpose or no sleep
Sad = ARC Break
Soaring TA = Overrun or Protest
Dope Off = By-passed F/N or not enough sleep
No Interest = Out Ruds or no interest in the first place.

An auditor who isn’t sure what it is but runs into trouble with the pc (except on lists which he handles at once always) is smart to end off the session quickly, write down the full observation and get it to the C/S.

The auditor who is an old hand and knows what he is looking at as per above scale (and the C/S the C/S would give) handles it promptly.

Pc Critical = W/H = pull the W/H.
Pc Antagonistic = BPC = assess proper list (such as L1 C) and handle.
No TA (or case gain) = Problem = locate the problem.
Tired = no sleep or Failed Purpose = check which it is and handle.
Sad = ARC Brk = locate and handle, Itsa earlier Itsa.
Soaring TA = O/R or Protest = find which and handle. Such an O/R is usually by rehab.
Dope Off = lack of sleep or BP F/N = check on sleep, or rehab F/N.
No Interest = no interest in first place or Out Ruds = check for interest or put in ruds.
List goes wrong = BPC = handle or do L4B or any L4 at once.
Ruds won’t fly = some other error = assess GF and handle.

The auditor has no business trying to do the C/S given when it collides with and isn’t designed to handle any of the above.

If the previous session disclosed such an error and this session C/S was designed to handle and doesn’t, the auditor should end off and the next C/S should be “2-way comm for data”.

CASE NOT HANDLED

When the auditor or the Examiner collides with a pc who is asserting his case has not been handled, there should not be a new set of actions based on little data but the auditor should end off and the C/S should order a “way comm on what hasn’t been handled”.

The auditor should not at once take this up as part of any other C/S.

In other words an auditor doesn’t change the C/S to a 2-way comm on something not called for by C/S.

MAJOR ACTIONS

An auditor should never begin a major action on a case that is not “set up” for it.

As this can occur during a session it is vital to understand the rule and follow it. Otherwise a case can be bogged right down and will be hard to salvage as now a new action to repair has been added to an unrepaired action. Now, if the auditor starts a major action on a case not “set up” we get 2 things to repair where we only had I as the major action won’t work either.

Repair = patching up past auditing or recent life errors. This is done by prepared lists or completing the chain or correcting lists or even 2-way comm or prepchecks on auditors, sessions, etc.

Rudiments = setting the case up for the session action. This includes ARC Brks, PTPs, W/Hs, GF or O/R listing or any prepared list (such as L1C, etc).

Set up = getting an F/N showing and VGIs before starting any major action. It means just that—an F/N and VGIs before starting any major action. Such may require a repair action and rudiments as well.

Major Action = any—but any—action designed to change a case or general considerations or handle continual illness or improve ability. This means a Process or even a series of processes like 3 flows. It doesn’t mean a grade. It is any process the case hasn’t had.

Grade = a series of processes culminating in an exact ability attained, examined and attested to by the pc.

Program = any series of actions designed by a C/S to bring about definite results in a pc. A program usually includes several sessions.

The vast bulk of auditing errors come about because C/Ses and auditors seek to use a Major Action to repair a case.

It is a responsibility of an auditor to reject a C/S which seeks to use one or more major actions to repair a case that isn’t running well.
The auditor must understand this completely. He can be made to accept a wrong C/S for the pc and even more importantly can in his own session make the error and mess up the case.

Example: Pc has not been running well (no real TA or had a grumpy Exam report). Auditor sees C/S has ordered a major action, not a repair by prepared lists, ruds, etc. The auditor must reject the C/S as he will be made to fail in session by it.

Example: Auditor gets a C/S, “(1) Fly a rud; (2) Assess LX3; (3) Run 3-way recall, 3-way secondaries, 3-way engrams on all // X items”. The auditor can’t get a rud to fly. Does the LX3. In other words he flunks by failing to SET UP the case. It could also go this way. Auditor can’t get a rud to fly, does a GF, gets no F/N. He MUST NOT begin a major action but MUST end off right there.

It is fatal to begin any new process on the case designed to change the case if the case is not F/N VGIs.

The pc who starts processing for the first time and is surely not F/N VGIs must be set up by repair actions! Simple rudiments, life ruds, O/R list on life, even assessing prepared lists on life, these are repair actions. The pc will sooner or later begin to fly. Now at session start you put in a rud, get F/N VGIs and CAN start major actions.

So the auditor has a responsibility not to be led up a garden path by a C/S which orders a major action on a pc who isn’t repaired or by not being able in session to get an F/N VGIs by repair.

The only exceptions are a touch assist or life ruds or the Dianetic assist all on a temporarily sick pc. But that’s repair isn’t it?

PROGRAM VIOLATIONS

When an auditor receives a C/S and sees that it violates the pc’s program he should reject it.

The pc, let us say, is supposed to finish his Dianetic Triples but is suddenly being given a Group Engram Intensive. That violates the program and also the grade.

If the pc is running badly, a repair should be ordered. If not, the program should be completed.

Example: An effort is being made to get the pc to go backtrack. This is a program containing several major actions which probably consists of several sessions. Before this program is complete and before the pc has gone backtrack, the C/S orders “(1) Fly a rud, (2) 3 S & Ds”. The auditor should recognize in 3 S & Ds a major action being run into the middle of a program and reject it. The correct action is of course the next backtrack process.

GRADE VIOLATIONS

A pc who is on a grade and hasn’t attained it yet must not be given major actions not part of that grade.

Example: Pc is on Grade I. C/S orders a list having to do with drinking. It is not a process on that grade. It could be done after Grade I is attained and before Grade II is begun. The C/S is incorrect and should not be accepted.

ABILITY ATTAINED

Now and then before the full major action is complete or before all the grade processes are run, the pc will attain the ability of the grade or the end phenomena of the action.
This is particularly true of valence shifters or Interiorization Rundowns and can happen in grades.

The auditor should recognize it and, with the F/N VGIs always present at such moments, end off.

I know of one case who had a huge cog about Interiorization on Flow I Engrams and was pushed by both C/S and auditor to do Flows 2 and 3 who bogged so badly that it took a long while—weeks—to straighten the case out.

The ability itself gets invalidated by pushing on.

On the other hand this should never be taken as an excuse. “I think he cogged to himself so we ended off.” It must be a real “What do you know!” sort of out-loud cog with a big F/N and VVGIs and directly on the subject to end off a major action or a program or a grade before its actions are all audited.

REVIEWING REVIEWS

An auditor who gets a C/S or an order to repair a case that is running well should reject doing the action.

I have seen a case ordered to repair who had Ext Full Perception Doing Great. The repair bogged the case. The case then got running well again but a second C/S ordered a new repair which of course bogged it. Then major actions were done. The case was again repaired and rehabbed and became ok. Three times the auditor should have said NO.

FALSE REPORTS

The vilest trick that can be played on a pc is for an auditor to falsify an auditing report.

It may be thought to be “good Public Relations” (good PR) for the auditor with the C/S.

Actually it buries an error and puts the pc at risk.

INTEGRITY is a hallmark of Dianetics and Scientology.

Just because psychiatrists were dishonest is no reason for auditors to be.

The results are there to be gotten.

False reports like false attests recoil and badly on both the auditor and pc.

OVERTS ON PCS

When an auditor finds himself being nattery or critical of his pcs he should get his withholds on pcs pulled and overts on them off.

An auditor who goes sad is auditing pcs over his own ARC Break.

An auditor worried about his pc is working over a Problem.

Getting one’s ruds in on pcs or C/Ses or the org can bring new zest to life.

AUDITORS DON’T HAVE CASES

In the chair no auditor has a case.

If breath shows on a mirror held to his face he can audit.
Faint afterwards if you must but see that the pc gets to the Examiner with his F/N. Then get yourself handled.

“WHAT HE DID WRONG”

An auditor has a right to know what he did wrong in the session that went wrong. Most often a sour session occurs only when the rules and data in this HCO B have been violated.

But an auditor’s TRs can go out or his listing and nulling is in error.

After a session that went wrong somebody else (not the auditor) should ask the pc what the auditor did. This sometimes spots a false auditing report. But it also sometimes is a false report by the pc.

In any event, the auditor has a right to know. Then he can either correct his auditing or his know-how or he can advise the C/S the pc’s report is untrue and better repair can be done on the pc.

Savage action against an auditor is almost never called for. He was trying to help. Some people are hard to help.

Not only does an auditor have the right to be told what was wrong but he must be given the exact HCO B, date and title, that he violated.

Never take a verbal or written correction that is not in an HCO B or tape.

Don’t be party to a “hidden data line” that doesn’t exist

“You ruined the pc!” is not a valid statement. “You violated HCO B page____” is the charge.

No auditor may be disciplined for asking, “May I please have the tape or HCO B that was violated so I can read it or go to Cramming. “

If it isn’t on a tape, a book or an HCO B IT IS NOT TRUE and no auditor has to accept any criticism that is not based on the actual source data.

“If it isn’t written it isn’t true” is the best defense and the best way to improve your tech.

These are the rights of the auditor with relation to a C/S. They are all technical rights based on sound principles.

An auditor should know them and use them.

If an auditor stands on these rights and gets beaten down he should put all the facts before his nearest OTL or SO ship as something would be very wrong somewhere.

Auditing is a happy business—when it is done right.

LRH:nt jh                                                  L. RON HUBBARD
Copyright ©1970, 1971                                         Founder
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[OTL means Operation-Transport Liaison which was a Sea Organization office that managed orgs or an area and was a forerunner of the Flag Operations Liaison Office (FOLO).]
PROGRAMMING OF CASES

Every action taken on a Case by a CASE SUPERVISOR (or an auditor doing his own C/S actions) should be part of a definite outlined PROGRAM for that case.

PROGRAM Definition—A program is defined as the sequence of actions session by session to be undertaken on a case by the C/S in his directions to the auditor or auditors auditing the case.

The master program for every case is given on the Classification and Gradation Chart issued from time to time. The earliest of these Charts was 1965 followed by 1st December 1966 followed by 1st January 1968 followed by 1st December 1969. The reissues of the Chart are done to improve the communication of the data on the Chart. The program factor has not much changed since its earliest issue. Tapes about this Chart were made for the SHSBC at its first issue and of course remain valid. The processes called for on the Chart are all part of the SHSBC or for upper levels part of the SH and AO Confidential materials. From time to time they are reissued but they remain standard and have been so since the first issue of the Chart.

The Chart and its materials have now and again been neglected or disregarded and THE NEGLECT HAS RESULTED IN FIELD FLAPS AND DOWN STATS.

Omitting this gradient of processes not only stalls cases but results in a case manifesting out-grade phenomena.

A pc must attain the full ability noted on the Chart before going up to the next level of the Chart.

Telling the pc he has made it is of course evaluation.

The outnesses which have occurred surrounding this Chart are hard to believe. They consist of total abandonment of the Chart, degrading and losing all its lower grade processes, feeding a pc at Dianetic level data at Class VI and telling him, who has not made Dianetics yet, he is now Clear, cutting down all processes from the Chart bottom up to IV to be able to do them in 21/2 minutes, neglecting all levels up to OT V and then trying to put in a few lower grades and sending on to OT VI, having the pc after one trivial session attest all abilities at once and many other errors.

This is crazy driving. If a bus were driven along a road this way it would soon be wrecked and back where it started but in an ash heap.

Genius in C/Sing is normally required only when some former driver wrecked the thing instead of driving it right in the first place.

To Case Supervise one has to accept the following facts:

1. Dianetics and Scientology work.
2. The subjects are serious subjects not experimental toys.
3. The basics and fundamentals are stated early in the period of development and have not changed.
4. The “newest and latest” is usually a recovery of basics and better statements of them.

5. The purpose of the subject has not altered and continues to be the attainment of ability and freedom for the individual.

6. That things which were true early in the subjects are still true.

7. That the mind responds on a gradient of improvement not suddenly like a bomb explosion.

8. That the Classification and Gradation Chart and all its processes and steps IS the basic program of any case.

9. That all other programs are efforts to get the pc or pre-OT back on the basic program.

10. That there is no hidden data line and that the materials and procedures are refined mainly to facilitate use and communication of them.

11. That auditing is for the pc, not the org or the auditor.

12. That major processes are done to improve the case.

13. That repair is undertaken to eradicate errors made in auditing or the environment which impede the use of major processes.

14. That a case has to be programmed by the C/S to get it advancing as it should have been in the first place on the Classification and Gradation Chart.

15. That a C/S is not being called upon to develop a new Chart for the case but only to get the case back on the basic Chart and get it done.

3 PROGRAMS

There are then 3 types of Programs:

1. THE program laid out in the Classification and Gradation Chart. (Called The Basic Program.)

2. Repair Programs to eradicate case mishandling by current life or auditing errors. (Called a Set-Up Program.)

3. Major actions to be undertaken to get the case back on the Class Chart from wherever he has erroneously gotten to on it. (Called a Return Program.)

   It has been a very common C/S action to disperse away from a program laid out. This has been happening ever since the first issue of the Class Chart and has been a principal source of trouble for C/Ses.

   This happens in several ways:

1. Not knowing the importance of the Class Chart.

2. Not knowing basics.

3. Falling for SP propaganda that “we don’t use that now”, “the material is old”, “it’s only background data”, etc, that deteriorates what one does know and could use.

4. Failure of auditors to give good sessions and do the Usual required in a session.

5. Abandonment of the C/S’s own Repair or Return Program—usually because of false auditor reports or operating on insufficient data from the pc.

   The correct way to go about all this is to:
A. Repair the case thoroughly with minor actions like GFs, prepared lists, ruds, two-way comm.
B. Acquire adequate data on the pc.
C. Complete any C/S Return Program begun.
D. Get the pc back on the Class Chart without any processes of the grade skipped.
E. Run the case on the Class Chart.
F. Repair any departures or errors made in life or auditing.
G. Get the pc back on the Class Chart.

DISPERSAL

Not following any program is a complete exercise in non-sequitur (means one step does not follow the last but is different and unrelated).

In giving a pc process after process that are not related to each other and follow no Repair Program or Return Program is non-sequitur in the extreme.

If processes were remarks one would get a sequence of processes given the pc sounding like this. “The submarine just went by so we will order a hundred tons of bread. There wasn’t any beer so birds are seldom seen. The dance was very fast so we fixed the carburetor. He has very long hair so we decorated his father’s tomb.”

“Give pc Scn Triples then do his Dianetics then fix up his hidden standard,” would be a series of crazy non-sequitur C/Ses. Nothing is connected to or proceeds from anything. That would be a dispersed program for sure.

It actually happens horribly enough. Study a Class Chart and then look through some old folders. At once, the sequence of processes ordered sounds like “The submarine just went by so order a hundred tons of bread.”

Such C/Sing has no cause and effect in it. A person totally ignorant of basic cause and effect gets “Pc nattery. Run Dianetics.” “Pc’s case not advancing. Do Grade 0.” The cause of the pc condition is not understood. A nattery pc has withholds. A case not advancing has problems. That’s real actual basic tech (see Auditor’s Rights HCO B for the table). This data is over 15 years old at this writing, is part of proper Academy courses and the SHSBC and is even in Class VIII materials. The reasons for the pc’s behavior or trouble are not mysterious reasons never revealed. They are all very well given in course materials.

Here is an actual case, a folder I examined of a pc who is now in trouble and needing a Repair and Return Program.

Pc was an accident prone (person who is apparently dedicated to having accidents). Very low aptitude score (about 30). Had been skipped over almost the entire Class Chart and given Power.

To handle accident proneness was given CCHs. This cured it.

Had Exteriorized so was given Interiorization Rundown without a 2-way comm session.

Pc subsequently developed bad somatics. (Dianetics was never flattened or completed.)

A quarter of an inch of Examiner’s reports wherein the pc was asking for help or medicine to get rid of somatics was then put one by one into the folder.

Despite this the “C/S” saw “Va” on the pc’s folder and ordered R6EW.

More Examiner’s calls collected.

The pc ran one item, making one mark on a worksheet and attested R6EW.
More Examiner’s reports collected, pc reporting self ill.

“C/S” seeing R6EW attested ordered pc to Clearing Course.

Pc did one brief session attested Clear.

More Examiner’s reports into folder, pc in pain and now in Ethics trouble.

“C/S” ordered pc to OT I.

Pc spent 35 minutes on OT 1 in terror of it, hastily attested, had 5 accidents in 3 days.

Folder sent to me as a “baffling case”.

So the correct actions now have to be taken.

1. Repair pc with every list known to Man or Beast to get off BPC collected in these overwhelming levels.

2. Repair pc in errors in current life.

3. Return Program the pc by running simple things, 2-way comm, to give pc some wins in actual case gain by maybe handling by 2-way comm minor this life or childhood upsets with family, maybe putting in ruds on some early subject that turns up.

4. Put pc back on the Class Chart TO COMPLETE THE INCOMPLETE GRADE (Dianetics) to its full end phenomena as per Class Chart.

5. Bring the pc on up the Class Chart using all processes for each grade and honestly attesting each grade in turn.

It’s all a shame because the pc had a lose on status. She wanted to be Clear and OT, was actually on it and never walked up the stairs to get to it.

PROGRAM NECESSITY

One can see from all this the NECESSITY of working by program on a case.

Even when one starts an honest program for the case one can get thrown off of it and begin to do something else.

If the pc goes exterior, of course, one has to handle by Interiorization Rundown before the case can be audited at all. But that’s no reason to then skip all the grades! A pc can go exterior at any point. Thus it must be handled when it occurs. But that does not mean anything happened to one’s program or the Class Chart. Exterior or Interior, a pc unflat on Dianetics (not attained the ability marked on the Class Chart) is unflat on Dianetics!

And a pc who is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower grades.

Jumping processes on the Class Chart set the pc up to fall on his head later. An “OT VI with problems” is really just an unflat Grade I. And until Grade I is flattened to permanent Ability Attained on the Class Chart, he remains an unflat Grade I.

A C/S who gets wound up in this sort of skipped everything and made nothing, of course has an awful mess on his hands. He can feel as lost as Hansel or Gretel. But waiting to get covered up by leaves is for the birds.

If one finds the pc off the road, the thing to do is return the pc to the road at the point he didn’t walk it AND THERE ARE NO SHORTCUTS FOR THE MIND.

The utter despair and insane barbarism psychiatry descended into was patient lost, psychiatrist lost, patient crazy, psychiatrist into insane sadism.

So maybe the first lesson a C/S really has to learn is:
THERE IS A KNOWN ROAD OUT.

There is no shortcut, it has to be walked every inch of it.

And therefore the greatest enemy of the C/S is the SP who says “that’s all old” “we don’t use that now” “that’s just background data” and thereby obscures the actual road.

And another enemy is the pc who screamingly demands to be put up to Clear at once so he won’t have this awful headache!

STEADY ON

Thus the measures a C/S takes to hold a steady course will profit him greatly in the end with good solid gains for the pc.

As the pc should no longer be a total humanoid by Class IV the lower grade gains are the most important of all.

A C/S who puts a Class Chart into every folder he handles is doing a wise thing. Even if it’s big, clumsy, hard to handle, it is at least thorough.

If on it he marks in red things the pc has gotten to falsely and if in green things the pc made from the bottom walking an honest road, he knows where he is at! Seeing the whole training cycle half of the Chart continue blank means that much more ignorance and trouble for the pc in making his gains stably.

If the C/S put his Repair Program on a Red sheet in the folder and dated it out session by session to be audited until it was DONE and all flubs made in doing it also marked in and repaired, the C/S wouldn’t lose his place in the book. For a red sheet stands out in amongst other folder papers. A red sheet with a “folder error summary” on one side of it and the C/S’s Repair Pgm on the other keeps the pc’s progress located. When that Red sheet is done it should be signed by the C/S as DONE which retires all errors to that point.

A bright blue sheet giving the C/S RETURN PGM properly dated also gives one a chance to not get steered off. A new Red Repair Pgm sheet fixing up errors occurring in doing the Blue sheet can be pushed into the folder but the Blue sheet can be resumed again.

The Blue sheet completed should find the pc back on the Class Chart.

A list of processes run tallied up by the auditor each session keeps the C/S from repeating a process and gives him the Dn items used singly to be done triple.

While all this Admin may seem time consuming, lack of it mounts up into valuable AUDITOR TIME being thrown away.

----------

C/Sing is a road. It has milestones. When the pc didn’t pass one honestly he got lost.

There’s no reason for pc, auditor and C/S to all get lost.

The C/S has an exact road to hold to, return to and repairs to get done so the pc can get moving on the Return Pgm and the Class Chart which IS the road.

It took too many trillions to find this road for it to be neglected. For if the C/S neglects it people won’t arrive anywhere but get lost as well.

The right idea is the road.
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SESSION PRIORITIES
REPAIR PGMS
AND THEIR PRIORITY

When a pc has had an incorrectly run session, one that did not wind up with F/N Cog VGIs, it is often harmful to delay the repair session.

Most cases of pcs becoming ill or having accidents stem from
A. Major Errors in Programming the case.
B. Delay in Repairing a goofed session.

There have been several examples recently of pcs ending session with an unflat process after which the repair session was delayed for several days or even weeks and the pc came down with a cold or had some minor accident or got in Ethics trouble.

Thus Repair has priority.

PROGRAM ERRORS

Under A. a major error in programming lays the case open to having goofed sessions and exposes the auditor to some risk of making errors. The reason for this is that the pc gets overwhelmed or bogged simply by not coming up through all the processes of each level on the Class Chart.

Let us say the pc is trying to make it on R6EW Solo Study but keeps having Problems with it and can’t get on with it.

The uninformed C/S orders a Student Rescue Intensive. This is all right as far as it goes. But a more searching look into the records is likely to find that this pc had exactly 10 minutes on the whole of Grade I!

The Out-Program is far more likely to play havoc with this pc than just problems. He is possibly in doubt as to case gains and his reality is poor and yet he is being exposed to the highly restimulative materials of an upper level to which he has never climbed.

A direct effort now to put in problems Grade I also puts an auditor at risk.

Instead of merely being able to run problems as he would have been able to earlier, the pc is in some sort of overwhelm and is nervous or scared or believes he is at fault some way. He will look everywhere but in the right direction.

The answer to an incorrectly programmed case is, of course, a repair program and the sooner the better.

Such repair programs must be very light. Prepared lists to find charge, 2 way comm on various subjects, take a walk. And such a repair program MUST NOT

(a) Let the pc dive into rough heavy charge, or
b) Be overdone to total boredom.

SELF AUDITING

Some pcs “self audit”, which is different than Solo auditing since it has no meter or session and is just wandering about the bank (some overwhelmed pcs self audit in Solo wandering all over the place).

This is a symptom of session or study or life overwhelm.

It requires a Repair Program.

EP OF REPAIR

The End Phenomena of a Repair Program is the pc feeling great and feeling he can get Case Gain.

A good, clever Repair Program produces what badly programmed cases would consider total recovery.

It is a good idea to have the pc attest to

“I have had definite gains from the recent sessions and feel great.” Or with a hearty “Yes” to “Does Scientology really work for you.”

Oh, you say, how could that much gain come from just repair?

Well, Repair is almost always being done on a pc who was overwhelmed by life or auditing in the first place.

Life we know has a way of overwhelming people.

When a person is overwhelmed by life, an auditing error is more likely to occur.

When Incorrect Programming occurs, then any auditing on it can add up to more overwhelm which adds up to more errors.

CONSISTENT COMPLAINT

The pc whose Examiner forms routinely have a sour note in them should not be continued on the Class Chart or any Return Program.

He is a Repair pc and nothing else.

If you get the idea that any lower level can produce large changes in a person you will see that lower level processes are being mis-programmed if they are producing only the gains of Repair actions.

The sign of mis-programming is most often seen in Examiner reports where the pc’s comments or demands are “for more auditing” or “Got to have a session” or “wasn’t really handled” or sour comments or cracks.

When you examine some folders you will see some pc has more than his share of this.

That’s a sign to LIGHTLY DO IT.

The wrong way to go is plunge!
I have seen a C/S order 2 major actions in one session after a bad session on a pc in a DESPERATE effort to reach the case!

The exact reverse is required.

*Repair* the case by:

I. Patch the session goof.

II. Use prepared lists for locating session charge in past sessions.

III. Use prepared lists and two way comm on items found.

IV. Get ruds in on periods of the pc’s life.

V. Get ruds in on parts of the pc’s body that are ailing.

This is not a model Repair Program but only a sample of one. It isn’t a model because the pcs have different things wrong with them.

But you could blindly do all of the above and still wind up with case gain and a win for a staggering pc.

*Then you* would do a Return Pgm to get the pc back on the Class Chart. But not until then.

I have seen a pc stagger along for years getting auditing (of a sort) while still retaining a set characteristic or somatic who when handled with *very* mild processes had a case gain and then returned to the Class Chart HAVE A COMPLETE CHANGE OF THE CHARACTERISTIC.

**EFFECT SCALE**

A C/S can get into the lower end of the effect scale and feel that desperate that he begins to throw away every major process he can order on the pc, even 2 or 3 per session! But the direction of win was LIGHTER not heavier action.

Sort of like “this sparrow keeps getting bowled out with rocks. Let’s try real artillery on him!”

If one is trying to make a better sparrow he should lay off the rocks and lighten it up, not step up the barrage! Some cotton tufts might do wonders! Might even make the sparrow reach!

The basic trouble with ALL past efforts at “psychotherapy” and “religious uplift” and “self betterment” and healing was:

The more desperate the situation the more desperate was the remedy used.

The right answer is:

THE WORSE THE CONDITION THE LIGHTER THE REMEDY REQUIRED.

Dealing with psychotics in an institution you would find that “Hello” pleasantly said would do more for cases than all the drug firms and electric shock machines and brain icepicks have ever done in all their existence.

Well if it applies to psychotics, it applies surely to people that aren’t.

Simple interest and listening can crack an awful lot of overwhelmed cases that would only bog further if not first repaired.
BPC

The exact BPC of the last session handled is always the first action in Repair Programming.

This is the exact BPC. An unfinished Dianetic Chain is BPC. So get it handled. The wrong list item is heavy BPC so get it handled.

And get this BPC off now! Now! Don’t wait 2 days or a week. Repair it on priority.

OVERWHELM

Don’t always blame the auditor. He may goof and he shouldn’t. But if his procedure and TRs were reasonably correct, how come the pc got a tangled session.

If the auditor has a usually good record and you get a goofed session, then realize the pc is a bit troublesome and was not running standardly.

Of course this doesn’t excuse student goofs or plain lousy auditing. But when the auditor does all right, then the case must be in an overwhelm of some sort.

So we have 2 variables here for C/S decision.

x1-Auditor fault?

or

x2-Pc in an overwhelm?

There is a decision here to be made by the C/S. It’s resolved by folder inspection and knowledge of the auditor.

All right—Auditor usually okay. That eliminates x1. So we have a pc in overwhelm? Look over past record of pc. Runs okay. That cancels x2.

So we repair that one session and its goof and continue with the Return Pgm or the Class Pgm whichever the pc was on.

What if x1 showed lots of bad sessions by the auditor and x2 showed pc usually okay. Investigate auditor’s auditing and send to Cramming for TRs, etc.

What if x1 Auditor okay and x2 pc has lots of trouble?

NOW we get to an overwhelmed pc.

You see how it’s sorted out by the C/S?

From inspecting two things only the C/S can decide what’s to be done now. If the decision isn’t clear-cut get the auditor looked into and the pc asked about the auditor’s actions and his own case. If his “case has lots of trouble” skip worrying the auditor further unless that discloses other errors on other cases.

Okay. So the pc is running badly. So he’s in an overwhelm.

Inspection will reveal one or more of three things.

1. Case didn’t come up the Class Chart right.
2. Case being run in a temporary Life overwhelm.
3. Former errors not repaired.  

1 and 3 may both exist.  

The correct C/S action is a Repair Program in any case. If 3 is true you engage in that first.  

If 2 is true you use Repair actions on life as the second part of your Repair Program.  

If 1 is true you will also have a Repair Program to lay out first in any event and just include it in.  

Write it all up on a red sheet and follow the sheet session by session as you C/S.  

You will now have handled the overwhelm if your Repair Pgm is good and fully done and not brushed off at the first sign of VGIs in the pc at Examiner.  

If 1 is true you now do a Return Pgm. This of course is what processes you’re going to get run to fill in the processes that haven’t been run to get the Class Chart all done and the pc back up to where he was. He has run some after all.  

INGENUITY  

The genius and bright ideas of a C/S are not exerted with major processes ever. Only the Interiorization Rundown after the pc exteriorized or when it is discovered he has and possibly a Student Rescue or a sickness assist are the exceptions to this.  

One doesn’t Repair with major processes! That’s like “The engine wouldn’t run so he hit it with a sledge hammer.”  

Ingenuity is required of a C/S only in the area of repair.  

Locating BPC is rather standard in repair action.  

But fishing up the case by 2 way comm and little prepchecks and getting in ruds on things or times require a certain flair in a C/S.  

I recall one pc who was staggering on engrams, couldn’t talk to people and was a general mess. The wrong action would be to run a major grade like Comm on the pc. The pc had to be handled with 2 way comm of some sort. Yet she couldn’t talk auditing or anything else fluently enough about anything to clear anything up. I asked her what would it be awful to say and she went scarlet, hemmed and hawed and blurted out “Swearing!” So we 2 way commed about it! What a torrent! Recovered completely. Recovered so well she thought that was all there was to auditing and was immensely gratified!  

Another pc had lost his job and couldn’t face any part of it. I 2 way commed what his job had consisted of. He promptly went out and got another.  

Sometimes it takes a lot of sessions and a lot of reading worksheets to find subjects.  

BUT IF YOU CAN PERSUADE AUDITORS TO MARK EVERY FALL AND BD IN 2 WAY COMM SESSIONS you will find exactly where the pc is hung up and ordering 2 way comm on that and related things does wonders.  

But all repair isn’t two way comm. Touching things is a very good way to handle repairs. Cars, typewriters, airplanes, or book pictures thereof or anything or any picture of anything also works.
The “touch assist” is a little fragment of a whole array of “touch”.

Cases sometimes flinch at remembering anything at all. The answer is touch things and “Reach and withdraw” is part of this and is used in repair.

TRs (all of them 0 to IX) are so good in repair action that they actually cure 50% or more drug addicts when run for weeks in groups such as on the HAS Course. It is even reported that when run on people still on drugs over periods of weeks they come off the drugs of their own volition. TRs are a fine unlimited repair action.

Prepared lists run on all sorts of things can repair a whole life.

“Look at me. Who am I?” is used in a Repair Session when a pc goes too wild to audit. (An exception is list errors when the only remedy is a fast L4A.)

Mimicry is actually too high for Repair.

Repair is its own subject.

The only demand in Programming it is to give priority to recent auditing errors or recent life catastrophes.

Many cases obviously have to begin processing with a Repair. Life overwhelm is the reason. And an S & D can be far too steep.

Next to skimping lower grades, Repair is too little used.

And it is needed. And the urgency is to not let things go too long unrepaired.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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When a case has been repaired, there is always a Return Program made up by the C/S.

It is handwritten on a blue sheet of paper that is easily spotted in a folder.

When the Repair Pgm has been concluded, the case is considered to be “set up” for a Return Pgm.

The exact point where a Repair Program is changed into a Return Program is when the case has had some wins and is in far better shape than he was when he first began to be audited (which means his first ever auditing).

The point is also identifiable as the point where the person feels more outflowing and less overwhelmed if at all.

This is obviously a point of case change.

The common and incorrect practice of looking for case change as the only benefit from processing should be relegated to Repair End Phenomena.

Processing is actually measured by the gradual increase in ability. Step by step these increases in ability walk up the Class Chart and ability is the measure of progress.

The C/S who is looking for THE solution to a case, the one shattering bang of total effect on the pc, has set himself for continuous losses in C/Sing. For there is no one action that totally changes a case from bottom to top in one fell swoop. The C/S who thinks there is continually fiddles hopefully. A case has MANY things to be handled, not one.

There is no one single wrongness or out-point in a case. A case is a collection of out-points. He hurts, he can’t talk, he has problems, he is ARC Broken, he has service facs, he is stuck in incidents, etc, to just mention a few such out-points.

A radio receiver that has been many times broken and is a heap of twisted parts, is not going to get repaired, much less improved by a radio repairman finding one huge error in it and correcting that. He’ll have to correct a lot of minor errors in it before any major error even shows up.

The “One-shot clear” idea of the uninformed of 1950 is impossible. When a person goes onto the Clearing Course after missing the lower grades he just doesn’t make it at all. He often can’t even get reads.

It takes many miles of road, past many “case changes” to get up the gradient scale to top ability.

A Repair Program takes the case from where it has falsely gotten to on the Class Chart and gets off the overwhelm with light processes.

The Return Program begins when the case is no longer so overwhelmed and is getting wins from the Repair Program.
THE RETURN PROGRAM CONSISTS SIMPLY OF WRITING DOWN IN SEQUENCE EVERY NEEDFUL STEP AND PROCESS MISSED ON THE CLASS CHART BY THE CASE WHICH ARE NOW TO BE DONE.

Example:

A case has falsely gotten to R6EW Solo and isn’t making it well.

The C/S writes up a light process but extensive Repair Program (first on auditing, then on life).

The case achieves the EP of repair in case changes and less overwhelm.

The C/S now examines the 2-way comm sessions and Examiner’s reports to establish what levels are out. No change = Level 1. Lots of ARC Brks = Level 2.

The C/S lists all the Level 1 and Level 2 processes the pc did not get done and this is the Return Program.

When these are done and the pc has made it, the C/S has the pc honestly back at R6EW on the Class Chart and continues to follow the Class Chart.

Needful repairs also sometimes have to be done in getting the Return Pgm done. In each case a new Repair Program is done. The old Return Program looked over but probably just continued.

Example of a case at OT 1 now completely repaired:

Case has somatics = Dn Level Unflat

Makes others guilty = Level IV Unflat

Dramatizes = R6EW Unflat.

The Return Program consists of completing Dn, rehabbing comm, all Level IV processes, Redo R6EW, rehab Clear, return to OT I.

That completes the Return Program.

In other words, when the case, found in trouble at a level, is fully repaired and winning, the C/S studies the current data on the case to establish the major levels that are out (each Level has an error and an ability) and then gets these into a Program which then session by session is followed.

The program which can be completed in one session will never be written as there is no such program.

A program is the consecutive layout of what has to be done in the next many sessions.

The basic program is the Class and Grade Chart.

The Return Program is the return to the false point reached by getting honestly done all the points missed on the road.

The pc who can’t attest a grade ability at any point has to have:

1. A Repair Pgm.

It is a truism that the grade he can’t seem to make is not the grade. An earlier grade is out if the processes of any one grade, properly run, do not achieve that grade.

The earliest error is of course a failure to achieve the lowest grade there is. What is out here is that the case needed to be started on a Repair Pgm for life. Now, that skipped, one has to do a Repair on both auditing and life.

The Return Pgm is easy in this instance as it just puts the pc back on what he was on, the first level. But this is the only instance where a pc is restored by the C/S to the level he was on without an extensive Return Program.

So a Return Pgm always follows the Repair Pgm.

And a Return Program consists of putting the pc over road sections he missed on the road up.

A Return Pgm is concluded and retired when the pc is back on the grade he falsely had reached before the Repair and Return were done, and is now making that grade.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 5

REPAIR EXAMPLE

Pc X was rushed through lower grades in 20 minutes, given Power to no result, was fed cognitions on upper level material and when run on Dianetics was found “stuck in present time”. After two bogged sessions this pc, who had come from a far place, came to Flag where I took over (not very pleased).

The actual rundown outlined as a Repair Program (see C/S Series 3) was as follows on 2 8 1/2” x 13” red cards to be kept in pc’s folder.

PC X
REPAIR PGM

I. LAST ERROR REPAIRED 27.5.70

II. BOGGED SESSION 6/6/70
Repaired 11/6/70 (too long a wait but done).

III. Two Way Comm on what did you experience in Power Processing Successful 1/6/70 (Revealed all Lower Grades out, Clear Cog fed him, unable to really run Dn.)

IV. L4A assessed on each list run on him, one list at a time as he recalls it.

V. Auditor Auditing Prepcheck.

VI. Gains Prepcheck.

VII. An assessed GF done to get each charge found off.


IX. 2 way comm on life before Scn. (Note all Falls and BDs.) To C/S.

X. C/S to pick up items out of IX and Prepcheck each one that still reads when called off (one to be called then run, no assessment).

XI. Two way comm on rough areas When have you had a rough time? Note all Falls and BDs. To C/S.

XII. C/S to list all F or BD items. Prepcheck each one.

XIII. 2 way comm What possessions have you had? To C/S.

XIV. C/S to list all F—BD Items and Prepcheck.

XV. L1B in auditing and 2 way comm on gains.

XVI. C/S Note what period of his life pc hung up in. Auditor to put in ruds on it.
XVII. Find out what body part or area hurts. Put in Ruds on it.

(Pgm can be extended to be sure pc has had wins and is in better condition
than was in before auditing and no longer overwhelmed or can be cut if this
occurs before then.)

(Return Pgm begins with TRs 0-9, on up the Class Chart as needed to get
his abilities and ends off with a full repair of Power, rehabbing Pr Pr 4 and
5 and running 6 to EP and checking lists. He will then be back on Class
Chart properly.)

-----------

This is not a Repair Pgm to be copied particularly. It is given as an extent of
Repair which would then be done session by session and ticked off by the C/S as he
ordered each new step.

The No. IV L4A prepared list would be wholly assessed for each specific list.

The V and VI are a whole list of things not given here, common to such a step,
but containing no dynamite-type things like “SPs” or “Overwhelm” or other things like
the names of major processes.

Note that everything from I to VIII are strictly auditing repairs.

IX to XVII handle life areas.

This case should have been started in auditing with a Life Repair Pgm such as
given from IX to XVII. Had he been on drugs as a habit (or just shaky about life) TRs
O to 9 could have begun his auditing followed by Life Repair IX to XVII.

NEW DEVELOPMENT

These actions of Repair before level auditing are a new development as such but 2
way comm and these actions are all from the early ’60s SHSBC.

POSSIBLE FAULTS

Evaluation, Q and A and an inability to listen resulting in the auditor chopping
comm would be the chief reasons any errors would creep into the sessions given in the
Repair Program. As these might not show up in the auditing reports, if the Repair Pgm
sessions did not result in gain the C/S would have the auditor’s auditing checked for
these points of Evaluation, Q and A and comm chop. The sessions are actually very
easy to run and could be done by an Academy Class III or better by a Class VI.

NOTE ON VIII

AUDITING

VIII Auditing presumed, when developed, that lower grades were still being
delivered.

VIII Auditing and Training are fully valid. They are, as the Class infers, a high
level of auditing and remain so.

The sequence of recent development has been:

VIII Auditing to Standard
Dianetic HDC-HDG auditing to Standard Dianetics
C/Sing for all levels
C/Sing below levels
C/Sing to handle the neglect of lower grades and SHSBC data which are being gotten back in rapidly.

The C/S is therefore confronted with cases without lower grades and the earliest and reissued Class Chart neglected.

The mania for Quickie Lower Grades and the acts by a few who fed upper “cognitions” and other evaluation to pcs wrecked for a while a part of the bridge and made it impassable.

Much of the current C/S work should take this into consideration. The Repair Pgm given above is not as long as it could be and certainly would be no shorter.

The IX to XVII are a brief layout of how new cases could be handled BEFORE any actual level auditing as a guarantee of real gains. This is a whole zone of action (pre-Level, pre-Dianetics) becoming increasingly necessary by the decline of the culture as visible in pcs now beginning processing as different from those even up to 1962.

These IX to XVII steps would also work on institutional cases but one should take it even easier.

I repeat, this Repair Pgm I to XVII is an EXAMPLE and its numbers are not useful as different Repair Pgm's would be designed by the C/S for the pc. Many other things could be done, none of them heavy or desperate.

The C/S should caution any Registrar NOT to sell with the name “Repair Pgm”. This is entirely technical and not PR or Sales. It is just Auditing as far as the Registrar is concerned.

Had Pc X been processed on all earlier grades in a scramble before 1962, one would list and then rehab every process run as part of the Return Pgm. Such a step would be done as the last step however of the Return Program as a prelude to straightening out the highest grade falsely attained before Repair. The rehab would not be a substitute for running all the processes of the levels not previously run. Rehab is no part of Repair.

TECH ACTION

We have fallen into a belief that any repair is done in Review. Review is now the place the pc goes when the C/S gives up.

Repair is a Tech Div Action and counts as hours of auditing delivered. Auditing is auditing. Obviously 2 25 hour intensives could be consumed in a Life Repair before a new pc ever came near even an assessment of the minus scale of the first Class Chart much less a level!

In Academies, students may get anxious to “get their grades practiced” and so may skip repair actions needful. Thus upper level students should audit lower level students.

DIANETICS

Pcs audited only on Dianetics in franchises and centers will make some astonishing physical and even mental improvements. The larger percentage will do so.

However, a C/S will find some have had physical gains “without finding out about it”. The reality factor has not increased to any degree.

Such pcs of course get a long Repair Program and are then given a Return Program to Dianetics, their highest level.
The sample Repair Pgm above fits such pcs as well as one that attained higher levels before it was found that lower grades were out.

There are no variables in what the pgms are:

1. Pc bogs or not gaining.
2. Repair Pgm outlined and concluded.
3. Return Pgm outlined and concluded.

What the C/S puts in the Repair Pgm and what he puts in the Return Pgm can be very variable indeed.

C/S Q AND A

The only fault I’ve seen in a C/S trying to outline 2 way comm could be called a “C/S Q and A”.

The pc has a big win about “Frogs”. A huge cog F/N VGIs changes his life.

The Q and A C/S is to order “Frogs two way commed”.

The system one uses is not to use pc wins as items to further handle. That stifles (overruns) the win. It’s an ability gained.

One should be able to write off win items as gains and let the pc have them. And use items pc mentions that read (shows he has Reality on them) to push up to new wins.

The C/S in looking into 2 way comm for things to handle finds his prizes in subjects that read but haven’t F/Ned.

The cycle is find an item that reads, push it to F/N cog GIs. Leave that. Find another that reads. Push it to F/N cog VGIs. Leave that. Find another. . . . . etc.

2 way comm with the auditor marking F, LF, LLF, BDs, etc gives the C/S worksheets to pick new items out of. The C/S looks to see if any of these were the subject of any F/N. If so he crosses them off. He orders prepchecks or two way comm on the items that read and haven’t F/Ned.

That’s the way the C/S gets his Instructions to Auditor for the exact actions of the Repair Pgm steps he has already outlined.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.kjm.rd
Copyright © 1970
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
In *Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health* considerable stress is placed on the words and phrases in engrams. This is still functional. However as I did further research I found that (a) many pcs were unable to get the words in the engram and (b) the apparent force of the words was derived wholly from the pain, emotion, effort contained in the engram. In Standard Dianetics the words in an engram play no major role in the auditing.

The use of the words to de-aberrate and concentration on phrases in engrams is valid but junior in force to the pain, misemotion, etc in the engram. Thus if you run out the force the words drop into insignificance. This is often how the pc gets cognitions: the words and meaning concealed in the engram are changing value and devaluating. The pc can then think clearly again on a subject previously pinned down by the force. Get the force out and the words take care of themselves and need no special handling.

The meaning of things plays a secondary role in processing to forces.

Thetans find counter-forces objectionable. Almost all chronic (continual) somatics have their root in force of one kind or another.

In that the handling of things with bodies involves force to greater or lesser degree, incapability and derangement of mental values is proportional to the thetan’s objection to force.

This objection descends down to a wish to stop things. It goes below that into overwhelmedness in which propitiation and obsessive agreement manifest themselves.

LOW TAs

The low TA is a symptom of an overwhelmed being.

When a pc’s TA goes low he is being overwhelmed by too heavy a process, too steep a gradient in applying processes or by rough TRs or invalidative auditing or auditing errors.

A low TA means that the thetan has gone past a desire to stop things and is likely to behave in life as though unable to resist real or imaginary forces.

HIGH TA

Chronically high TAs mean the person can still stop things and is trying to do so.

However, all one has to do is restimulate and leave unflat an engram chain to have a high TA. High TA is reflecting the force contained in the chain.

An “over-run” means doing something too long that has engrams connected with it which means an engram chain with too many engrams on it being restimulated by life or auditing. Hence Over-run.
If this overrun persisted unhandled eventually the pc would be overwhelmed and one, in theory, would have a low TA.

MENTAL MASSES

Mental masses, forces, energy are the items being handled by the C/S on any pc.

If the C/S loses sight of this he can wander off the road and go into the thickets of significance.

Engrams, secondaries, locks all add up to mental masses, forces, energies, time, which express themselves in countless different ways such as pain, misemotion, feelings, old perceptions and a billion billion thought combinations buried in the masses as significances.

A thetan can postulate or say or reason anything. Thus there is an infinity of significances.

A thetan is natively capable of logical thought. This becomes muddied by out-points held in by mental forces such as pictures of heavy experiences.

As the masses and forces accumulated and copied from living build up, the logic potential becomes reduced and illogical results occur.

PC SEARCH

The pc is continually searching for the significance of a mass or force—what is it, why is it.

The C/S is easily led astray by this.

All forces in the bank contain significances.

All forces can be unburdened and lightened up by the various procedures of auditing.

The search of the pc is for significance.

The action of the C/S is reduction of forces.

THE E-METER

The E-Meter records what force is being discharged in every slash, fall and blowdown. The amount of TA per session is the C/S’s index of gain.

Note that a discharged process no longer gives TA and gives case gain.

The amount of significance recovered or realized by the pc only shows up as cognitions.

As the TA works off the case, then one has two indicators:
1. There is needle and TA action.
2. The pc cognites.

One shows that force is coming off. Two shows that thought is releasing from force.

BACKWARDS C/Sing

If a C/S processes toward significance only he will get cases that do not progress.
The needle action detects not so much significance as where the force is.

Diving toward significance the C/S winds up shortening grades, looking for “magic one-shot buttons” and overwhelming cases by shooting them on up the grades while levels remain loaded with force.

RELIABLE INDICATORS

When a pc gets no more TA action on Level I he will have made Level I and will know it. He will therefore attest to “No problems”.

The reliable indicators are TA action and cognitions while a level is still charged.

Diminished TA action and cognitions mean the purpose of the level has been reached.

A feeling of freedom and expansion on a subject is expressed in a normal TA and a loose needle.

The pc will now attest to an ability regained.

F/N ABUSE

To process only to F/N and even chop off the cognitions on a process abuses the indicator of the F/N.

You can find many pcs who bitterly resent F/N indications. They have been:

A. Not run on all the processes of a level;
B. Still have force on the subject;
C. Were chopped off before they could cognite.

The ARC Break in this is UNFINISHED CYCLE OF ACTION.

The proper End Phenomena for a process is F/N Cognition VGIs. Now look at that carefully. That is the proper end phenomena of a PROCESS. It is not the end phenomena of a LEVEL or even of a TYPE of process.

Let us say there are 15 possible Scientology processes for orienting a pc in his present location.

To run one of these 15 and say, “F/N that’s it. You’re complete,” is a Quickie impatient action that rebounds on the pc eventually. If there are 15, run 15 !

Possibly the pc on no. 12 will cognite he’s really right where he is. Only then could you cease to work at it.

An F/N Cog VGIs tells you a process is finished, not a whole class of actions!

Thus 2l/2 minutes from 0 to IV is not only impossible, it is murderous. It will result in an overwhelm, a low TA or a high TA eventually.

Level I says, amongst other things, “Problems Processes”. There are certainly half a dozen. Each would be run to F/N Cog VGIs. When these and the other processes of the Level are run, the pc will come to have no further reaction to problems and will be able to handle them.

A cognition in lower levels is not necessarily an ability regained. Thirty or forty cognitions on one lower level might add up to (and probably would) the realization that one is free of the whole subject of the level.
It is safe to run more processes. It is unsafe to run too few.

PC ABILITIES

It is not enough for the pc to have only negative gains of deleting force. Sooner or later he will have to begin to confront force.

This comes along naturally and is sometimes aided by processes directly aimed at further confront. “What problem could you have?” sooner or later is needed in one form or another.

What force can the pc now handle?

All auditing in a body—and any living in a body—makes a being vulnerable. Bodies break, suffer, intensify pain.

Sooner or later a pc will go Exterior. The Interiorization Rundown must be ordered as the next action or you will have a pc with a high TA. 2-way comm Ext-Int must be given in a following session (not the same one) so the full cognitions will occur.

After this the pc is less subject to the body and his ability to confront force will improve.

Do not be too worried or surprised if after this the pc has some minor accident with the body. Exterior he forgets its frailty. However, such things are minor. He is “learning how to walk” a new way and will run into chairs! He gets this figured out after a while.

Pcs sometimes improve their ability to handle force while interior so as to have mysterious headaches or new body pressures. Inevitably they have been exterior and need Interiorization run. They were just using too much force while still inside!

Thus force is the thing, significance very secondary.

Force of course is made up of time, matter, energy, flows, particles, masses, solids, liquids, gasses, space and locations. All this gets inherently handled in processes published long since.

The pc tends to dive for the thought imbedded in the force. He will tell you he’s being processed to find out who his parents were or why he is sterile or who did him in, etc, etc. The C/S who chases after this is a deerhound illegally chasing mice!

C/S PURPOSE

The C/S is there to make certain that the pc makes gains and attains the actual abilities of the level.

The C/S is for the pc.

C/S auditor control exists only to keep the auditing standard, the TRs good, the processes ordered done and to End Phenomena each one.

No other reasons for C/Sing exist.
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C/S Q AND A

Just as an auditor can Q and A so can a C/S.

As you know Q and A is the incorrect 2-way comm action of wandering off the question by feeding the pc what the pc said as a Question, the Answer is taken as the next auditor’s Question. Many various outlines of what Q and A is already exist and this is just to refresh the subject. Example: Student Auditor is ordered “2-way comm on cities,” by the C/S which is okay. But it can be Q and Aed like this: Student: “Tell me what you think about cities.” Pc: “They’re cold.” Student: “What about cold?” Pc: “I don’t like it.” Student: “What else don’t you like?” Pc: “Well ..... old men.” Student: “What about old men?” Pc: “They’re obnoxious.” Student: “What else is obnoxious?” Pc: “......” Well you remember all about that. It’s maddening and shows no auditor control and certainly doesn’t handle the original C/S subject of “cities”.

There are three main ways in which a C/S can Q and A in C/Sing.

PC C/S

Pc goes to Examiner on own volition and says, “I am ill. I need my ruds flown.”

A C/S Q and A would be “Fly ruds.”

Pc on his own goes to Examiner and says, “I am upset about my job.”

C/S writes “L1B on job.”

You get the idea. The first one is therefore Q and Aing with Exam statement of pc.

This is varied by taking a pc’s note or letter or report and accepting what the pc says is wrong. Like “I’m PTS to my husband.” And then C/Sing “2-way comm on husband.”

Naturally the ancient law applies here. If the pc knew what it was it would not be wrong and would as-is. Pc coming up to Exam saying, “It’s my husband!” with F/N Cog VGIs would be what would happen if it was the husband. And that would be great but of no real value to C/S except pc has had a win and not to now use “husband”.

Give you an actual example: Pc in Solo ruds found she hated George. It F/Ned. Next audited session pc was saying she hated George. Wrote a note about George. C/S did not notice the outness. Ordered LIB on George and in a 2-way comm got little or no TA, continued to be ill. The fact is it wasn’t George at all and not even a terminal. Pc had gone up one grade too many, hit an overwhelm, the earlier 6 grades were out! Correct action was to have done a general repair the moment a pc suddenly and mysteriously caved in and got ill on a new level! The pc never should have been going on up grades for the last 6 grades!

The tendency to toss it all off with a Q and A not only didn’t handle but obscured the real situation.
C/Sing A WIN

The second Q and A is to C/S a pc win.

Pc in 2-way comm mentions cats and more cats and cats and finally at the end of session has a big F/N Cog VGIs on cats.

The C/S sees all this “cat” mention and orders “Prepcheck cats.”

That is a very cruel sort of Q and A.

Another version of it of course is to see a pc reach a full End Phenomena on a series of processes like an unmistakable pc-volunteered valence shift and keep on going into an inval. Correction is to rehab of course.

Yet another version is to pull a w/h and then keep pulling it so the pc doesn’t think it’s gone. Correction is to rehab of course.

The TA often goes high or low on these Q and A actions and Inval-Eval actions are ordered and the release point rehabbed.

NEXT GRADE PLEASE!

The third Q and A a C/S can pull is to agree to the pc’s demands for the next grade despite all contrary indicators.

“I’m ready for Clear now!” says the pc full of somatics whose R6EW wasn’t really done and who can’t talk.

The Registrar, execs and others push on this also.

The D of P and C/S have total authority on this. They should be diplomatic. “He can have the grade of course but I will have to prepare him for it,” is the best answer. “Please make arrangements for Clear preparation—25 hours.”

If the C/S doesn’t hold the fort on this the pc put into the next grade who isn’t ready will fall on his head.

If this pressure from the pc (in any version) continues, have him sign a waiver “I will not hold the org or any principals responsible and waive any refund if I am put on next grade.” That either gets home or he says okay and signs. So put him on the grade and hope he doesn’t fall on his head—and if he does, now demand he get the hours needed to get fixed up so he can really make it.

A D of P or C/S often have other pressures exerted on them that are not technical in nature such as economics, ambition, status symbols (of having a high grade regardless of a headache) and have to cope with these diplomatically. But any but tech considerations are dangerous to entertain.

SUMMATION

Of these 3, 2 are concerned with letting someone else C/S. Like an engineer letting someone else plan the railroad.

And the third is also slightly in that nature, consisting of not noticing the pc’s wins and using them with which to C/S.

CAUTION

This doesn’t mean the pc is always wrong. He is generally right when he says he’s overwhelmed or upset. He’s almost always wrong when he says what
overwhelmed him or what BPC was out WHEN SIMPLY SAYING IT DOES NOT CORRECT THE CASE OR PRODUCE F/N VGIs.

You always *use* the pc’s data one way or another in that you are paralleling what the MIND does. That’s reads. Not what the pc says.

Remember that what’s really wrong lies in the field of mass, energy, space, time, form and location. As these are eased up (by Standard Dianetics and 18 years of Scientology actions and processes) thoughts come to view. So if you Q and A with thoughts already in full view, you never really ease up the bank. That’s why Q and A with significance is not done.
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CHART OF HUMAN EVALUATION

Science of Survival’s Chart of Human Evaluation is a study for C/Ses and is of great use.

When you find the pc on one of its columns you can see if the pc stays there or falls back there.

Standard Dianetics opened this chart to full use for C/Ses. 18 years of Scientology processes and know-how are to a large degree evolved from this chart.

IF A PC IS STAYING AT A LEVEL OF THE CHART OR FALLS ON IT you know he is running above his level.

Processing Changes Conditions.

If it doesn’t improve them (or the pc’s behavior) then the pc’s Reality is not being reached. It can be plus or minus, above or below. It is seldom that the pc’s reality is higher than the processes used and really only occurs when a grade honestly run is rerun. Then you get pc protest as he’s made that.

Pcs who get sick suddenly are being run far too high on the Class Chart. Pcs who don’t change are also being run too high.

Behavior, mannerisms are the index. DO THESE CHANGE? If they do the pc is improving. If they drop lower on the Human Evaluation Chart the pc is in overwhelm.

PICKING THOUGHTS OUT OF FORCES IN THE BANK BRINGS A NO CHANGE.

In other words you can park a pc by continuing nothing but think processes which address only significance.

SELF AUDITING

Self auditing is the manifestation of being overwhelmed by masses etc and pulling only think out of the bank. Pulling out think then pulls in more force which gives more self audit.

Not all self audit is bad. The pc eventually realizes it’s forces! After a few tens of thousands of hours! If he knows all the answers.

A good push against a wall is worth a hundred hours of self auditing. And it’s force.

HUMAN EVALUATION

This famous chart (in use by the way by an airline and several other areas, and which had to be printed as desk blotters for personnel people at one time) could easily be expanded in numbers of vertical columns to include all behavior.
The C/S is at a disadvantage as he doesn’t see pcs. But he can have a mannerism item filled in on a Summary Report. “Mannerisms______” “Mannerism changes _____”

This serves.

It also serves to look at the psychosomatic column of the chart and a pc’s Health Form.

CHANGING THE PC

The pc will change in ideas when he changes his relationship to forces.

Tons of processes do this.

Objective Processes have to be run in on a pc now and then.

Somatics passing through in a session are a definite clue to force change. The nosomatic pc is either high as an angel or being run too high.

You don’t have to run directly at force for forces to change in the pc.

One 2 way comm I did with a pc released his hold on a huge bundle of forces!

The body responds badly to forces.

The conflict between protecting or using a body and being as a thetan able to withstand large forces gets so mixed up in a pc he can wind up as a force-shy thetan!

STANDARD PROCESSES

Standard processes such as those in use for 18 years handle this when fitted into their levels.

What the C/S has to realize is that he is (a) producing an optimum rate of change in the pc if he is C/Sing well and (b) changing the pc’s position upward on the Chart of Human Evaluation.
One of the reasons Scientology tended toward disuse in the late 1960’s was not its workability. It was a growing cultural disinclination to do things thoroughly.

“Fast, quick results” was interpreted as seconds or minutes. In old psychotherapy as practiced in the 19th Century it required ONE YEAR of weekly consultation to see if anything could be done about a case and FOUR MORE YEARS to produce a meager superficial result. Compared to that two or three hundred hours of processing was nothing.

As we began to dominate this field in terms of persons handled and results obtained, psychiatry invented “instant psychiatry” by which no result was gotten in no time.

SPEED became the primary consideration of the culture. Jet planes, fast cars “saved time”. But an old Chinese, when told by a driver that he had saved 4 minutes in speeding back from town asked, “What are you going to do with the 4 minutes?”

Time itself is a basis of aberration. Dropping time out is the consideration of factory managers of production lines as “the faster something can be made the more you have of it”. But look at this again. Something can be done so fast it isn’t done at all! The difference between a very fine camera and a cheap one is speed of manufacture. Cheap cameras don’t get their parts carefully machined or matched—they don’t fit together—they break, cease to work. A fine gun can be told by the lack of tool marks on the hidden places. A cheap gun’s inner bolt is a mess of scars. It isn’t smooth in operation. It didn’t take much time to make but it also jams and freezes up when you try to use it. Maybe you’ve heard of “hotter than a 2 dollar pistol”. A 2 dollar pistol is “hot” because it’s so quickie made it usually blows up and blows off a hand.

There is a point where SPEED is simply a cover for a cheap worthless product.

Let us take a filthy room. A lazy housekeeper comes in and sweeps a few bits of dust under the carpet, leaves soot all over the windows and garbage on the mantle and says it’s clean. Somebody else not afraid of work spends an hour at it and leaves a really clean room.

SHORT PGMS

A short pc program is economically and efficiently for the birds.

In the first place a C/S has to know the extent of his tech well to be able to think up light processes in quantity.

If one heard a C/S say, “But I don’t have time to spend an hour doing a long program for the pc,” one is listening to something peculiar. If one spent an hour or two doing up a real long 20 action program to repair the pc, then for the next 20 C/Ses it takes only a few minutes to look over the session and order the next action on the list. If one had no program one would have to study the folder each time. One actually saves C/S time by doing long programs both to repair and to get the pc back on the Class Chart where he’d gotten to.
Further, auditing is sold by the hour and it WASTES money and income and pcs to short program them.

“Yes but we sell result! If we can get 200 pcs done in 100 auditing minutes we would make £18,233 clear profit ..

Well the cruel answer to that was when orgs began to do that on lower grades they didn’t attain the result on the pc and stats went DOWN!

Power was once priced against the fact of 50 to 100 hours of auditing. It retained the price and by cutting out all End Phenomena or real gain it was at last being given in 20 minutes. And after just so many years of this economic dishonesty, SHs crashed! They had sold out the real value of the product for a quick buck. The “field” became “ARC Broken” and few takers came to an SH. It is a very long hard road back. And it is a very costly one.

“Quickie Grades”, instead of making fortunes for one and all, crashed the whole Scientology network.

BECAUSE QUICKIE RESULTS ARE LAZY AND DISHONEST.

Let’s just face up to the facts of life!

Selling out the integrity of the subject for a buck wrecks the subject.

SUCCESS

The real stat of an org is Success Stories.

Honest grades and time spent in C/Sing and in auditing to obtain them add up to success for the individual, the org, its field, the country and the planet.

The time it takes to process somebody is how long it takes to get each single result available. It is not how slowly or quickly it is done. A book is not a good book if it takes 7 years to write. And a bad book isn’t always written in 2 weeks. It takes as long to write a good book as you get a good book. The result is the result and TIME IS JUST AN ENTERED ARBITRARY.

A person who overwhelms at Grade IV is an easily overwhelmed person. It might take 50 hours just to repair the case and the person’s life. That might be 20 or 30 steps on the program.

If the C/S can’t dream up 8 or 9 ways to repair past auditing and 15 or 20 ways to repair a life, then it’s time to go back and read The Original Thesis, Evolution of a Science, DMSMH, 8-80, 8-8008 and listen to a hundred or so SHSBC tapes.

“Yes, but I have no time to ..” Well, that’s also saying “It can’t be done well.”

But there is time. If anyone looked over his area he would be able to throw out the time-wasting actions if it comes to that.

“Look. I’m the C/S, the D of P and have to audit 3........”

That’s a statement that the job has already been done so badly that no persons show up to take over the extra hats! And the no-result programs cripple the economics and that becomes no help.

I have seen Mary Sue take over an HGC that had tons of unsolved cases and too few auditors and have watched her solve one case at a time and within 2 weeks have 35 auditors and no backlogs and in six weeks no unsolved cases! She was using the “old”, “historical”, “background”, “we don’t use them anymore” processes!
So it not only can be done, it is the thing to do.

That org’s stats soared. It became solvent. It ran at a high run and was a happy org.

**SICK PCs**

When there are sick people on a list one doesn’t just “give a Dianetic Assist” and send to a doctor and write them off.

If one knows his tech, there was a *reason* the person got sick. One also knows a sick person goes into overwhelm easily.

One can do a touch assist, a contact assist, two-way comm, ruds on the accident, ruds before the accident, Dianetic Assist, medical treatment, life ruds, HCO B 24 July ’69, two-way comm on suppression, 3 S & Ds, assessment for area of illness, prepcheck on area, ruds on area, hello and okay with the affected area, reach and withdraw from area, two-way comm, recall on persons similarly ill, location of the postulate that caused it with itsa earlier itsa, prepcheck on the body or its part, more HCO B 24 July ’69, more ruds, assessment of failed purposes, two-way comm on the sickness.

That’s not a program. It’s just a helter-skelter list of a *lot* of things to do. It would not greatly matter what order they were done in but lighter actions should be the earlier. And in a program auditing repair comes before life repair.

**EXPECTANCY**

Now if a C/S or an auditor has a magical complex, he expects ONE process to run a person from wog to OT VI and in ONE minute.

The missing knowledge is “gradient scales”. Stairs and ladders have steps and rungs. It takes TIME to climb a tower.

The magical complex thinks of processes as incantations or charms. A person C/Sing would always be trying to find THE process the pc should be run on. The think is that THE process, once discovered, would take no time at all and the pc would magically become well!

_Pardon me, but that’s pure goofiness._

And it would set the C/S up for constant FAILURE.

One sees such a person scrambling through processes, trying to guess “which one which one which one. Oh there’s one! Now we run it for 3 minutes on the pc. Oh dear, It didn’t work. He isn’t well. Let’s see what’s here still. Scramble scramble. Oh, here’s one. This green paper is probably the right color. Auditor! Run this on the pc. Oh dear, it didn’t work. He isn’t well yet. So! We will take these 5 major processes and run them all in one session and add six grades. Do that! Do it! It’s a desperate situation. Oh dear, the pc blew. Well I guess the subject doesn’t work or I’m a failure …”

That is NOT how one should C/S.

If a workman was supposed to cure an ox hide and was told salt would do it and he had a magical complex, what would he do. Well, he might take a small salt shaker and sprinkle the corner of the hide (thinking the right thought) and find that the hide rotted in a few days. He could then conclude salt didn’t cure ox hides. If someone kept hammering at him to cure ox hides with salt and he kept sprinkling the corner (knowing it wouldn’t work) he’d get a very odd idea about his orders! But who would suspect that this workman thought it was magic! An honest rubbing of salt all over and into the ox hide is the meaning of “salt will cure ox hides”!
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But that would take work. It would take TIME! It would have to be honestly and thoroughly done. But one would have cured ox hides and gotten shoes and a profit and pay and everything for one had a product.

Magical thought in auditing isn’t likely to give anyone a product of really able people!

SHORT-CUTTING PROCESSES

Processes can be short-cut as well as programs.

Take an early (means basic, useful, usable) version of Rising Scale. There are 18 pairs. Each pair should be run to F/N, Cog, VGIs.

An auditor told to run Rising Scale can run along the 18 pairs until one F/Ns. And leave it.

The process has been short-cut. And with that shortcut went its ability to restore fertility!

So one hears Rising Scale will sometimes restore fertility or change eyesight. Orders it done. It is done to 1 F/N. No real result occurs.

Or take Dianetics. Dianetics can be chopped “to save TIME”. First feeble flutter of an F/N, no Cog, no VGIs, auditor barking “Did it erase? Did it erase?” Final result, no real gain. There goes the subject. Half an hour to run the chain, no extra 30 seconds for the real F/N, the Cog, the VGIs.

SO ONE WASTES A RESULT FOR THE SAKE OF SAVED TIME.

THE AGE

It is a symptom of the age that there is no time. But in the Data Series PLs one finds that “omitted time” is a basic insanity.

That a body lives only about 70 years puts an awful limit on Man. Man’s Empires endure at most only about 300 years if that.

70 years is not enough time to make a real career and 300 years is not enough time to even groove in a civil service.

Man pays for it with poor lives and rotten governments.

But it doesn’t take 70 years or 300 years to process a pc. A year maybe up to homo novis. A few years to OT. Even traveling it casually slow.

25 hours to repair someone’s life and 50 to 100 hours to get him up to no somatics with Dianetics is pretty satisfactorily fast.

What’s this take? A week to repair. 2 to 4 weeks for full Dianetics. At 25 hours a week. That’s very little.

And it’s enough to tell him to get trained so he can have all he wants.

SPEED LIABILITY

When speed is the consideration, not results, you get a very cheap camera or car. And you can expect it to fall apart very soon. You also get a cheap reputation.

We are in the Leica and Cadillac and Rolls Royce product class without trying.
Why settle for “Quickie Grades”?

You get no students that way and that’s the heavy org income. You get no expanding field. And you won’t ever get a cleared planet.

We’ve learned all this the hard way. So let’s not let it go unheeded.

The place to handle the situation is with C/Sing.

And to gain the co-operation of C/Ses to make results real results by insisting that speed is the fast road to poverty in the long run.

If the C/S burden is too heavy, start pushing training. Then you’ll get help.

Honest C/Sing gives an honest result.

It takes as long to correct a case as it takes. It takes as long to make a person well as it takes. It takes as long to get a real lasting grade result as it takes.

And that’s a lot longer than the time spent on it in the late 60’s.

ALL pcs “have to be OT tomorrow”. Why let them C/S their case by demanding it only take 2 minutes?

Self C/Sing is no more effective than self auditing.

Registrars as well as pcs try to grab the C/S hat. “I will sell you a marital intensive because you have such a bad cold.” And Execs, “Run this staff member on money…….”

Well, a C/S’s hat is the C/S’s. And he should wear it for honest results. And damn others trying to C/S and wreck his job.

THERE ARE NO CONSIDERATIONS WHICH FORGIVE ANY RESULT THAT IS NOT THOROUGH AND HONEST FOR EVERY PROGRAM OR GRADE.
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REPAIRING A REPAIR

When a pc is on a Repair cycle it is quite horrible to have a bad (goofed) session occur.

Why?

Well the pc is on a Repair cycle because he is overwhelmable. A goofed session is more overwhelm. AND it was goofed on a process type which was already what you would use for Repair. So NOW what do you do?

The answer of course is to sort out the real error. If you can’t find it readily in the worksheet have the Examiner ask the pc what the auditor did.

Then having found the actual goof, you have it repaired by rehab of the BP F/N or an L1B using “Method 3” in assessing the prepared list.

The goofs are fortunately few in type.

There HAS to have been a basic goof for a Repair session to have gone wrong.

So when one goes wrong, you really search the worksheet until you find it and if it isn’t visible get the pc asked.

These goofs are pretty elementary. The auditor possibly doesn’t know that a TA can go DOWN by overwhelming by overrun or way up by overrun. So a usual goof in Repair is overrun of an F/N or an item that F/Ned or a list that F/Ned.

Example: In a Repair Pgm a GF is called for. Auditor clears a couple items, suddenly hits a hot one, pc gets F/N, Cog, VGI’s. Auditor (told to get all the charge off the GF overlooks senior data—let pc have a win, GFs often raise hob with the TA if run further than THE item) goes on down the GF list past the F/N VGI’s hunting for new charge. Pc’s TA goes to 1.6 ! Pc cogs he has a stuck picture. TA 1.6. “End of sess.”

Now what do we do. Well, a new factor now enters in.

C/S WANDER

The pc was on a precise Repair Pgm, is only at VI out of XVIII steps.

But the pc is rough. Rough running. Diverges, critical, boggy.

And now he is stuck into a goofed session and we have to repair a repair!

A C/S at this point can wander. He can Q and A. The WHOLE REPAIR PGM CAN GET DEPARTED FROM AND THE PC REALLY BOGGED.

When faced with Repairing a Repair Pgm session watch it! Don’t wander!

The C/S procedure is this:

1. Find in the W/S or from the pc the exact goof.
2. Repair that goof by rehab, indicating BPC or two way comm, depending on the error.
3. DO NOT ORDER A NEW DIFFERENT NON-PGM ACTION.
4. Continue the PGM.
It is here a C/S can go adrift. New actions crossing the original program can soon have C/S, pc and Auditor chasing over hill and dale. It is a fatal pursuit.

About the only time you change a Repair Pgm once outlined is to extend it or lighten it. But in that case do a whole new Pgm.

You will find 2 way comm is lighter than a Prepcheck.

Let us say pc was doing great on 2 way comm. Gets into a Prepcheck session and goes out the bottom.

In such a case the Prepcheck is repaired of any goof noted in it and 2 way comm that session—and it comes out all right. If no goof can be located, 2 way comm it and it will be okay.

An Auditor can throw a list not ordered into a Repair Pgm by finding the TA high at session start and doing an O/R list and goofing the list. It would already be dicey to list a pc who is on a Repair Pgm. To then goof ordinary laws of listing and nulling can get grim.

The first C/S action to repair the repair is of course to get the list corrected with an L4A. You can often spot the listing goof as a C/S. It’s usually an O/R of an O/R list or an incomplete list or an “unnecessary list”. It’s poison to list a pc on a Repair Pgm, however. 2 way comm it.

If a check for Exteriorization reveals it, you have no choice but to do an Interiorization Rundown. That’s a common reason. But if the pc is already flinching at engrams, limit the Interiorization to 3 way Recall and note it clearly that he’s only 3 way Recall of Int.

AUDITOR FLUBS

Student or new Auditors produce the most flubs. It is therefore good to keep them off repair actions or Repair Pgms.

The commonest flubs are failing to trim the meter and ignoring the F/N at “3.1”, yet sitting right there running the pc up to 4.0 without ever asking, “Have we by-passed a release point?”

Poor TRs, not having 2 way comm down, neglecting pc origin or chopping comm are probably next in order of frequency.

REPAIR PCs

Remember that pcs who need lots of repair are DELICATE cases. Feather touch is the watchword.

They are not all that easy to audit. They can cause Auditors and C/Ses to disperse.

Such pcs are afraid of force and easily get engulfed if pushed hard into the bank.

So lightly, lightly.

And exact repair of any flub.

And get back to the program! Mid program is no time to become inventive.

L. RON HUBBARD
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The following HCO Bs have been combined in this issue:

HCO B 31  Aug ’68  "Written C/S Instructions"
HCO B  1  Sept ‘68  "Points on Case Supervision"
HCO B 11  Sept ‘68  "Case Supervisor Data"
HCO B 17  Sept ‘68  "Gross Case Supervision Errors"
HCO B 17  Sept ‘68  "Out Admin—Liability"
HCO B 22  Sept ‘68  "Auditors must always ....”
HCO B  8  Oct ‘68  "Case Supervisor—Folder Handling"
HCO B 15  Mar ‘70  "Double Folder Danger"
HCO B 29  Mar ‘70  "Auditing and Ethics"

and reference to LRH ED 101 Int “Popular Names of Developments”.

C/S DATA

Case Supervision instructions are always written. A Case Supervisor always writes his C/S instructions on a separate sheet of paper for the pc folder.

Repair Programs (now called Progress Programs) are on red sheets.

Return Programs (now called Advance Programs) are on bright blue sheets.

All C/Ses are written in duplicate (a carbon copy is made). The C/S keeps the carbon copy for reference in case the original ever gets lost.

HIGH CRIME

It is a High Crime for a Case Supervisor not to WRITE in a preclear’s folder what the case supervised instructions are and a High Crime for an auditor to accept verbal C/S instructions.

To commit this crime causes:

1. Extreme difficulty when doing a folder error summary as there is no background of what was ordered and why.
2. Gives the auditor leave to do anything he likes as not in writing.
3. Is open to misduplication and can cause squirrel processes to be run and so mess up a preclear with Non-standard Tech.

Any C/Supervisor found guilty of this from this date is to be removed as this could only be considered a deliberate attempt to mess up preclears.

POINTS ON CASE SUPERVISION

1. Check your orders to find out if auditor did them.
2. Check to see if commands correct and if pc’s reaction was expected reaction for those commands.

3. Check any list and find out if there was mislisting.

4. Advise against a background of Standard Tech.

5. Order any errors corrected or get the case on further up the grades.

6. Beware of over-correction.

7. Beware of false, pessimistic or over-enthusiastic auditor reports. They are detected by whether the case responded to usual actions as they all do.

8. Beware of talking to the auditor or the pc.

9. Have implicit confidence in Standard Tech. If it is reported not working the auditor’s report is false or the application terrible but not reported.

10. Above all else hold a standard and NEVER listen to or use unusual solutions.

DOUBLE FOLDER DANGER

When a preOT has a Solo and an Auditing folder, both, there is a great danger if the Case Supervisor does not look at BOTH before C/Sing.

There has been an instance of a preOT running strange C/Ses on himself. Another ran C/Ses out of other folders on himself. In both cases the consequences were hard to repair when finally found.

In another case in the Solo folder the preOT had gone exterior with full perception. But the Non-Solo Auditing folder was being C/Sed. The TA shot up for 2 months without any C/S except myself calling for all folders.

PreOTs unfortunately run on a Solo folder and an audited folder. Unless both are to hand when C/Sing wild errors can be made by the C/S.

There is also the case of a person having two audited folders, being C/Sed at the same time. This is an Admin error.

The firm rule is C/S ONLY WITH ALL FOLDERS TO HAND.

The embarrassing situation where one can’t get a folder from another org or field auditor or where the old folder is lost has to be made up for somehow. It mustn’t halt auditing totally.

CASE SUPERVISOR—FOLDER HANDLING

Analyzing Folders

Go back in the folder to the session where the preclear was running well and come forward from it doing a folder error summary.

Reviewing Folders

In reviewing a folder, the first thing to do is to look at the C/S to see if it was done.

Use the Summary Sheet to get the Auditor’s attitude and pc mannerism changes.

Use the Auditor’s Report Form to get the time of processes.
Read and take all your data from Worksheets and compare it to and see that C/S was complied with and ensure Standard Tech was applied.

If you can’t read the reports, send it back to have the Auditor over-print illegible words. Never try to case supervise (C/S) an illegible worksheet as you’ll only run into headaches.

The After Session Examiner’s Report gives you the first clue of how suspicious you should be in examining the folder and whether or not auditing reports contain falsities.

Standard Tech

You’re never led by anything into departing from Standard Tech. The only reason it doesn’t work is that it hasn’t been applied.

The main question of a Case Supervisor is:

WAS IT APPLIED?

If you follow this exactly, you’ll never miss.

CASE SUPERVISOR DATA

A Case Supervisor should watch for Ethics record of pcs who have been C/Sed.

If they fall on their head, get into low conditions, the folder should be reviewed.

Most probably the auditor did not do what was ordered and, if folder looks okay, chances are the auditing report is false as something is wrong or pc would not be in trouble.

AUDITING AND ETHICS

Cases undergoing Ethics actions, Comm Evs, amends projects or low conditions should not be audited until the Ethics matter is cleared up and complete. It only louses up their cases to audit them when under such stress.

ADMIN

Auditors must always put the pc’s grade or OT level very prominently on the Auditing Report.

A Case Supervisor cannot properly C/S a case without having this data.

To not do this is out admin.

OUT ADMIN—LIABILITY

Much has been said about the importance of admin in auditing but auditors just aren’t getting it—so ....... it now becomes a LIABILITY to have out admin in pcs’ folders.

Folders are to be submitted with the latest session on top. Auditor’s report form is stapled to Worksheets which are dated, numbered and in order, latest on top. Summary Report is then attached to the auditing report and W/Ss with a paper clip. This of course is as well as the usual admin such as legible writing, re-writing illegible words, marking reads and F/Ns, and all End Phenomena, etc.
The C/S instructions for that session go under that session, so you get C/S 4/6/68, Auditing Session 4/6/68, C/S 5/6/68, Auditing Session 5/6/68, C/S 7/6/68, etc, etc.

As the whole purpose of Class VIII is to minimize the time in auditing, by doing perfect Standard Tech, this cannot be done if it takes 15 minutes to put the folder in order, so it can then be case supervised, so it can then be audited.

GROSS CASE SUPERVISION ERRORS

1. FAILING TO USE PROGRESS AND ADVANCE PROGRAMS WHEN NEEDED.

2. Ordering unnecessary repairs.

3. Trying to use repair processes to get case gain instead of getting the pc onto the next grade.

4. Not writing down C/S instructions, but giving them to an auditor verbally.

5. Talking to the auditor re the case.

6. Talking to pc re his case.

7. Failing to send pc to examiner if you’re unsure why his folder has been sent up for C/S.

8. Being reasonable.

9. Not having enough Ethics presence to get his orders followed.

10. Issuing involved repair orders.

11. BIGGEST GROSS CASE SUPERVISION ERROR for C/S is not to read through the pc folder.
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GLOSSARY OF C/S TERMS

RECOVERY PROGRAM: The pack of

- LRH EDs 100 Int 10 May ’70 Lower Grades Upgraded
- 102 Int 20 May ’70 The Ideal Org
- 103 Int 21 May ’70 Fast Flow Grades Cancelled
- 104 Int 2 Jun ’70 Auditing Sales and Delivery Pgm No. 1
- 106 Int 3 Jun ’70 What Was Wrong
- 107 Int 3 Jun ’70 Orders to Divisions for Immediate Compliance
- 10 SH 6 Jun ’70 SH Pcs
- 108 Int 11 Jun ’70 Auditing Mystery Solved
- 101 Int 21 Jun ’70 Popular Names of Developments

comprising the program to recover full use and results of EXPANDED LOWER GRADES.

PROGRESS PROGRAM:

What is called a “Repair Program” on the first issue of the C/S Series HCOB just being issued is re-named a PROGRESS PROGRAM. It has been found that case gain which has not been earlier achieved can be consolidated by a PROGRESS PROGRAM. It takes 25 hours, can be done by a Class I or above as long as it is C/Sed by an VIII who has starrated on the new C/S Series. This is quite a technical development in itself. It is the answer to a pc who had “Quickie Grades” and didn’t actually reach full abilities in earlier Scientology auditing. It is followed by an Advance Program which follows below.

ADVANCE PROGRAM:

This is what was called a “Return Program” in the C/S Series. The name is being changed from “Return” to “Advance” as more appropriate. It gets the pc really up to where he should be. It may take 50 hours or more.

EXPANDED LOWER GRADES:

Pcs won’t like being told they “have to have their lower grades rerun”. Actually that’s not a factual statement anyway. The lower grades harmonic into the OT Levels. They can be run again with full 1950-1960 to 1970 processes as given on the SH Courses all through the 1960s. These are now regrouped and sorted out and are called EXPANDED LOWER GRADES. Only this route will now be sold. There are no Dianetic or Scientology single—triple or “Quickie Lower Grades” any more.

DIANETIC CLEAR:

There is such a state. It is not however attained by feeding people Scientology cognitions as was done in L.A. Only about 25% go actually Clear on Dianetics. A Dianetic Clear or any other Dianetic pc now goes on up through the grades of Scientology and onto the proper Clearing Course. The Dianetic Clear of Book I was clear of somatics. The Book I definition is correct. This is the End Phenomena of Dianetics as per the Class Chart and Book 1. 25%, no more, make Dianetic Clear
accidentally. They still need Expanded Lower Grades to make Scientology Clear. Becoming a Dianetic Clear does not stop them from getting Power Processing. Modern Power is to its total End Phenomena.

**CLASSIFICATION CHART:**

This chart “Classification and Gradation Chart” has been reissued many times. All issues are more or less valid. To save print, the processes run column appears in “Processes Taught” on the Auditor side of the Chart. All these processes and more are used in Expanded Lower Grades. The chart is *Valid.*

**QUICKIE GRADES:**

Persons were too demanding to be done quickly. On many cases these grades as given were valid but a large number of cases needed Expanded Lower Grades. 20 minutes from Grade 0 to IV and 5 minutes Power was far more than many could stand up to. These need a PROGRESS PGM and an ADVANCE PGM. This is true of persons at Va or R6EW or on CC or OT Levels. All these who haven’t fully made it need a PROGRESS PGM and an ADVANCE PGM “to pick up all the latent gain they missed”.

**DIANETIC PCS:**

Dianetic pcs should be audited on Dianetics until no somatics, then go up through Expanded Lower Grades to Power, R6EW, Clearing Course and OT Levels.

**TRAINING:**

Any pc who has trouble needs training and the amount of time required in Expanded Lower Grades and so on makes it cheaper to be trained.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: sb .rd
Copyright © 1970
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
Inevitably, when any new approach or process is released, some will instantly assume that all “older” (actually more basic) data has been cancelled. There is no statement to that effect. It is not guessed that this will be assumed and so we could lose an entire subject.

We did in fact lose Dianetics for a decade and all but lost Scientology in the following ten years.

A subject can be reorganized and made more workable. That was done in 1969 for Dianetics. BUT IT HAD NEVER BEEN UNWORKABLE!

The 1969 Dianetics Reorganization refined the 1962-63 discoveries of R-3-R. A better communication was made to the user and the preclear.

Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter (not signed by me) and an HCO B (also not signed by me) gave this impression. They were of course cancelled the instant they were discovered to have been sent out.

This idea that the “old” is always cancelled by anything “new” has its root in the idea that a later order cancels earlier orders, which is true. But orders are one thing and Tech basics another.

What if, in the science of physics, a book by Professor Glumph came out, omitting the three laws of motion and gravity. It is assumed then that Newton’s laws are no longer valid. Because they are old. (Newton lived between 1642 and 1727.) So some young student engineer is baffled because bridges have weight and can’t work out gravity or motion! And he and his fellows begin to build without knowing these laws and there goes the whole of engineering and the culture itself!

This is no fantasy. As a college student in upper math I was utterly baffled by “calculus”. I couldn’t find out what it was for. Then I discovered it had been developed by Sir Isaac Newton, examined the basics and got the idea. My college text omitted all the basic explanations and even the authorship of the subject! Calculus today is really not enough used because it isn’t understood.

Anyway, here’s the main surprise: Until 1970 the whole of Scientology was never in use in processing! Students had ridden along with the research line up into the OT sections, discarding the ladder behind them. For nearly 3 years an increasing proportion of preclears were not actually making it. The gradient to get them onto the bridge had been neglected as “old” when in fact they were not “old” but BASIC.

The amazement of auditors (and their delight) when the HCO B on Auditor’s Rights (C/S Series 1) was released indicated that they had become “process oriented” with all the WHY gone.
The 1968 VIII Standardization aimed actually at good TRs, auditing presence, and basics in auditor performance. VIII auditing was developed to handle the OT band.

It is entirely valid. Its only omission was detailed actions now developed as to how to handle a pc or Pre OT who had been pulled up the line and had fallen on his head.

Out Grades was spotted and discussed in detail in VIII auditing.

Giving lower grades fast was the only error. It was not realized in 1968 that End Phenomena of lower grades was not being required.

The re-release of the entire band of Academy and Saint Hill materials in 1970 is a re-emphasis on the validity and necessity of using it ALL on pcs! And in understanding the mind and life! And all this is quite welcome and very successful. Not noticed is that this whole band was never before presented for full use on all pcs. As I say, 1950-1969 auditors had been riding with the “newest and latest” because it was “popular”. Only a few wise old-timers continued to use the most basic actions.

But just as VIII auditing was an unauthorized signal to suppress all that had been known before, so now, with the full release for use of Expanded Lower Grades, a few began to say that VIII auditing was now “old”!

One assumes then that some like to be able to say that something is now “old”. Has a superior sort of ring to it, I guess. Anyway we’d better disregard this tendency to retire basics. It is more amusing than otherwise. So let’s get on with the job.

RESISTIVE CASES

The RESISTIVE CASE rundown is an VIII development TO HANDLE THOSE WHO CANNOT MAKE THE GRADES.

It was put into the Green Form as GF 40 so as to preserve it.

To it could now be added “Overwhelmed”. This would indicate need of Repair (Progress) and Return (Advance) Programs. But many other indicators exist already.

So when do you use a GF 40?

Let us say the pc has been run on Grade Zero. And at the Examiner cannot or does not attest.

One would first look for simple auditing errors in recent sessions. These would get reviewed and corrected.

One would then look for lower actions than Grade Zero that had been missed.

If it still seemed hard to figure out, one would use a GF 40, Resistive Cases.

In essence, if one adds “Overwhelm” to the GF 40 list you have on it all the reasons a pc won’t advance IF he has been run on all processes up to that point.

Overwhelm would indicate need of a Repair and Return.

Grade I, Problems, is the usual ordinary reason for no case advance.

Problems shows up as an out-rud in GF 40 and is simply put in as a rud not as a grade.

But if a Grade II or above has a Problem??? That means Grade I is out.
GF 40 remains even more plainly as a “When all else fails”.  
It is used that way.

When a pc doesn’t attest, and all has been done for him otherwise, you use a GF 40.

This was its proper use in the first place.

All such materials except Rapid or Quickie Grades are valid.

And (joke) these remarks on GF 40 Resistive Cases do not wipe out “Repair and Return Programs”.

IV RUNDOWN

The so-called IV Rundown as taught on the VIII Course is of course quite valid.

Originally developed to catch cases that had somehow gotten up to OT III and were falling on their heads, it is a collection of actions. It salvaged many cases.

The missing datum was that in recent times these cases were falsely reported to have had their lower grades. THEY, the cases themselves, said they had “had lower grades”. This made a mystery. The fact is, with multiple declare (declaring 0 to IV to the Examiner all at one time mostly without any mention of End Phenomena of the grade) these cases were OUT GRADE in the extreme.

The IV Rundown was an effort to catch it all up to make a real OT.

“Out Grades” didn’t read as it didn’t mean anything to the pc and besides “they’d all been rehabbéd a dozen times anyway”. But nobody mentioned never having attained any End Phenomena and the Class Chart was never really gotten IN IN IN in the first place.

You will find many pcs have had various parts of the “IV Rundown” run earlier.

For a while it was the fashion to use the IV Rundown or a part of it on any balky case at any level. At OT IV (which was an audited step and none of it really confidential) the C/S simply ordered run whatever was left of it not already run.

Somewhere on the case all of the IV Rundown still should be run. But of course that would now be on a Return (Advance) Program and well up the line.

If Repair-Return doesn’t get a grade made this is the time to do a IV Rundown. On (3) Valence Shifter—LX1, LX2, LX3 lists can be done in triple, recall, secondary, engram. Earlier Practices, Former Therapy can also be triple, recall, secondary, engram.

This is on Page 28 (not 23) of the original VIII Case Supervisor Manual and part of it is also now GF 40.

If a case really needs this he won’t be making a lower grade really so the GF 40 or its slightly wider OT IV Rundown can be used.

To both, “Overwhelmed by auditing” should be added in any future issue to indicate a needed repair action.

CASE SUPERVISOR ACTIONS

HCO B 10 Dec 1968, “Case Supervisor Actions” Confidential, VIIIIs only, is still valid. It remains Confidential as it mentions some OT phenomena that would spin a
Grade Va. However, some VIII C/S is going to be told that “Expanded Lower Grades changes all that”. It doesn’t.

Listen: In the next to last paragraph of the cover page of this manual (HCO B 10 Dec 68) it says:

“Standard Grades are not part of this set-up AS IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE AUDITOR KNOWS THESE. Directions to do Standard Grades are written on a blank sheet.” (I have added the block letters for emphasis here.)

At the time this was written I had not discovered that Lower Grades were gone out of use and I let be published Triple Grades which seemed to condense all lower grades. The Major Process or Major Grade Process is definitely not enough to make a pc make a lower grade. I am sorry I gave any support at all to such an idea by not examining the whole scene when it began to show up. I did find it and did correct it however when auditing statistics over the world showed the fault. (28 hours was the total weekly delivery of orgs!)

If you add the dozens and dozens of Lower Grade Processes as given in Expanded Lower Grades to the VIII C/S HCO B of 10 Dec 68 and included this C/S Series and its new development of Repair (Progress) and Return (Advance) programs you would have the whole package of C/Sing.

So the VIII actions are all valid.

Auditor classes below VIII have this C/S Series. The AO C/S Course adds in the VIII actions as well.

Any C/S who does not know well The Original Thesis, Dianetics: The Evolution of a Science, Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health, Scientology 8-80 and Scientology 8-8008 will go badly astray. It is vital to know these books and others in this area, to know what one is trying to handle.

Class VI (SHSBC) tapes and bulletins are all valid and vital to Lower Grade auditing and C/Sing.

-------------

I trust this gives the C/S some idea of what is still “in”.

It all is.
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C/Sing 2 WAY COMM

The C/S is liable to make most of his C/S errors in C/Sing 2 Way Comm.

The reasons for this are

1. 2 way comm IS auditing.
2. The errors that can be made in any auditing can be made in 2 way comm;
3. Untrained or poorly trained auditors do not always respect 2 way comm as auditing.
4. Errors in 2 way comm become masked since the procedure is loose.
5. Earlier C/Ses on the case may have missed the easily missed 2 way comm errors.

RULES OF C/Sing 2 WAY COMM

A. The C/S must recognize that 2 way comm is auditing. Therefore it follows all the rules of auditing.
B. Any error that occurs in other auditing can occur in 2 way comm auditing. Errors in a 2 way comm session must be carefully looked for as they easily can be masked in the worksheet.
C. Auditors must be persuaded by the C/S to make notation of auditing essentials in 2 way comm as of senior importance to pc’s text (which is also made note of in the W/S).
D. The questions asked in 2 way comm can be very incorrect just as rote processes can be.
E. An auditor must be trained as a 2 way comm auditor (Class II). Otherwise he will Evaluate, Q and A and commit other faults.
F. If an ARC Break occurs early in a 2 way comm session and is not handled as such the rest of the session is audited over an ARC Break and can put a pc into a sad effect.
G. A pc with a PT problem not being handled in the 2 way comm will get no gain.
H. A pc with a W/H in a 2 way comm session will become critical, nattery and/or get a dirty needle.
I. Two way comm processes must be flattened to F/N. If an F/N doesn’t occur then the subject didn’t read in the first place or the auditor Qed and Aed or evaluated or changed the subject or the TRs were out or the pc’s ruds were out.
J. A two way comm subject chosen must be tested for read in that session before being used for 2 way comm.

K. Improper 2 way comm questions can plunge the pc into an out rud situation not then handled. “Is anything upsetting you?” or any mention of upsets by the auditor is the same as asking for an ARC Break. “Has anything been troubling—worrying you lately?” is the same as asking for a PTP. “Who aren’t you talking to?” is asking for W/Hs.

L. The subject of major processes should be kept out of 2 way comm C/Ses, auditors’ questions and 2 way comm assessment lists (ARC Brks, Problems, overt, changes or any major auditing subject, as they are too heavy, being the buttons of the bank).

M. The C/S should only let Class II or above auditors do 2 way comm sessions.

N. A rud going out in a two way comm session must be put in by the auditor.

O. A 2 way comm session should end in an F/N.

P. Auditors whose 2 way comm sessions do not end in F/N must be taught to check the subject for read before using, not to Q and A, not to Evaluate and given a refresher on 2 way comm tapes and HCO Bs.

Q. In a 2 way comm session that flubs the C/S must be careful to isolate the errors just as in any other auditing session that flubs and put them right.

R. A 2 way comm subject that reads on test and doesn’t F/N on 2 way comm must be checked for O/R (if TA went up) and rehabbed by the 1965 Rehab method, or Prepchecked or just continued.

-------------

The whole point to all of this is that a 2 way comm session IS auditing. It is delivered by the auditor, C/Sed and remedied like any other session.

Also it is usually being run on a delicate pc who is more affected by errors than pcs being given other processes.
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[This HCO B is amended by BTB 10 July 1970, 2-Way Comm-A Class III Action, which is based on LRH C/Ses. It says, “Rules E and M are changed from ‘Class II’ to ‘Class III’.‘]
GETTING THE F/N TO EXAMINER
(High, Low TAs and Chronic Somatics)

If after an F/N session end the pc’s TA goes up, as at the Examiner’s in an org, the pc is afflicted with unflat Engram Chains.

All High TAs depend on unflat or restimulated engram chains.

TAs go high on Overrun because the overrun restimulates engram chains not yet run.

Engram (or secondary or lock) chains can be keyed out. This does not mean they stay out. In a few minutes or hours or days or years they can key back in.

A pc will also de-stimulate in from 3 to 10 days usually. This means he “settles out”. Thus a pc can be overrun into new engram chains (by life or an auditor), TA goes up, 3 to 10 days later the TA comes down.

When a pc is audited to F/N VGIs and then a few minutes later has a high TA the usual reasons are

1. Has had his comm chopped or full Dianetic or Scientology End Phenomena not reached or
2. Has been run on an unreading item or subject or
3. Is overwhelmed or
4. Has a lot of engrams keying in or
5. Has been run in the past without full erasure of engrams or attaining End Phenomena.
6. Lists badly done or other misauditing cause a pc to feel bad and key in chains also.
7. A pc can be audited when too tired or too late at night.

The solution to any of these is easy—on (1) always see that the pc attains full EP, particularly on engram chains. On (2) make auditors check for read even in two-way comm subjects, list questions or Dianetic items before running them. On (3) see also (2) and get the pc a proper Progress (Repair) Program. On (4) Repair or isolate pc so his PT isn’t so ferocious looking (meaning Repair [Progress] Pgm him well or let him change his environment and then audit him) or (5) look into his folder to see who audited him on so many chains when, with no real erasure or EP. (6) You use Repair lists (like L4A, LIB, etc) and other usual action. On (7) you make the pc get some rest and if he can’t, make him go for a walk away until he is tired and then walk back and get some sleep.

All these really add up to keyed in or unflat engram chains. Whether the pc can handle them depends on Repair and the usual.
Of all these the past auditing without attaining EP on engram chains (whether done in Dianetics or Scientology) is a usual reason for a much audited pc to have a high TA.

The answers to any high TA that won’t come down and to any pc who continually arrives at Examiner after an F/N VGI session end with his TA UP are

A. Faulty auditing not letting pc go to Full Dn EP when running engrams.

B. A false auditing report (PR type report meaning promoting instead of auditing).

C. Too many engram chains in past restim by life or auditing.

Any correct Standard Dianetic Auditing will eventually handle. But it is usual to do a PICTURE REMEDY (see HCO B 5 June 1969).

A pc who has a *chronic somatic* would get programmed like this:

I  Repair (Progress) Pgm until pc feeling better.
II Picture Remedy with all reading and interest items Dn triple full Dn EP.
III Health Form—with all reading and interest items Dn triple full Dn EP.
IV Somatics of the area with all reading and interest items Dn triple full Dn EP.
V Run the engram chain of the incident (operation, accident, etc) he believes caused it. R3R triple.
VI HF to F/N on the HF itself and attest full Dianetic result as per Class Chart.

That’s maybe 50 hours, all done in Dianetic triples, of course, in steps II to VI.

IF the Dianetic Auditing is standard and to Dianetic EP (F/N Cog VGIs) you will see this pattern at the Examiner or a few minutes after session.

First few sessions
TA 4.0 or more at Exam. Doubtful GIs.

Next few
TA 3.75 and blowing down to 3.25 at Exam. GIs.

Next few
TA 3.75 BD to F/N at Exam. GIs to VGIs.

Next two or three
TA 3.5 BD to F/N at Exams VGIs.

Finally
TA 2.5 F/N VGIs at the Examiner.

Another pass at the HF finds it F/N and pc can and will attest Dianetics.

That’s what you would expect to see if the Auditing was standard, if the case was straightened out of past flubs in the Repair step. Errors such as running unreading items or firefights caused by out TRs or false auditing reports or Dn EP not reached at session end or pc needing ruds put in at session starts would prevent this pattern from happening at the Examiner’s. So if the pattern doesn’t happen you know the auditing is goofy or something is out which had better be found. One pc for instance had a huge w/h of having a disease and was audited over it for 2 years = auditing over a w/h and PTP = no case gain. Silly pc. But also a very dull C/S not to alert to some outness there and find it. Another pc had a high TA and the fault was just that she never got any auditing at all! So they kept operating on her! Somebody didn’t know Dianetics and auditing was for USE.
HIGH TA AND ILLNESS

Pcs with high TAs feel ill and get ill.

No use to elaborate on that. It's just a fact and is THE fact about pcs who get ill. So maybe you see why this HCOB is important!

LOW TA AT EXAM

Pcs with low TAs are more or less in apathy.

If it F/N VGIs at session end and is low at Exam (like 1.9) (OR if it went low in session and didn’t F/N), then the pc is

(a) overwhelmed and needs auditing and life repair

(b) can have been run on a flat or unreading item that invalidated his former win.

Example: Pc listed on an unreading list few sessions later worrying about it and coming to Exam with low TA. Repair is the answer. Low TA pcs need a Life Repair also.

Note: The new Hubbard Consultant Assessment List is now under test at this writing and may become essential as a pre-repair function and if so would be before repair in the chronic somatic list of actions as a pgm.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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SESSION GRADING
WELL DONE,
DEFINITION OF

A “well done” to an auditor requires a precise meaning. It is not given by the C/S because an auditor is a friend or because he would be offended if he didn’t get one.

“WELL DONE” GIVEN BY THE C/S FOR A SESSION MEANS THE PC HAD F/N VGIs AT THE EXAMINER IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE SESSION.

This then presupposes that session lines include an Examiner even if it’s a receptionist and it includes the use and understanding of Exam Reports. (See HCO PL 26 Jan ’70, Issue III, or any rewrite and Exam tech.)

It presupposes the Examiner has a meter to hand and that the pc makes a statement.

Thus, if there are no Exam Reports there can’t be a well done given, eh? True enough. A C/S who C/Ses without Exam Reports done by a different person than the auditor is asking to fly blind and to get auditor “PR” (public relations or brag) and false auditing reports.

No F/N at Exam no well done.

This is harsh as early on pcs often get no F/N at Examiner. BUT IN EVERY CASE THERE ARE CURRENT EARLIER TECH ERRORS ON THE CASE when the F/N doesn’t get from the session to the Examiner. It is also harsh because the failure to get the F/N to the Examiner could be a C/S error! But (see HCO B 24 May ’70, “Auditor’s Rights”, C/S Series 1), the auditor should not have accepted the C/S.

The C/S could be too heavy, or the case needed a repair first or the process ordered is not part of a proper program.

HOURS SUCCESSFULLY AUDITED INCLUDES ONLY “WELL DONE” OR “VERY WELL DONE” SESSIONS.

VERY WELL DONES

An auditor gets a “VERY WELL DONE” when the session by worksheet inspection, Exam Report inspection is:

1. F/N VGIs at Examiner.
2. The auditing is totally flubless and by the book.
3. The whole C/S ordered was done without departure and to the expected result.

NO MENTION

A no mention of well done or very well done or anything simply means:
1. F/N did not get to Examiner.
2. No major auditing errors exist in the session.

**FLUNKS**

A FLUNK is given when:

1. The F/N did not get to Examiner and didn’t occur at session end.
2. Major errors or flubs occurred like no EP, multiple somatic, unflown ruds, etc.
3. The C/S was not followed or completed.
4. Auditor’s Rights listed errors occurred.
5. No F/N and BIs at Examiner.

The exact error must be noted on the worksheet and in the next C/S along with the Flunk.

**FLUNK AND RETRAIN**

When an auditor does not improve but continues to get NO MENTIONS and FLUNKS, he requires retraining.

Such retraining must include:

1. Cleaning up all Misunderstoods of tech.
2. Cleaning up willingness to audit.
3. Cleaning up overts on people and pcs.
4. Examination by inspection of TRs.
5. Starring material missed or not grasped as per session troubles.

**INVALIDATION**

Invalidative remarks should not be made by a C/S. Experience has shown they do no good and also do harm.

But there are 2 methods of invalidating an auditor’s auditing:

1. Let him go on flubbing and getting no results.
2. Direct invalidation of his intentions or future or potential.

In 1, nearly all auditors who stop auditing never really knew how to audit in the first place or have gross misunderstoods or have accumulated intentional or unintentional overts on pcs or have been too harshly invalidated. When they don’t really grasp the ease and simplicity of auditing they get into other troubles.

A really well trained, smooth auditor never gets any real charge on his case on the subject of auditing.

When you let an auditor flub, the whole subject gets invalidated and he loses his value because he goes into doubt. This can be said with complete confidence today as the whole of Dianetics and Scientology is there and it works very very well indeed **IF IT IS USED AND IF THE C/SING AND AUDITING IS CORRECT AND FLUBLESS.**
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AUDITOR HANDLING

The C/S is really not just the Case Supervisor, he is also the auditors’ handler.

Like a boxer’s trainer or a star’s director, the C/S handles his guys. They are all a bit different, auditors. There are prima donnas and meek mousey ones and steady-on ones and all kinds.

They get the credit for the sessions from the pcs most often. They really don’t like not to be C/Sed.

And they VALUE the well dones and the very well dones and they flinch at the flunks. And the honest ones know all about it before they turn it in. And some don’t mention the flub but think you’re a fool if you miss it.

So it’s important to have a constant in assigning what the auditor is given for the session.

WELL DONE AUDITING HOURS are all that’s valid for a stat.

So a C/S must be very exact and correct in his determination of well done, very well done, no mention and (forlornly) a flunk.

This should remove argument from the matter and bring certainty.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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OVERSHOOTING and UNDERSHOOTING are two very defeating errors in C/Sing. UNDERSHOOTING would be to leave a cycle incomplete and go off to something else.

Example: Case sent to Review or given a Review session to repair goofs. One goof is handled but there are three to handle. Case returned to the grade before being set up. This can be so bad that the case never made any grade at all.

The modern Repair (Progress) Pgm as outlined in this C/S series takes care of this.

QUICKIE GRADES AND ACTIONS

Quickie grades left us with a totality of incomplete cases.

You look over a folder and you see the pc at “OT IV”. The folder is thick. He has had lots of auditing. He has aches and pains, problems, makes people wrong.
Probably he could be audited for another thousand hours without ever coming right! Unless there was an orderly program to complete his case level by level on the Class and Grade Chart.

It would take a Repair (Progress) Pgm and then an Advance Pgm that included each grade to completion.

He would have to have his ruds put in, any flubs at once handled session to session, just to complete Dianetics. Finally, his chronic somatics gone, he would F/N on the Health Form and that would complete his Dianetics with his attestation.

And so on right up the Grades, each one done fully to the voluntary declare for that grade as per the Grade and Class Chart.

In doing Dianetics, Grades, etc you still have to get in ruds and handle the case so it is set up for each major action and repair the flubs at once when they occur.

While completing an action you have to keep the case running, not audit over ARC Brks, PTPs, W/Hs and flubs.

The best answer is NO FLUBS. But when they occur they must be repaired in 24 hours.

When repaired (and not re-repaired and re-re-repaired with overshoots) you get the case back on the same cycle that was incomplete.

COMPLETE CASES

A case is not complete unless the lowest incomplete Grade Chart action is complete and then each completed in turn on up.

As you look over current folders who have had years of auditing, some of them you generally don’t find any completed actions and you do find overshoots on Reviews.

It is not the least bit hard to handle these cases. This C/S series shows you how. Auditing and Life Repairs (Progress), Advance Pgm completing fully each incomplete grade.

The C/S is blessed who follows these two rules:

RECOGNIZE A COMPLETION OF AN ACTION AND END IT OFF.

RECOGNIZE AN INCOMPLETE ACTION AND COMPLETE IT.

Don’t overshoot, don’t undershoot.

Follow the rules.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
C/S Series 18

CHRONIC SOMATIC,
DIANETIC HANDLING OF

The full Dianetic handling of the pc who has a chronic somatic is given in the HCO B C/S Series No. 15, of 16 August 1970, “Getting the F/N to Examiner”.

This HCO B calls the fact to attention. It could get overlooked or be hard to find again as the title of HCO B 16 August does not indicate it directly.
C/S Series 19

FOLDER ERROR SUMMARIES

A folder error summary, (FES) is usually done by a student especially an interne well taught, learning his practical tech or by an auditor especially hired to do FESs.

It requires many hours to put a folder in sequence and then to list all errors in it.

It should NEVER be done by a working C/S who is responsible for an org’s delivery flow.

COST

It is costly to do an FES and where possible the cost, duly consulting the pc, should be borne by the pc as a special service.

It can be directly paid for or simply deducted from auditing hours purchased.

NECESSITY

A good C/S looking over a folder usually goes back to the last time the pc was doing really well and notes actions necessary from that point.

Programs of a lengthily audited case (fat folder) usually cover LIB, L3A, L4A lists and usually take up 2-way comm on earliest sessions and earliest auditing ever given (for auditors). Thus an FES is not vital in all cases.

I like to have an FES done so I can compare areas covered by the pc in 2-way comm and be sure they come up in subsequent repair sessions.

Also where I can see a lot of bad lists existed, I want to be able to assure they get handled.

Thus an FES is useful.

On Flag, an FES is carefully done so as to detect areas of out tech in the world. This is called “the Flub Catch System”.

Auditors and C/Ses so detected are sent to cramming in their areas to smooth out their tech knowledge or TRs, all to improve delivery of tech.

Flub Catch makes an FES vital on Flag.

Higher orgs have a similar interest in an FES.

HALTING DELIVERY

To halt delivery because of a missing folder or to do a long time-consuming FES is of course contrary to the need to deliver auditing and can result in a no-auditing situation worse than a Blind Repair.
When no FES is done, one is doing a Blind Repair. The Progress Pgm and Advance Pgm may have holes in them.

However there are only five areas of danger:

1. Flubbed lists.
2. A bad series of evaluative sessions should be detected and directly handled.
3. Flubbed Power.
4. Extended or flubbed Interiorization.
5. Missed grades.

If a C/S doesn’t know about these it may be that the case will not properly repair and he also does not know what Advance Program to do.

But as these are specific areas they can be done on a Blind Repair by making them into a list and getting them meter checked.

Example: Pc has lost his folder. Has been audited for several years on and off. One can clear the idea of lists “Someone written down items you say to a question” and see if it gets a read and if so do L4A Method Three “On Lists”. One can ask if any auditor ever told the pc what to think and if that reads 2-way comm or precheck those sessions by that auditor. Power can be checked by rehab unless the person has gone Clear on the Clearing Course since at which time Power will not need repair. The commands of Interiorization Rundown can be checked with 2-way comm or rehabbed. What won’t rehab you run. Missed Grades can be checked, rehabbed or run including any Expanded Grades. The pc usually recognizes the process if it has been run.

Thus one can wander through a Blind Repair without fouling up the case and add to it the inevitable actions common to all Progress Pgm.

SUMMARY

An FES has value. It is valuable to the pc to get one done. It is a long and extensive action. It can be sold directly or removed from hours bought. It is of vast interest in training auditors and should be done by already trained internes or specially hired auditors. It is NOT done by a C/S and it is NOT used to halt all delivery of auditing and jam up the C/S lines. A lost or delayed folder is not a barrier to a very well trained C/S who has starrated a C/S Course. An FES is very useful and tends to eradicate any mystery for a C/S.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
A FLOATING NEEDLE can persist.

This fact tells you at once why you cannot do three major actions in a row in the same ten minutes.

This was the bug behind “Quickie Grades” (0 to IV in one session. This also occurred in Power when it was run all in one day). The auditor would attain a bona fide full dial F/N. The pc was still cogniting, still in a big win. The auditor would “clear the next process command”, he would see an F/N. He would “clear the next process command”, and see an F/N.

BUT IT WAS THE SAME F/N!

Result was that processes 2 and 3 WERE NEVER RUN ON THE CASE.

This is really what is meant by “Quickie Grades”.

In 1958 we got real Releases. You could not kill the F/N for days, weeks.

Several processes had this effect. Today’s real Clear also goes this way. You couldn’t kill the F/N with an axe.

By running a lot of Level Zero processes, for instance, you can get a real swinging unkillable F/N.

It not only gets to the Examiner, it comes in at the start of the next day’s session!

Now if in one session you ran all of Level Zero and went on up to Level One, you would just be auditing a persistent F/N. The pc would get no benefit at all from Level One. He’s still going “Wow” on Level Zero.

If you ran Level Zero with one process that got a big wide floating F/N and then “ran” Level I, II, III and IV, you would have just a Level Zero Release. The pc’s bank was nowhere to be found. So next week he has problems (Level I) or a Service Fac (Level IV) and he is only a Grade Zero yet it says right there in Certs and Awards log he’s a Grade IV. So now we have a “Grade IV” who has Level I, II, III and IV troubles!

A session that tries to go beyond a big dial-wide drifting floating F/N only distracts the pc from his win. BIG WIN.

Any big win (F/N dial-wide, Cog, VGIs) gives you this kind of persistent F/N.

You at least have to let it go until tomorrow and let the pc have his win.

That is what is meant by letting the pc have his win. When you get one of these dial-wide F/Ns, Cog, VGIs WOW you may as well pack it up for the day.
GRADUAL WIDENING

In running a Dianetic chain to basic in triple you will sometimes see in one session a half dial on Flow 1, 3/4 of a dial on Flow 2, a full dial on Flow 3.

Or you may have 4 subjects to two-way comm or prepcheck in one session. First action 1/3 dial F/N. Then no F/N, TA up. Second action 1/2 dial F/N. Then no F/N. Third action 3/4 dial F/N. Fourth action full dial-wide floating swinging idling F/N.

You will also notice in the same session-long time for 1st action, shorter, shorter, shorter for the next three actions.

Now you have an F/N that anything you try to clear and run will just F/N WITHOUT AFFECTING THE CASE AT ALL.

If you audit past that you are wasting your time and processes.

You have hit an “unkillable F/N”, properly called a persistent F/N. It’s persistent at least for that day. Do any more and it’s wasted.

If an auditor has never seen this he had better get his TR0 bullbait flat for 2 hours at one unflunked go and his other TRs in and drill out his flubs. For that’s what’s supposed to happen.

F/Ns on pcs audited up to (for that session) a persistent F/N always get to the Examiner.

If you only have a “small F/N” it won’t get to the Examiner. However, on some pcs maybe that’s good enough. May take him several sessions, each one getting a final session F/N a bit wider. Then he gets an F/N that gets to the Examiner. After that, well audited on a continuing basis, the F/N lasts longer and longer.

One day the pc comes into session with a dial-wide floating swinging F/N and anything you say or do does nothing whatever to disturb that F/N.

It’s a real Release man. It may last weeks, months, years.

Tell him to come back when he feels he needs some auditing and chalk up the remaining hours (if sold by the hour) as undelivered. Or if sold by result, chalk up the result.

If the F/N is truly persistent he will have no objections. If it isn’t, he will object. So have him come back tomorrow and carry on whatever you were doing.

SUMMARY

The technical bug back of Quickie Grades or Quickie Power was the Persistent F/N.

This is not to be confused with a Stage 4 (sweep, stick, sweep, stick) or an ARC Broke needle (pc Bad Indicators while F/Ning).

This is not to be used to refuse all further auditing to a pc.

It is to be used to determine when to end a series of major actions in a session.
C/S RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAINING

The C/S is fully and entirely responsible for the ability of his auditors to audit. This has been true for 20 years but it gets neglected. This neglect gives us (a) Flubby Auditing (b) Fad tech.

If auditing is flubby it is the C/S who is responsible. In the first place he permitted bad course training without screaming. In the second place he does not persuade or force auditors to correct their tech in cramming after flubs.

Since flubby auditing is the primary reason for no results, an area where tech is bad tends to ride fads or grab “the newest and latest” and hope it will crack cases whereas doing the usual without flubs is what cracks the cases.

If I find an auditor whose sessions I am C/Sing has failed to flatten a chain, I assume not that the pc is difficult but that the auditor does not know about (1) Only running items that read, (2) Multiple somatics, (3) Narrative chains and that his TRs are bad. I spot what it is from the session worksheet and say what it is and order the auditor to cramming (or to be crammed if there is no cramming) on the materials and on TRs always.

I cannot C/S with flubby auditors. The pile of C/S folders grows. Any review has to be reviewed and my C/Ses just aren’t getting done. If auditors I am C/Sing for are green I can count on a 4+ times increase in my C/Sing time. If my auditors are flubby C/Sing that should require 1 1/2 hours takes 6 1/2 hours. This is by actual timing.

I have no objection to working with green or newly trained auditors. BUT IF I DO I RETRAIN THEM.

The C/S who accepts an auditor from any course as a trained auditor is an optimist.

There are three training stages.

A. Course Study, theory and practical.
B. Student Auditing.
C. Professional Auditing.

The C/S has to do with C. When A and B are very poor the job at C is much harder so the C/S should call it forcefully to attention of Course Supervisors. And then get a fast retrain going under himself.

Retraining is an inevitable part of a C/S’s job. No matter how good the course may have been the actual practice of auditing gives the new auditor different importance values. Also his hat has changed from a student hat to a real auditor’s hat.
As a C/S works with an auditor he trains him. He also may order the new auditor audited.

Essentially the C/S has to shift the new auditor’s hat from a “what’s it say?” to a “Now I do.”

With a whole green crew of auditors I give as a C/S a daily auditors’ conference. I make sure my Tech Services is on the ball so auditors get in 5 or 6 hours in 5 or 6 hours, not in 10 or 12 hours while they wait for pcs or go find them. That gives them auditor admin and study time. Then I can have a conference. This conference does not violate any ivory tower as I don’t C/S on their data of pcs. I find their questions and get them answered and I give them the reasons behind certain C/Ses.

Then daily daily daily I meet any flub with an order to cramming on the material flubbed and on TRs. And I keep their overts pulled.

A green auditor with me as a C/S has a very arduous time of it. There is no invalidation. Quite the contrary. The message is YOU CAN AUDIT. YOU CAN GET RESULTS. GET WISED UP AND GET ON WITH IT.

One flub, one retrain in cramming.

A lot of auditors are around who learned to audit with me as a C/S after their training. In the majority of cases they became fantastic auditors. In some few cases they went elsewhere before they could be fully trained.

The magic of it all is simply: 1 flub, 1 retrain in cramming on that point.

Mostly I didn’t even pull them off the pc.

The fuzzy muzzy state of most graduated students needs handling. It is handled by the C/S.

The object of a C/S is to handle and improve cases. He can’t do that with flubby auditors. So he has to make auditors out of students. If he does he can then achieve his object.

If the C/S wears this part of his hat he really wins. He seldom has to unravel anything tough. He just C/Ses and the auditors audit EVENTUALLY. But every new auditor he gets is certain to lengthen the C/S’s working day and lessen his results unless the C/S realizes that there is ON THE JOB TRAINING and gets it done.

Training includes the auditor’s staff hat and his knowledge of Tech and Qual Divisions. This would be true even in a Franchise or the field. They might not have the divisions but they have all the functions!

Recently a C/S had to get about 60 people audited fast. She had seven auditors assigned. She did not assure that these auditors were knowledgeable on the courses they had had and she did not wear the training hat of a C/S. She wound up with herself and one auditor doing the whole 60. The excuse was, the other auditors “couldn’t audit”.

It would have been far faster in terms of audited pc-hours to have rapidly crash-programmed the seven auditors through a refresher, cleaned up their misunderstands and overts in a co-audit and then, using them, to shove them into cramming on the materials of any flub and TRs for each goof. She would have made seven auditors into stars and she would have gotten the 60 pcs fully audited completely and rapidly with minimal flubs. She would have had 60 Dianetic and Expanded Grade completions, 60 terrific beings AND IN LESS TIME.

Morale goes to pot only when auditors do not get results.
Her basic error was assuming auditors should be able to audit. This isn’t true of any auditor who has not served an apprenticeship under a competent C/S.

An auditor who has been auditing 10 years, when he starts to audit for me the first time, I put on my C/S training hat and no matter how good or how poor he was when he began I make him a better auditor.

A C/S who doesn’t do this is letting the team down and badly.

A C/S who doesn’t do this will spend hours daily trying to puzzle out the solution to messes made.

A C/S who doesn’t do this fills up a field with flubbed cases regardless of his own skill in C/Sing. He is liable to sink into doubt, then treason and blow.

The C/S who wears his training hat and does do this leads a smooth life, is respected by his auditors and is valuable beyond gold.

To do this a C/S must himself be able to audit and to know his materials well enough to state which ones have to be crammed and never introduce strange ideas.

Such a C/S will never have a revolt and will never have to dream something up or ride new fads because he is getting excellent results straight along for a happy org and public.

I trust a C/S to do this.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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Through a slight change of procedure on certain preclears I have been able to view the underlying motives and mechanisms of psychosis.

Very possibly this is the first time the mechanisms which bring about insanity have been fully viewed. I must say that it requires a bit of confronting.

The alleviation of the condition of insanity has also been accomplished now and the footnote in *Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health* concerning future research into this field can be considered fulfilled.

The things a C/S should know about insanity are as follows:

### HIGHER PERCENT

About 15% to 20% of the human race apparently is insane or certainly a much higher percent than was estimated.

The truly insane do not necessarily act insane visibly. They are not the psychiatric obvious cases who go rigid for years or scream for days. This is observed only in the last stages or during temporary stress.

Under apparent social behavior the continual crimes knowingly committed by the insane are much more vicious than ever has been catalogued in psychiatric texts.

The actions of the insane are not “unconscious”. They are completely aware of what they are doing.

All insane actions are entirely justified and seem wholly rational to them. As they have no reality on the harmful and irrational nature of their conduct it does not often register on an Ê-Meter.

The product of their post duties is destructive but is excused as ignorance or errors.

As cases in normal processing they roller coaster continually.

They nearly always have a fixed emotional tone. It does not vary in nearly all insane people. In a very few it is cyclic, high then low.

All characteristics classified as those of the “suppressive person” are in fact those of an insane person.

The easiest ways for a C/S to detect the insane are:

1. Pretending to do a post or duties, the real consistent result is destructive to the group in terms of breakage, lost items, injured business, etc.

2. The case is no case gain or roller coaster and is covered under “PTS symptoms”.
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3. They are usually chronically physically ill.

4. They have a deep but carefully masked hatred of anyone who seeks to help them.

5. The result of their “help” is actually injurious.

6. They often seek transfers or wish to leave.

7. They are involved in warfare with conflicts around them which are invisible to others. One wonders how they can be so involved or get so involved in so much hostility.

**TYPES**

The German psychiatric 1500 or so “different types of insanity” are just different symptoms of the same cause. There is only one insanity and from it springs different manifestations. Psychiatry erred in calling these different types and trying to invent different treatments.

**DEFINITION**

Insanity can now be precisely defined.

The definition is:

**INSANITY IS THE OVERT OR COVERT BUT ALWAYS COMPLEX AND CONTINUOUS DETERMINATION TO HARM OR DESTROY.**

Possibly the only frightening thing about it is the cleverness with which it can be hidden.

Whereas a sane person can become angry or upset and a bit destructive for short periods, he or she recovers. The insane mask it, are misemotional continuously and do not recover. (Except by modern processing.)

**THE NATURE OF MAN**

Man is basically good. This is obvious. For when he begins to do evil he seeks to destroy his memory in order to change and seeks to destroy his body. He seeks to check his evil impulses by inhibiting his own skill and strength.

He can act in a very evil fashion but his basic nature then makes it mandatory that he lessens himself in many ways.

The towering “strength” of a madman is a rarity and is compensated by efforts at self-destruction.

Man’s mortality, his “one life” fixation, all stem from his efforts to check himself, obliterate his memory in a fruitless effort to change his conduct and his self-destructive habits and impulses and losses of skills and abilities.

As this rationale proves out completely in processing and fits all cases observed, we have for the first time proof of his actual nature.

As only around 20% are insane, and as those who previously worked in the mental field were themselves mainly insane, Man as a whole has been assigned an evil repute. Governments, where such personalities exist, listen to the opinion of the insane and apply the characteristic of 20% to the entire hundred percent.

This gives an 80% wrong diagnosis. Which is why mental science itself was destructive when used by states.
TECHNIQUES

The only technique available at this writing which will benefit the insane is contained in all the overt-motivator sequences and Grade II technology.

At Flag at this writing new improvement on this exists but it is so powerful that slight errors in use can cause a psychotic break in the insane. It therefore will only be exported for use by specially trained persons and this programming will require quite a while.

MEANWHILE it helps the C/S to know and use these firm rules:

ALWAYS RUN DIANETIC TRIPLES.

Never run Singles. The overt side (Flow 2) is vital. If you only run Flow 1 Motivators, the pc will not recover fully. Further running Flow 1 (Motivator only) any psychotic being processed will not recover but may even trigger into a psychotic break. If one never ran anything but motivators, psychotic manifestations would not erase.

DEPEND ON EXPANDED GRADE II TECHNOLOGY TO EASE OFF OR HANDLE THE INSANE.

Don’t keep asking what’s been done to him as he’ll trigger.

A new discovery on this is that when you run out the motivator the person gets a higher reality on his overts. If you ran out all his motivators he would have no reason for his overts. If these are not then run out he might cave himself in.

PATTERN OF BEHAVIOR

The APPARENT pattern of insane behavior is to come in (ask for processing, go on staff, etc) with the advertised intention of being helped or helping, then mess up either as a pc or on post, then state how bad it all is and leave. It looks obvious enough. He came, found it bad, left.

That is only the APPARENT behavior. APPARENT REASONS.

Based on numerous cases, this is the real cycle. Hearing of something good that might help these hateful awful rotten nasty people, the psycho comes in, wrecks this, upsets that, caves in this one, chops up that one and WHEN SOMEBODY SAYS “NO!” the psychotic either

(a) Caves himself in physically or
(b) Runs away.

The psychotic is motivated by intent to harm.

If he realizes he is harming things he shouldn’t, he caves himself in. If he is afraid he will be found out, he runs.

In the psychotic the impulse is quite conscious.

CONCLUSION

None of this is very nice. It is hard to confront. Even I find it so.

Freud thought all men had a hidden monster in them for he dealt mainly with the psychotic and their behavior was what he saw.

All men are not like this. The percentage that are is greater than I supposed but is a long way from all men.
Sometimes one only becomes aware of these when things are getting worked on and improved. They stay on as long as it can be made bad or there is hope it can be destroyed. Then when attention is given to improvement they blow.

Artists, writers often have these types hanging around them as there is someone or something there to be destroyed. When success or failure to destroy or possible detection appears on the scene they blow, often as destructively as possible.

Orgs are subjected to a lot of this. A psychotic sometimes succeeds in blowing off good staff. And then sooner or later realizes how evil he is acting and sickens or leaves.

The society is not geared to any of this at all. The insane walk around wrecking the place and decent people think it’s “human nature” or “inevitable” or a “bad childhood”.

As of this writing the insane can be handled. The proof of any pudding is the processing. And this is successful. It is also rather swift. But, as I say, it is so swift the special technique has to be done by the specially trained flubless auditor.

For a long while I’ve realized that we would have to be able to handle insane people as the psychiatrist is fading. I have had opportunity to work on the problem. And have it handled. Until it is fully released, the C/S will benefit greatly from knowing the above as these come on his lines far more often than he has suspected.

The insane can be helped. They are not hopeless.

I trust this data will be of use.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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Copyright © 1970
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[Referred to by HCO B 10 May 1972, Robotism, Volume VIII, page 127.]
C/S Series 23RA

INTERIORIZATION SUMMARY
(Revised and updated to include 1971 Int HCO Bs)

All changes are in this type style.

INTERIORIZATION CAN BE BADLY MISRUN.

The following HCO Bs cover Interiorization Rundowns.

HCO B 5 Mar 1971 “Exteriorization and High TA”
HCO B 11 Apr 1970 “Auditing Past Exterior”
HCO B 20 Aug 1970 “Exteriorization Rundown Musts”
HCO B 24 Sept 1971 “Urgent—Interiorization Rundown”
HCO B 29 Oct 1971 “Int Rundown Correction List Revised”
HCO B 16 Dec 1971 C/S Series 35R (Revised) “Interiorization Errors”
HCO B 17 Dec 1971R C/S Series 23RA (this HCO B)

The examination of Interiorization Rundowns done in the field discloses that some auditors engaged in running it have not been fully checked out on it. HCO PL 26 Aug 1965 gives the correct way to do a starrate checkout. Clay demos must also be correctly done. These are covered in HCO B 11 Oct 1967 and HCO B 30 Oct 1970. These HCO Bs on Int Rundown, Starrates and Clay Demos plus HCO PL 20 July 1970, Issue III, 2-WC as below, make the necessary pack for checking out an auditor before letting him near an Int Rundown. And all Interiorization materials as above MUST BE CHECKED OUT STARRATE AND IN CLAY before a C/S permits one of his auditors to run it on a pc.

QUADS CANCELLED

“The disadvantages of Quad Dianetics outweigh any advantages in actual practice.

“Flow Zero is therefore cancelled as part of Dianetics and Lower Grades. “(LRH HCO B 15 July 71, “Quads Cancelled”.)

UNNECESSARY

“The words ‘went in’ and ‘go in’ MUST be said to the pc and cleared on the meter. If there is needle action, one runs an Int RD as per the Int Rundown Pack.

“If there aren’t any reads one does NOT do an Int Rundown on the pc as it is unnecessary and classifies as ‘running an unreading item’.

“When this test is omitted you get an unnecessary Int RD being done on a pc.

“This will eventually have to be repaired.

“FLUBBED R3R

“When the auditor does not do flubless auditing errors occur in the auditing itself. These will hang up an Int RD.
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“OVERRUN

“It usually happens that an Int RD is overrun. It goes flat on Secondary F2, let us say. The auditor keeps on going past the win.

“This will hang up the Rundown.

“One of the ways an overrun occurs is the pc goes exterior during it. Yet the auditor keeps on.

“Another way is pc has a big Cog, big win. Auditor keeps going on with the RD.” —LRH (HCO B 24 Sept 71, ‘Urgent—Interiorization Rundown’)

REPAIR OF INT

“If even years after an Int RD the pc has a high TA or a low TA, then Int trouble is at once suspected and the original Int RD and any repair of it is suspected and must be handled. “—LRH (From the LRH original HCO B C/S Series 35R, Revised 16 Dec 71, ‘Interiorization Errors’) (Handle it by HCO B 29 Oct 71, ‘Int Rundown Correction List Revised’.)

TWO-WAY COMM

There is a two-way comm step that follows a day or so after an Interiorization Rundown.

An auditor doing this step, preferably the same auditor, MUST BE CHECKED OUT ON TWO-WAY COMM.

No C/S should permit any auditor to do any 2-way comm until the auditor has been checked out on HCO PL 20 July 1970, Issue III, “Two-Way Comm Checksheet”. One can obtain these tapes easily from Pubs (as the Sea Org has recently forced in this line and quality and delivery). Pending such tapes one can certainly get the rest of the materials on the checksheet done by the auditor and let him do 2-way comm while being very watchful as a C/S.

C/SING INT

The correcting of an Interiorization Rundown is far harder than making sure that auditors can do the usual in the first place.

Nearly all a C/S’s hard work comes from auditors not well trained on courses (indifferent courses) and failing to check auditors out well on the materials before permitting them to deliver a new rundown.

The correction of Int is hard since until it is complete, other auditing is inadvisable. One, however, gets the Int Rundown done.

“INT IS A REMEDY

“The Int RD is not understood as a REMEDY. It is not something you do on all pcs.

“Pc goes Exterior in auditing.

“Later his TA goes high.

“Then you do an Int RD.

“You test Int for read as above. If it BDs you do an Int RD.

“You just don’t do one because a pc goes exterior.

“One reason unnecessary Int RDs get done is that the Registrar sells one. That makes the Reg a C/S. So the C/S and auditor run it.
“Maybe it wasn’t needed.

“So if it wasn’t needed it will eventually have to be repaired.”—LRH (HCO B 2 Sept 71, “Urgent—Interiorization Rundown”) (Repair with an Int RD Correction List Revised, HCO B 29 Oct 1971.)

The Interiorization Rundown is a REMEDY designed to permit the pc to be further audited after he has gone exterior.

The Int Rundown is NOT meant to be sold or passed off as a method of exteriorizing a pc. This is very important.

It is general auditing on usual Dianetics and Scientology actions that brings about Exteriorization.

When the pc goes or is found to be exterior one then orders the Interiorization Rundown. Otherwise the TA will misbehave.

The rundown is a REMEDY USED AFTER EXTERIORIZATION HAS OCCURRED BY REASON OF GENERAL AUDITING.

Anxiety to get exterior will prompt a pc to buy and a Registrar to sell an Interiorization Rundown. It is in effect just more auditing as far as the Registrar is concerned. When a pc has gone exterior the Registrar can insist on his buying enough hours for the remedy.

The Int Rundown stabilizes the exteriorization and makes it possible to audit the pc further.

DISABILITY

If an auditor can’t smoothly audit a rundown as simple as an Int Rundown, then he is exposed as being unable to run Standard Dianetics and should be cleared of his misunderstandeds and overt and retrained.

The only real trouble one gets into on an Int Rundown stems from the inability of the auditor to run a smooth, good TRed R3R session. Pcs are not hard to run on it.

C/S WINS

A C/S cannot win at all if he is continually having to make up for flubby auditing by the auditor.

Therefore the C/S must be very sure his auditors are fully checked out on things they are to run before running them.

If there is no Qual Staff Training Officer or no Cramming, a C/S can fully afford to do the training and cramming himself. Otherwise he will lose far more than that time in C/Sing for auditors not checked out.

By the skill of his auditors you know the C/S. Not by his unusual solutions after flubs.

The Int Rundown is too easy to do to have any trouble—the trouble comes when the auditors are not checked out beforehand, starrate and in clay on new things the are to run.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:MH:ntm.rd
Copyright © 1970, 1971, 1974 by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

[Updated with recent LRH data by order of L. Ron Hubbard by Training & Services]

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 28 FEBRUARY 1971

C/S Series 24

IMPORTANT

METERING READING ITEMS

(NOTE: Observation I have recently done while handling a C/S line has resulted in a necessary clarification of the subject of “a reading item or question” which improves older definitions and saves some cases.)

It can occasionally happen that an auditor misses a read on an item or question and does not run it as it “has not read”. This can hang up a pc badly if the item was in fact a reading item or question. It does not get handled and exists in records as “No read” when in fact it DID read.

THEREFORE ALL DIANETIC AUDITORS WHOSE ITEMS OCCASIONALLY “DON’T READ” AND ALL SCIENTOLOGY AUDITORS WHO GET LIST QUESTIONS THAT DON’T READ MUST BE CHECKED OUT ON THIS HCO B IN QUAL OR BY THE C/S OR SUPERVISOR.

These errors come under the heading of Gross Auditing Errors as they affect metering.

1. An Item or Question is said to “Read” when the needle falls. Not when it stops or slows on a rise. A tick is always noted and in some cases becomes a wide read.

2. The read is taken when the pc first says it or when the question is cleared. THIS is the valid time of read. It is duly marked (plus any blow down). THIS reading defines what is a reading item or question. CALLING IT BACK TO SEE IF IT READ IS NOT A VALID TEST as the surface charge may be gone but the item or question will still run or list.

3. Regardless of any earlier statements or material on READING ITEMS, an item does not have to read when the auditor calls it to be a valid item for running engrams or listing. The test is did it read when the pc first said it on originating it or in Clearing it?

4. That an item or question is marked as having read is sufficient reason to run it or use it or list it. Pc Interest, in Dianetics, is also necessary to run it, but that it did not read AGAIN IS no reason to not use it.

5. When listing items the auditor must have an eye on the meter NOT necessarily the pc and must note on the list he is making the extent of read and any BD and how much. THIS is enough to make it a “reading item” or “reading question”.

6. In Clearing a listing Question the auditor watches the meter, NOT necessarily the pc and notes any read while clearing the question.

7. An additional calling of the item or question to see if it read is unnecessary and not a valid action if the item or question read on origination or Clearing.

8. That an item is marked as having read on an earlier Dianetic list is enough (also checking interest) to run it with no further read test.

9. To miss seeing a read on an origin or clearing is a Gross Auditing Error.
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10. Failing to mark on the list or worksheet the read and any BD seen during pc origination or clearing the question is a Gross Auditing Error.

EYESIGHT

Auditors who miss reads or have poor eyesight should be tested and should wear the proper glasses while auditing.

GLASSES

The rims of some glasses could obstruct seeing the meter while the auditor is looking at the worksheet or pc.

If this is the case the glasses should be changed to another type with broader vision.

WIDE VISION

A good auditor is expected to see his meter, pc and worksheet all at one time. No matter what he is doing he should always notice any meter movement if the meter needle moves.

If he cannot do this he should use an Azimuth Meter and not put paper over its glass but should do his worksheet looking through the glass at his pen and the paper—the original design purpose of the Azimuth Meter. Then even while writing he sees the meter needle move as it is in his line of vision.

CONFUSIONS

Any and all confusions as to what is a “reading item” or “reading question” should be fully cleaned up on any auditor as such omissions or confusions can be responsible for case hang-ups and needless repairs.

NO READ

Any comment that an item or question “did not read” should be at once suspected by a C/S and checked with this HCO B on the auditor.

Actually non-Reads, a non-reading item or question means one that did not read when originated or cleared and also did not read when called.

One can still call an item or question to get a read. That it now reads is fine. But if it has never read at all, the item will not run and such a list will produce no item on it.

It is not forbidden to call an item or question to test it for read. But it is a useless action if the item or question read on origination by the pc or clearing it with him.

IMPORTANT

The data in this HCO B, if not known, can cost case failures. Thus it must be checked out on auditors.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd
Copyright © 1971
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
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THE FANTASTIC NEW HGC LINE

(A marvelous new C/S Auditor line has just been piloted in for HGCs.)

In the new C/S line the Auditor, in his Admin time at the end of the day, or when he has no preclears, does Folder Error Summaries or Progress and Advance Programs for his pcs and does the C/S form for the Tech C/S as well as adds the day’s process and the length of the session and amount of Admin time on that folder to the inside front cover of the folder, with the process run and result.

If his programs and C/Ses are acceptable to the Tech C/S, the Auditor gets full Well Done Auditing Hour credit on his stat.

The Auditor logs his sessions for the day in the general HGC Auditor’s log and his Admin time is also logged.

This Admin time is subtracted from the bought hours of the pc where auditing is sold by the hour.

Where Auditors are so engaged and the new folder routing line is in use, this C/S form is used:

Full blank page.

Pc’s Name (Red) Date

Auditor’s Name (Red) Class of Auditor required next sess.

(Session Grade) left blank

Auditor’s comment (Red) or think about the case if he wishes.

The next C/S

1. _____________ Blue
2. _____________ Blue
3. _____________ Blue
4. _____________ Blue

Auditor Signature (Red)

The Auditor does not grade his own session. He leaves this blank.

The correctly Admined folder is then given to Tech Services which routes it (usually with the Auditor’s other folders for the day) to the C/S.

The C/S looks it over (it is HIS final responsibility for the case being run right).

The C/S looks to see if the Examiner form taken by the Examiner at session end F/Ned. If it did not he leaves the grade line blank as it is a No Grade session (see F/N
and well done hours) as the Auditor gets no hour credit for the session. If the C/S and other Admin is ok he writes OK with his initial in the session grade space. If none of it is okay he leaves it blank and does the C/S form or programs completely new. In this last case he enters a subtract figure in his log for the auditing time for the week against that Auditor’s name.

If the Exam form F/Ned, but the Admin is not okay and the session actions were not okay the C/S writes “Well Done by Exam” on his own new C/S in its proper place and ignores the form and subtracts the Admin time in his book to subtract the Admin from the Auditor’s week’s stat.

If the session was not okay with no F/N at Exams yet the Admin and next C/S are ok, the Auditor loses the session time in the C/S but gets the Admin time credited to his week’s stat. The C/S subtracts the session time in his book, not the Admin time.

Of course, as we hope is usually the case, if the Auditor did the C/S, did a correct session, got an F/N at Exam and did the Admin and next C/S is correct, then the C/S marks “Very well done” in the blank space for session grade with his initial. After inspection, this would be the sole action of the C/S regarding that folder.

By the C/S writing in the session grade (Very well done, well done, okay, flunk, to cramming) the Auditor is receiving acknowledgement for his work and is not just acking himself.

THE NEW LINE

The Ideal Folder-C/S line can shift the number of well done hours from a ceiling of 250-300 to 600-800 with one C/S. No matter how many Auditors an org has, older lines put a 250-300 top ceiling on the org’s well done hours.

When hours could go above 600 due to the available Auditors (20 or 30), a new parallel line has to be manned by a new C/S, new D of P and another Examiner and more Tech Services personnel.

Despite how hard the C/S and anyone else in tech works, a line not so run will ceiling at about 250 hours, no matter how many Auditors are hired.

A C/S using the old lines can C/S for about 5 working Auditors only with the line running any old way. And even so will work himself half to death.

In trying to get pcs handled, Auditors will be added. The C/S will not be able to handle his job. The line, being faulty, gets pegged at about 250 hours no matter how hard the C/S and Admin people work.

With the same C/S and Tech Services people, and a correct new line, 24 to 30 Auditors will be kept busy at their 5 hours a day (given auditing rooms) and the stat will be able to rise to 600 to 800.

NEW SEQUENCE

1. Auditor picks up his pc folders and his pc schedule list at Tech Services at the start of his day from the LEAVING rack.
2. Tech Services (having a duplicate list) begins sending pcs to him (using Tech Pages).
3. The Auditor gives the session.
4. The Auditor leaves the folder in the Auditing room at session end and takes the pc to the Examiner.
5. The Examiner simply does the Exam form on a meter with no folder. He sends the Exam form (hand route) to Tech Services.
6. The Auditor returns at once to his auditing room and a Tech Page has a pc there waiting for him.

7. Having done all his pcs for the day, the Auditor carries his folders to the Auditor Admin Room.

8. Tech Services has placed the Exam forms in the Auditor Admin Room and sees they get into the Auditor’s basket and the folder.

9. The Auditor does the complete Admin of the session.

10. The Auditor does any program needed for future sessions.

11. The Auditor C/Ses the folder for the next session.

12. The Auditor marks in a box (2 columns) on a sheet stapled to the inside front cover the process, the Exam result, the session time and the Admin time he has just put in.

13. The Auditor hands his completed folders in to Tech Services.

14. Tech Services gets the folders to the C/S using a Folder Page who comes on late and works the C/S’s hours.

15. Fed the folders rapidly by the Folder Page who is standing in the C/S area, the C/S does his C/S work. If the Folder Page is fast, removing folders and putting the new one in, chasing up data and other bits for the C/S, the time of C/Sing even when done very carefully will be found to average 3 to 5 minutes a folder even when some require full programming (but not FESing). This makes a ceiling of about 100 folders (sessions) a day for the C/S, an output of 30 Auditors. Needless to say the C/S and the Auditors have to know their business and Qual Cramming is used extensively both for new material and for flubs both in auditing and C/Sing by Auditors.

16. The Folder Page gets the folders over to the D of P office preserving the piles per Auditor as much as possible.

The C/S posts the data he wants Auditors to know or do on the AUDITORS’ BOARD of the Auditors’ Admin Room. He turns in his Cramming Orders into the D of P basket. This finishes his actions.

Where there is a senior Review C/S there is a hot spur line from the C/S to the senior C/S and back to the C/S. This is not necessarily an instant line. It can be a 12 hour lag line. In orgs where a C/O or Exec Dir or Product Officer or Org Officer is also a very skilled C/S this hot line would probably be in. New tech in use, fantastic completions and utter dog cases nobody can make anything out of go on this senior C/S hot spur line. There are very few of these, only two or three a day in a very busy org. The senior C/S “does” these and sends them back to the C/S. They are then sent on as usual to the D of P.

17. The Director of Processing comes on duty very early. The C/Sed folders will all be there. The D of P has assignment master sheets that are kept up by the D of P.

The D of P does the day’s schedules, a list for each Auditor. The lists preferably have a few too many pcs on them.

The D of P can tell what Class of Auditor is required for the next session because the Auditor has marked it in in the upper right-hand corner of the C/S for the next session.

When the D of P has the lists done the folders are placed in the “leaving” rack of Tech Services and Tech Services, now up and about, is given the lists and gets to work on the scheduling board, moving the names about to agree with the lists.

Tech Services does any room shifts or handlings at this time.

18. The D of P now goes to the Auditor Admin Room and begins to muster Auditors from her muster list as they come in and gets them over to Tech Services.
19. A Cramming personnel will be in there trying to get any cramnings scheduled.

20. Tech Services hands out folders (which are in neat piles for each Auditor) and schedules to the Auditors as they turn up and handles any arguments or shifts in sequence.

21. Tech Pages are on phones or running to round up pcs and get them going to sessions, which work continues all day.

22. The D of P interviews any hung-up or curious pcs or as requested by the C/S or gets new Auditors or keeps up Admin. This goes on until the C/S comes in when the DoFP is off.

23. The Auditor picking up his folders begins the cycle all over again at (1) above.

ABOVE 600

When the well done hours go above 600 a week, A WHOLE NEW HGC is put in duplicating the first, with its own C/S, D of P, T/S, auditing rooms and Auditor Admin Room. It would be HGC Section Two or HGC2 with the original being HGC1.

A special second Cramming would have to be provided in Qual for it.

At first they would share new hours and build up independently. More HGCs are added to the Department at each multiple 600 wd hours.

SENIORS

The two chief seniors in the area are the C/S (for tech) and the D of P (for Auditors and bodies).

It is the D of P who must see that Auditors exist and are on post.

It is Tech Services who sees pcs are rounded up and audited. The D of T/S is actually in charge of pcs and all folder files and all board keep-up work.

The D of P should have some tech training. The D of T/S need not have any. The C/S of course is the Tech Expert and should be an HSST.

If there are no Auditors it is the D of P’s neck.

If there are no C/Ses it is the C’S’s neck.

If there are no folders it is the D of T/S’s neck.

And if there are no auditing rooms it is the D of T/S’s neck.

If signed-up scheduled pcs don’t get to session it is the D of T/S’s neck.

If there are no NEW pcs it is the D of P’s neck who should begin to shoot Dissem Secs and Registrars and procure new pcs on a by-pass for the org.

From this a table of seniors and duties can be made.

CRAMMING

You will notice no pcs are sent to Review on this new line. Review actions are done in Tech as a patch-up in Tech. The Qual Sec is responsible for overall tech quality BUT DOES IT BY CRAMMING C/Ses or Auditors.

Thus Cramming is a busy street.

Cramming must be good, check-outs excellent.

If an Auditor doesn’t grasp a C/S he has received he gets help from Cramming.
Auditors new to the HGC are given a fast hard grooving in in Cramming or a Qual Interne Course. (New Auditors never audit until grooved in.)

Tech will be as good as the Cramming Officer can cram.

This line is grooved in by the HAS and kept in by Qual. Or if there is no Qual, it is kept in by the HAS who will find no Qual very embarrassing.

DUMMY RUN

The line should be dummy run by folders, “pcs” and Auditors until they understand it.

People are often totally unaware of lines and get very sloppy.

Thus this line has to be drilled hard on old and new tech personnel. All must know this exact line.

It is a good line.

Fully in, it raises the well done hours stat from 250 per week maximum at total overload to an easy 600 to 800.

Auditors must audit five hours a day, 25 minimum per week of well done hours for any bonus to be paid at all. In the SO they get no pay at all much less bonuses if short on their 25.

Tech Services and an unenergetic D of P or a bad Dissem Sec and Registrar set-up can cause a no pc situation. And often do unless pushed.

But counting FESes and Admin in on an Auditor’s wd time helps slack periods to even out. And one Auditor can FES and program folders for others or from files if he is left adrift and short-timed by the D of P or D of T/S or until the Tech Division forces the Dissem Div and Distribution Div to really get on the ball and wear their hats on pc flow.

PROCUREMENT

The D of P has always had new pc procurement responsibility when all else failed or even when it didn’t.

Old folders, for example, are a marvelous source of new auditing repairs and intensives. An FES done on an old folder and a letter to “come in and get audited before you fall apart” is excellent pc procurement, usually neglected by Registrars. Any procurement by a D of P is legitimate.

Auditors who have no pcs can write procurement letters and have for 20 years.

SUMMARY

This is a beautiful line. It has been piloted hard.

It will serve as well as it is checked out, drilled in and used.

This line is the key to affluence from pcs alone.

(But if the org isn’t training Auditors heavily, you’ll soon have no Auditors to be on it and the org will not gain its high income low cost cushion from training.)

This line is the answer to really getting auditing done in an area.
NEW USES FOR THE GREEN FORM

The Green Form comes into its own with a new method of use.

A lot of cases have been cracked lately using the GF in a new way.

Designed as the Qual tool in 1965 it came into disrepute by getting assessed item by item to an F/N. This made it F/N on a rud.

Thus the whole battery of tricks in the GF never get used on a pc.

There is another assessment Method. Method 5. It is “once through marking the length and BD of all reads”.

One can then C/S “Assess GF once through”.

Actually one usually says,

“GF + 40 Method 5”.

This means the auditor (usually on a case that is messy or just as a routine part of a Progress Program) just rat-a-tat-tat assesses the lot, marks the reads’ length and BDs.

The C/S action that follows—the “Handle” consists of putting a red half swirl around each that read and then doing the C/S for it.

List outness is always handled first. Then ruds like ARC Brks, W/Hs and PTPs. Then more or less by the longest reads.

It makes a long, long C/S in cases that are boggy.

One uses engram running on it whenever he gets a chance as in “drugs”.

Hidden standards are listed on a “Who/what would have______(the symptom)?” and “O/W on the item found”.

A lot of old processes get a chance on these GF reads. It isn’t all “2-way comm on______”.

Foreign Language cases who do not have English as a native tongue and people who don’t understand a lot have to have the GF items cleared. One takes the reads while clearing the Question, of course.

Designed as a Case Cracker, this new use of the Green Form restores it to a mighty weapon.

Since I redeveloped ways to assess and began to really use this Green Form, I’ve seen several very rough ridgy cases fall apart.

So it is a very cheerful re-discovery. And it is highly urged.
C/S Series 27

LONG C/Ses

A long C/S is far more desirable than a short C/S in all but the most sickly and feeble cases.

In doing a long C/S, the auditor can also end it off where an F/N goes $3i4$ to a dial wide and looks like it will persist. The pc has a win.

A long C/S also permits an auditor to adjust his own length of session.

If the C/S isn’t complete on that day, one simply adds (1) “Fly a rud” and (2) “Continue C/S of (yesterday).”

By having a whole Progress Program (repair) laid out on a red sheet and clipped with its green Advance Pgm (grade chart) inside the front cover, over the session summary, the guidance for the case is right there. This gets checked off as done.

The C/S could consist of half the program or even (in shorter programs) all of it.

Handling

One speeds a line by taking repeated handlings out of it.

Less sessions mean less handling.

Thus the session is more economical if long.

Getting the pc and folder rounded up 5 times when it means the same number of hours to do it 2 times saves wear and tear.

This is the benefit of very long C/Ses.

Dianetic C/Ses

Dianetic sessions often go 5 or even 8 hours.

One tries to do all the flows of an item in one session.

Length of Pgmns

When auditing the public, not staff, you long program.

In a Progress (repair) Program you try to throw the whole bag of tricks at them.

These are not only repairs, when you do a Progress Program. You throw in a lot of other bits like 2-way comms on BD items.
You now have a Hi-Lo TA List to assess and an Expanded Green Form.

**Advance Programs**

All Advance (gradesheet) Programs start *lower* than the pc was if the pc got in trouble *where* he was.

Often a grade is obviously out below where he is graded.

Let us say he is a bogged “Grade IV”. Well, he couldn’t be a Grade IV. So the Advance Program (green paper) that you do picks him up at Grade 0 or even Dianetics.

A bogged “OT I” the other day began to win when

(a) given a long long Progress Program, and

(b) shoved back to Grade III on the Advance Program and brought on up *all* the way including OT I before going on to OT II!

**Thorough C/Ses**

Thus you can have long C/Ses only when you have long programs already done and pinned to the inside of the front cover, a pink one for Progress (non grade) and a green one for Advance (back up the grades).

Don’t try to save auditing time. Save instead repeated handlings.

This does not go into “over-repair”. A Progress Pgm contains all sorts of bits like 2-wc on “What do you feel you owe your family” (as the pc is always getting off about his family in Ruds).

The advance of a case is the amount of charge you get off it.

Long C/Ses ease your Admin lines greatly.

They also give less chance of having ruds go out between sessions.

Short sessioning has its uses—small children, sick people, psychos.

But long sessions save time in the long run and get the job done.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
USE OF DIANETICS

Revised per HCO B 15 July 71, Issue I, “Quads Cancelled”
(Revisions in this type style)

Where a case has only been run on single flow Dianetics (Flow 1) one goes back to the first Dianetic item ever run of which record can be found and does F1, F2, F3 in that order.

To C/S a case for Triple Dianetics it is best to first lay out a Scientology repair, making sure the case is flying, then list out the items already run on Single and Triple. Then get them run so that all three flows are complete on each item in sequence from first to last.

This includes any LX items, former practice, drugs or any other engram running. These, like Dianetic items, are listed in their correct sequence of former running.

Then the missing flows are run.

A rehab step of the flows already run is not necessary. This rehab of a flow already run to EP is usually used only when there is question about its having gone to F/N Cog VGIs. In C/Sing for Triples one COMPLETES any flow of an item found that did not F/N. This is indicated on the Item list.

DOING THE LIST

The Item list is done by the auditor in his admin time for well done time credits.

All former Dianetic items ever run are listed and what flows have been run on them and to what end phenomena.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Flow(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Sept 69</td>
<td>Sadness (exact wording that was used)</td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sept 69</td>
<td>A Bored Feeling</td>
<td>F1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sept 69</td>
<td>An Apathetic Outlook</td>
<td>F1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nov 69</td>
<td>LX Agonized</td>
<td>F1 F2 F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Nov 69</td>
<td>Former Therapy</td>
<td>F1 F2 F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nov 69</td>
<td>Earlier Practices</td>
<td>F1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Nov 69</td>
<td>A Horrible Sadness</td>
<td>F1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 July 70</td>
<td>Int RD</td>
<td>F1 F2 F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 July 71</td>
<td>An Awful Pressure</td>
<td>F1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Such a list is then handled from the earliest forward by:

(a) Completing the bogged flow and

(b) Completing the missing flow.
This is handled in its proper sequence on the list if the TA is not high or very low.

If the TA on the pc is currently high, Int is handled before any other action is done and all three flows are run on it.

A drug chain also makes a high TA if in existence or unflat.

FLUBS

If any auditor has a poor record of getting Dianetic Results, of bogged flows, etc, he needs an HDC Retread. His drills and TRs are out or he is committing Gross Auditing Errors.

Dianetics gives remarkable results only when flawlessly done.

The commands must be precisely given and all commands 1-9 A-D are used. It is NEVER shorted “because the pc did it”.

C/Sing

It should be realized Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing. This remains the same in Triple Dianetics.

RESULTS

Triple Dianetics, including the rerun actions, produces some very startling new

Well done Dianetics always has produced fine results.

Triple Dianetics almost doubles the gain.

REMEDIES

Any and all Dianetic Remedies and general technology remain in full use. They are not changed at all. Only Triple Flows are added in each case.

Good Luck.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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USE OF QUADRUPLE DIANETICS

With the introduction of QUADRUPLE DIANETICS the problems of how to C/S it arise.

This rule is followed:

IN ALL BUT HCO B 24 July 69 DIANETIC ASSISTS WHERE IT CAN BE USED AT ONCE, THE FOURTH FLOW—O—MUST BE RUN ON ALL ITEMS FORWARD FROM THE FIRST DIANETIC ITEM EVER RUN ON THE CASE IF THE PC IS QUAD AND THE FLOW O READS.

Where a case has already had Flows 2 and 3 run on Singles, one goes back and runs Flow 0 on those items if it reads.

Where a case has only been run on Single Flow Dianetics (Flow 1) one goes back to the first Dianetic Item ever run of which record can be found and does F 2, F 3, F 0 in that order checking the command for read before running it, and then verifying the F 1.

To C/S a case for Quad Dianetics it is best to first lay out a Scientology repair, making sure the case is flying, then list out the items already run on Single and Triple. Then get them run so that all four flows are complete on each item in sequence from first to last.

This includes any LX items, former practice, drugs or any other engram running. These, like Dianetic items, are listed in their correct sequence of former running.

Then the missing flows are run if they read.

A rehab step of the flows already run is not necessary. This rehab of a flow already run to EP is usually used only when there is question about its having gone to F/N Cog VGIs.

In C/Sing for Quadruple one COMPLETES any flow of an item found that did not F/N. This is indicated on the item list.

DOING THE LIST

The item list is done by the Auditor in his admin time for well done time credits.

All former Dianetic items ever run are listed and what flows have been run on them and to what end phenomena.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Flow(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Sept 69</td>
<td>Sadness (exact wording that was used)</td>
<td>F 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sept 69</td>
<td>A Bored Feeling</td>
<td>F 1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sept 69</td>
<td>An Apathetic Outlook</td>
<td>F 1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nov 69</td>
<td>LX Agonized</td>
<td>F 1 F 2 F 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Nov 69</td>
<td>Former Therapy</td>
<td>F 1 F 2 F 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nov 69</td>
<td>Earlier Practices</td>
<td>F 1 Bogged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Such a list is then handled from the earliest forward by:
(a) Completing the bogged flow and
(b) Completing the missing flow, if it reads.

**INT-EXT RD**

This is handled in its proper sequence on the list if the TA is not high or very low.

If the TA on the pc is currently high, Int is handled before any other action is done and all four flows are run on it with the understanding that a pc run Triple on Int must have the Flow 0 checked for read before running it.

A drug chain also makes a high TA if in existence or unflat.

**AUDITOR CHECKOUT**

**BEFORE RUNNING ANY DIANETICS QUADRUPLE EVERY AUDITOR HDC, VI, VII, VIII AND C/Ses MUST BE CHECKED OUT THOROUGHLY ON THE QUAD DIANETICS CHECKLIST:**

- **BTB 6 May 69R** “Routine 3 R Revised” issue ll
- **HCO B 4 Jan 71** “Exteriorization and High TA”
- **HCO B 23 Jan 71** “Exteriorization”
- **BTB 1 Dec 70R** “Dianetics Triple Flow Action”
- **BTB 20 May 70** “TR 103, 104 Rundown”
- **HCO B 7 Mar 71** “Use of Quadruple Dianetics”
- **Reissued 13.1.75** C/S Series 28RA-1
- **HCO B 4 Apr 71** “Use of Quad Dianetics”
- **Reissued 13.1. 75** C/S Series 32RA-1
- **HCO B 5 Apr 71** “Triple and Quad ReRuns”
- **Reissued 13.1. 75** C/S Series 33RA-1
- **HCO B 21 Apr 71** “Quadruple Dianetics—Dangers Of”
- **Reissued 13.1. 75** C/S Series 36RB-1

Any other HCO B of subsequent issue on this subject.

**THERE IS A PACK ON THIS SUBJECT AVAILABLE FROM FLAG.**

**FLUBS**

If any Auditor has a poor record of getting Dianetics Results, of bogged flows, etc, he needs an HDC Retread. His drills and TRs are out or he is committing Gross Auditing Errors.

Dianetics gives remarkable results only when flawlessly done.

The commands must be precisely given and all commands 1-9 A-D are used. It is NEVER shorted “because the pc did it”.

**THUS ANY HDC TO AUDIT QUAD DIANETICS MUST:**

- **(A)** HAVE A RECORD OF GOOD FLUBLESS DIANETIC AUDITING or
- **(B)** MUST HAVE A RETREAD UNDER A COMPETENT SUPERVISOR and
- **(C)** MUST BE STARRATED (for true, not just checked) ON THE ABOVE CHECKSHEET OR THE FULL QUAD PACK.
C/Sing

Quad Dianetics, with the above, otherwise C/Ses the same as general DIANETICS.

It should be realized Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing. This remains the same in Quad Dianetics.

PROMOTION

Quad Dianetics should be promoted only when you have Dianetic Auditors, the Auditors checked out and okayed to audit as above and when you CAN DELIVER.

IVs or VIs should be available to do the Progress Pgms and steps.

UPPER LEVELS

When the IVs VIs VIIs VIIIIs or IXs are checked out as above, they should use Quad Dianetics to handle any and all Engram steps called for in general auditing.

That they are upper level Auditors does not make it less necessary to do the above.

RESULTS

Quad Dianetics, including the rerun actions, produces some very startling new gains.

Well done Dianetics always has produced fine results.

Quad Dianetics almost doubles the gain.

REMEDIES

Any and all Dianetic Remedies and general technology remain in full use. They are not changed at all. Only the zero flow is added in each case.

Good Luck.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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**C/S Series 29**

**CASE ACTIONS, OFF LINE**

A C/S can be plagued by off line case actions of which he is not informed.

The existence of these can wreck his carefully laid out programs and make a case appear incomprehensible.

Thus it is up to a C/S to suspect and find these where a case isn’t responding normally in auditing.

1. **LIFE KNOCKING RUDS OUT FASTER THAN THEY CAN BE AUDITED IN.**

   Schedule sessions closer together and give very long sessions so life hasn’t a chance to interfere. Can go as far as requiring person via the D of P to stay in a hotel away from the area of enturbation or not associate until case is audited up high enough.

   Shows up most drastically in Interiorization Intensives where no ruds can be run unless the RD is complete. Thus Int has to be done in one session, with the 2 wc Int-Ext the next day.

2. **PC PHYSICALLY ILL BEFORE NEXT SESSION AND AUDITING OF A MAJOR ACTION BEING DONE ON A SICK PC WHO SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER C/S ENTIRELY.**

   Happens when delayed or late new Exam reports don’t get into folder before C/Sing it. Ginger up Exam routing.

   Happens when auditors are not alert to the pc’s illness and audit anyway. Make auditors not audit and report at once sick pcs.

   Pcs hiding general illness may show up as no case gain. Answer is to get a full medical exam.

3. **SELF-AUDITING.**

   Detected by no lasting gain. Hi-Lo TA Assessment will show it up.

   Two way Comm on when they began to self audit (usually auditor scarcity or some introverting shock).

4. **COFFEE SHOP AUDITING.**

   Meterless fool around, often by students, stirring up cases.

   Forbid it in an area.

5. **TOUCH AND CONTACT ASSISTS INTERRUPTING A GENERAL COURSE OF AUDITING, OFTEN TO NO F/N.**

   Make all such assists be done on a worksheet and make it mandatory to take the pc to an examiner afterwards.
W/S and Exam Rpt then appear in folder.

The C/S can then get in the other actions (Ruds, S & D, HCO B 24 July 69) on the injured pc.

6. STUDY RUNDOWNS.

An illegal and offbeat line can occur when auditing out misunderstoods in study or “Management Word Rundown” or such occurs in the middle of a general auditing program.

Require that C/S okay is required.

Get such done at the START of courses and BEFORE a major auditing cycle is begun. Enforce this hard as the other answer that will be taken will be to do it at the end of the cycle and wreck major auditing program results.

7. ILLEGAL PATCH-UPS.

Sometimes all through an intensive there is another auditor unknown to the C/S who 2 wcs the pc or audits the pc who is complaining to him or her.

Shows up in the Hi-Lo TA Assessment.

Forbid it.

8. PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT THEIR CASES.

Past life reality is often badly hurt by people who talk about being Napoleon, Caesar and God. This makes “past lives” an unreal subject by bad comparison.

Restimulative material is sometimes used to “push someone’s buttons”.

Bullbait that uses actual processes or implants should be stamped out hard.

9. ADVANCED COURSE MATERIAL INSECURITY.

I have seen several cases wrecked by careless storage of Ad Course materials where lower levels could get at them.

One notable case was a suppressive who got hold of Ad Course materials and chanted them at his wife to drive her insane. She recovered eventually. He didn’t.

When a C/S gets a whiff of upper level materials on a lower level pc worksheet he should make an ethics matter of it and get it traced.

10. ILLEGAL DRUG USE.

A pc who suddenly relapses onto drugs or who has a long drug history can cause a case to look very very odd. The TA flies up. The case, running okay, suddenly ceases to run.

Addicts can come off it if given TRs 0 to 9 and an HAS Course (modern).

Drug chains are rehabbed and run out by Dianetics.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 30

C/Sing AUDITOR-C/Ses

When auditors do their own C/Sing, the Org C/S has the additional duty of making certain their C/Ses are correct as well as their sessions.

Therefore the Org C/S (which post is now even more vital) has the duty of

1. Seeing that all auditor flubs are handled in a cramming action on the flubbed action.
2. Seeing that all auditor-as-a-C/S errors are handled in a cramming action on the C/S Series.

Normally a C/S handles his post on the Fantastic New HGC Line, HCO B 5 Mar 71, C/S Series 25, on a fast flow basis. But he is looking for

(a) “Dog cases”—pcs not running well
(b) Auditor errors
(c) Auditor Program errors
(d) Auditor C/S errors.

Those that are F/Ning VGIs at Examiner he lets go through fast verifying the exam report and the next C/S.

The moment he sees a contrary exam report (F/N with natter or BIs, high TA or low TA with any statement or no statement) he has to decide

(a) Dog Case?
(b) Auditor Error?
(c) Program Error?
(d) Auditor C/S Error?

In any of the above the Org C/S takes over and handles what he finds. He must also require a cramming action on any (b) auditor error (c) program error or (d) auditor C/S error.

The Org C/S then does it right himself.

In any event it is the Org C/S who is fully responsible for all the cases.

That the Org C/S finds a program or C/S wrong does not then cause the auditor-as-a-C/S to cease to C/S. Quite the contrary. Even if every program or C/S he writes is wrong and has to be rewritten he still takes all the actions of the auditor-as-a-C/S.
DOG CASES

Category (a) is the case who just isn’t running well.

The wrong answer to a dog case is to go on auditing and wasting hours hopefully.

The RIGHT answer is to STUDY the case carefully. The Folder Error Summary, the Folder session summary, the sessions, all have to be studied.

The standard C/S action of going back to when the pc was running well and coming forward for the error is very much in use.

Such a case is the result of a FLUB always. Example: High TA case on Power run on and on with TA in the sky. A careful FES and study of folders revealed that 2 years before, Power had been completed! Every current action was a brutal overrun! Yet the same C/S and ten separate auditors failed to see it! Indicating it and 2wc on the earlier Power handled the O/R. Example: Case RD not running well at all, TA going high. A careful study of the folder session summary at length discovered that the pc had not F/Ned on 2 way comm Int-Ext. 2wc on this point discovered a total mess of command clearing on the Int RD. This opened the door. Pc thereafter ran beautifully. Example: Pc a total nattery mess every session. Careful study found a tiny remark on the white form about going to a psychiatrist. 2wc on it and the antagonism toward auditing and the withhold of having once gone crazy vanished. Case ran well.

Careful study is the clue. The Auditor as a C/S may not put in the time needed to really sort the case out.

A current FES of recent auditing can also be ordered. This often reveals a lot of oddball goofs which when handled make the case run well.

The Org C/S is supposed to be the old master on solving these dog cases by careful study.

Heavy laurels to the auditor-as-a-C/S who spots the knot that is tangling the case up.

AUDITOR ERRORS

The errors of auditors can be so various one only looks to see if the actions of the auditor are standard when the Org C/S has to intervene.

Then the outnesses show up.

Example: Pc’s TA shooting up at session end. Examine the previous C/S. Calls for L1B. Examine session. Auditor is found to be ITSAING ARC Breaks, no ARCU CDEI, no earlier similar.

Action ordered, pick up the BD ARC Brk and do ARCU CDEI and carry it E/S to F/N.

Action ordered. Auditor to Cramming to do Pattern of Bank, why earlier? and how to fly ruds.

Always find and handle auditor goofs by Cramming. You’ll never have an HGC unless you do.

PROGRAM ERRORS

When an auditor-as-a-C/S program is poor, the Org C/S redoes it, sends the Auditor to Cramming on the relevant parts of the C/S Series or tech materials.
C/S ERRORS

When an auditor-as-a-C/S is found to have written a bad C/S that got by but didn’t work or when the next C/S is wrong, the Org C/S sends the auditor-as-a-C/S to Cramming to do the relevant part of the C/S Series or the tech that applies.

CRAMMING

An org that has no sharp, hot Cramming Section in the Qual Div—well God help it.

That org’s tech will always be shaky if not outright criminal.

Students need a Cramming or they never really learn not to goof. Where there’s no insistence they do not learn.

HGC Auditors need a Cramming. They go stale. New HCO Bs aren’t understood unless energetically checked out. The C/S in the Tech Div is at total risk where he is not backed up by Cramming.

The new HCO B 5 Mar 71, C/S Series 25, the new line, demands a Cramming as no auditor is likely to learn to C/S.

You can’t risk fast flow with no Cramming to fall back on.

And an org’s tech will never improve unless it has a Cramming for HGC auditors and course students.

Qual has to have a library of HCO Bs and course packs and books to really stay on the ball. Then its Cramming is hot, on the point, specializing mainly in finding what the auditor has neglected or misunderstood and getting it done.

Cramming and use of it is the key to a fully satisfied field and an expanding org.

The big plus points of the new HGC line are huge increases in delivery volume, very cocky never-blow auditors who get wins, an enthusiastic field, and last but not least, newly trained and competent C/Ses who guard tech by knowing a correct C/S!

The new line increases speed.

At the same time it requires greater technical safeguards.

The new HGC line won’t work unless you have a competent Qual Cramming and an Org C/S who knows his business and detects and pitchforks all flubs in auditing and C/Sing into the fast hands of a hot no-nonsense Cramming Officer.

The new line of HCO B 5 Mar 71 is a great success.

It greatly increases delivery quality as well as volume if this HCO B is stressed in putting the new line into action.

L. RON HUBBARD
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PROGRAMMING AND MISPROGRAMMING

There are three important areas of technical application:

1. Auditing Cases.
2. Case Supervising Cases.
3. Programming Cases.

Auditing generally should be gotten into an org on the routine basis of:

1. Get Auditing Volume UP.
2. Get Auditing Quality UP.
3. Get C/Sing Volume UP.
4. Get C/Sing Quality UP.
5. Get Programming Volume UP.
6. Get Programming Quality UP.

To do it in any other sequence is to organize before producing or to inhibit production.

Auditing quality is raised by getting in Cramming and getting Cramming done.

C/S quality is raised by C/S study of cases and the Qual Sec Cramming the C/S.

Programming quality is raised by getting FESes done so that the action does not block production and Cramming or Programming and then studying the case to make the Programming more real and effective.

MISPROGRAMMING

1. Programming a case without data is risky. Dropping out the FES step, not getting White Forms done, etc, short-cutting on data in general can cost tremendous amounts of lost auditing.

2. Doing a vague general hopeful program of Repair (Progress) trusting something will come up is ineffective. With data on the person’s life even on a pc never before audited, one can hit the key points even if only with 2-way comm on them. Cases that have been audited and are boggy are so for a reason. Programming without finding that reason can be very ineffective and result in few wins.

3. Running a new major program into an incomplete major program can be as deadly as failing to flatten a process before starting another process only more so.

4. Failing to end off a program when its End Phenomena is achieved is another gross error.

5. Being too ignorant of the basic bank and the tech theory (as different than processes) is another barrier to programming.

6. Not Programming at all.

The above six are the principal gross errors in programming.
It is mandatory important urgent that one does not audit three flow items until one has brought all earlier Dianetic Items into three flows.

TRIPLE

On a case where only Flow One (Single) has been run, you don’t suddenly run a Triple (F1, F2, F3) such as on the LX Class VIII lists until one has run the earliest Dn item ever run (or that can be found) on Dn Triple and then on forward on Triple up to the LX.

REASON

Auditing additional flows while earlier items remain Single restimulates the missing flows and stacks them up as mass. They can make a pc uncomfortable until run.

All the missing flows (that were not run) are still potential mass.

This mass restimulates like something too late on the chain when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items.

Auditing itself is a sort of time track. The earliest session blows the later sessions.

FULL FLOW TABLE

Before running Triple Dianetics one makes a table of earlier items run. Like this:

**Full Flow Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Flow Previously Run</th>
<th>Must Run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/3/62</td>
<td>Guf Shoulder</td>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>F 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3/67</td>
<td>Gow in Foot</td>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>F 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/4/67</td>
<td>Chow in Chump</td>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>F 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/9/68</td>
<td>LX Anger</td>
<td>F 1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10/69</td>
<td>LX Peeved</td>
<td>F 1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/70</td>
<td>EXT RD</td>
<td>F 1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/70</td>
<td>Feeling of Goof</td>
<td>F 1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10/71</td>
<td>Dn Assist on Head</td>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>F 2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Flows**

F 1 is FLOW ONE, something happening to self.

F 2 is FLOW TWO, doing something to another.

F 3 is FLOW THREE, others doing things to others.

F 0 as run in the Introspection RD is FLOW ZERO, self doing something to self.
R3R COMMANDS

Standard R3R Commands are used on Triple Dianetics.

They are the subject of another HCO B.

The Zero Command *for the Introspection RD*, however, is very easy being “Locate an incident of (loss or emotion) (pain and unconsciousness) when you caused yourself to have a(an) (item)” with the other commands of R3R as usual.

NARRATIVE

The question will come up, do we Triple Narrative items or Multiple somatic items.

The test is, did the flows already run F/N when they were originally run. If they did, include them. If they didn’t run exclude them.

This does not mean you omit everything that didn’t run.

REPAIR

While auditing this FULL FLOW DIANETICS you will find various chains that did not F/N when originally run.

These are included and should be concluded to F/N. This means one has to find out if they by-passed the F/N, went too early, jumped the chain, etc. Usually an L3RD assessed on that faulty action will give the answer. It is easy to make these old flubbed chains F/N unless you work at it too hard. Usually the reason they didn’t is visible on the old worksheet. The auditor forgot to ask for Earlier Beginning or by-passed the F/N or jumped the chain or tried to run it twice forgetting he’d run it before. Corny errors.

RESULT

The result of doing a FULL FLOW DIANETIC ACTION on a case is quite spectacular. The shadowy remains of somatics blow, mass blows and the pc comes up shining.

OFFERING FFD

Offering the public Full Flow Dianetics must include the cost of C/S work since it is sometimes lengthy. It is best to sell the action at a flat price that’s more than adequate to cover the auditing as well as the hours of FESing and FF table making as the time can be quite long.

The auditing can be remarkably brief. The greatest amount of time is usually spent on the C/Sing and table making.

A C/S must liaise with the Dissem Sec and Treasury Sec on selling it or he’ll find the org is losing money doing the C/Sing and tables.

A nice big fat flat price, not by hours, is best.

OT WARNING

When doing Triple Dianetics on Clears and OTs (and a very few others) it may be found that many chains are now missing or are just copies of the original. Don’t be disturbed. Pc says they’re gone now they’re gone. Just F/N the fact and carry on with the next flow or item.
USE OF QUAD DIANETICS

With the introduction of Quadruple Dianetics it is mandatory important urgent that one does not audit four flow items until one has brought all earlier Dianetic items into four flows.

TRIPLE

This also applies to Triple Dianetics. On a case where only Flow One (Single) has been run, you don’t suddenly run a Triple (F1, F2, F3) such as on the LX Class VIII Lists until one has run the earliest Dn item ever run (or that can be found) on Dn Triple and then on forward on Triple up to the LX.

QUAD

However, one would now not bother to run only Triples forward. He would locate the earliest Single or Triple (if no Single Flow) item and run it Quadruple by now running the missing flows. In the case of a pc run Triple, Flow 0 is checked for read before running it.

INT RD

In doing an INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN one mustn’t suddenly introduce the 4th flow (F Zero).

If the case has only had Triples in Dianetics one mustn’t suddenly introduce a Flow Zero on INT. The case should be done on Triple Flow INT.

THEN all earlier Dn items in sequence run are:

(a) Listed from W/S or Folder Summaries.

(b) Brought up to current by running in all the missing flows of Quad.

(c) The INT RD fourth flow is audited in when one gets to it IF IT READS.

REASON

Auditing additional flows while earlier items remain Single or Triple restimulates the missing flows and stacks them up as mass. They can make a pc uncomfortable until run.

All the missing flows (that were not run) are still potential mass.
This mass restimulates like something too late on the chain when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items.

Auditing itself is a sort of time track. The earliest session blows the later sessions.

FULL FLOW TABLE

Before running Quad Dianetics one makes a table of earlier items run. Like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Flow Previously Run</th>
<th>Must Run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3.62</td>
<td>Guf Shoulder</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.67</td>
<td>Gow in Foot</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.4.67</td>
<td>Chow in Chump</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9.68</td>
<td>LX Anger</td>
<td>F1, 2, 3</td>
<td>F0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LX Peeved</td>
<td>F1, 2, 3</td>
<td>F0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10.69</td>
<td>Feeling Numb</td>
<td>F1, 2, 3</td>
<td>F0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 9.70</td>
<td>Int RD</td>
<td>F1, 2, 3</td>
<td>F0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.10.70</td>
<td>Feeling of Goof</td>
<td>F1, 2, 3</td>
<td>F0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10.71</td>
<td>Dn Assist on Head</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FLOWS

F1 is FLOW ONE, something happening to self.

F2 is FLOW TWO, doing something to another.

F3 is FLOW THREE, others doing things to others.

F0 is FLOW ZERO, self doing something to self.

R3R COMMANDS

Standard R3R Commands are used on Quad Dianetics.

They are the subject of another HCO B.

The Zero Command however is very easy being “Locate an incident of (loss or emotion) (pain and unconsciousness) when you caused yourself to have a(an) (item)” with the other commands of R3R as usual.

NARRATIVE

The question will come up, do we Triple or Quad Narrative items or Multiple somatic items.

The test is, did the flows already run F/N when they were originally run. If they did, include them. If they didn’t run exclude them.

This does not mean you omit everything that didn’t run.
REPAIR

While auditing this FULL FLOW DIANETICS you will find various chains that did not F/N when originally run.

These are included and should be concluded to F/N. This means one has to find out if they by-passed the F/N, went too early, jumped the chain, etc. Usually an L3RD assessed on that faulty action will give the answer. It is easy to make these old flubbed chains F/N unless you work at it too hard. Usually the reason they didn’t is visible on the old worksheet. The auditor forgot to ask for Earlier Beginning or by-passed the F/N or jumped the chain or tried to run it twice forgetting he’d run it before. Corny errors.

RESULT

The result of doing a FULL FLOW DIANETIC ACTION on a case is quite spectacular. The shadowy remains of somatics blow, mass blows and the pc comes up shining.

OFFERING FFD

Offering the public Full Flow Dianetics must include the cost of FESing, FF table making, and C/S work since it is sometimes lengthy. The auditing can be remarkably brief. The greatest amount of time is usually spent on the C/Sing and the table making.

FFD is offered to the public in intensives as per HCO B 31 May 1971R, C/S Series 39R, “Standard 12 1/2 Hour Intensive Programs”. Admin time spent on C/Sing, FESing and FF table making should be deducted from the Intensive Hours purchased by the pc. This must be made known to the public when purchasing the service.

When offering FFD it should be called Quadruple Dianetics—4 times more powerful than previous auditing.

A C/S must liaise with the Dissem Sec and Treasury Sec on selling it or he’ll find the org is losing money doing the C/Sing and tables.

OT WARNING

When doing Quadruple Dianetics on Clears and OTs (and a very few others) it may be found that many chains are now missing or are just copies of the original. Don’t be disturbed. Pc says they’re gone now they’re gone. Just F/N the fact and carry on with the next flow or item.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/Ses
Class VIII

C/S Series 33RA

TRIPLE RERUNS

(Revised per HCO B 15 July 71 Issue I
“Quads Cancelled”—Revisions in this type style.)

LAW: WHEN ONE OR MORE OF THE THREE FLOWS OF AN ITEM OR GRADE ARE LEFT UNRUN, WHEN USED IN LATER PROCESSES THE EARLIER UNRUN ONES RESTIMULATE AND MAKE MASS.

This tells you that high TAs, heavy pressures and even illness can come from by-passed flows.

BY-PASSED FLOWS

Example: Dianetic singles have been run on 7 items. Now the auditor begins to run new items Triple without running Triple on the already run items. The result will be 7 unrun Flow 2s and 7 unrun Flow 3s. These will restimulate and form mass and by-passed charge.

Example: Now let us say that Dianetics was all run Single and Grades were run Triple. This will restimulate the Dn chains F2 and F3.

ANY LATER GRADE RUN WITH MORE FLOWS THAN USED IN EARLIER ACTIONS CAN THROW THE EARLIER UNFLAT FLOWS INTO RESTIM, PILE UP MASS GIVING HIGH TA AND BPC GIVING ARC BREAKS.

REPAIR

The more the condition is repaired by L1C, L4BR, etc, etc the worse the Mass gets.

SOURCE OF HIGH TA

Thus High TAs have three principal sources:

(1) Overruns
(2) Auditing Past Exterior
(3) Earlier Unrun flows restimulated by those flows used in later actions.

There are other minor ones such as Drug Background, illness, etc as per Hi-Lo TA Assessment.

REHABS

One must NOT recklessly or continuously rehab a past major action. This causes overrun. The thetan is placed at the end of the incidents not yet in restimulation or run and the bank gets more solid.

MASSY THETANS

The whole trick of this universe is contained in thetans copying or picturing incidents and then getting stuck in the later portion of them.
“Incidents” is the keynote. A Thetan is incident hungry.

This is what traps him.

For some reason he has to be at the earliest end of incidents to erase them. The later he is in incidents and the later he is on the track the more solid he is.

This also applies to the “auditing time track”.

By omitting things like flows on the auditing time track, the thetan thus becomes massy.

The whole theory of the Exteriorization Remedy is based on having gone out (later) after he went in (earlier). So Exteriorizing can stick him. (People buy the Ext RD to Exteriorize but the remedy is only done to permit further auditing. They Ext of course when the bank is handled.)

When flows of items are by-passed and then later restimulated by auditing them, mass occurs.

GETTING IN ALL FLOWS

When doing additional flows on earlier items or processes one must also check or rehab those flows marked as run to F/N in worksheets.

This again will leave unflat flows and BPC unless it is done.

And if it is overdone it will raise the TA by overrun.

So if one had a case that had Single Dianetics and was later run on Triple for new items (but the Singles not done into Triple) one would have to RUN FIRST the missing unrun flow or flows and then check the first Single F1 for flatness, then check other previously run flows.

The rule is run the previously unrun one or ones first to get charge off, then verify or run the ones listed as run already.

Then one would do the same for the next item. Run the previously unrun flow or flows and then verify or run those listed as already run to be sure they F/N.

All items, in chronological sequence, and all processes, would have to be run Triple.

IT WOULD BE A WASTE OF TIME NOW TO RUN IN ONLY SINGLES.

So all C/Ses and Auditing actions are “Rehab or Run F1, F2, F3” when getting in all flows on things run to date.

HIGH TA

When you are sure an EXT RD has been done correctly and its 2wc went F/N and the TA later goes high, you check the EXT RD. That is the most usual reason. This simple action is amazingly subject to flubs.

If the TA goes high later you can do a Hi-Lo TA Assessment and handle.

If the TA is still high or low, you had better check the state of flows. Were more flows run on later actions than were run on earlier actions?

If so, your pc has felt massy, sometimes even ill.

The right action is to get in all flows from the beginning. Bring all his auditing up to Triple.

(If his folder is not available, he has kind of had it. I know of no way, at this writing, to recover lost Dn items but will have to work something out.)

NOT IN TROUBLE

If the pc is not in trouble, his best bet is to get on up the grades to Expanded OT III.
IN TROUBLE

If he is massy and is having trouble the best bet is to:

(1) Be totally sure of his Int RD

(2) Check O/Rs particularly of a major grade twice or by-passed F/Ns, locate and indicate them

(3) FES, list the items and grades and do a Full Flow action from the beginning of his auditing, raising them all to Triple.

RUNNING ZERO FLOWS
(As run in the Introspection RD)

The Zero Flow in Dianetics is a bit strange. It can be done by full R3R BUT it often depends on the decision the pc made and may F/N very suddenly. It is easily overrun and can be very fast.

A pc can be gotten into trouble on Zero Flows if the auditor is slow and is not alert to his meter and misses the F/N and gives R3R commands after the flow has blown.

REHAB OR RUN

The auditor getting in Triple Flows can also ARC Brk the pc by failing to verify if the previously run flows are flat. All the auditor wants is to see them F/N on the command. If they don’t he runs them.

Sometimes when he has “run them” again he finds they are being overun or run twice and has to rehab them by finding this out. The pc sometimes doesn’t know until he actually starts to run them. Then he finds they are already run. The clue to this is a climbing TA. If the TA goes up, get off that flow and rehab it.

Example: Pc at first thinks “Pain in shoulder” F2 was never run. Starts to run it. TA goes up. Auditor must pull him off of it by finding out if it is being run twice and rehab it to F/N.

The moral in all these reruns is don’t firefight, keep an L1C List and an L3RD List handy and use them.

RESULTS

The results of straightening up the Int-Ext RD, rehabbing O/Rs and putting in ALL FLOWS on a pc are fantastic.

Getting an All Flows Rundown done correctly gives one all the latent gain the pc has been begging for.

So send to Cramming all C/Ses and auditors who flub.

Program it right.

C/S it right.

Audit it right.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mes.ntm jh.rd
Copyright ©1971, 1974
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

[This HCO B is added to by HCO B 5 April 1971, Reissued 13 January 1975, C/S Series 33RA-1, Triple and Quad Reruns, page 380. ]
TRIPLE AND QUAD RERUNS

LAW: WHEN ONE OR MORE OF THE FOUR FLOWS OF AN ITEM OR GRADE ARE LEFT UNRUN, WHEN USED IN LATER PROCESSES THE EARLIER UNRUN ONES RESTIMULATE AND MAKE MASS.

This tells you that high TAs, heavy pressures and even illness can come from by-passed flows.

BY-PASSED FLOWS

Example: Dianetic Singles have been run on 7 items. Now the Auditor begins to run new items Triple without running Triple on the already run items. The result will be 7 unrun Flow 2s and 7 unrun Flow 3s. These will restimulate and form mass and by-passed charge.

Example: Now let us say all 7 previous items have been run Triple. And the Auditor now runs a new item Quadruple. This leaves 7 unrun Zero chains. These can restimulate and form mass and by-passed charge.

Example: Now let us say that Dianetics was all run Single and Grades were run Triple. This will restimulate the Dn chains F2 and F3.

Example: Let us say that Dianetics and Scientology Grades were all run Triple. An Interiorization Rundown is now run Quad. This will throw all Dianetic and Scientology unrun Flow Zeros into restimulation and give by-passed charge.

ANY LATER GRADE RUN WITH MORE FLOWS THAN USED IN EARLIER ACTIONS CAN THROW THE EARLIER UNFLAT FLOWS INTO RESTIM, PILE UP MASS GIVING HIGH TA AND BPC GIVING ARC BREAKS.

REPAIR

The more the condition is repaired by L1C, L4BR, etc, etc, the worse the Mass gets.

SOURCE OF HIGH TA

Thus High TAs have three principal sources:

1. Overruns
2. Auditing Past Exterior
3. Earlier Unrun Flows restimulated by those flows used in later actions.
There are other minor ones such as Drug Background, illness, etc, as per Hi-Lo TA Assessment.

REHABS

One must NOT recklessly or continuously rehab a past major action. This causes overrun. The thetan is placed at the end of the incidents not yet in restimulation or run and the bank gets more solid.

MASSY THETANS

The whole trick of this universe is contained in thetans copying or picturing incidents and then getting stuck in the later portion of them.

“Incidents” is the keynote. A thetan is incident hungry.

This is what traps him.

For some reason he has to be at the earliest end of incidents to erase them. The later he is in incidents and the later he is on the track the more solid he is.

This also applies to the “auditing time track”.

By omitting things like flows on the auditing time track, the thetan thus becomes massy.

The whole theory of the Interiorization Remedy is based on having gone out (later) after he went in (earlier). So Exteriorizing can stick him. (People buy the Int RD to Exteriorize but the remedy is only done to permit further auditing. They Ext of course when the bank is handled.)

When flows of items are by-passed and then later restimulated by auditing them, mass occurs.

GETTING IN ALL FLOWS

When doing additional flows on earlier items or processes one must also check or rehab those flows marked as run to F/N in worksheets.

This again will leave unflat flows and BPC unless it is done.

And if it is overdone it will raise the TA by overrun.

So if one had a case that had Single Dianetics and was later run on Triple for new items (but the Singles not done into Triple) one would have to RUN FIRST the missing unrun flow or flows if they read and then check the first Single Fl for flatness, then check other previously run flows.

The rule is run the previously unrun one or ones first if they read to get charge off, then verify or run the ones listed as run already.

Then one would do the same for the next item. Run the previously unrun flow or flows if they read and then verify or run those listed as already run to be sure they F/N.

All items, in chronological sequence, and all processes, would have to be run Quad.

IT WOULD BE A WASTE OF TIME NOW TO RUN IN ONLY TRIPLES.
Whether you have the Quad commands or not they are easy to figure out as you are only missing the Zero Flow, self to self.

So all C/Ses and auditing actions are “Rehab or Run Fl, F2, F3, F0 if they read” when getting in all flows on things run to date.

HIGH TA

When you are sure an Int RD has been done correctly and its 2wc went F/N and the TA later goes high, you check the Int RD. That is the most usual reason. This simple action is amazingly subject to flubs.

If the TA goes high later you can do a C/S Series 53 or a Hi-Lo TA Assessment and handle.

If the TA is still high or low, you had better check the state of flows. Were more flows run on later actions than were run on earlier actions?

If so, your pc has felt massy, sometimes even ill.

The right action is to get in all flows from the beginning. And do it Quad. Bring all his auditing up to Quad.

(If his folder is not available, he has kind of had it. I know of no way, at this writing, to recover lost Dn items but will have to work something out.)

NOT IN TROUBLE

If the pc is not in trouble, his best bet is to get on up the grades to Expanded OT III.

IN TROUBLE

If he is massy and is having trouble the best bet is to:

1. Be totally sure of his Int RD.

2. Check O/Rs particularly of a major grade twice or by-passed F/Ns, locate and indicate them.

3. FES, list the items and grades and do a Full Flow action from the beginning of his auditing, raising them all to Quadruple.

RUNNING ZERO FLOWS

The Zero Flow in Dianetics is a bit strange. It can be done by full R3R BUT it often depends on the decision the pc made and may F/N very suddenly. It is easily overrun and can be very fast.

A pc can be gotten into trouble on Zero Flows if the Auditor is slow and is not alert to his meter and misses the F/N and gives R3R commands after the flow has blown.

REHAB OR RUN
The Auditor getting in Zero Flows can also ARC Brk the pc by failing to verify if the previously run flows are flat. All the Auditor wants is to see them F/N on the command. If they don’t he runs them.

Sometimes when he has “run them” again he finds they are being overrun or run twice and has to rehab them by finding this out. The pc sometimes doesn’t know until he actually starts to run them. Then he finds they are already run. The clue to this is a climbing TA. If the TA goes up, get off that flow and rehab it.

Example: Pc at first thinks “Pain in shoulder” F2 was never run. Starts to run it. TA goes up. Auditor must pull him off of it by finding out if it is being run twice and rehab it to F/N.

The moral in all these reruns is don’t firefight, keep an L1C List and an L3RD List handy and use them.

RESULTS

The results of straightening up the Int-Ext RD, rehabbing O/Rs and putting in ALL FLOWS on a pc are fantastic.

Getting an All Flows Rundown done correctly gives one all the latent gain the pc has been begging for.

So send to Cramming all C/Ses and Auditors who flub.

Program it right.

C/S it right.

Audit it right.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
When cases do not bring an F/N VGI to the Examiner, it is the signal to study the whole case anew and find the bug or bugs that keep it from running and get them handled.

Recently I took over a whole series of these non F/N VGI at Examiner cases and very very carefully studied each one. IN EVERY EXAMINER NON F/N CASE I FOUND FLAGRANT OUT TECH IN (A) THE PROGRAMMING (B) THE C/SING AND (C) THE AUDITING. All three outnesses existed.

These cases were taken as all the Non F/N Exam reports on a line containing hundreds of folders and over 600 w.d. hours a week. So you can see that these errors had been missed by expert C/Ses and Auditors. The errors were missed because HOPE was being used instead of study.

There was a hope that just routine C/Ses and auditing would work it out eventually.

The fact of non F/N at Examiner was not given sufficient importance.

The fact is that many who F/Ned at the Examiner had small flaws in them yet still got by.

The Exam Non F/N indicates FLAGRANT OUT TECH in the Programming and the C/Sing and the auditing. That’s what it takes.

After a bug is found and corrected the case still may not F/N at the Examiner for a while. But after that while is passed the failure to give the Examiner an F/N means another bug and more study.

One case I found had had a major grade done twice two years apart. This was pointed out and rehabbed. But after 2 or 3 sessions the TA remained high. A restudy now found Recall Flow 2 of the Exteriorization Rundown had been run months ago to FIN and then continued for dozens of commands with the TA rising to 4.5. This was then repaired. The case then began to F/N at the Examiner. It now runs like an ordinary case.

There is always a bug, not necessarily current, often very old, in these Exam Non F/N Cases. There are sometimes two or three bugs.

The answer is NOT go on C/Sing and hope.

The answer IS, study and find the bug.

Cases run on triples after a long list of singles is a type of bug.

Cases exteriorizing and then getting no Ext RD is another bug.
Cases given false reads or already run w/hs, cases who don’t tell their cogs, cases who were on drugs but drugs were never run, cases that Rockslammed but no crime found, any of the GF 40 or GF reading items, cases with lists out, cases that are always sad or tired. . . well these types of cases are the usual bugged cases. But even they sometimes F/N if only to roller coaster.

The general rule of going back to where the case was running well and coming forward still holds. But an audit past Exteriorization can be before that and only eventually catch up.

General repair is harmful when a big bug exists.

Every case I examined had a big bug. Flagrant god-awful overruns, messed up Exteriorization Rundown, three major programs begun, each incomplete, engram after engram botched and run to high TA then walked off from. The errors were real! They had been sitting there for some time unnoticed. Session after session mounting up into piles of wasted auditing.

Sick pcs are another indicator. Pc F/Ns at Exam, then reports sick. Look behind it you find some wild program, C/S and auditing error.

So the answer is to STUDY THE CASE.

Get a total FES done if one has never been done. Get a current FES done or do it yourself.

Then examine the programs and the FESes and Folder Summaries and suddenly you’ll find it.

Fortunately there aren’t many things that can really foul a case up.

1. Overruns concealed within work sheets. Major grades twice.
2. Auditing past Exterior or flubbed Ext RDs.
3. Earlier unrune flows restimulated by later runs on those flows.
4. GF + 40 Items.
5. Never handled out lists.
6. Undetected drugs or drugs never handled by Dianetics.
7. False reads called (as in w/hs that “won’t blow”).
8. Hidden standards.
9. Long Duration ARC Brks.
10. Impractical or inapplicable programs.
11. Major actions started never completed.
12. Overrepair.

There can be combinations of these.

So there aren’t many. It’s really knowing what is right so well that the wrong shows up like skywriting.

Sometimes the errors are silly. A bogged Dianetic case had gotten tons of VI repair.
The C/S, an VIII, had never realized Dianetic C/Sing is its own brand of C/Sing. He didn’t shift gears to Dianetic C/Sing when C/Sing Dianetics sessions. The auditor way back had not known that when the pc originates “It’s erased” and the TA remains high, his correct action is one more A B C D. This C/S had then tried Class VI remedies instead of telling the auditor “Flatten or rehab the last chain”.

When the chains left unflat were rehabbed all was suddenly well.

Another case was interrupted for a year on a major action and when returned to auditing was begun on a long, long repair program. Inches of folder later the interrupted program was found and resumed and the case did great. All that “hopeful” repair was lost work. Ten minutes of case study would have saved twenty hours of useless repair.

The stable datum is CASES MODERATELY WELL PROGRAMMED, C/SED AND AUDITED RUN WELL.

So cases that don’t run well (unchanging Exam natter comment, Non F/N) have a BIG error in Programming, C/Sing and Auditing.

Look well and you will find it. And if that isn’t it, there was another to be found as well.

If you can’t find the folder or data in it you should take every imaginable measure to acquire more data. D of P Interviews, 2wc sessions, telexes to his last org and telegrams to his auditors. But get data from somewhere somehow.

Soon, when hours pick up and skill, all auditing will be sold by package not by hours. So learn economy of hours!

An auditor or C/S who really knows his theory and has a good grasp of practical application knows the right way. From that he can easily see how things are wrong.

An ounce of case study is worth ten pounds of wasted sessions.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
INTERIORIZATION ERRORS

(References: HCO B 11 Apr 71 RA “L3RD”
HCO B 27 Mar 71 “Dianetic Erasure”)

Almost all the errors in an Interiorization Rundown are Dianetic errors. Most are very ordinary, even corny.

**IT IS VITAL TO CORRECT AN INT RD ERROR AS A FIRST ACTION.**

There is one Int RD error that is not a purely Dianetic error and that is the error doing anything else at all before an Int RD is done properly or an Int RD error is fully corrected.

The Int RD error may be simply that “Went In” and “Go In” did not read on the meter yet Int was run. This classifies as “running an unreading item”.

Or the Int RD could have been overrun. It goes flat on Secondary F2, let us say. The Auditor keeps on going past the win. This will hang up the Rundown. One of the ways an overrun occurs is the pc goes exterior during it. Yet the Auditor keeps on. Another way is pc has a big cog, big win. Auditor keeps going on with the RD.

When a pc is exteriorized by auditing and is then audited further without being given an Interiorization Rundown, his TA will go high or low and he may be very upset. Heavy masses may come in and he may also get ill.

Int RD errors also may go back to earlier Dianetic errors. A number of unflat incidents invite the overrun of these if they also occur on a Dianetic chain.

To clean up a balled-up Int RD chain or incident one may have to find and clean up the Dianetic error it is sitting on during the clean-up of the Int RD error.

Int RD errors, goofs, etc, are handled by using an Int RD Correction List Revised, HCO B 29 Oct 71R.

Auditors who can’t run ordinary R3R with great success should not be let near an Interiorization RD as their lack of smoothness in handling Dianetics will wreck the Int RD.

**CLASS IV, HDC AUDITORS**

An excellent Class IV HDC Auditor can easily repair a messed-up Interiorization Rundown after a folder study and by use of an Int RD Correction List Revised, HCO B 29 Oct 71R.

A Class IV HDC Auditor with an excellent Dianetic Record of wins can be given an Int RD to do or to correct IF HE IS STARRATED ON THE INT PACK AND THE TWO-WAY COMM PACK.
REPAIR

Wherever you see a TA high and a pc in trouble your first suspicions should be:

1. Audited past Ext in Auditing without an Int RD being done.
2. Int RD botched by being unnecessary (“went in” didn’t read) or overrun or Auditor goofs in the session.
3. A previously messed-up Dianetic action has gotten fouled up with the Int RD.
4. The Int Command was improperly cleared (such as “means go in and out again” “means trapped” “meant leaving” etc).
5. Firefights and worries over the high or low TA have ensued after an Int ball-up has occurred.
6. Some major action like grades or items of Power have been run twice.
7. A C/S has hopefully kept on getting the pc audited without detecting the real reason as a flubbed Int RD.

PERCENTAGES

The percent of misrun Int RDs is high, many being unnecessary or overrun.

The liability of leaving them unrepaired is high.

Reasons for high TA are averaging out close to 100% as an unrun or a flubbed and unrepaired Int RD.

EXT IN SESSION

When a pc Exteriorizes in session it is the End Phenomena for that process or action. One gently ends off in any case. Then if after the fact of going exterior in auditing, a pc’s TA goes high, then you do the Int RD. You test Int for a read (test “went in” and “go in” per HCO B 24 Sept 71, “Interiorization Rundown”) and if it reads you do an Int RD.

You just don’t do one because a pc goes exterior.

Maybe it wasn’t needed. So if it wasn’t needed it will eventually have to be repaired.

If even years after an Int RD the pc has a high TA or a low TA then Int trouble is at once suspected and the original Int RD and any repair of it is suspect and must be handled.

The Int RD Correction List Revised, HCO B 29 Oct 71R, has been designed to straighten out Int RDs. L3RD handles the Dianetic errors. Where Int RD Correction Lists have been done and the pc still has headaches, the C/S handles with AESPs (listed separately) that would make him interiorize.

There is no real trick to either running a correct Int RD or repairing a flubbed one.

The whole clue is whether or not the Auditor can audit plain ordinary garden variety R3R.

So when ANY Auditor audits a pc past Exterior and the pc’s TA goes high he should be checked out fully on the Int RD Cheeksheet so he won’t continue to commit the error.
And when ANYONE is going to run an Int RD he must:

A. Be an expert Dianetic Auditor and Class IV.

B. Be Starrated on all the Int RD Pack.

And when any C/S is confronted with high TAs or low TAs and doesn’t handle at once by getting an Int RD properly run or properly repaired he must be rechecked on the Dianetics Pack and the Int RD Pack.

DN C/S 1

A very careful Dianetic C/S 1 must be done on a previously unindoctrinated pc before he is run on an Int RD.

Otherwise it’s all too new.

A C/S 1 isn’t auditing.

The pc who can’t do what the Auditor says or can’t correct an erroneous action is lost.

A fully safe pc would be one who when he goes Ext in Auditing is made to do an HDC at once before he even gets any ruds put in and not audited again until he is an HDC. He’d be a pc who was relatively safe.

A pc who does what an inexpert Auditor says without question can really get fouled up! Uneducated pcs require really flawless topnotch Auditors. The Auditor who can audit an uneducated pc is a jewel. He really has to know his business. Because the pc does whatever he says. And if he says wrongly, then there goes the session. Ever notice pc corrections in a worksheet? “I think you by-passed an F/N.” “This feels overrun.” “I had Grade I last year.” Such Auditors are not fully enough trained to handle wholly green pcs!

SIMPLICITY

Honest fellows, it’s as easy to run an Int RD as it is to run “an ear pain”.

It isn’t even mysterious or tough.

IT IS ONLY VERY IMPORTANT TO DETECT WHEN IT NEEDS TO BE DONE OR REPAIRED.

There are no mysteries.

Some Auditors have got me feeling like I’m trying to teach them to chew soft bread!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
TRs

TR Zero exists so an auditor is not ducking the session but can sit there relaxed, doing his job.

TR One must be done so the pc can hear and understand the auditor (without blowing the pc’s head off either).

TR Two must be done so that the pc gets acknowledged. This can be so corrupted that the auditor doesn’t ack at all but gives the pc meter reads! Instead of acks! Or keeps saying, “I didn’t understand you,” etc.

TR Three basically existed so that the auditor would continue to give the pc commands and not squirrel off or pack up with total silence.

TR Four exists so that the pc’s origins are accepted and not Qed and Aed with or invalidated.

And, surprise, surprise, TRs are for use in the session itself, not just a drill. They are how one runs a session.

Metering can miss every F/N or give “F/Ns” with high or low TA. And one never feeds meter data to the pc: “That read,” “That didn’t read,” “That blew down,” just must not exist in session patter. “Thank you. That F/Ned,” is as far as an auditor goes. And that’s the end of the cycle and says so.

Floating needles can be overlooked by an auditor. In Dianetics this fault is fatal.

Auditor’s Code must be in on all points and particularly Invalidation. Pc says, “That’s so and so.” An auditor who says, “I’m sorry. You are wrong,” or any other invalidation is going to wreck a pc’s case. A full knowledge of the Auditor’s Code and actually applying it saves endless troubles. It is an auditing TOOL, not just a nice idea.

REHABBING CHAINS

One rehabs a Dianetic Chain that, according to a previous worksheet, erased by saying, “According to session records (flow direction) (item) erased.” That’s all. One does not say, “Did the chain giving others a headache erase?” One does not run it again to find out. One does not run a single command “to see if it F/Ns again”. One can say, “Do you agree that the chain giving another a headache erased?” But the more you ask the more it leaks. One can ask the pc if they wish two more times. More is worse. One should not ask the pc if it F/Ned!”
look for an erased chain the more messed up things will get. It isn’t there. But the auditor by his action can imply it should be there or might be there. A totally wrong approach would be “Look around your bank and see if what isn’t there any more isn’t there.”

Dianetics is NOT Scientology. A Dianetic Chain is not a release. If you try to use Scientology rehab tech on a Dianetic Chain, you have had it. It isn’t a “release” (which is a key-out). A Dianetic Chain is an erasure. You can’t rehab erasures with “How many times?”, etc.

The test of this is the doing. If you try to use Scn rehab on Dianetic Chains, the PC MIGHT TRY TO FIND SOMETHING. This causes him to key in other unrun or similar items.

It is a dangerous action at best to try to handle old erased chains. The best you can do is to tell the pc what the old W/S said. If no W/S exists leave the already erased flows alone!

**FLUBBED CHAINS**

Many times, a Folder Error Summary will give a flubbed chain and then fail to note it was repaired in the next session!

A C/S and auditor would have been pretty irresponsible to just go on auditing past flubbed chains.

The only safe way to handle some previous flubbed chain is to:

(a) Verify in the folder if it was repaired.
(b) If still unrepaired assess the L3RD on it and handle according to the L3RD.

**L3RD**

Using the new L3RD (HCO B 11 Apr 71 RA) is a Dianetic action.

A Scientology auditor erroneously can try to use it as a two-way comm type of list. If a chain needed one more ABCD, then two-way comm on it with no ABCD is not going to complete it.

L3RD has its own directions. Questions not marked with directions are used to indicate the fact. This can amount to two-way comm as the pc chews it over. But L3RD where marked is handled by Dianetics actions. Look over the list and its directions for each question and you will see that some are given directions that are NOT 2wc.

Example: “Earlier beginning” reads. You can’t just say, “The incident had an earlier beginning,” and you can’t say, “Tell me about the earlier beginning.” The pc will go up the wall. There’ll be no F/N. You have to use R3R and get him to the earlier beginning and then run it and if it still doesn’t erase, get him to an Earlier Similar and erase that.

L3RD is a Dianetics List. It is not a Scientology List that is cleared each question to F/N by 2-way comm.

**OVERRUN**

Overruns are demonstrated by a rising TA.

If as you seek to get in Full Flow Dianetics the pc’s TA begins to average higher, overrun is occurring.

Example: While doing FFD pc’s TA has been riding at 2.2 and F/Ns. After a new FFD action it begins to ride at 2.5 and F/Ns. Something is being overrun. Find it and indicate it. And cease to stir the bank up so much! The fault is going over items already run.

In doing a Full Flow Table you often find that the same or similar have been run in the past. Sometimes you find that a previous attempt to run the item a second or third time has resulted in an ARC Break, the reason for which was never detected.
The right action is to note the session date it was first run and just tell the pc, “Feeling Surprised was run three times. On (first date it was erased) it was erased. When later run it was an overrun.” This tends to blow the later charge laid in by trying to run the same item again.

It sounds so strange that erased chains can be overrun. But it is true. What happens is that pcs try to cooperate and put something there.

**FIREFIGHTS**

The action of a quarrel between an auditor and a pc is called a firefight.

Restimulating earlier unrun engrams or overrunning chains upsets a pc. The best action, as soon as a pc is disturbed, is to do an *L3RD* fast and handle what reads the way it should be handled according to the *L3RD*.

The wrong way is to argue or try to go on.

The pc does NOT know what it is. He just feels awful. He tries to guess. He will ARC Brk or get sad if the auditor continues.

The correct action is an *L3RD*.

*L1C* is not of great use in a Dianetic ARC Brk. *L3RD* is.

If the pc remains ARC Broken, try *L3RD* again, particularly the *whole L3RD*.

A Scientology session would be handled with some other list (*L1C*, *L4BR*, etc). A Dianetic session, including and especially FFD, is handled with *L3RD*.

You NEVER prepcheck while doing Dianetics. This mushes up the engrams.

**INTERIORIZATION**

All these cautions apply as well to an Interiorization-Exteriorization Rundown when restim occurs one uses an *L3RD* quickly.

Int-Ext RD is essentially a Dianetic, not a Scientology, action.

**SAFE ACTIONS**

A fully genned-in auditor, well crammed, well drilled, well skilled, can be trusted with Dianetics, Dianetic *Triples* and an Int-Ext RD. Auditors not so handled can get pcs into serious trouble with these things.

A safe course is to use *Triples* on new, never audited before pcs. Those begun on Triples, use then only Triple flows.

Another safe way is to use FFD only on OT IIIs or OT IVs and done only by fully qualified FFD auditors who are also OT III.

The safest course is to require special drilling and cramming on auditors who are already known for their results by actual success story stats and call FFD and Int-Ext RD a skilled specialty.

**C/S RESPONSIBILITY**

Any trouble a C/S is running into comes from the factors of TRs, metering, Code and incomplete or false auditors’ reports.

If when I am C/Sing I ever find an auditor has omitted key session actions or has falsified a report, I order that auditor not to Cramming but a full retrain HDC right on up.

A C/S does not see these points. He can get the pc asked what the auditor is doing or did. He can get sessions monitored. This helps him fill this gap in his data.
It’s what isn’t in the auditor’s report that is often the trouble. Auditors omit what they said, omit the firefight, omit session alter-is in their worksheets.

All this sticks the C/S’s neck out for the axe of failure.

So particularly in FFD, Int-Ext and other such actions, a C/S has to act to obtain confidence in the auditor’s TRs, metering, Code use and accurate worksheets.

RISK

In FFD, Int-Ext RD and Power, experience has proven that if the auditor is not top grade, if the C/S is not alert, we put a pc at risk.

The USUAL is what keeps the pc safe.

A thorough study of his case, looking for obvious bugs (such as Int-Ext RD done twice, the case a druggie but drug engrams never run, Int done but its 2wc flubbed, to name a few serious ones), sending auditors to Cramming for the slightest flub, insisting on standard TRs USED IN SESSION, good metering, use of the Code, accurate and complete worksheets, use of standard tech, all guarantee the safety and progress of the pc.

INTRODUCING FFD

FFD (like the Int-Ext RD) requires flawless C/Sing and auditing or the case goes wrong.

When these actions were introduced they showed up any flaws in case studying, TRs, metering, Code and worksheets.

There are two ways to handle. (a) Cancel FFD and Int-Ext as actions. Obviously that is going backwards and is impossible. (b) Begin and continue a serious, effective campaign in the org to (1) Train auditors better, (2) Cram expertly on every flub, (3) Raise quality of TRs and metering.

As you can see, my approach is to improve quality of training, cramming and delivery.

Please help me out in getting this in.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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[This HCO B is added to by HCO B 21 April 1971-1R, Addition of 13 January 1975, Revised 22 February 1975, C/S Series 36RB-1R, Quadruple Dianetics-Dangers of, page 383.]
In observing Quad Dianetics in the hands of Scientology Auditors not specially briefed or who had additives and figure-figure on how to move a case already run on Singles and Triples into Full Flow,

**INVARIA BELY THEY OVERRAN.**

This makes getting Quad Dianetics in on a case dangerous unless the Auditor has the hang of it.

The flagrant (and I do mean flagrant) errors found consisted of (a) not being able to run precise Standard Dianetics in the first place; (b) re-running already erased chains “to find if they were flat”; (c) Out TRs to a wild extent; (d) refusing utterly to accept pc’s data; (e) faulty metering; (f) complete ignorance of the Auditor’s Code, notably committing the crime of Invalidating the pc; (g) running unreading Flows when catching a pc up to Quad.

**REQUIREMENTS**

Anyone essaying to run Quad Dianetics MUST BE CRAMMED on his R3R, the use of L3RD, all data on Quad Dianetics (as per references above and including HCO B 27 Mar 71, “Dianetic Erasure”), his basic TRs, his metering and the Auditor’s Code, and this HCO B.

**TRs**

TR Zero exists so an Auditor is not ducking the session but can sit there relaxed, doing his job.

TR One must be done so the pc can hear and understand the Auditor (without blowing the pc’s head off either).

TR Two must be done so that the pc gets acknowledged. This can be so corrupted that the Auditor doesn’t ack at all but gives the pc meter reads! Instead of acks! Or keeps saying, “I didn’t understand you,” etc.

TR Three basically existed so that the Auditor would continue to give the pc commands and not squirrel off or pack up with total silence.

TR Four exists so that the pc’s origins are accepted and not Qed and Aed with or invalidated.
And, surprise, surprise, TRs are for use in the session itself, not just a drill. They are how one runs a session.

Metering can miss every F/N or give “F/Ns” with high or low TA. And one never feeds meter data to the pc: “That read,” “That didn’t read,” “That blew down,” just must not exist in session patter. “Thank you. That F/Ned,” is as far as an Auditor goes. And that’s the end of the cycle and says so.

Floating needles can be overlooked by an Auditor. In Quad Dianetics this fault is fatal.

Auditor’s Code must be in on all points and particularly Invalidation. Pc says, “That’s so and so.” An Auditor who says, “I’m sorry. You are wrong,” or any other invalidation is going to wreck a pc’s case. A full knowledge of the Auditor’s Code and actually applying it saves endless troubles. It is an auditing TOOL, not just a nice idea.

REHABBING CHAINS

One rehabs a Dianetic Chain that, according to a previous worksheet, erased by saying, “According to session records (flow direction) (item) erased.” That’s all. One does not say, “Did the chain giving others a headache erase?” One does not run it again to find out. One does not run a single command “to see if it F/Ns again”. One can say, “Do you agree that the chain giving another a headache erased?” But the more you ask a pc to look for an erased chain the more messed up things will get. It isn’t there. But the Auditor by his action can imply it should be there or might be there. A totally wrong approach would be, “Look around your bank and see if what isn’t there anymore isn’t there.”

Dianetics is NOT Scientology. A Dianetic Chain is not a release. If you try to use Scientology rehab tech on a Dianetic Chain, you have had it. It isn’t a “release” (which is a key-out). A Dianetic Chain is an erasure. You can’t rehab erasures with “How many times?”, etc.

The test of this is the doing. If you try to use Scn rehab on Dianetic Chains, the PC MIGHT TRY TO FIND SOMETHING. This causes him to key in other unrun or similar items.

It is a dangerous action at best to try to handle old erased chains. The best you can do is to tell the pc what the old W/S said. If no W/S exists leave the already erased flows alone!

FLUBBED CHAINS

Many times, a Folder Error Summary will give a flubbed chain and then fail to note it was repaired in the next session!

A C/S and Auditor would have been pretty irresponsible to just go on auditing past flubbed chains.

The only safe way to handle some previous flubbed chain is to:

(a) Verify in the folder if it was repaired.

(b) If still unrepaired assess L3RD on it and handle according to the L3RD.

L3 RD

Using the new L3RD (HCO B 11 Apr 71 RA) is a Dianetic action.
A Scientology Auditor erroneously can try to use it as a 2-way comm type of list. If a chain needed one more ABCD, then 2-way comm on it with no ABCD is not going to complete it.

L3RD has its own directions. Questions not marked with directions are used to indicate the fact. This can amount to 2-way comm as the pc chews it over. But L3RD where marked is handled by Dianetics actions. Look over the list and its directions for each question and you will see that some are given directions that are NOT 2wc.

Example: “Earlier beginning” reads. You can’t just say, “The incident had an earlier beginning,” and you can’t say, “Tell me about the earlier beginning.” The pc will go up the wall. There’ll be no F/N. You have to use R3R and get him to the earlier beginning and then run it and if it still doesn’t erase, get him to an Earlier Similar and erase that.

L3RD is a Dianetics List. It is not a Scientology List that is cleared each question to F/N by 2-way comm.

OVERRUN

Overruns are demonstrated by a rising TA.

If as you seek to get in Full Flow Dianetics the pc’s TA begins to average higher, overrun is occurring.

Example: While doing FFD pc’s TA has been riding at 2.2 and F/Ns. After a new FFD action it begins to ride at 2.5 and F/Ns. Something is being overrun. Find it and indicate it. And cease to stir the bank up so much! The fault is going over items already run.

Already flat zero flows are not uncommon. The zero flattened on the original Triple. Thus getting in that zero flow again is an overrun.

In doing a Full Flow Table you often find that the same or similar have been run in the past. Sometimes you find that a previous attempt to run the item a second or third time has resulted in an ARC Break, the reason for which was never detected.

The right action is to note the session date it was first run and just tell the pc, “Feeling Surprised was run three times. On (first date it was erased) it was erased. When later run it was an overrun.” This tends to blow the later charge laid in by trying to run the same item again.

It sounds so strange that erased chains can be overrun. But it is true. What happens is that pcs try to cooperate and put something there.

FIREFIGHTS

The action of a quarrel between an Auditor and a pc is called a firefight.

Restimulating earlier unrun engrams or overrunning chains upsets a pc. The best action, as soon as a pc is disturbed, is to do an L3RD fast and handle what reads the way it should be handled according to the L3RD.

The wrong way is to argue or try to go on.

The pc does NOT know what it is. He just feels awful. He tries to guess. He will ARC Brk or get sad if the Auditor continues.

The correct action is an L3RD.

L1C is not of great use in a Dianetic ARC Brk. L3RD is.
If the pc remains ARC Broken, try L3RD again Method 5.

A Scientology session would be handled with some other list (L1C, L4B, etc). A Dianetic session, including and especially FFD, is handled with L3RD.

You NEVER prepcheck while doing Dianetics. This mushes up the engrams.

INTERIORIZATION

ALL these cautions apply as well to an Interiorization-Exteriorization Rundown, when restim occurs one uses an L3RD quickly.

Int-Ext RD is essentially a Dianetic, not a Scientology action.

SAFE ACTIONS

A fully genned in Auditor, well crammed, well drilled, well skilled, can be trusted with Dianetics, Dianetic Quads and an Int-Ext RD. Auditors not so handled can get pcs into serious trouble with these things.

A safe course is to use Quad only on new never audited before pcs. Those begun on Triples, use then only Triple flows.

Another safe way is to use FFD only on OT IIIa or OT IVs and done only by fully qualified FFD Auditors who are also OT III.

The safest course is to require special drilling and cramming on Auditors who are already known for their results by actual success story stats and call FFD and Int-Ext RD a skilled specialty.

C/S RESPONSIBILITY

Any trouble a C/S is running into comes from the factors of TRs, metering, Code and incomplete or false Auditor’s reports.

If when I am C/Sing I ever find an Auditor has omitted key session actions or has falsified a report, I order that Auditor not to Cramming but a full retrain HDC right on up.

A C/S does not see these points. He can get the pc asked what the Auditor is doing or did. He can get sessions monitored. This helps him fill this gap in his data.

It’s what isn’t in the Auditor’s report that is often the trouble. Auditors omit what they said, omit the firefight, omit session alter-is in their worksheets.

All this sticks the C/S’s neck out for the axe of failure.

So particularly in FFD, Int-Ext and other such actions, a C/S has to act to obtain confidence in the Auditor’s TRs, Metering, Code Use and accurate Worksheets.

RISK

In FFD, Int-Ext RD and Power, experience has proven that if the Auditor is not top grade, if the C/S is not alert, we put a pc at risk.

The USUAL is what keeps the pc safe.
A thorough study of his case, looking for obvious bugs (such as Int-Ext RD done twice, the case a druggie but Drug engrams never run, Int done but its 2wc flubbed, FFD grossly overrun, to name a few serious ones), sending Auditors to Cramming for the slightest flub, insisting on standard TRs USED IN SESSION, good metering, use of the Code, accurate and complete worksheets, use of standard tech, all guarantee the safety and progress of the pc.

INTRODUCING FFD

FFD (like the Int-Ext RD) requires flawless C/Sing and auditing or the case goes wrong.

When these actions were introduced they showed up any flaws in case studying, TRs, Metering, Code and Worksheets.

There are two ways to handle. (a) Cancel FFD and Int-Ext as actions. Obviously that is going backwards and is impossible. (b) Begin and continue a serious, effective campaign in the org to (1) Train Auditors better, (2) Cram expertly on every flub, (3) Raise quality of TRs and metering.

As you can see, my approach is to improve quality of training, cramming and delivery.

Please help me out in getting this in.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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HIGH AND LOW TA BREAKTHROUGH

High and Low TAs have been a longtime puzzle and stumbling block to Auditors.

The usual definition of OVERRUN is “gone on too long” or “happened too often”. This causes high TAs to occur.

In examining a few failures on using “overrun”, I have found that underlying this there is a more basic principle.

When a thetan believes something is “overrun” or “has gone on too long” or “was done too often” he is expressing only a symptom of another mechanism.

The truth is A THETAN CAN DO ANYTHING FOREVER.

To Audit “overruns” is auditing toward an untruth. Thus if carried on as a process it is really an out of ARC Process.

That which makes a thetan believe something can be overrun is the EFFORT TO STOP or THE EFFORT TO STOP HIM.

The effort to stop something, when generalized, becomes a “stop everything” and IS the entrance point of insanity. This has been known since 1967. But I did not earlier connect it with the OVERRUN phenomenon.

When a thetan has a long chain of efforts to stop or a chain of efforts to stop him (mixed up with protest, of course, and shame, blame and regret and other human emotion and reaction) he accumulates ridges. These make mass.

This mass makes the high TA.

In truth it is not possible to kill a thetan, so therefore any effort to stop a thetan would only have partial success. So the chain is also full of INCOMPLETEES.

An incomplete cycle of action causes ARC Breaks.

Thus an OVERRUN is full of MASS and ARC Breaks!

As you possibly recall from the material of about 1955 the one process you must not run on a pc is “Look out of here and find something you can go out of ARC with.” This sends him into a dwindling spiral.
The common denominators of a bank are OUT OF ARC and STOP!

Thus if too long a list of “What has been Overrun” is required to obtain the first BD F/N item the listing action may very well restimulate much more bank than can easily be handled on some pcs.

As these are also the pcs with very high TA, if one lists for overrun and runs much too long a list to get his first BD F/N item, the pc can be heavily restimulated.

Listing errors or upsets can make this, then, too uncomfortable a proceeding for a pc and should NOT now be done.

And if it doesn’t work on some pcs in the hands of some auditors, it must therefore be cancelled. Any recommendation on VIII Course to do it is cancelled.

The theory is correct as given on the VIII Course. There, a few items were intended. But now some very long lists have come up on some pcs which made the pc uncomfortable and were hard for the auditor to handle. Thus the BD F/N item overrun list must not be done.

CONTINUE is then the Reverse Action to overrun. Continue equals Survival.

The REVERSE to overrun therefore can be run as a process, to wit, “What would you be permitted to continue?” or “What could be Continued?”

This however would not be very successful. Thus the listing action is recommended as the process to use.

LISTS

SEVEN Lists can be done on Overrun itself by using the in-ARC Approach.

Assess  A.  Self to another
   B.  Another to self
   C.  Others to others
   D.  Others to self
   E.  Self to self
   F.  Another to others
   G.  Others to another

Ordinarily the biggest read or any read has located a flow that will run and will be most real to the pc. But this is not true in handling overruns. The most stopped or rising read is where he’s really hung. To get a TA down list the most stopped read or the rise of the read or the item that raised the TA when called. This is ONLY true of Overruns.

The list questions for the above are:

If A stopped:  “What could you continue to do to another?’’
If B stopped:  “What could another continue to do to you?’’
If C stopped:  “What could others continue to do to others?’’
If D stopped:  “What could others continue to do to you?’’
If E stopped: “What could you continue to do to yourself?”

If F stopped, list “What could another continue to do to others?”

If G stopped, list “What could others continue to do to another?”

The “Most stopped read” would be one that really froze the needle or caused it to rise or caused the TA to RISE such as 3.5 to 3.6.

The lists would be listed to a BD F/N item, Cog, VGIs. Actually the list could be listed forever. But the pc will get an item he likes and that F/Ns. He is then given his item. One does NOT null such lists unless one has really goofed.

ALL the lists A, B, C, D, E, F and G can be listed. To get a TA DOWN you list the flow that sends the TA UP. Then reassess for the next that sends the TA up, etc.

LOW TA

The same exact thing causes LOW TAs. The flow could be said to have overwhelmed the pc.

Exactly how you read the list for Low TA will be given in another HCOB after further tests are made. In theory it would go lower on assessment.

Please note that OUT TRs on the part of auditors is the most frequent cause of low TAs. TR 1 that drives the pc out through the back of his head can cause a low (below 2.0) TA on a lot of pcs.

END PHENOMENA

The End Phenomena, the “EP” of a TA HANDLING RUNDOWN would be all lists assessed or listed to F/N and the pc’s needle doing a persistent continual F/N for days. This means an F/N, wide, that nothing can kill.

DEPT 10

The Department of Special Cases should have auditors who can do this rundown by the book and with perfect results. It is really a Dept 10 technique.

FLOWS NOTE

There are about seven flow directions that can be used or listed, (1) Self to another, (2) Another to self, (3) Others to others, (4) Self to others, (5) Others to self, (6) Another to others, (7) Others to another.

“Flow” is an electronic flow in a direction. In Phoenix, Arizona, in 1952 an “Oscilloscope” (has a face like a radar, shows wave patterns and directions) was once hooked up to an E-Meter movement and showed that a mental flow will flow just so long in one direction. By reversing the repetitive commands when the left-right directional flow slowed, the flow turned around and flowed right-left then slowed, etc. So actual electrical flow occurs in response to the directional command (like “self to another”). Also it jams up when run too long on an average human because his mind has “overruns” in it already.

“Ridges” and masses come about from a conflict of flows opposing or being pulled back as in withholds.

High TAs are caused by two or more flows opposing thus making a mass or ridge.

Low TAs are caused by overwhelm by flows.
The thetan thinks of them as overruns and so quits on a subject or wishes he could.

This is why the TA behaves as it does on life and certain subjects.

There is no real reason why a flow can’t go on forever in one direction unless a thetan tries to stop it. Then it ridges and makes mass which then reads on a TA.

**AUDITOR QUALIFICATIONS**

An Auditor must be a master at Listing and Nulling in order to touch such Actions as these lists. To foul up on listing on an already fouled-up pc is quite out-tech!

An auditor’s TRs should have been passed the Hard Way.

His metering must be excellent and flawless.

His command and use of the Auditor’s Code must be complete.

He should himself have had case gain.

He must have a full checkout on this HCO B and be able to do it in clay.

And as I say, he must know the subject of Listing and Nulling so well, he can always list smoothly to a BD F/N item with never a quiver.

**INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN**

This HCO B does not change the Interiorization Rundown in theory or in practice.

It does however give this procedure.

1. On a high or low TA pc check for Exteriorization in auditing.

2. If pc has gone Ext in Auditing make sure he has not had an Ext-Int RD earlier before giving him another.

3. If an earlier Int RD exists repair, complete or rehab it. Often an Int RD is itself overrun. An L3B on it will show what is wrong with the earlier one. Some poor High TA pcs have had 2 or 3 Ext-Int RDs! All run past the EP.

   Some Ext-Int RDs went totally flat on the secondaries! Or on the recalls. All else was overrun.

4. If no earlier Ext-Int RD was done, then do one.

5. If the check of the Ext-Int RD situation shows it not to be the reason, or was the reason but the TA goes high or low days later, then DO THIS TA HANDLING RD.

   As pc high and low TAs have been blocking auditing for a lot of auditors this discovery and its remedy is Delightful news!
HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT RULES

In assessing and listing the Continue process it is VITAL to continue to assess the seven flows and list until the entire list widely F/Ns.

There can be more than seven lists taken from the seven flows.

One finds a rise or blow up item, does a list on it, then reassesses ALL seven flows, finds the next most rising item, lists then and assesses ALL seven flows and finds the next most stopped or rising item and lists that. One just keeps this up.

Eventually on assessing the seven flows you can only get a stopped needle. Then a slowed or killed F/N. One uses these for lists. Sometimes toward the last they blow on indication and cog.

The end of it all is the auditor assessing the seven flows without being able to disturb a wide wide persistent F/N.

THAT is the EP of the 37R process. There is no other EP. If not done to that EP the 37R process is incomplete.

CLEARING FLOWS

The idea of flows should be cleared with the pc before assessment is done.

One can do this by getting the pc to draw them.

Don’t confuse the pc with this clearing and make sure he is not confused before assessing the seven flows.

REPEATED ASSMT

One can take a sheet of paper lengthwise and write the seven flows along the left edge with lines to the right. By putting in dividing vertical lines one then has 10 or 12 assessments laid out ready to do.

LOW TA

Unless one does a THOROUGH JOB to the 37R End Phenomena on a low TA case the TA will continue to go low in future sessions.

A low TA takes more times through the assessments and listing than a high TA.

CRAMMING

Auditors who can’t do this well must be fully crammed on reading a needle and TA on stops, rises and blow ups.

The result, if properly done, is invariably good.
If after an apparent EP of a wide F/N on the last assessment, the pc then has a low TA at the Examiner or subsequently has a low TA, one must NOT start a new program as the existing one (37R) is incomplete.

The correct C/S for an apparent 37R EP which then went sour would be

1. L4B Method 3 and handle.
2. Ask if there is another flow not yet touched. Note its read as it is described and list it.
3. Reassess the existing and the additional flows for any slightest slow or choke and list it.

-------------

Should there still be trouble with low or high TA subsequently, it lies in the area of overts and withholds which blow loose on the Continue process. This is true because overts and withholds add up to stopping something which is discontinuance.

The next process (when all possible thoroughness has been taken with 37R yet trouble of high or low TA persists) has not yet been released.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
**C/S Series 37R**

**Addition 3**

37R is a very beefy process.

It has been combined into L9S, HCO B 17 June 71, and is best done as part of this full rundown.

37R works on anyone, regardless of TA or state of case. Neither it nor L9S are used only on bad off cases. They work on both the worst and the best.

In doing 37R the items are sometimes very heavy and it takes the pc a bit to accept them. Therefore when one gets a BD F/N item, one asks “Is _____ your item?” If he says yes, indicate it to him by saying “_____ is your item.” The meter should give a fall and the F/N will widen.

If the pc says it is NOT his item, ask the question again and continue to list. The pc will put the item back on the list usually for it was his item. But he has to list further to realize it. He can also fail to put it back on the list and if so and he is getting restless in listing, give him the BD F/N item again and he’ll buy it.

A very big item that alters the pc’s whole concept of things with big cogs and 2 wc is a good place to stop a session. 37R doesn’t all have to be done in one session. When you begin a new list before the last item is discharged the pc can get a bit overwhelmed. This is a “nice” point, not a vital one.

Also the big item will often cause the next assessment to be a bit hard as the pc’s attention remains tied up in it for a while.

If after 37R the pc’s TA later goes up or down again out of normal 2.0 to 3.0 range the action to do is an L4B in general on 37R. It usually picks up the cognitions and confirms rather than corrects. L4B reads on wrong item. Auditor says which one. Pc gives it. Quite usually it’s a right item pc hasn’t cogged on.

After the L4B, one can again run 37R. However, a better action is to

Fly all ruds Continue with L9S.

**RUDS**

When Ruds are out during 37R a pc can feel strange. Of course with a high or low TA you can’t get the ruds in.

So you can do a list of 37R and as this will F/N the meter, you can get in all ruds.

**FLOWS**

The pc may have NO idea of flows. So before assessing the first time one must clear “flows”. The pc must understand that these words self to another mean a flow from himself to any another, etc.

If while clearing the word “flow” and “flows” you watch your meter also you will get your first blow up of the TA.
ASSESS SLOWLY

By reading a flow and waiting a moment, you give the TA time to rise.

You can assess too rapidly and find that the TA has gone up, but which of the last items did it go up on? By proceeding a little more slowly you will be sure.

ADDITIONAL FLOW

There is another flow.

H. ANOTHER TO ANOTHER.

This should be added to your assessment sheet.

ASSESSMENT FORM

An assessment form can be printed. The flows A to H (adding the new one above) are put in on the left-hand edge of the paper held the long way. They can be repeated A-H and A-H. Lines and boxes lead out for repeated assessments.

This makes it easier for the auditor.

STEPS 37R

1. Clear the word “flow”.
2. Clear the idea of flow (watch meter) for each flow A to H so you have no misunderstoods.
3. Assess the listing sheet. Take the biggest Blow Up or speeded rise (if no big Blow Up).
4. Mark it on the assmt form and W/S.
5. Fit it into the Q on a separate listing sheet, What could _____continue to do to_____?
6. Ask the Question of the pc.
7. Get the pc to give you items.
8. Write the items down while watching the meter. Mark needle reads or BDs. Put down TA reads regularly on the list.
9. Get the first item that Blows down (or up) and F/Ns.
10. Ask pc if_____is his item.
11. If pc says Yes, say, “_____is your item.” Circle it on listing sheet and mark the F/N and “Ind” for Indicated to pc. If pc says No, continue to list. Pc will put item back on the list, at which time do 10 and 11 above. Pc will accept it. If he goes on and begins to protest, give him the first BD F/N item and do 11 and 12. He will accept it.
12. Mark item and TA and any 2 wc on the item or cogs on the W/S.

DON’TS

Don’t do this process without

(a) Checking out on C/S Series 37R, with Additions 1, 2 and this one, 3.
(b) Do I hrs confront and I hour reach and withdraw on your meter.

(c) Dummy running the 12 steps above with no pc but all the paper and tools until it is a faultless action.

(d) Don’t call pc’s attention to the meter with comments or stares or looks of horror or edginess or fumbles.

(e) Have smooth, perfect TRs.

(f) Follow the Auditor’s Code.

**USING L9S**

If used in conjunction with L9S then L9S should also be drilled on dating and locating and dummy run.

**SESSION FORM**

These processes and rundowns are done in a streamlined session form.

**SPECIAL 37R**

The various flows of Auditor to pc can be run and indeed an assessment of many subjects or dynamics can be assessed by rise and then flow patterned as in Auditor-pc below.

This Special 37R is mentioned here but will be laid out in full for other subjects in another issue.

Pcs who have protest on auditing can be done in this way.

The flows are

- Auditor to pc
- Pc to Auditor
- Auditors to pc
- Pc to Auditors
- Pc to Self
- Auditor to Self

Aside from list change—Auditor-pc is done like general 37R.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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TRS COURSE AND AUDITING
MIXING MAJOR ACTIONS

With the use of TRs The Hard Way on basic courses, auditors and students, a rule must be laid down:

A PERSON ON A TR COURSE OR IN PROGRESS ON A TR CYCLE MAY NOT ALSO BE AUDITED.

And a second rule:

HGC ADMIN AND THE D OF P MUST BE INFORMED OF ENROLLMENTS ON TR COURSES OR TRS IN CRAMMING AND MUST SO MARK A PC’S FOLDER WITH DATE.

And a third rule:

IN AN ADVANCED ORG THE ADV CSE ADMIN MUST ALSO BE INFORMED OF STUDENTS ENROLLING ON A TR COURSE.

And a fourth rule:

A SIGN MUST BE PLACED IN QUAL AND IN A TR CLASSROOM “WHILE WORKING ON TRS AND UNTIL THEY ARE PASSED, DO NOT ACCEPT AUDITING.” IN AN AO OR SH THIS READS “WHILE WORKING ON TRS AND UNTIL THEY ARE PASSED, DO NOT ACCEPT AUDITING OR DO SOLO.”

The reason for these rules lies in the major C/S rules:

DO NOT BEGIN NEW PROGRAMS TO END OLD.

DO NOT START A NEW ACTION BEFORE COMPLETING THE EXISTING ONE.

And the auditor rule:

OBTAIN AN F/N BEFORE STARTING THE NEXT C/S ACTION. IF UNABLE TO DO SO, NEVER BEGIN THE NEXT C/S ACTION BUT END SESSION AND RETURN THE FOLDER TO THE C/S.

The surest way in the world to bog a case is to:

1. Begin a new process without obtaining an F/N on the one just run.

2. Begin a major action without completing the old one.
3. Begin a major action without setting up a case with ruds and F/Ns.

4. Begin a new program without completing the old one.

5. Start several programs without finishing any.

6. Enter a new major action into a case already in progress on another incomplete major action.

I have seen a case on as many as five major actions with none complete. And when I see this the first thing I take up is the first unflat incomplete program and get it finished, then the next, then the next. The case comes out all smooth.

Example: Case is on but not complete on Dianetic auditing. Switched to grades. Incomplete on grades, gets a Prog Pgm. Incomplete on a Prog Pgm, shifted to Power.

The only apparent exception is a repair. A case can be repaired if bogged PROVIDING THE ORIGINAL ACTION IS REHABBED IF O/R OR COMPLETED TO EP.

A Progress Pgm may reach EP before the written up program is completed.

Thus a Process Completion is defined as the END PHENOMENA of the process. A Program is complete when the END PHENOMENA of the Program is attained.

TRs

Any course or program containing TRs 0-4, 6-9 or Admin TRs is a major program in itself. It produces case gain—if run right—and has an End Phenomenon.

Further, by actual experience when a person is on a real (not a patty-cake and weak) TR Course and is also being audited at the same time, the C/S and Auditor if they don’t know the person is also on TRs can be utterly baffled and worried as the case does not run right. “What did I do?” “What C/S was wrong?” “Look, his TA is high.” “Now it’s low.” “Last session he_____.” And the C/S and auditor engage in efforts to handle the odd case behavior. But the person, unknown to them, was also on a real TR Course and his case was changing!

INTERJECTED PGMS

You can also run into this same oddity with a mystic who does “bathe the body in light” every night or a wife whose husband audits her between HGC sessions or a self-auditor.

The principle is the same. The C/S and auditor are going down Wellbeing Street and hidden trucks keep dashing out of alleys and running into the pc.

LIFE

The reason auditing should be done in intensive packages, not 1 hour a week or a session a month lies in the fact that LIFE can run a new action in on a pc.

It’s a great way to waste auditing to let a pc have a session once a week. You can’t even keep his ruds in if he lives in any confusions.

So nothing is done for the case, all the auditing goes to handle the life interjections!

CROSS PROGRAMMING

A case runs on cycles of actions. This is true in the auditing comm cycle. It is true in a process cycle. It is true in a program cycle.
New things being crossed into old incomplete things make a sort of ARC Break situation like a cut comm cycle.

One could do everything with a process or a program OR A COURSE that you find on an L1C. It would not be very wise.

No case gain can be created by lack of a comm cycle in an auditor, lack of an action cycle in processes or messing up a program cycle.

If you don’t believe it, run an L1C on a pc with “Processes” and “Programs” and “Courses” as a prefix. You’d be amazed. Further the fellow who doesn’t reach the EP of a Course is likely never to use that material or be faulty with the subject.

Usual study courses like admin or tech give case gain. One can carry on with auditing parallel to them. But still expect a case to change a bit by study and baffle a C/S once in a while. But a real TR Course produces changes up and down and up that are not possible to also audit around. So they don’t mix.

**VISUAL IDEA**

To get a visual idea of this:

**Optimum:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR Course</td>
<td>I-----</td>
<td>I------</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm 1</td>
<td>I-----</td>
<td>I------</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgm 2</td>
<td>I-----</td>
<td>I------</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ghastly:**

Where’s the End?
Why, here, of course:

Got it?
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STANDARD 12 1/2 HOUR INTENSIVE PROGRAMS

The sale of 12 1/2 hour Intensives modifies earlier versions of Advance Programs (Grade Chart) since a C/S now needs everything he can get a pc audited on. It is not now a question of selling the public anything with a name. You just sell 12 1/2 hour Intensives. The C/S decides what to run and runs all he can as lengthily as he can. Refunds come from not enough auditing. Gains come from auditing in large blocks of hours such as 1 to 6 12 1/2 hr Intensives, always delivered at 12 1/2 hrs per week or weekend.

SAMPLE PROGRESS PROGRAM

Repair Program.
(Can include GF.)
Life Repair
C/S Series 53
(Int Repair or Int RD wherever indicated and if reading.)
GF 40X Revised Method 3
Dianetic C/S I
Engram Handling of, R3R Triple
GF 40X Revised.

ADVANCE PROGRAM

C/S 54 (omit running things already run in GF 40X)
Dianetics R3R Triple to Completion (Any Ruds or repair needed during Dianetic actions.)
ARC St Wire Triple
Grade Zero Expanded Triple (or Ex Single if you don’t have the Triple processes in)
Grade I Expanded Triple (or Ex Single if you don’t have the Triple processes in)
Grade II Expanded Triple (or Ex Single if you don’t have the Triple processes in)
Grade III Expanded Triple (or Ex Single if you don’t have the Triple processes in)
Grade IV Expanded Triple (or Ex Single if you don’t have the Triple processes in)
(Any repairs above at any place during above, using GF, etc.)
Power Set-up: Life Ruds and G Form
Power Triple
Va
R6EW
Clearing Course
OT I
OT II
OT III to attest
OT VII
OT III Expanded to attest
L-10 (when released)
OT IV
OT V
OT VI
Rehab OT VII
Any higher OT grade.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 40

LOW TAs

As per C/S Series 37R, further work was done on low TAs.

LOW TAs ALSO ASSESS ON RISE JUST LIKE HIGH TAs.

There is no difference of procedure except that a low TA can blow UP to 2.0 + and F/N.

Thus one can’t say using 37R on a low TA case, “List to a BD F/N item” as it may be a Blow UP F/N item.

The TA may be at 1.8 in listing and when the F/N item goes on the list, the TA will blow UP to 2.0 or 2.1 and F/N.

Further if the F/N promptly dies, and the TA falls, one lists further until one blows up, the F/N continues and the pc is pleased with it.

Assessment on a low TA is done on RISE for the item listed or a Blow UP, just as in the case of high TAs.

When you list a low TA’s falling flow (in assessing the seven flows) and use it for the Continue list the pc can get very unhappy and will get even more overwhelmed.

Thus low TA or high TA, list the 7 flows for rise or blow UP and list the one that rose most. This is true of the first and every other flows assessment.

Realize this blow UP rule only applies to 37R and the Continue list and is not used in any other listing.

37R works on low TAs like a bomb!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
C/S Series 41

C/S TIPS

LISTS

*Always C/S* to correct lists first when lists are out or suspected to be out.

Don’t do ARC Brks first in a case of out lists as an out list can make an ARC Break that can’t be handled by ARC Brk but only an L4B.

On a GF when lists show up or overlists you should handle that (first action in handling the GF) but also you must order an “L4B Method 5 and Handle.” Method 5 is the once through for assessment.

NO READ AUDITORS

When auditors can get no reads on things you get their

a) TRs checked to see if they can even be heard.

b) Their metering checked for meter position on auditing table, can they see meter, pc and write without shifting eyes? And can they see pc’s hands on the cans?

   And was the meter turned on and charged and can an auditor work the Tone Arm smoothly with his thumb?

c) Does the auditor discount reads gotten on clearing commands? (They are the reads.)

d) Can the auditor read out a list and see the meter reads as a coordinated action?

CRAMMING

Send auditors to cramming on all flubs, insist they GO to cramming, insist cramming calls them in and crams them and insist on a carbon copy of the fact that cramming has been done. *All* the hard work of C/Sing comes in when auditors are flubby.

It takes weeks to make an auditor after he has had a course and it’s only done by Cram-Cram—Cram.

R FACTORS

Never order an R Factor that takes pc into future or past as he then won’t be in session. Example: C/Se “R Factor we are setting you up for Dianetics.” Promptly the pc is up ahead not in *this* session.
MIXING STARTS

There are many ways to start a session. Don’t mix them.

It’s “2 wc what do you have your attention on?”

“Fly a rud if no F/N.”

“Fly all ruds.”

“2 wc the TA down.”

“Fly a rud or GF + 40 Method 5 and handle.”

It’s not a mixture of frantic efforts to get a TA down.

If the auditor can’t on what the C/S says THE AUDITOR ENDS OFF.

Interiorization is undone or out, there may be list errors, there may be overruns, but for sure it’s a case for FOLDER STUDY, not for an auditor C/Sing in the chair.

HIGH TA & ARC BRKS

Train your auditors NEVER TRY TO GET A TA DOWN FROM 3.5 OR ABOVE ON ARC BREAKS.

LOW TA QUITS

Some auditors see a TA sink below 2.0 and then won’t continue the 2 wc or process to get the TA back up.

“The TA sank so I quit” is a common auditor note.

Compare this: “The TA rose above 3.0 so I quit.”

See? Doesn’t make sense.

If a TA sinks below 2.0—and the auditor’s TRs are good—the same action will usually bring it up to 2.0 and F/N.

Come down hard on auditors who do this.

Get their TRs checked, make them continue.

EXAM F/Ns AFTER FLUBS

Pcs whose TAs are high in session or low in session and get F/N at the Exams put the finger on the auditor. They are protesting or being overwhelmed.

Always C/S “Examiner! Ask pc what auditor did in session.”

Then you know it’s the auditor or the case. The pc will say the auditor was okay. So it’s case. But usually when cases are puzzles there’s weird things going on with TRs.

Also the auditor may be noisy or laugh hard or is boisterous and being “interesting”.

C/S VIA

The C/S is handling cases on the via of an auditor.
If the auditor is perfect the C/S can handle the work out of the case. If the auditor is not perfect in TRs, metering, Code, reports and doing the C/S then the C/S is solving a factor unknown to him, not the pc’s case.

So, be a perfect C/S. Demand perfect auditing. Cases fly.

**HIGHER LEVELS**

A C/S who assesses a pc to higher levels to solve lower ones is really asking for a wreck.

It’s always the earlier actions that are out.

Trying to cheat a case up to Grade 2 when he won’t run on Grade I is like trying to run the whole grade chart to cure a cold.

A pc can always be solved in or below where he is.

“Oh, we’ll put him up a grade and cure his high TA” is like “He can’t pass kindergarten so we’ll enroll him in college.”

**C/S EXPERTISE**

A C/S has to know his auditing materials, HCO Bs and texts MUCH better than an auditor.

If a C/S is not being successful, get a retread on VI and VIII materials.

A C/S also must be confident HE could crack the case as an auditor.

When a C/S is shaky on his materials then the world of auditing looks very unstable.

The tech is very exact, very effective. If any errors existed in it they’ve been corrected.

So the variables are the knowledge of the C/S, his discipline and demands of auditors and the actions of the auditor.

If THESE are stable then the cases that come along are easy as can be.

The successful C/S knows his materials. If he wants to be even more successful he keeps his study up.

Then he is steady and calm for he is totally certain.

L. RON HUBBARD  
Founder
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C/S RULES

COMPLETE CYCLES

Don’t leave cycles incomplete on a case.

If a C/S starts a 37R and the auditor goofs, correct the auditor and then get the 37R completed. Don’t disperse and do something new.

If you have a program going and it’s goofed, repair the auditor and the goofed pc and continue the program you began on the case.

Repair (Progress) Programs are ended when the pc is flying nicely. When a repair hits that, don’t re-repair.

On Advance Programs, take each step to its EP. Don’t suddenly start something new.

A sure way to solve a case is go back and find the earliest incomplete program, complete it and so on up to PT.

Keep your “finger in the book” on a case. Don’t lose your place. That’s done by having the current pgm on the inside front cover, paper clipped on, and checked off with each step done. When it’s done, put a new pgm on top of it.

Insist that auditors keep up the inside front cover folder summary each session with their auditing time and admin time in the box. This FS is a 2 column set of boxes, date, what’s run, F/N or bogged and time.

By seeing Admin is in you can keep your place in the book or study back rapidly to find what’s been done.

DOUBLE ACTIONS

The deadliest faults on cases are running the same action or grade twice. This drives TAs up through the roof.

Example: Power done in ‘65. Done again in ‘69!

Example: Grade IV done in ‘69, done in ‘70.

You find the case isn’t doing well or find the error. In doubles, rehab by date of the first time it was done.

I’ve seen Interiorization done three times on one pc, Power twice and the same Dianetic Chains run over and over. And people wondered “Why is the TA high”!

So when you order a major action always check to see if it’s ever been done before! Save you grief. And if a major action won’t run, suspect it may have been done before.
SET-UPS

Always set up a case fully for the next major action.

Don’t overrepair. But be sure the case is not sick, has had good exam forms and does well.

Then C/S the next major action.

BLAMING THE PC

Never blame the pc. Many it is true are dog cases.

But even dog cases can be handled.

When you find auditors (or feel yourself) blaming the pc, get the overts and withholds run out.

Once I got the most splendid sessions out of an HGC. I had the auditor’s overts and withholds checked on each auditor before he went into session. It was just research, but my it worked! Those were the smoothest sessions! Pcs began to fly!

Too many times one blames the pc only to find later that the auditor’s TRs were ghastly and that a major action had been run twice. Such discoveries make a C/S out of a C/S.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Rules

Trouble for the PC

Never make trouble for the pc.

When a pc is running well let it roll. The C/S can spot a possible error but the pc is Wide F/N VGIs at the Exam, let it go.

Chew the auditor, send to Cramming. But don’t throw the well running pc into extensive repair—don’t break into a winning program harshly. It gives the pc a loss.

The pc who isn’t running well is the one you repair. Don’t keep a pc going on and on, running badly with no case study. Study the case folder, find the right why by going back to where the pc was running consistently well and then come forward for the error. It will be in the exact next session.

If the pc wasn’t ever audited before, you go into his life of course, with a GF + 40 Method 5 and handle and other Life repairs.

Overrepair

Any Repair or Progress action has reached its End Phenomena when the pc is running well again.

This is peculiar to the Repair or Progress program.

Wrong Example: Pc was on Grade III, fell on his head. C/S studied case, found out lists, wrote an extensive Repair Pgm and C/S. Half way through repair the pc again was flying. C/S continued the repair. Pc bogged. C/S C/Sed the pc to flying again. C/S continued the repair. Pc bogged.

Right Example: Pc falls on his head on Grade III. C/S writes a Repair Pgm and C/S. Auditor finds the out list, corrects it. Pc flies. C/S puts pc at once back on Grade III to complete.

Auditor Inval

An auditor can be invalidated by a C/S by having a lot of questionable tech points thrown at him.

The auditor’s data gets shaky.

If no decision was ever made—is not in HCO Bs and tapes—is not to hand and can’t be referred to by HCO B and tape, then a C/S should not be making the point.

Example: Auditor extends a list three more items beyond an F/N. C/S chops him. There is no such rule. The pc maybe wouldn’t accept the item until he listed a few more. Result is a firefight between C/S and auditor, simply because it isn’t a valid point.
HCO Bs and Tapes *are* the stable data that form the agreement between the auditor and the C/S. “If it isn’t written (or spoken on tape) it isn’t true.”

Don’t wander off known tech points in C/Sing.

Never shake an auditor’s data by advancing data not on HCO Bs and Tapes.

Always know your data, your HCO Bs and Tapes and refer the auditor to them in Cramming.

Cramming MUST have a library of all materials.

A hidden data line can build up in C/S-Auditor lines (or course lines or Cramming lines) that CAN UNSTABILIZE ALL TECH AND DENY FURTHER RESULTS.

The decay of tech in areas begins with hidden data lines that ARE NOT TRUE.

So use and refer to HCO Bs and Tapes and leave all other points alone. Your auditors will become confident and certain and Tech will improve.

It’s enough just to insist on the usual.

Then auditors and cases will fly.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S RULES

PROGRAMMING FROM PREPARED LISTS

There are many vital prepared lists.

King of these is the Green Form. The additional No. 40 items are the original Seven Resistive Cases. The best way to do a GF + 40 is Method 5 (once through), lengths of reads and BDs marked and C/S to then write a C/S for it.

Hi-Lo TA is also such a list, also done Method 5.

Any such prepared list can be done Method 5 and the C/S to then write a C/S.

But L4B (Lists correction), L3B (Dianetic errors) and L1C (ARC Brks and bypassed charge) are usually done Method 3 (auditor assesses to a read, gets the action done, and/or earlier similar to an F/N, not going on until his action has resulted in an F/N and then going on to complete handling and F/Ning each read he gets).

When the C/S has a list assessed Method 5 he expects usually to get it back with the reads and then write the C/S for it. Sometimes he asks for a GF + 40 and a Hi-Lo TA both to be done Method 5.

Now the question comes up, which reads does the C/S write up to be handled first? And second? And third? Etc. In other words how does he arrange the C/S the auditor is to do now? What sequence are the items handled in?

These rules apply:

Handle an Out Int RD first.

Handle anything connected with “Lists” (meaning Listing and Nulling Lists) first if Int isn't out. Like “Listed past right item,” reads. The C/S would get that handled FIRST. Always handle list errors first. And usually do an L4B additionally, Auditor to handle. A pc can get sick after a listing error and you can’t get auditing done when lists are out.

Doesn’t want auditing, why, is then handled if it read.

Next C/S to handle anything to do with rudiments. ARC Brks, PTPs and W/Hs take precedence in that order.

(Listing errors are first, before ARC Brks because an apparent ARC Break after a listing error can only be handled by getting the charge off the list.)

Anything that looks like a withhold comes next.

After that one just takes the lengths or BD of reads. Take the biggest reads before you take the smaller ones, once you have C/Sed for Lists, doesn’t want auditing and Ruds and evident other withholds.
The only confusion that one can get into is a very high TA. But List errors can cause high TAs. Next in frequency is withholds.

Never C/S to take a TA down with an ARC Brk rud or an L1C. Never.

You can C/S to “talk a TA down” only when there are no list errors or withholds reading on a GF.

Of course an Interiorization Rundown error is a primary target. But you don’t have that once it’s handled. You will get a soaring TA if Int is out. L3B is a potent tool to order for Int outnesses, the auditor handling as he goes, Method 3.

-------------

So the above gives you the rules by which you C/S from assessed prepared lists.

Basically—when Int is out, auditing will drive the TA up.

When lists are out nothing will handle but lists and L1C won’t nor will ruds.

When ruds are out nothing else will straighten up and you mustn’t order auditors to audit with out ruds.

Doesn’t want auditing can come from a bad L & N list. Or out Int. Or out ruds. Previous bad auditing can be cured by L1C on previous bad auditing. The craziest out auditing I ever ran into was an auditor using reads and F/Ns when there were none and failing to take up or flatten reads he did get. So there can be variations on bad auditing and there can be, to our shame, false auditing reports. The best C/S is to find what auditor and find out what the error was. Bad TRs on a poor TR Course where the pc was a student (False passes and invalidated wins) can also cause “doesn’t want auditing”.

“Protest” is a frequent reason for high TA and is a cousin to “doesn’t want auditing” and is handled by checking “Lists” for read and doing an L4B if it reads or finding the out ruds or other BPC as in L1 C.

-------------

As there are so many combinations of reading items from prepared lists, you have to C/S according to these general principles.

These rules serve as a steadying guide that you’ll find win for you.

LRH: sb.rd
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L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

[This HCO B is added to by BTB 31 October 1971, Reissued 2 July 1974, C/S Series 44R Addition, C/S Rules- The Sequence of Programs, which is in the C/S Series Volume, page 151. ]
Progress Programs (Repair) also follow the sequence laid out in HCO B 10 June 71, Issue I—C/S Series 44R.

The first action of a Progress Program would of course be to ensure that any reasons for False TA per HCO B 24 Oct 71, “False TA”, were handled.

The Progress (Repair) Program MUST then handle the following:

- Int Rundown (or Int repair if RD already done and Int still reads).
- Repair of past listing actions.
- Doesn’t want auditing and all out ruds.
- Full drug handling per C/S Series 48R.
- Full handling of Psychiatric and Psychoanalytic treatment, etc, handled R3R Narrative Triple. (Data from GF40XR and Pc Assessment Sheet.)

Incomplete or tangled Engram chains and other things may also need handling to fully repair the Pc. The various prepared lists are used to get all the data on what needs handling on a Progress Program.

Any other reason for resistiveness as a case.

These things above are the things that prevent or slow case gain. Just handling them correctly and fully gives the case terrific wins. Failure to handle them sets the Pc, the C/S, and the Auditor up for losses.

Once the Pc’s case is fully repaired with the Progress Program he is then set up for excellent gains and will be very auditable. He is now put onto the Advance Program which completes any incomplete Grade cycles and fills in any missing ones as it takes him up the Grade Chart. (See C/S Series 39R, 31 May 71 Revised 21 Oct 71.)

Processes should not be extracted from the Expanded Grades and other standard programs, for use in Progress Programs (Repair) or “Special Programs” but should be taken from other sources, so as not to break up the standard program for later use on the case.

Written from notes on an LRH Lecture to Senior Tech Personnel 30 Oct 71. Training & Services Aide Reissued as BTB by Flag Mission 1234 I/C: CPO Andrea Lewis 2nd: Molly Harlow Authorized by AW
C/S Series 45

C/S Rules

A C/S never C/Ses exclusively for result. He C/Ses for exact tech application. If what occurs he’ll also get his result. If a goof still wins, the C/S stomps on the goof.

This prevents auditors getting hung on an accidental win. The wins a C/S wants are exact tech application.

If a C/S can finally get auditors exactly auditing the exact processes with exact TRs, metering and Code everybody then wins all the time.

So the pc got an F/N at the Exam after the auditor failed to do the final run through, leaving the TA high at session end. That’s a goof. To hell with the F/N at Exams or how PR the pc was. That is a goof. The C/S stomps on it.

Never give a “very well done” on wins only. Give them on tech exactness. Got an Exam F/N not quite by the book. That’s only “well done”. Got an Exam F/N and did it by the book is the “Very well done”.

We know the tech works. That’s no surprise. Perfect application by the Auditor is what the “Well Dones” and “Very Well Dones” are for.

The moment a C/S loses sight of this point he has started his team on a downgrade that will wind up with everyone losing, org, auditors and pcs.

That’s the secret of how I as a C/S make star auditors. If it’s by the book, hurrah. If it isn’t by the book then a pc dial-wide F/N VGI rave at Exams gets, from me, a flunk! on the auditor. With a good plain why.

The Very Well done means “You applied the tech splendidly”. It does not mean “You helped the pc”. We know the standard tech will do that.

So watch this point. It’s an awful big one. It will make your auditors into stars or bums.

Auditor runs a narrative chain. Gets away with it. Pc F/N VGIs at Exam. My C/S includes “Auditor to cramming on HCOBs covering types of items.”

Now please recognize that auditors for whom I C/S do make it and go on making it. Well, in addition to knowing the subject, this is the one thing I do that is not always done by C/Ses.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
It is the C/S’s responsibility that a pc or Pre OT is sent to Declare?

This is not an Admin point I’m making. It is a technical point.

Every so often a pc is found hung up in not having declared and attested the state attained.

A Declare Completes his cycle of action and is a vital part of the action.

One never forces or feeds one to the pc. I recall one org where the entire tech and income structure crashed, the C/O and several personnel had to be removed because they were forcing “clear cogs” on their Dianetic pcs who hadn’t had them (and then telling them they couldn’t be audited further on Scientology) (Connie Broadbent, ASHO, March ‘70).

So this goes 2 ways.

THE PC OR PRE OT WHO KNOWS HE MADE IT MUST BE SENT TO EXAMS AND C & A TO ATTEST.

THE PC OR PRE OT WHO HASN’T MADE IT MUST NEVER BE SENT TO EXAMS TO DECLARE AND ATTEST.

This gives us a third:

PCs AND PRE OTs WHO HAVEN’T MADE IT MUST BE HANDLED UNTIL THEY HAVE MADE THAT SPECIFIC DECLARE, EVEN THOUGH IT MEANS SIGNING UP FOR MORE AUDITING.

TRUTH is the keynote, the essence, the point here.

All the “PR” (slang for promotional talk) in the world will not supplant truth.

The pc KNOWS he made something. Therefore he must be sent to declare it whether it’s a standard grade or not!

The pc who hasn’t made it KNOWS he hasn’t and so when forced to declare or ordered to attest tends to cave in.

His concept of the validity of the org and honesty of Scientology depends on this, and really on this alone.

The correct declare or not declare decision of the C/S is a vital C/S action.
THE SUPREME TEST OF A C/S

(Reference HCO B August 19, 1967, *The Supreme Test* which must be read with this HCO B)

A C/S or auditor who knows his tech is able to hold the line on any given action in auditing or C/Sing and not mix up.

One C/Ses Dianetics purely. Not Dn, Cl VI, Class VIII, Dn, Class VI.

One C/Ses or audits a Rundown as itself, not as a botch of several actions run into it.

So this brings to view that some can run the process or program for A to B.

And some, worse luck,

(a) Go from A to G to Q to A and wonder why they don’t arrive at the B of result.

(b) Some go from A to B all right but when at B go right on past it.

Both, actually, are a type of non-confront. The A.G.Q.A can’t confront and disperses off arriving at B. The A beyond B hasn’t confronted B and so doesn’t recognize B.

The ability to confront the pc and the session and parts of the session permits one to accurately go from A to B.

Proving this, perception reduces in ratio to overts. Accept that fact as it’s true. If you run O/W on an auditor regarding the pc he is to audit, the auditor will give a perfect session to that pc. Why? He can confront because he can see.

Programming is simply an A to B action. The road is all laid out.

Auditing a process is a simple A to B Action.

What if you had an auditor who half way through Level Zero with no completion found a picture, did Dianetics on it, didn’t flatten the R3R because pc cogged it was like his mother and the auditor did O/W on mother in the middle of the engram!

The pc would be a mess! B was run away from.

Same way with programming that isn’t handled.

What if you had an auditor who got an F/N Cog VGIs and continued the same process to TA 5.6? He got to B and kept right on going.
You should look into some folders where the C/S or auditor dispersed off B or where B was reached with no halt.

The most recent examples I’ve seen have been taking processes out of one Rundown and using them in another Rundown all in an effort to achieve a maximum effect when the error that was present came from failure to complete 2 earlier programs.

The correct action would have been to complete the earliest program left incomplete and then complete the next incomplete program, not scramble parts of two new programs.

A to B is a cycle of action. A clean one.

It is best to keep it so.

The Supreme Test of an Auditor or a C/S is to make Auditing go right—by the book.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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URGENT

C/S Series 48R

DRUG HANDLING

See:  
HCO B 28 Aug 68,  Issue II, “Drugs”
HCO B 29 Aug 68,  “Drug Data”
HCO B 23 Sept 68,  “Drugs & Trippers”

Refer:  
HCO B 19 May 69,  “Drug and Alcohol Cases PRIOR Assessing”
HCO B 12 Aug 69  (HCO B 10 Dec 68 Updated), Confidential—“Case Supervisor Actions”
         (Page 24 Resistive Case 220D.) [Now BTB]

(In this revision of HCO B 4 July 71, C/S Series 48,
Quad [4] Flow has been changed to Triple Flow.
There is no other change.)

A person who has been on Drugs is one of the “Seven types of resistive cases”.
(These types are found on the Scientology Green Form No. 40.)

In other words, someone who has been on drugs does not make good case gain until the drugs are handled. The same somatics will come back again. The case roller-coasters—goes up and down.

Drugs since 1962 have been in very widespread use. Before then they were rare. A worldwide spread of drugs occurred. A large percentage of people became and are drug takers.

By drugs (to mention a few) are meant—tranquilizers, opium, cocaine, marijuana, peyote, amphetamine and the psychiatrist’s gift to Man, LSD, which is the worst. Any medical drugs are included. Drugs are drugs. There are thousands of trade names and slang terms for these drugs.

ALCOHOL is included as a drug and receives the same treatment in auditing.

They are supposed to do wonderful things but all they really do is ruin the person.

Even someone off drugs for years still has “blank periods”. The abilities to concentrate or to balance are injured.

The moral part of it has nothing to do with auditing. The facts are that:

(a) People who have been on drugs can be a liability until the condition is handled in auditing.

(b) A former drug user is a resistive case that does not make stable gains until the condition is handled.

(c) Auditing is the only successful means ever developed for handling drug damage.
THOSE ON DRUGS

On persons who are currently on drugs, it is necessary to take them through a special TR Course while they are still on them. They gradually come off of them voluntarily in most cases without painful “withdrawal symptoms” (which is the term for the agony and convulsions caused, particularly in the case of heroin takers, by just stopping the drug. Alcoholics are of course included.)

DRUG ENGRAMS

People who have been on drugs are sometimes afraid of running engrams.

In fact, it is almost a way to detect a “druggie”.

The drugs, particularly LSD and even sometimes antibiotics or other medicines to which the person has an allergy, can turn on whole track pictures violently.

These tend to overwhelm the person and make him feel crazy. Some of these people are afraid to confront the bank again.

The TR and other steps of the special TR Course improve their confront.

If a person “doesn’t like Dianetics” and doesn’t want to be run on engrams, it is necessary to put them on the special course. If Dianetics has been run but poorly, it should of course be repaired fully with an L3B (List used to correct Dianetic errors). But if the person still flinches, the Special Course successfully completed will handle. It contains recall steps giving the pc a chance to confront the bank more easily and get used to it.

FULL AUDITING RUNDOWN

A full auditing rundown on drugs, all done on the same pc, would be:

1. Special TR Course for ex-drug users or alcoholics.
2. Pc Assessment Form.
3. Class VIII Drug Rundown Triple (done by a Class IV or VI).
4. By a Dianetic Auditor: Pains, emotions, sensations, attitudes connected with drugs (or alcohol), R3R Triple.
5. Prior Assessment to Drugs, Triple R3R, Dianetic Auditor.

This can be followed by routine Triple Dianetics to EP for the grade.

DONE FIRST

Drugs are done first. They are NOT done after the Health Form and regular Dianetics.

Why? Because Drugs make a resistive case! Regular Dianetics will get loses.

Any current Dianetic case failures are from flubby Dianetic auditing or the person has been on Drugs or alcohol which were not handled by Dianetics.

It hasn’t harmed anyone to omit drugs. But it made it hard or impossible to get stable case gain.

THUS ANY DIANETIC PC WHO HAS HAD DRUG HANDLING OMITTED MUST BE RUN ON DRUGS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BEFORE MORE AUDITING IS GIVEN.

I repeat, drugs or alcohol in most instances make a resistive case so the point must be handled before the case will attain and hold case gain.

ANY PC WHO IS NOT MAKING IT IN AUDITING SHOULD BE CHECKED FOR A DRUG OR ALCOHOL HISTORY.
DISCOVERY

In investigating a series of cases who were not making it, I found in each one that the person had been on drugs or alcohol and that drugs or the alcohol had not been run out.

Drug data was not covered fully enough in the Dianetics pack. Only Prior Assessment to Drugs was given.

Thus I find several Dianetic pcs were only run on the Prior Assessment to Drugs. This is not good enough.

DIANETICS ONLY

Where Dianetic Auditing only is available and the rest of the rundown given above is not, drugs can still be handled by a Dianetic Auditor in this way with this Dianetic Pgm.

1. PC Assessment Form.
2. Full C/S 1, also doing the TRs well with the pc.
3. Write down the drugs from the pc assessment form. Take the one that reads best on the meter.
4. List, what pains, emotions, sensations or attitudes are connected with taking (the drug).
5. Take the best reading Dianetic item from the list in (4). Run R3R Triple.
6. Complete items on the (4) above with R3R Triple.
7. Take another drug from (3) above that reads.
8. Repeat (4).
9. Repeat (5).
10. Use up the whole list in (4) above in this way until the entire list of drugs F/Ns when called.
11. Do Prior Assessment to Drugs (or alcohol). R3R Triple.
12. Triple R3R on any missing flows of earlier Dn items run.
13. Do Health Form.
14. Proceed with routine Triple Dianetics.

This program is the one that would be done at step 4 in the full Drug Pgm above that includes the TR Course and Class VIII Rundown.

However, when only Dianetic Auditors are available, at least the above Dianetic Program must be done.

This repairs an omission in the Dianetic pack and unblocks the case gain of a great many pcs on whom a drug or alcohol history was never noticed or handled.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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ASSISTS

There are three types of assists.

They are:

1. Contact Assist
2. Touch Assist
3. Dianetic Assist.

They are quite different from each other. They are VERY effective when properly done.

A severe injury or illness case can be run on all three and SHOULD BE.

If the handling is very soon after injury, burns do not blister, breaks heal in days, bruises vanish.

But to obtain such results it is necessary that the C/S and auditor or auditor alone know and RESPECT the assist tech. It is too often a toss-off, only one kind being done and then not to EP.

Every assist must end with an F/N (at Examiner or checked on a meter).

CONTACT ASSIST

Done off meter at the physical Mest Universe location of the injury. EP - Pain Gone. Cog. F/N.

See HCO B 9 Oct 67, Assists for Injuries. [See BTB 18 Feb 74, same title.]

DIANETIC ASSIST

Done in session on the meter. EP Pain Gone. Cog. F/N.

See HCO Bs

12  Mar 69  Issue II, Physically Ill Pcs and Pre OTs
24  Apr 69  Dianetic Use
14  May 69  Sickness
20  May 69  Issue II, Dianetics (Dn Auditing Assists) [see BTB 10 Dec 74, VI]
23  May 69  Narrative Versus Somatic Chains
24  July 69  Seriously Ill Pcs
27  July 69  Antibiotics
15  Jan 70  The Uses of Auditing
21  June 70  C/S Series 9, Superficial Actions (Sick Pcs)
 1  Dec 70  Dianetics—Triple Flow Action [now BTB I Dec 70R, same title]
 5  Jan 71  Going Earlier in R3R (Dn Auditing Assist) [see BTB 10 Dec 74]
 8  Mar 71  C/S Series 29, Case Actions, Off Line
15  Mar 71  Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject [see page 335]
TOUCH ASSIST

Done off the meter by an auditor on the pc’s body. EP Pain Gone. Cog. F/N.

See HCO Bs
9  Oct 67  Assists for Injuries [see BTB 18 Feb 74, same title]
5  May 69  Issue I, Touch Assists [cancelled, see Volume IX, page 502]
22 July 70  Touch Assist—An Improvement on Spinal Adjustment
23 Aug 70  The Body Communication Process [cancelled by BTB 10 Dec 74]
15 Mar 71  Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject [see page 335]

UNCONSCIOUS PC

An unconscious pc can be audited off a meter by taking his hand and having him touch nearby things like pillow, floor, etc. or body without hurting an injured part.

A person in a coma for months can be brought around by doing this daily.

One tells them a hand signal like, “Press my hand twice for ‘Yes’, once for ‘No’,” and can get through to them, asking questions and getting “Yes” and “No” hand responses. They usually respond with this, if faintly, even while unconscious.

When one has the person conscious again one can do the assists.

FIRST AID RULES APPLY TO INJURED PERSONS.

IN MAKING THEM TOUCH SOMETHING THAT WAS MOVING, STOP IT FIRST.

IN MAKING THEM TOUCH THINGS THAT WERE HOT, COOL THEM FIRST.

WHEN POSSIBLE MAKE THEM HOLD THE THINGS THEY WERE HOLDING, IF ANY, WHILE DOING A CONTACT ASSIST.

IF AFTER A TOUCH OR CONTACT ASSIST THEY DON’T F/N WHEN TAKEN TO OR GIVEN AN EXAM, CHECK FOR O/R AND IF NO F/N TAKE THEM AWAY AND COMPLETE THE ASSIST.

DIANETIC ASSISTS CAN BE RUN TRIPLE.

This is important tech. It saves pain and lives. Know it and use it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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[The second last paragraph originally read, “DIANETIC ASSISTS CAN BE RUN TRIPLE OR QUAD.” The change in the fourth last paragraph simply corrected a typographical error.]
C/S Series 50

C/S CASE GAIN

Some C/Ses get audited over the present time problem “How to get case gain.”
Working with pcs who sometimes don’t can become a minor PTP.
This is also true of some auditors.
The way to C/S this is to run it triple PTP, but in this sequence:

THE C/S

1. 2WC Have you ever had a problem in getting case gain for another? E/S to F/N.
2. 2WC What solutions have you had in getting case gain for another? E/S to F/N.
3. 2WC Have others ever had a problem getting case gain for others? E/S to F/N.
4. 2WC What solutions have others had getting case gain for others? E/S to F/N.
5. 2WC Have you ever had a problem getting case gain for yourself? E/S to F/N.
6. 2WC What solutions have you had getting case gain for yourself? E/S to F/N.

Once handled it ceases to be a problem when one does it in the future.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 51

OUT OF VALENCE

(OCA Graph)

On OCA graphs (the plotted test score of a pc) you find sometimes a case that read high on the graph will drop and read lower after auditing.

This is caused by the fact that the person was OUT OF VALENCE in the first place.

Social machinery was what the first registered.

Now after auditing the graph expresses something closer to the actual being even though it dropped.

We have known about this since ‘57 or ‘58 but I do not think it was fully written up. Further, we now know MORE about it.

If you look into Suppressive Person tech you will find an SP has to be out of valence to be SP. He does not know that he is because he is himself in a non-self valence. He is “somebody else” and is denying that he himself exists, which is to say denying himself as a self.

Now this doesn’t mean all persons whose graphs dropped were active SPs. But it does mean they weren’t being themselves.

After some auditing they became themselves somewhat and this self isn’t the social cheery self the first graph said.

But the dropped graph is nearer truth.

Now, how to get the graph UP again?

The person with the dropped graph is closer to being himself but is not yet fully restored, not yet fully into his “own valence”.

While Class XI would handle this a bit differently, Class VIII rundown already has an answer.

The Class VIII out of valence lists LX1, LX2 and LX3 and the recall, secondary and engram triples for each assessed item from these lists is a way to handle.

Completing any cycle the pc is on is of course fundamental. And even if the pc goes on to next grade the graph will improve.

The fact is that the pc is emerging more and more and becoming himself and then he himself begins to gain.

The graph that dives will come back up if general processing is done.

The pc will keep saying he is “more there”. And it is true.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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INTERNES

The word INTERN or INTERNE means “An advanced graduate or a recent graduate in a professional field who is getting practical experience under the Supervision of an experienced worker”.

An Internship then is serving a period as an Interne, or an activity offered by an org by which EXPERIENCE can be gained.

Internships have been arranged this long while for every auditing class.

The apprenticeship of an auditor is done as an org Interne.

C/Ses very often have Internes on their lines and sometimes have trouble with getting them to audit.

The WHY of this is that the Interne seldom knows the definition of the word “Interne” (which is as above). They sometimes think they are still students. They do not know this fact:

A COURSE GRADUATE BECOMES AN AUDITOR BY AUDITING.

That means LOTS of auditing.

The failure of “auditors” is that they go from one level to the next, HDC to IV to VIII, without ever becoming an auditor for that Class.

Thus you can get a silly situation where a Class IX can’t audit or C/S well. Thus you get tech going out.

An HDC graduate who doesn’t then audit under an experienced Case Supervisor who knows and demands the standard actions rarely gets to be an HDC AUDITOR. It takes tons of hours to make a real Dianetic auditor who can toss off standard sessions and get his routine miracles.

So if an HDC doesn’t INTERNE, but simply goes on to the Academy Courses or SHSBC he has skipped his apprenticeship as a Dianetic Auditor.

If he gets his Class VI and never Internes but goes on to VIII well, we now have somebody who has long since lost touch with the reality of why he is studying.

Therefore you CAN’T take a Class VI graduate who was never a Dianetic Auditor and Interne him as a VI. He’ll goof-goof-goof. So you have to Interne him as an HDC.

WHEN he can turn out flawless Dianetic sessions on all kinds of pcs you can Interne him as a IV etc.

In other words you have to catch up all neglected Apprenticeships.

I don’t care if the guy is an VIII, if he wasn’t ever a Dianetic Auditor and a Class VI Auditor and isn’t Interning as an VIII then he is only a provisional.

Flubby auditors are the biggest time wasters a C/S has. If auditors on his lines aren’t good, he’ll take forever to get his C/S work done. And he won’t get results.

The answer is, regardless of Class as a course graduate, a C/S MUST INTERNE HIS AUDITORS FOR EACH INTERNESHIP MISSED ON THE WAY UP.

The “ok to audit” system is used.

One takes any graduate and Internes him on the lowest Interneship he has missed. He reviews his material, gets his drills checked, gets his misunderstood words cleared
and gets an “ok to audit” for that level. If he goofs he is crammed. And sometimes wholly retreaded. The “ok to audit Dianetics” would be his first okay. This suspends if he has to retread.

When he then has turned out pcs, pcs, pcs, pcs, 5, 6, 8, 10 hours a day for weeks and weeks and is a total success as a Dianetic Auditor, he can go on up.

At first as a Dianetic Interne he is part time studying Dianetics. Then as he gets flawless and while he is getting experience and practice on Dianetics, he can gradually phase over into re-studying his next Interneship, usually IV or VI.

Then one day he is word cleared, checked out on his drills, and he qualifies for “ok to audit” for IV or VI.

Now it begins all over again. Flubs—Cramming, midnight oil, audit audit cramming audit audit new word clear new drill work audit audit audit audit 5, 6, 8, 10 hours a day.

Now he is a IV or VI auditor.

His next real step is a VI or VII Interne at an SH. If he has been a good IV Interne Auditor his VI Interneship after his SHSBC will be a VII Interneship. VII is an Interneship activity.

When he’s an Auditor that can do VI and Power, he is ready for VIII and IX.

If he is going to be a good VIII-IX auditor he will Interne in an AO or SH under an experienced C/S.

Now when he goes to his own org, you have a real honest to goodness C/S. And as a C/S he must know how you use Interneships to make auditors.

Wherever this function is neglected, you don’t get auditors. You get doubtful students and out-tech.

On Flag C/Ses have to catch up every missed Interneship to make a high volume high quality auditor.

The world renowned Superiority of Flag Auditors is built just like I am telling you here.

There is no reason just that same quality can’t be built in any org.

One does it by the Interneship method.

By using this method you get IN tech and high volume.

Any auditor in any org that is limping and fumbling simply has never been properly Interned.

The way to remedy it is to set up a good Cramming that uses only HCO Bs and has them available (and no verbal tradition), a Good Word Clearer and a Qual “okay to audit” Interneship system. The Internes are a Section in Qual. They have a Course Supervisor. They study and audit cram audit cram study audit, audit audit audit.

And one day you have IN tech and high volume high Class auditing all over the place.

Otherwise you just have a bunch of students, in doubt, chewing on their misunderstood words and failed tech.

There IS a right way to go about it.

It is by Interneship.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 53RJ

SHORT HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT C/S

This is the basic prepared list used by Auditors to get a TA up or down into normal range. A GF Method 5 may also be used after TA is in normal range to get pc’s case handled better.

____________________________________PC Name_____________________ Date

1. Assess pc Method 5 on this sheet. (Go down the list calling off the items to the pc, watching the meter. Mark any Tick, SF, F, LF, LFBD [to what TA], Speeded Rise or Blow Up.) NOTE: A C/S 53RJ should be reassessed and all reads handled until it F/Ns on assessment.

A. Interiorization _________ Have others committed _________
   Went in _________ overt on others _________
   Go in _________ Not saying _________
   Can’t get in _________ Problems _________
   Want to get out _________ Protest _________
   Kicked out of spaces _________ Don’t like it _________
   Can’t go _________ Audited over out rud _________
   ___________ Feel sad _________

B. List errors _________ Rushed _________
   Overlisting _________ Tired _________
   Wrong items _________ ARC Brk _________
   Upset with giving _________ Upset _________
   Items to auditor _________ Can’t get it _________

   Wrong date _________
   Wrong location _________

C. Some sort of W/H _________
   Are you withholding _________
   Something _________
   Is another withholding _________
   Something from you _________
   Are others withholding _________
   Something from others _________
   False withhold _________
   Withholds gotten off _________
   more than once _________
   ___________ Has another committed _________
   overt on you _________
   Have you committed _________
   any overt _________

D. Drugs _________
   Wrong Why _________
   Wrong Indication _________
   Wrong PTS Item _________
   ___________ Pot _________
   Medicine _________

E. Engram in restimulation _________
   Same engram run twice _________
   Can’t see engrams too well _________
   Invisible _________
   Black _________
   Loss _________
   Lost _________

F. Same thing run twice _________
   Same action done by _________
   another auditor _________
G. Doing something with mind between sessions
Some other practice

K. Can’t have Low havingness

H. Word Clearing errors
Study errors

L. PTS

M. Something went on too long

I. False TA
Wrong sized cans
Tired hands
Dry hands or feet
Wet hands or feet
Loosens can grip
Wrong hand cream

N. Something else
Physically ill

F/Ns
Overrun F/Ns
Missed F/N
Items really didn’t read
False reads
Bad auditing
Incomplete actions
Invalidation
Evaluation
Couldn’t get auditing

P. False Exam Report
Waited at Exam
Upset by Examiner

2. Use only the small falls or falls or BDs. The rises will however show where mass lies.

A. If A or any of the A Group, and the pc has had an Int RD, do an Int RD Correction List, and handle the reads. (HCO B 29 Oct 71 R, Revised 14 May 74.)

If the pc has never had an Int RD, then give him a standard Int RD providing you have checked out on the Int-Ext pack and are good at R3R.

B. If any of these read, do an L4BR on the earliest lists you can find that have not been corrected. Lacking these do an L4BR in general. You can go over an L4BR several times handling each read to F/N until the whole L4BR gives nothing but F/Ns. Handle a Wrong Why or Wrong Indication or Wrong PTS Item per C/S Series 78.

C. If any of these, handle with 2wc and earlier similar to F/N. If more than one reads do biggest read first and then clean up each of the others E/S to F/N. If all read on assessment you have to get an F/N for each or 20 F/Ns. On overts and withholds, get what, and E/S to F/N. On out ruds, find which rud and handle. (See GF40RB, HCOB 30 June 71R, Revised I Dec 74.) Feel sad, handle the ARC Break. (Feel sad = ARC Brk of long duration.)

D. Rehab releases on each “drug” taken to F/N. Complete the Drug RD per C/S Series 48R after handling all reads on this assessment. If pc has had a Drug RD, do L3RD on it, and handle.

E. If any of these, do L3RD and handle according to what is stated to do on L3RD.

F. Clean up any protest and inval and rehab to F/N.
G. Find out what it is. If Yoga or Mystic exercises or some such 2wc E/S it to first time done, find out what upset had occurred before that and if TA now down do L1C on that period of pc’s life.

H. If Word Clearing, do a Word Clearing Correction List, handle all reads. If Study errors, 2wc E/S to F/N, and add a Study Correction List to the pc’s program.

I. False TA is wrong cans. Use HCO Bs 24 Oct 71, 12 Nov 71R, 15 Feb 72, 18 Feb 72, 29 Feb 72R, 23 Nov 73, all on False TA. Then clean up the bypassed charge with (1) Assess for best read (a) TA worries, (b) F/N worries. (2) Then 2wc times he was worried about (item) E/S to F/N. (3) Rehab any overruns due to False TA obscuring F/Ns.

J. These are auditor errors. Low TA is generally caused by overwhelming TRs and incomplete actions. A high TA can be caused by an auditor overrunning F/Ns or failing to call them. Or trying to assess through an F/N and mistaking an F/N right swing for a read. An F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if Sensitivity too high. These items are all 2wc E/S to F/N. Auditors who made them need Cramming badly or retread.

K. Can’t have or Hav. Find correct Havingness process and remedy.

L. PTS or Suppressed. Check for SP or get a full PTS RD.

M. Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N on each (or date to blow, locate to blow, if qualified).

N. 2wc to find what. Note BD item. If BD item covered by one of these categories handle per instructions. If not just 2wc to F/N and get further C/S instructions for handling if necessary.

O. Get pc to tell you about it briefly. If correct then indicate to F/N. Go E/S and indicate it if no F/N on first. If False TA handle per I above.

P. Indicate and 2wc to F/N.

General. Handle Int RD (A) if it reads at all before handling rest as nothing will go right if Int is still out. For the remainder prefer to handle any BD group if you get a BD. If in doubt about what to do, return to the C/S.

Revised by
L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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D I A N E T I C S

C/S Series 54

DIANETICS, BEGINNING A PC ON

Make Dianetics work fully in our modern culture.

DO NOT BEGIN DIANETICS WITH A HEALTH FORM ANY LONGER.

BEGIN DIANETICS WITH A PC ASSESSMENT SHEET, HCO B 24 April 1969.

This is VITAL.

DRUGS

IF YOU GET ANY TA ACTION OR READS ON DRUGS EVEN IF THE PC SAYS “NO” THE FIRST DIANETIC ACTION IS THE THIRD PAGE OF HCO B 15 July 1971, Issue III.

If the pc is currently on Drugs, then a Special Drug TR COURSE IS VITAL until the pc is off them. Then do the third page of HCO B 15 July 1971, Issue III.

ACCIDENTS

If you get a read on Part E of Assessment Form, Accidents, run them out Narrative R3R Triple.

ILLNESS

If Illness Part F Assessment Form reads, run it out Narrative R3R Triple.

MENTAL TREATMENT

If Mental Treatment reads, run it out Narrative R3R Triple.

OPERATIONS

If Operations Part G reads run the reading one out Narrative R3R Triple.

MEDICINE

If Medicine Part M reads TREAT IT HCO B 15 Jul 71, Iss III, as it reacts like any other drug but pcs don’t sometimes think of medicines as drugs when they are.

DEATHS

If Deaths of relatives, etc read on Part 7, run them out Narrative as SECONDARIES R3R TRIPLE.
FAMILY INSANITY

If Part L reads on a member of the family going insane, run it out Narrative SECONDARIES R3R TRIPLE.

PERCEPTION

Lack of perception (sight, hearing, etc) comes from overts and improves when Flow 2 is done on any of the above or any R3R.

PROGRAM

The C/S Programs the Case from the Assessment Form as above, using Drugs or Medicine first and the rest by largest reads first.

Narrative Items or incidents were used for years with great effect. BUT THE ITEM MUST BE DONE R3R TRIPLE and is once in a while very long.

REPAIR

Repair by L3B ANY FLUBBED DIANETIC SESSION OR CHAIN WITHIN 24 HOURS. Do not let it go unrepaired.

HEALTH FORM

WHEN any and all of the above are handled, then and only then proceed with the usual Health Form by item.

The pc in many cases won’t be able to run engrams at all unless you run out drugs or medicines first. They will run these and these alone until the engrams are gone.

People who “can’t run engrams” are usually drug cases.

This is CORRECT Dianetic Programming.

MAKE DIANETICS WORK FOR YOU.

Program it correctly. C/S it correctly.

It won’t work unless used on where the pc’s attention is.

IT WILL WORK IF YOU USE IT.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
THE IVORY TOWER

It has been stated before that the Case Supervisor is most successful when he supervises in seclusion.

This is called the IVORY TOWER rule.

It comes from the practical experience that in C/Sing thousands of cases the only few mistakes I made (and repaired) were when I listened to the opinion of the auditor or saw the pc.

This can be quite fatal to a case’s progress.

The fantastic results I achieve as a C/S mainly stem from not permitting what I know of tech and cases to be clouded by “Human Emotion and Reaction” (a Scn Public Relations term) by others.

Part of a C/S’s duty is to get the case through it despite auditor opinions and flubs or the opinions of others.

A C/S has no political or personnel opinions. He can of course have his own opinions of the pc’s case. But he is the FRIEND of the pc even when being harsh.

Often the C/S, unseen by the pc, is sometimes never suspected but quite often adored by those for whom he C/Ses. One often sees this in success stories, “Thank you, thank you to my great auditor (name) and the C/S (name) and Ron.” Sometimes it’s only the auditor. But mos. pcs know the C/S is there.

This awareness is also a great trust and it is a trust that is earned by great results and is never betrayed.

To the majority of pcs, then, it is a trio—always in the same order—his auditor, his C/S and myself.

He trusts us. And we do our best for him.

We don’t change our actions, then, if he is a dope addict, a wife beater, a criminal, a degraded being or an upstat (one who has high statistics) and a sterling person.

When we are researching, C/Sing or auditing, we do our best for him.

We have nothing to do with whether his seniors like him or for that matter whether we like him.

It is our job. We hold it in trust.

In our hands is his future, his sanity, his immortality.

It depends on us whether he survives and lives a full life or whether he goes into limbo.
If we do our duty, when we know and do our jobs, he achieves everything. When we don’t, he is gone.

No priest or fancied idol has ever been endowed with more cause over the beingness of another than a C/S and his auditor. This isn’t my opinion or my feeling about it. It’s the way pcs look at it.

Actually one can’t really state the full actuality of it.

The pc is justified in trusting us when we keep up to date on our tech, know our job, take every care that a good job is done and do our duty.

AUDITOR OPINION

Some auditors develop overt and withholds on pc and color their auditing reports with critical remarks about a pc = more withholds.

A C/S who pays much attention to these opinions is foolish. When they get too bad on too many pcs, get the auditor’s overt and withholds pulled as he’ll begin to flub.

The Worksheet and What the pc said or did is important. The opinions aren’t.

An auditor has a right to refuse to audit certain pcs as long as he audits others. That’s as it should be.

But a lot of “dog cases” are just unsolved cases that can be solved. Some are very difficult, true, but the difficulty is finding the bug. Some pcs are rather wild in conduct. But they solve too.

So an auditor’s opinion is not a study of the case. Talking to an auditor about a case he is auditing is not of any technical value to a C/S.

Again, a case does not know what is wrong with it or it would as-is and wouldn’t be wrong. So talking to a case about his case is a waste of time for a C/S. Some write huge notes to a C/S. The only value in all this is to analyze whether it’s a hidden standard or an ARC Brk or a w/h or a PTS matter. TECHNICAL considerations are all that enter in looking over such.

EXECUTIVE opinion is the world’s worst source of data on a pc. No C/S should ever take what seniors say about a junior. It’s all Human Emotion and Reaction. It’s not tech.

FAMILY, husbands, wives, fathers, mothers, brothers and sisters, aunts and uncles are of little value to listen to about a case. The most they could give you would be a list of accidents or illness or time in a home. But beware, they may be worse off than the pc.

No. The C/S is the pc’s safest friend.

The pc trusts the C/S and the auditor. Or he wouldn’t sit still at all.

Sometimes he only trusts me. And that’s the time I have to trust you.

And I do.
HOW TO GET RESULTS
IN AN HGC

Obtaining excellent case results is an ADMINISTRATIVE not a wholly technical function.

Auditors and C/Ses are often weak on Administrative. They think general tech results improve only by more tech study. If they continue to think this way they wind up squirreling. For they are working on a wrong target for improvement, a wrong WHY or reason.

Auditing is a team activity. The day of the individual country doctor is dead. Even if an individual field auditor starts out as an individual he goes one of two directions—he overworks and squirrels himself into failure or he builds up a team—may only be a receptionist and an apprentice auditor but he is still building up a team. I have never seen individual auditors succeed over a long period. Failing to form or become part of a team, they eventually fade out or squirrel.

The reason is simple enough.

These rules apply:

TO IMPROVE TECH RESULTS YOU MUST IMPROVE ADMINISTRATION.

And I don’t mean just writing better in folders.

DEFINITION

ADMINISTRATION consists of the formation and handling of the lines and terminals involved in production.

Unless an auditor understands this fully, he will never insist on a Tech Sec, a Tech Establishment Officer, D of P, C/S, Examiner, Pages, Folder Admin and himself will begin to omit keeping a Folder Summary and then omit the session actions and then, with big loses, retire from it all.

If I were an auditor and saw some of these things missing, I’d be liable to say, “Are you guys kidding? I thought we were here to audit pcs.”

Without the correct pattern of lines and terminals YOU DON’T GET RESULTS, you get headaches, mad neighbors and refunds.

Auditing on lines, an auditor should regard himself as a highly skilled expert, a technical specialist whose work requires respect and service.

And Case Supervising on lines, a Case Supervisor should consider himself a sort of Czar whose word is so law even the Exec Director thinks several times before he approaches—duly servile of course and bowing the prescribed three times as he exits.
A Class XII on Flag is listened to by others with a hush even if he is only commenting on the weather.

These are the stars of the team. Their worldwide reputation for smooth flubless auditing is *an administrative result!*

Short of space, overloaded, short of admin personnel, turning out the highest well done hours in the world, Flag’s Div IV produces because of an *Admin* system.

The highest of these C/Ses and auditors goes to Cramming if he misplaces a comma or drops a TR 1.

If the sessions’ exams at Examiner drop from 90% F/N the whole place gets overhauled.

Folders are Folder Error Summaried by an FES section. The Folder Summary is kept up each session (or Cramming). The folder is studied and C/Sed. The D of P assigns the sessions. The C/S is done correctly (or Cramming). The folder travels on *its* lines. The tests are done.

In short it is a complex but constantly flowing pattern of moving pcs, folders and examinations interspersed with testing and interviews and re-registration.

There is *a right way* to do it.

**RESULTS**

If an org has only 65% of its sessions F/N VGIs at Examiner the *right* answer is to organize the place.

Why?

Well, the first answer is that the *third* dynamic is stronger than the first dynamic.

An auditor auditing alone is a first dynamic. The pc is a first dynamic. As it is the auditor plus pc that must be greater than the reactive mind, one can easily work the rest out.

If the auditor is part of a functioning third dynamic, not just an individual, the auditor plus pc versus the bank is a LOT more than the bank.

Another answer is that an auditor knows the pc, if only because of sessions, and personal opinion enters into it. That is not a pure technical view as a C/S’s must be.

Another answer is that an auditor in a group gets more *auditing* done.

Individually practicing auditors often fail because nobody is taking care of the auditor as a person. Further they get loses. No one sends them to Cramming. When they get loses they often start squirreling. Then they *really* get loses.

That ends them as auditors.

An auditor working in a good on policy organization is given service. He does get sent to Cramming. He does keep his tech updated. He gets wins. When he doesn’t he’s put back on standard tech. So he happily keeps going and makes lots of happy people.

So if I were auditing in a group I would *insist* as a condition of work that Div IV and Div V be good on policy divisions, fully organized with no nonsense.

I know whereof I speak. As a part-time duty I work as a consulting C/S with a good IV and a good V. Sometimes I have had to take over the whole C/S line. When the organization bogs in any way I know the whole thing is heading toward
single-handing the lot. So I get the lines back in and get people to Cramming and get the F/N at Examiner ratio approaching 100% again.

Thus, the advice you get about C/Sing is live-live-live, not canned theory.

ORG WINS

Being on administrative lines to all orgs, I can tell you pointblank that

THEIR STATS DEPEND ON THEIR VOLUME AND QUALITY OF SERVICE.

That isn’t propaganda. It’s pure fact.

The F/N-no F/N at Examiner ratio tells you at once if Divs IV and V are organized and operating or if they are just fooling about.

At 50% to 75% F/N at Examiner the administrative functions of Divs IV and V are stinking bad. C/S Series 25 is out. Cramming is out. Hidden data lines exist. HCO Bs, books and tapes are not used.

The public, at that % of F/N, will stay away in droves. Registrars will go batty and adopt “Hot Prospect Systems”.

The staff will go low pay and the execs will be a perpetual dark shade of purple from yelling. The cash-bills ratio will be the subject of finance missions and the neighbors will be phoning the police.

Why?

Because an org is itself a technical delivery organization and 50% to 75% F/N at Examiner is an overt product.

The Academy has already failed to apply student study tech and word clearing. Qual is a joke.

There is no library of tech available and if available isn’t read.

The org as a tech service delivery unit is treating its public to a no-auditing situation and will get in trouble.

REMEDY

The way to remedy is to get on policy with tech organization.

Put in a Qual with word clearing and a library and cramming.

Put in the C/S Series 25 Tech lines.

Tolerate NO out-tech or out-admin in folders.

Dummy run the lines until they’re in.

Cram Cram Cram C/S and auditor and tech personnel flubs whenever they occur.

Get the organization functioning.

Your F/N at Examiner ratio will climb straight up to 90% 95% 98%.

By actual test pcs will flood in, Reg lines will get easy, success stats soar.

More auditors more C/Ses, more organization. A second, a third HGC.
And the more thoroughly the admin lines are manned the better the tech lines work.

This conclusion came from actual inspections of orgs and studies of their stats.

Orgs should be selling more training than processing.

But why train if you can’t interne them in a good Qual and HGC? They’ll never amount to anything as auditors unless they work in an organization that is on tech and on policy.

So you need an HGC.

Tech, done in a proper administrative framework, works.

Some orgs really don’t believe they could ever attain the flubless auditing quality of Flag.

But they can.

It is even easy.

It is even easier to attain flubless quality of auditing than any other kind.

You put in a real on policy admin pattern in IV and V. You begin with a Qual Interne Course.

You send to Cramming for any C/S or auditing error no matter how minute.

The results come up.

The errors cease.

You’re a success! If you do it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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A C/S AS A TRAINING OFFICER
A PROGRAM FOR FLUBLESS AUDITING

It is wholly and entirely up to the C/S whether or not his auditors ever come to be FLUBLESS AUDITORS. Auditing flubs are the main things that make a C/S’s job long and hard and the main thing that denies his pcs high results.

For example—with competent auditors I can C/S the day’s folders in $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours. With green flubby auditors the same number of folders takes $6\frac{1}{2}$ hours.

The answer plainly is to groove the auditors in until they are flubless. And this is what a competent C/S does.

Because he has internes on his lines and because any group of auditors can be bettered, the training officer part of the C/S hat is one which is always worn.

Also, if the Tech-Qual administrative set-up is nonextant or a confused mess, the errors in folders and various upsets react suppressively both on the C/S and auditors and they—both C/S and auditors—make mistakes. So the administrative lines and terminals must be there.

Thus a C/S out of self-defense is not merely a training officer of auditors but of other Tech-Qual personnel as well.

Officially this hat belongs with the other terminals. But to coordinate the operation, the C/S has to have a large amount of know-how about the lines and terminals of Tech and Qual. As it is the C/S who is directing the running of cases and as the lines and terminals exist only to obtain auditing results in volume with high quality, no C/S can afford to neglect his duties as a training officer. Otherwise he will promptly drown.

The folder flow must be smooth with no flaps. The auditor-pc assignments must be smooth with no lost auditing time. The sessions must occur. The auditors who flub must be promptly handled. The Cramming Officer in Qual must know his business. The C/S depends on him to get the kinks out of the auditors’ tech and its application.

The processing must be paid for adequately or there will be no funds to hire enough terminals and, indeed, there would be no HGC at all. The C/S is trying to obtain Volume, Quality and Viability.

By experience volume comes from the whole org working and the auditors auditing correctly without lost hours spent in fumbles and repairs. Quality comes from smooth Tech-Qual lines and hatted terminals and the auditors auditing flublessly.

It is not that the C/S is in charge of the whole org. But every point where a C/S is having trouble is where an org terminal has broken down. Therefore a C/S has every right to INSIST upon hatted functioning terminals.

The C/S has a definite effect upon the efficiency of an org’s personnel. He can ensure the staff gets audited either on his lines or from Dept 13. And he can insist on quality staff staff auditing for it will help keep his own post going.
Tech works. It works splendidly. The materials are there. Read, understood and applied, FLUBLESS AUDITING occurs.

It is so easy to C/S just for cases using standard actions. All puzzles come from FLUBS.

The sequence of actions a C/S should take to attain Flubless Auditing could be listed more or less in this order.

1. Make sure his own tech is up to date and do part-time study or retread where needed.
2. Make sure he has no misunderstood words the length and breadth of the subject.
   Get Word Clearing Method 2 on every major tech writing, each HCO B or P/L if it comes to that. Then get Word Clearing Method 1 to full EP.
3. Practice locating the bugs in “failed cases” or “dog cases” long in auditing until the C/S knows it was an application failure, an auditor failure or a former C/S failure.
4. Study out the terminals and lines necessary IN YOUR ORG, physically going over them, to
   (a) Get a pc in.
   (b) Get an auditor employed.
   (c) Get a pc assigned to an auditor.
   (d) Get auditor and pc together in an auditing room.
   (e) Get the pc examined.
   (f) Get the folder turned in for C/Sing.
   (g) Get an auditor to Cramming and back.
   (h) Get a pc to Ethics and handled.
   (i) Get a D of P to interview pcs, muster auditors, do assignments and other D of P duties.
   (l) Get a pc to attest.
   (k) Get a pc to Success.
   (I) Get folders FESed.
   (m) Get folders stored and found.
   (n) Get folders made up or neatly covered.
   (o) Get supplies for auditors.
   (p) Get an area for auditor admin.
   (q) Get an area for pcs to wait.
   (r) Get the various boards made and kept up.
   (s) Get stats kept and reported.
   (t) Get bonuses paid.
   (u) Get pcs handled when adrift on lines.
   (v) Get a Qual in.
   (w) Do his own job.
   (x) How to get and keep all this and any more points going all at once rapidly.
He will now know the scene and can achieve a more ideal scene by insisting the Org Officer (emergency) or the HAS (permanently) handle. Now it all gets less confusing as one understands what is out when it is out.

5. Set up a close fast line with the Cramming Officer so that auditors who flub are in actual fact rapidly straightened out and gotten back to auditing without great time loss.

6. Fend off and refuse to give tech advice as such. KNOW WORD CLEARING SERIES 16 THOROUGHLY and get a great reality on it and insist that the Qual Sec and Cramming Officer know it, use it and hammer away with it. Otherwise such weird tech confusions will be floating about that even the C/S gets confused and begins to wonder if the material IS in the books and bulletins!

7. Gather up a Tech and Admin Library for fast reference for personal use.

8. Get in a system whereby every flub by an auditor, a D of P, a Div IV or V Admin personnel, a page, anyone that flubs as it affects the C/S in ANY way gets a Cramming chit with the exact reference to be crammed on. Keep a carbon of the chit, send the original to Cramming, get the chit back when done and marked off on the carbon. Keep the Admin of it simple but the execution of it TOTALLY effective.

9. The Qual Sec, Cramming Officer and Interne Supervisor are the close technical links with the C/S. In technical matters the C/S is senior. Sometimes the C/S is sent to Cramming by the Qual Sec and should accept and do it gracefully. Sometimes there is a Senior C/S in the org (the Assistant Guardian, ED or some other senior exec may be an HSST or even a Class X). In such a case he has the right to cram or send any of these terminals (or any other terminal) to Cramming. Including any Senior C/S, and including any C/S for another Department or for crew or in the Guardian’s Office, these terminals constitute the tech hierarchy of the org: Senior C/S, C/Ses, Qual Sec, Cramming Officer and the Interne Supervisor and they have to hold a hard technical line. The Tech Sec is mainly concerned with production and administration and a Tech Establishment Officer is concerned with establishing. It can happen that a Tech Sec or TEO are also very well trained technically and if so are part of this technical hierarchy but they are not necessarily so. Therefore there is a sort of ex-officio technical committee on the subject of technical matters composed generally of the Senior C/S, C/Ses, Qual Sec, Cramming Officer and Interne Supervisor that monitors the quality of HGC and Dept 10 auditing. The Director of Training can be advised concerning the results of his students after graduation in order to remedy his training and as such is a part of the Committee, as can be the Tech Sec. Most narrowly and most continually Tech quality is between the C/S and the Cramming Officer. More widely, the Senior C/S, Qual Sec and Interne Supervisor enter in. And in the widest sense, the Tech Sec, Tech Establishment Officer and Director of Training enter in. It is an error to suppose the C/S and auditors are the technical monitors of the org. They are the main technical personnel. But a C/S can waste tons of time by talking to or with auditors beyond an auditors’ conference and can really get whizzing if he spends the same time with the Cramming Officer who then crams auditors and with the Interne Super who then persuades internes to function. Knowing who is as important in organization as knowing how. So hold some meetings small and large and thresh out the bugs.

10. Missing materials is a C/S point of upset.

“What is a Course” Policy Letter can be out on tech courses to a degree that you wouldn’t believe. Not only no routing form or roll book but NO MATERIALS.
The Books, HCO Bs, tapes MUST be available. They exist. It is suppressive to run a course without them. Pubs Org, CLOs have them. Financial Planning can’t deny this necessity as they’re what their income comes from.

Qual MUST have a complete and safeguarded library for use in Cramming actions.

Under Omitted Materials would be omitted meters and at this writing there is no restriction on these and supply is abundant.

The “no materials” gag is the last straw for a C/S.

Future auditors won’t have a clue and current auditors will have no way to find out.

So the C/S must not permit “economy” or plain laziness or “we sent a despatch three months ago” to get in the road of materials. IT IS CHEAPER TO PUT SOMEBODY ON A PLANE WITH A CHEQUE TO BRING THEM BACK than to do without materials.

So a C/S should definitely defend himself against a “no materials” blockage and handle it.

11. No Study. When one has materials and particularly when one is getting new materials a breakdown can occur when the materials, especially new ones, aren’t read.

A technical person must keep up with the advances in technology. That is true of any profession.

A primary failure of new technology is (you won’t believe it but it is true) the materials aren’t read before the process is tried!

I have even caught Class IXs out on this, believe it or not, so don’t think it can’t happen.

Process G is received. Auditors audit it. Process fails. Why? Auditors never read the bulletin first!

SO BE SURE YOUR AUDITORS READ THE MATERIALS AND CHECK OUT BEFORE THEY DO THE PROCESS.

Write C/Ses like this — “Auditor to Cramming to check out on HCO B . When attested, do the following 1. ,,

Do this on new materials and, on new auditors, on any materials you believe he may goof.

Why have the first 12 pcs on Process G go sour just because the auditor only glanced at the commands and missed the tech?

Interiorization Rundowns are still in this category in some areas. The auditor doesn’t study and Clay demo the pack before doing them. So they fail.

Now and then Power hits the same snag.

So, simple as it seems, get new materials read and checked out in Cramming as the first part of a C/S on them!

And get new materials read.

And keep up on them yourself.
12. Hidden Data Line trouble can wreck an HGC (and the org and field).

A “Hidden Data Line” is a pretense that certain data exists outside of HCO Bs, books and tapes. It can include “data in HCO Bs is conflicting” and “nowhere does it say how to ______”. This is deadly and a C/S should work hard to stamp it out. THE CAUSES OF A HIDDEN DATA LINE OR IMAGINED CONFLICTS IS A FAILURE TO USE WORD CLEARING METHODS TWO AND THREE ON COURSES AND A FAILURE TO USE AND ONLY USE METHOD TWO IN CRAMMING. A C/S can go straight up the wall trying to grapple with these omissions and eventually begin to believe that it takes 500 Cramming chits to make an auditor who still isn’t made and that flubless auditing can’t be done from HCO Bs, books and tapes. As soon as a C/S finds his Cramming orders getting too thick he should check

(a) Is Method 2 (meter) Word Clearing used hard in Cramming as a first action?
(b) Are Methods 2 and 3 Word Clearing in use constantly on tech courses?
(c) Is Method 1 Word Clearing (full rundown) available and faultlessly done on every auditor?

Get these points IN.

Poof! The Hidden Data Line vanishes. (See Word Clearing Series 16.)

Word Clearing has been around for years but people sometimes are themselves so fogged by misunderstood words that they don’t hear you at all when you say USE WORD CLEARING!

13. Invalidation kills auditors. So don’t chew on them any harder than is necessary to get the job done.

Get “To Cramming” to mean, “normal procedure even for Class XIIs”.

We had one student who every evening gasped with relief that he hadn’t been sent to Cramming. We finally found out that he was really terrified he would be found out for false study stats!

Only when an auditor refuses to go to Cramming do you begin to push.

The auditor sent to Cramming to do an action must not do the action on another pc until he has been to Cramming on it.

This can “hold up production” in somebody’s mind. But how an auditor can produce anything while flubbing is someone else’s misunderstood, not mine. He can’t. Better five hours in Cramming and one good session than no Cramming and five goofed sessions.

The real invalidation of an auditor is failing at tech. So don’t let them fail. “Johnny, your TRs are too hard to hear. Get over to Cramming and get hearable” is perfectly acceptable. If it is correct.

So Invalidation could be defined as

(a) letting an auditor lose
(b) correcting things he does right.

That’s about the extent of invalidation.

14. Auditor morale depends not on PR (Public Relations) or phoney stats. It depends on actual, honest completions.
A well trained auditor allowed to get completions will have high morale.

Thus, a C/S must push an auditor toward

(a) Flubless tech

(b) Completions

You keep pushing and he’ll make it.

You don’t push or push on the wrong things and he won’t.

As to completions try to get auditors to do the whole program so something is completed. This is for the auditor not the pc. The Auditor’s Code on a frequent change of auditors was written for pcs. But it also applies to auditors. Let them complete programs. Even if they spend half the day in Cramming. Don’t yank them off cases. And don’t let your D of P assign auditors to different cases or he’ll soon have downtone apathetic auditors who never see what their auditing finally does for one particular pc.

Auditor Morale has little to do with anything but the above two things.

Also if you have those two things in as a C/S, you will see something new happen. Pcs will be around slapping auditors on the back and cheering the org and the place becomes a very happy place.

So work for auditor morale with pushing them relentlessly toward flubless tech and toward completions.

The above actions are numbered. If a C/S were to work to get these in, one by one, and if he then went over them again and again, he would wind up about the most complimented upstat C/S anywhere around.

These are the giant points to get in while plugging along each day C/Sing the usual and handling the noise.

The way to get out of cope is to organize. And these fourteen points give a sequence of organizational steps that lift one out of cope and into a smooth productive time of it.

The org would become very prosperous.

The staff would be very happy.

The field would be delighted.

Just remember that when you reach an average 700 well done auditing hours, you better have a new C/S in training and persuade him to follow himself these 14 points in a new and necessary additional HGC.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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When you see a case that has struggled along through 200 hours of processing without much gain you sometimes see a C/S has only recently ordered, or has not ordered at all as yet, an Interiorization RD check and a Green Form No. 40 Expanded. That would be programming backwards.

The tools of auditing are the Grade Chart Processes and the numerous correction lists.

Like a gardener, a C/S has the choice of numerous tools to raise a flower.

If you were to see a gardener digging holes with the lawn mower and cutting grass with a spade, you would say he needed to be checked out on the use of his tools, what each is for.

Similarly, running Power on someone who needs Dianetics, doing a life repair on someone who is ready for R6EW, would be a misuse of tools.

Similarly, going on auditing someone on Dianetics who desperately needs his ruds put in or an Interiorization Rundown is wasting auditing and messing up a preclear.

Let me give you some examples I have seen recently:

A. Case audited through many major actions since his Int RD. Auditor and C/S in despair. Pc not progressing. A C/S 53 disclosed the Int RD was faulty and its repair was also faulty. Int Rundown was handled. Case began to run. Months of auditing had been wasted. Needed had been a C/S 53 where out Int would have shown.

B. After 200 or more hours of no change in his personality graph (Oxford Capacity Analysis) the pc came up with the withhold that he was a homosexual and also that he did not know what “Scientology” meant. About 2 years of auditing had been wasted. Needed had been Word Clearing and rudiments.

C. After scores of hours of no-win auditing and no graph change it was finally decided to run a GF 40X and found the person practised witchcraft!

D. After a year of auditing on major grades all wasted it was finally found that the person had had a leg injury he was trying to cure that required only a simple Dianetic assist. Today that would be a C/S 54. He had never had a Pc Assessment Form.

E. After racing from POWER to OT III without doing any real auditing or having any change, it was found on a GF 40X that the whole world had been unreal and the person could not begin to face the idea of looking at pictures or the bank and had not been able to since her first drug experiences. Needed had been Objective Processes, CCHs, Op Pro by Dup, etc which get a drug addict to look and be aware.

All these are simple if flagrant errors in ordering the right program actions.

In order to be able to say what should be done on the case, one has to have three things:

1. Data about the case.
2. A knowledge of what lists are available.

3. Auditors who can do the actions required.

   From a C/S point of view, all these things are under the C/S’s control.

DATA

In the Class VIII materials the 7 Resistive Cases are described. The full lot of them are now found in GF 40X.

There are numerous other lists for assessment.

   If a C/S really doesn’t know his lists he can order them all, Method 5 and take his choice of symptoms.

   Also a C/S can have the pc simply asked questions.

   From this data a C/S knows why the case is not running well and can order the actions to remedy it.

   If nothing is wrong, complete the earliest incomplete grade on the Grade Chart.

KNOWLEDGE

A C/S who is well Word Cleared on his materials and has studied on the courses knows what things hang a case up more than what other things.

   This gives one the knowledge necessary to choose what lists.

   Case no case gain then it’s GF 40X.

   And to keep from auditing over an out Int RD there is C/S 53.

   And for chronic aches and pains there is C/S 54.

   And for “might be anything” there’s a GF.

   What lists and actions that can be done are for is very easy to sort out.

AUDITORS

   If a C/S’s auditors aren’t flubless or expert one needs to get in a Cramming and needs to get hired and interned lots of new auditors. C/S Series 57, “A C/S as a Training Officer”, solves a lot of this. And a Tech Establishment Officer is vital to keep it solved.

   Then auditors, the numbers and quality of, are not on the C/S’s plate as a continual problem. Scientologists want to audit. They will go on auditing as long as you make them audit well enough and C/S for them well enough to keep them winning on pcs.

SUMMARY

   So the tools of the C/S are

   1. Data from pcs.

   2. Knowledge of list uses.


   4. Auditors.

   5. The organization of delivery.
DIANE TIC LIST ERRORS

It can happen that a Dianetic list of somatics, pains, emotions and attitudes can act as a list under the meaning of the Laws of Listing and Nulling as per HCO B 1 August 68.

The most violent session ARC Brks occur because of list errors under the meaning of Listing and Nulling. Other session ARC Brks even under withholds are not as violent as those occurring because of listing errors.

Therefore when a violent or even a “total-apathy-won’t-answer” session upset has occurred in Dianetics, one must suspect that the preclear is reacting under the Laws of Listing and Nulling and that he conceives such an error to have been made.

The repair action is to assess the prepared list which corrects listing errors. This is L4B—HCO B 15 Dec 68 amended to 18 March 71.

It is used “On Dianetics Lists_____” as the start of each of its questions when employed for this purpose.

When a pc has not done well on Dianetics and when no other reason can be found the C/S should suspect some listing error and order an L4B to be done “On Dianetic lists_____” at the start of each question.

Each read obtained on the list is carried Earlier Similar to F/N as per HCO B 14 Mar 71 “F/N Everything” or, preferably the list is found in the folder and properly handled in accordance with what read on L4B.

ALL Dianetic Lists can be carried to an item that blows down and F/Ns.

This does not mean the item found is now wholly clean. Even though it F/Ned it can be run by recall, by secondaries and by engrams as found in Class VIII materials. It is usually run by engrams, triple, R3R.

A C/S must be alert to the fact that

(a) Extreme upsets and deep apathies are almost always list errors.

(b) That a Dianetic List can be conceived to be a formal list and can behave that way.

(c) L4B is the correction list used in such cases.

Very few Dianetic lists behave this way but when they do they must be handled as above.
THE WORST TANGLE

Sometimes a C/S gets a terrible tangle handed to him as follows.

1. INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN IS UNDONE OR MESSED UP.
2. FAULTY LISTS HAVE BEEN DONE.
3. THE PC IS IN A HEAVY ARC BRK WITH PTPs AND W/Hs.

Now each one of these three things “must be done first”.

Auditing cannot be done with Int messed up except to handle the Int RD.

Auditing cannot be done over bad lists without repairing the lists.

Auditing cannot be done over out ruds without putting the ruds in.

So WHAT does the C/S do?

There is fortunately a different degree of upset in these three things.

Int RD trouble is worse than list trouble is worse than out ruds.

Therefore the correct C/S would be to

1. Repair Int
2. Repair Lists
3. Put in Ruds.

1. Repair Int RD is done by using L3B on each flow. And (on Flag) by dating to blow and locating to blow.

2. Lists are repaired with L4B on each list, preferably with the list available and preferably with the actual list repaired (such as added to if incomplete or correct item found and given to pc).

3. And if the pc also had out ruds THESE ARE NOW PUT IN WITH “Have you been audited over an (ARC Brk, PTP, w/h)” as the pc has been.

It will all come out all right if properly done. Very few pcs get that messed up. But when they do even they can be untangled.

If a lot of engrams were also run on top of that and these are also in the mess, repair them last as a fourth action. And don’t forget to send auditors responsible to Cramming and report C/Ses who get a case that snarled up.

C/S Series 53 is written with the above sequence of handling. But it omits ARC Brks (as these don’t raise or lower TA out of normal range). And C/S Series 53 as it is designed only for high or low TA does not cover the trick of putting in the ruds as “Were you audited over an (ARC Brk, PTP, w/h)” as it purposely has to omit ARC Brks.

Hope this helps.
THE THREE GOLDEN RULES
OF THE C/S
HANDLING AUDITORS

There are three firm rules in handling auditors which make the difference between
good auditors and poor auditors or even having auditors or no auditors at all.

1. NEVER FAIL TO FIND AND POINT OUT AN ACTUAL GOOF AND SEND
   THE AUDITOR TO CRAMMING.

2. NEVER INVALIDATE OR HARASS AN AUDITOR FOR A CORRECT
   ACTION OR WHEN NO TECHNICAL GOOF HAS OCCURRED.

3. ALWAYS RECOGNIZE AND ACKNOWLEDGE A TECHNICALLY
   PERFECT SESSION.

By reversing these three things a C/S can wreck and blow every auditor in the
place.

By always doing these three things correctly the C/S winds up with splendid
auditors.

An auditor who knows he goofed and yet gets a well done doesn’t think the C/S
is a good fellow. He holds the C/S in contempt and his auditing worsens.

An auditor who didn’t goof and yet is told he did becomes bitter or hopeless and
begins to hate the C/S.

The test of a C/S in the auditor’s eyes is “Is he spot on?” meaning is the C/S
accurate in giving the right program, the right C/S, spotting the goof and ordering
Cramming, and being well enough trained to see and commend a well done.

You never get Bad Indicators in an auditor or student when you state the truth.

You only get Bad Indicators when your statement is not true.

“PR” (Public Relations cheery falsehoods) has nothing to do with getting good
indicators.

Good indicators in auditors are made with TRUTH.

“You goofed, go to Cramming, do TRs 101 to 104 until you cease to alter
commands.”

“Well done by Exams. Practice Handwriting so I don’t take so long reading your
worksheets.”

“This F/N VGIs at session end and the Bad Exam Report do not agree. Is there
any way this report was falsified? Is there any goof you didn’t write down?”

or
“Very well done” on a very well done totally ON Tech, ON Admin and Correct Auditor’s C/S session.

Auditors work well even for a bad tempered C/S when that C/S is always “Spot on” with program, C/S, Auditor’s grade or censure of auditor and TO CRAMMING.

Auditors like a businesslike accurate C/S.

A “good fellow” C/S who “lets it slide” and says nothing becomes a very bad fellow indeed in auditors’ eyes.

A C/S who doesn’t recognize and who invalidates good auditing is looked on as a suppressive even when it’s just ignorance.

The Golden Rules of C/Sing are

1. Never fail to find and point out an actual goof and send the auditor to Cramming.

2. Never invalidate or harass an auditor for a correct action or when no technical goof has occurred.

3. Always recognize and acknowledge a technically perfect session.

Only those C/Ses who follow these Golden Rules are truly loved by their auditors.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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KNOW BEFORE YOU GO

A C/S may and should know exactly what is wrong with a case.

When he “knows” by hunches or intuition and does not bother to confirm or make a wider effort, he can miss the case entirely.

Example: C/S says to himself—I know what’s wrong with Joe. His wife. So I’ll C/S “O/W on your wife”.

Some of the time the C/S will be right. This gives him a win and confirms him in sloppy C/Sing. He does not bother to know before he C/Ses.

A C/S who gets a low percentage of cracked cases and a low percent of F/N VGIs at Examiner usually fails to “know before he goes”. He just goes, which is to say he just writes programs and C/Ses without finding out enough about the case.

A skilled C/S may very well be able to figure out exactly what’s wrong with the case. That’s his job. But how does he find out anything about the case at all?

The answer is very simple. So simple it gets missed. THE C/S GETS DATA ON THE CASE.

How does he do this?

The broadest, most used answer to how to know is prepared lists. These have all sorts of questions on them that read or don’t read. There are lots of these lists beginning with the famous PC Assessment Form. There are all sorts of lists. An end product of any list is DATA ON THE PC ONE USES TO PROGRAM AND C/S THE CASE.

The next answer to how to get data is lists prepared by the C/S himself and which are assessed by the Auditor.

Another answer is 2-way comm on questions written by the C/S. “What do you consider hasn’t been handled on your case?” is a jewel which gives you the hidden standard to List and Null and run Who or what would have_____to BD F/N Item and O/W on the item found. But there are dozens more. “How do you feel about your family?” “R Factor: The C/S is concerned about your saying your case sags after wins in auditing. Could you tell me exactly what happens and what your history has been on this?” There is no limit to such questions. And, if taken from what the pc says to Examiner or from auditors’ comments on Worksheets, they will usually F/N. But mainly they give data.

When regular actions fail, there is always the D of P. “D of P to Interview Richard Roe and find out what he’s trying to do in session. Also how he looks, mannerisms, etc.”

Data, Data, Data. Now you have a picture of this case.
COMBINED ACTION

Usually, by prepared lists issued or from C/S prepared lists, the C/S finds and gets handled by the auditor in the same session much of what is wrong. This combines finding out with handling.

Any prepared list carried to F/N on each read (Method 3) or the indicated action done will give case gain. Maybe it’s all the case gain one could ask for.

But such reads even if F/Ned and the text in the Worksheet give the C/S new data about this case.

BROAD SHOOTING

Even if he now KNOWS, the C/S does not narrowly shoot at one target. He gives alternatives as well in his C/S.

Example: C/S knows pc is concerned about F/Ns. He does not necessarily just write “Prepcheck F/Ns”. Instead the C/S writes “Assess Auditors, Auditing, Dianetics, Scientology, F/Ns, Processing, false reads. Prepcheck each reading item, taking largest read first.” This gives a broader band, more chance of hitting the button needed.

There are many ways to do this. Example: You “know” it is a misdefined word. You don’t C/S “Find the misdefined word”. You write, “Assess Method 3 and Handle the Word Clearing Correction List”. For you see, the session might also have been run over an out rud.

EVALUATION

To abruptly C/S everything the pc has just said is a Q and A. But worse, it can lead to evaluation.

LITTLE FLAGS

Pc Remarks are like little Flags that may signal a much deeper deposit of aberration. Only the little flag shows. “I don’t like women,” can uncover a whole background. “I keep getting this pain in my side” opens the door to a whole chain of operations and one to be done next week!

But by the broad rule, the C/S doesn’t dive at it. He says “Pc has pain in side. I . C/S 54.”

Not “List the somatics in his side”. But a whole coverage of accidents, illnesses. One will also have a side pain as a result. “Appendicitis Operation” is enough to give anyone a pain in the side if never audited out!

TAGGING CASES

A C/S who sees a case is thick foldered and not well tags the case “Resistive”. There are 7 resistive cases listed in the Class VIII material. For this the C/S has “GF40 Expanded Method 3” and then handles the lists and engrams indicated in it in his next C/S.

If this doesn’t handle, the case is in an out Ethics situation that should be looked into.

The C/S mentally tags the easy ones and the tough ones. The tough ones he plays on the Resistive Cases side.

The C/S can also find an auditor considers a fast case a bad case when it is just a fast case.
The primary record is the pc’s folder. When the case does not run well it can be assumed that the case is

(a) Resistive
(b) Errors have been made in auditing.

These two assumptions are valid in all cases which do not easily resolve. They are both valid because the case, being resistive, was running poorly, was hard to audit and C/S earlier.

From the folder, from prepared lists, from C/S’s own additions to prepared lists, from C/S’s own prepared lists, from 2wc on questions and from D of P Interviews one can get ENOUGH DATA TO INTELLIGENTLY PROGRAM AND C/S A CASE.

All this may seem very obvious. BUT, in word clearing the most Common C/S error has been to fail to order a Word Clearing Correction List done. Instead one reads, “Correct the last word found”. This misses that the whole thing may be being done over a withhold or ARC Break. It might be another word entirely. So a C/S who does this risks the wrong target. He is not C/Sing broadly enough.

Also one sees a repair or life program consisting of two or three special processes and without any lists at all.

One also sees a program which seeks to handle several things the C/S “knew” were wrong followed by “8. C/S 53, 9. GF 40X, 10. C/S 54.” Having gone, this program then seeks to find out. It’s quite backwards.

Thus the C/S who goes before he knows is going to have an awful lot of no F/Ns at the Examiner.

The watchword is KNOW BEFORE YOU GO.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
C/SING FOR NEW AUDITORS OR VETERANS

There is a considerable difference between C/Sing for internes and new auditors and C/Sing for veterans.

This shows up mainly in C/Sing prepared lists.

For an interne or new auditor or one who is not very experienced or expert, the rule is that a C/S gives as little thinking to do as possible in the session.

It is enough for such an auditor to do the actions. It is too much to also ask him to use judgment or work something out while auditing.

A veteran on the other hand knows the tools so well that he can also figure out what to do.

Example:

C/S for non-veteran:
1. Assess GF Method 5 and return to C/S.

C/S for a veteran:
1. Assess GF Method 5 and Handle.

It is quite a trick to assess a whole list, then take the biggest reads and handle. It is quite beyond an auditor who is still worrying about his TRs or how you run a meter.

In an effort to speed up lines or escape work, a C/S can err badly in this. It becomes mysterious why Word Clearing Correction List ceases to work, why F/Ns are few at the Examiner.

Giving an inexperienced auditor the responsibility for assessing a list and also handling it is in fact asking him to audit and to a faint degree C/S in the chair. It is quite beyond a green auditor.

Given that he knows his Tech, most of a C/S’s troubles come from

(a) Asking green auditors to follow C/Ses for which they have not studied the HCO Bs or on which they have not been crammed,

(b) C/Sing for green auditors to decide something in session or combine actions such as assessing and handling without a new C/S in between,

(c) Not sending the auditor (green or veteran) to Cramming for every goof,

(d) Having no Cramming.

It takes a while to make an auditor.
The C/S is responsible for all actions in the session. He has only himself to blame if he is asking someone to C/S for him in the chair.

It is easier to plan out and write up the needed GF actions (or any other list) from the Method 5 reads than it is to correct a messed-up handling. It does not save any time at all but more than likely makes new problems for the C/S.

It is very easy to have even a green auditor assess some prepared list. One can even now say, “Take the list just assessed and do 2wc on each item I have marked. Carry each E/S to an F/N before leaving it.” The C/S simply puts a dash ahead of each item that read in the assessment.

The C/S can also number the items in different order than the list (because of better programming or bigger reads) and have each one handled to F/N.

An L3B can be ordered “Method 5” and then the C/S can get it back and precisely order what’s to be done with its reads. And in what sequence.

This is true of any prepared list.

The only small hitch is that a C/S has to be there and available so as not to stall the session. Even so, in the long run it is faster because less mistakes are made. Assess—send to C/S—handle. Instead of “Assess and Handle”.

This even applies to a C/S 53 or C/S 54 or White Form or GF 40X. Any prepared list.

Perhaps this will greatly improve your F/N VGI ratio.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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F/Ning AUDITORS

Students who study well are said to be F/Ning students.

An auditor who is auditing well could be said to be F/Ning the whole time.

When an auditor goofs or is having a rough time because of his own TRs and misunderstood words and lack of data, he is not F/Ning.

A C/S who lets an auditor struggle along without insisting on a Cramming being in existence and without sending an auditor to Cramming on each goof is actually condemning the auditor to a miserable time.

When an auditor’s production is low and when he is making goofs, he is not an F/Ning auditor. This shows up heavily in the Exams of his pcs. These Exams will drop away from F/N VGI.

An auditor should be sent to Cramming when his production is low or he goofs in order to get his TRs, misunderstood words and lack of data remedied.

Cramming should be carried out until he is F/N VGI.

EVERY AUDITOR LEAVING CRAMMING SHOULD GO THROUGH THE EXAMINER.

The Exam report with TA and needle state and indicators should be done exactly like a pc report.

Compliance reports on the Cramming cycle should have the Exam report attached so the C/S can see if the fault was remedied. If it was, then it will be F/N GI.

This also puts Cramming on its toes.

An auditor, just crammed, who doesn’t F/N VGI should be hauled straight back into Cramming for the cycle is incomplete or invalidative or faulty in some way.

Cramming Officers who win on auditors and students are F/Ning Cramming Officers.

C/Ses who send auditors to a good Cramming for every goof will wind up as F/Ning C/Ses.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
AUDITING OF STAFF & PUBLIC


Just as the PR man has his own “Public” so does the Case Supervisor.

C/Ses are responsible for the case condition and well-being of all their public Pcs and C/S for these Pcs, not for the Registrar or the Org Execs. If well audited, these Pcs will go on to training and will also enhance the Org’s reputation in the field, which will make other public desire the services of the Org.

The Staff C/S is responsible for the well-being of the staff in his organization as per HCO PL 20 July 70, “Cases and Morale of Staff”. If the staff are regularly audited and patched up, then they will be happier, they will have more R on Scientology and the Org will function better. This is classified under correction of the machine (Product 2) whereas auditing of paying Pcs would be correction of the product (Product 4 in the Product Org Series).

The Staff C/S would regularly overhaul the folders and note the outnesses which need correcting. In other words, if a staff member slumps (PTS) he is priority for a session. Somewhere along his auditing history he was flying. Then somebody came along and did a goofy action, which has lain dormant in the folder waiting to be rectified, while he received more auditing. Hence FOLDER ERROR SUMMARIES. There is always one big “WHY” such as an overrun Exteriorization Remedy.

Staff members classified as “24 hour repair” must get auditing first. Those who are doing well can be audited after the “24 hour cases” have been pulled out of the mud.

The C/S must keep Ethics notified as to the progress of roller coastering staff members as well as PTS paying preclears.

An executive who suddenly goes “splooie!” should receive immediate attention. He probably had something badly upset him in his environment, or else he recently had some lists done—which most probably look fine. However, we know that a sudden heavy ARC Break like that is probably a wrong item.

The worst ARC Breaks were caused by a bad list. C/S Series 53 and GF No. 40X Revised will unearth these.
The Staff C/S can keep a tally of staff as to their condition in a log book. I.e. good and bad. A new C/S who comes on post and finds 35 24-hour repairs out of a staff of 50 would be suspicious (think of the paying Pcs who just never showed up for more!).

He would embark on a project to have these cases investigated (by folder inspection) and handled.

When the staff is in “F/N” condition then the Staff C/S would concentrate on getting his staff up the Grade Chart.

Lt. Quentin Hubbard  
Class XII C/S  
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AUDITOR’S WORKSHEETS

A very fast way for a C/S to do himself in is to fail to insist on GOOD LEGIBLE HANDWRITING.

When a C/S has auditors who can’t write well and rapidly, he gets misunderstood words when he tries to read the worksheets.

One temporary solution is to make the auditor block print the word in red above each hard to read word. Some auditors go to an extreme of block printing the whole WIS.

The more permanent solution is to have Auditors in Cramming practice writing WELL and CLEARLY no matter how slowly and then, maintaining the same clarity, speed it up. The auditor after many such practice sessions winds up writing clearly and fast. This can be increased until an auditor can write clearly as fast as people talk.

The occasional headaches a C/S might get are not from the restim of the case he’s studying but are from the words on W/Ses he can’t make out.

If a C/S does not insist on both block print clarification and auditor writing practice, he will wind up not reading worksheets and may even get foggy about certain cases.

A remedy is to go back to the first folders not understood and get the words clarified and then keep this C/S Series HCO B IN.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

PS: In the 19th Century secretaries wrote beautiful copperplate longhand faster than a man could talk. So don’t say it can’t be done.
THE CODE OF A C/S

References:

HCO B 15 Nov 69 Case Supervision, How It Goes Non-Standard
BPL 15 Nov 69R Rights and Duties
HCO B 17 Sept 68 Gross Case Supervision Errors
HCO B 22 Sept 71 The Three Golden Rules of the C/S
   (C/S Series 61)
HCO B 19 June 71 C/S Series 46, Declares

This is the Code of a C/S as regards his Auditors and their Pcs for whom he is C/Sing.

1. I promise to know my Dianetics and Scientology totally cold up to the Level at which I am C/Sing.

2. I promise never to look for some imagined error in Tech Data but always to look for and find the real error in the auditing, programming or C/Sing.

3. I promise never to treat a case as “different”.

4. I promise that if I cannot find the reason why a session has failed from the folder that I will suspect a False Auditing Report and get the Pc asked about the session and get data as to why it failed.

5. I promise never to punish an Auditor for querying a C/S.

6. I promise to refrain from discussing or mentioning data from Pc folders socially.

7. I promise to correct my Auditors’ application of Tech positively without invalidation.

8. I promise that I will order the Auditor to Cramming or retraining for any flunked session.

9. I promise never to order an unnecessary repair.

10. I promise never to use repair processes to get case gain when the Pc needs the next grade.

11. I promise never to give verbal C/S instructions but always to write them down.

12. I promise never to talk to the Auditor about the case.

13. I promise never to talk to a Pc about his case.

14. I promise to send the Pc to the Examiner or D of P, to get data, if unsure why the folder has been sent up for C/S.

15. I promise never to be reasonable as a C/S.
16. I promise to maintain sufficient Ethics presence to get my orders followed.
17. I promise never to issue involved repair orders.
18. I promise never to follow C/S advice from a Pc but I will accept the Pc’s data.
19. I promise that I will ALWAYS read through the Pc folder before C/Sing a case.
20. I promise I will always have the folders of cases in trouble casewise, ethically or medically reviewed to find the Out Tech.
21. I promise never to put a Pc on a grade to “solve his case”.
22. I promise to always order a repair of a misaudited grade until the End Phenomena has been achieved.
23. I promise to advance the Pc up the Grade Chart in the proper sequence.
24. I promise never to order a grade run that the Pc is not set up for.
25. I promise never to indulge in the practice of “hopeful C/Sing”.
26. I promise never to C/S a session I cannot read but will instead return it to the Auditor for clarification.
27. I promise to make every effort to find and point out an actual goof and send the Auditor to Cramming.
28. I promise never to invalidate or harass an Auditor for a correct action or when no Technical goof has occurred.
29. I promise to recognize and acknowledge a Technically perfect session.
30. I promise to see that a Pc or Pre-OT who knows he has made an EP is sent to Exams and C&A to attest.
31. I promise never to send a Pc or Pre-OT who hasn’t made it to declare and attest.
32. I promise to see that Pcs and Pre-OTs who haven’t made it are handled until they have made that specific Declare.
33. I promise to complete cycles of action on the Pc and never start a new one while an old one is still incomplete.
34. I promise to ensure that the Auditors for whom I am C/Sing continue to improve in skill and training level.
35. I promise to maintain a standard of the highest Professional conduct.
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Cramming Series 9
C/S Series 68

THE C/S AND CRAMMING CYCLES

A fast way for any C/S to go into Doubt about the skills of his Auditors is to send them to Cramming and get only a "done" back.

Cramming is there to find the real cause of any error. So if the real WHY is not made known to the C/S he has a "something is wrong with Joe’s TRs" which hangs up in time and never is resolved.

A response from Cramming to an order from the C/S to “check his TRs— Pc’s TA went low in session—” which states: “I checked his TRs and they are good. But he audited the Pc in a room that was overhot and the cans were too big. He has been drilled on Auditor’s Code and session environment handling and HCO Bs on TA Errors and now has this down pat. It won’t happen again,” leaves the C/S in no doubt as to what really happened. What’s more he can order this repaired on the Pc by a “2wc on times he felt worried about his TA or F/Ns” taken E/Sim to F/N (which will clear it up).

Furthermore the Auditor now knows that the C/S knows what the real error was, doesn’t get hung with a withhold or a false idea about his TRs from the C/S.

In essence one is putting the Exact Truth on the line.

So the following rule is now mandatory in all HGCs and Quals:

THE CRAMMING OFFICER IS ALWAYS ON ANY CRAMMING ORDER TO REPORT THE EXACT OUTNESSES FOUND OR THE EXACT SESSION GOOFS, WITH ANY ADDITIONAL DATA, IN DETAIL, TO THE C/S.

A C/S receiving a Cramming Order back giving no Why or an unreal Why that does not make sense when compared with the session and its results MUST return the Cramming Slip to the Cramming Officer requiring the Why be found or the wrong Why abandoned and the real Why found and corrected.

A good C/S should know his Data Series down cold and be able to spot such outpoints at once. He would go over the session with the Cramming Officer and point out what it is he wants handled.

This data is not theoretical but is taken from actual practical experience in C/Sing.
MANDATORY C/SING CHECKLIST

These are the actions, compiled from earlier LRH HCO Bs, that are always done by a C/S whenever he C/Ses any folder.

All the C/Sing data in the world would be of little use if these mandatory actions were omitted.

1. **INSPECT THE EXAMINER’S REPORT** to see if the Pc thought the session was okay and if the Examiner’s notation of TA, needle and indicators shows it was F/N GIs.

2. **INSPECT THE PRE-SESSION C/S** to see what was previously ordered done.

3. **INSPECT THE LAST SESSION** to see if the C/S was done. (Check that each separate part of the C/S was done.)

4. **INSPECT IN THE WORKSHEET THAT EACH STEP OF EACH PROCESS OR ACTION WAS CORRECTLY DONE INCLUDING CORRECT COMMANDS USED AND EXPECTED PC RESPONSE FOR THOSE COMMANDS.** (For Dianetics this would be the 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 sequence and A, B, C, D, etc. to see if it was standard. Other processes and actions have their own steps—which the C/S must know in order to be in compliance with the High Crime PLs.)

5. **INSPECT CAREFULLY THAT ALL LISTING ACTIONS HAVE BEEN CORRECTLY DONE;** find out if there was mislisting.

6. **INSPECT THAT F/N, COG, AND VGIs OCCURRED AT THE END OF EACH PROCESS AND ACTION (each chain in Dianetics) AND AT SESSION END.**

   (Text is seldom read unless the session did not go well. If you can’t read the reports, send them back to have the Auditor overprint illegible words. Never try to C/S an illegible worksheet.)

7. **HAVE THE EXAMINER ASK THE PC WHAT THE AUDITOR DID IF THE AUDITING REPORTS DON’T SHOW THE ERROR AND SEEM FALSE** (i.e. Auditor’s account of session doesn’t match Pc’s statement and indicators at Examiner).

8. **GIVE THE SESSION A GRADING OF VERY WELL DONE, WELL DONE, WELL DONE BY EXAM, NO MENTION OR FLUNK** depending on what was found in above points.
VERY WELL DONE if all the above points are okay and the session is exactly by the book.

WELL DONE for F/N, VGIs at session end and at Examiner—no major tech errors but not exactly by the book.

WELL DONE BY EXAM for F/N, VGIs at session end and at Examiner but Admin and session actions not OK.

NO SESSION GRADE MENTION if the session end was F/N, VGIs but the F/N wasn’t present at the Examiner—provided there were no major tech errors in the session.

FLUNK FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

- F/N did not get to Examiner and was not present at session end.
- Major errors or flubs occurred like no EP, multiple somatic run, unflown ruds, mislisting, etc.
- C/S not followed or completed.
- Auditor’s Rights errors occurred.
- No F/N and BIs at Examiner, etc.

9. **WRITE OUT IN TRIPlicate on any out tech and auditor goofs—indicate the right outcomes and cramming cycles for them.** One copy goes to D of P to Auditor, one copy goes to Cramming Officer and one copy is kept as a check to see that the order is done.

10. **NOW INSPECT—IS THE CASE RUNNING WELL AND IS IT CORRECTLY PROGRAMMED? DO WE JUST CONTINUE? DO WE REPAIR RECENT GOOFs AND CONTINUE? DO WE NEED FULL FES OR LISTS TO FIND THE BUG IN THE CASE AND THEN REPAIR THAT (INCLUDING PREVIOUS INCOMPLETE CYCLES)?**

11. **NOW CHECK THE AUDITOR’S C/S FOR THE NEXT SESSION—DOES IT FOLLOW THE PROGRAM FOR THE CASE IN THE FRONT OF THE FOLDER OR DOES IT Q AND A AND GO OFF IN ANOTHER DIRECTION?**

A. Does it recommend to continue with the next action on the case program, if the case is doing well and the last session went okay?

B. Does it recommend the necessary brief repair action and then continue the program actions if the case has been running well but there has now been an error on the case?

C. Does it recommend a program to debug and handle the case if it is not running well or has started to do poorly in life (Ethics conditions, accidents, etc.) (including use of lists to get data or an FES, etc.)?

It is the C/S responsibility to ensure that all Ethics, medical and other relevant reports are included in the Pc folders and that Pcs are not audited while under heavy ethics actions.

12. **APPROve THE EXISTING C/S IF IT IS OKAY IN LINE WITH THE ABOVE, OTHER WISE CORRECT IT OR WRITE UP AN ENTIRELY NEW C/S.**
13. WRITE UP A CRAMMING ORDER ON THE C/SING ACTION IF THE AUDITOR WAS WAY OFF ON HIS C/S (OR ADD THIS TO THE EXISTING CRAMMING ORDER FOR THAT SESSION).

14. “If the case is not running well and generally, the C/S goes back to the point where the case WAS running well (good wins) and comes forward. The largest bug on the case often will be in the session later than the last good one. The C/S should correct the bad session. Where this does not resolve the case, a study for incomplete programs and other outnesses should be made with a program to complete and handle.” LRH

IMPORTANT:

Keep the three Golden Rules of C/Sing always in the above actions. They are:

1. NEVER FAIL TO FIND AND POINT OUT AN ACTUAL GOOF AND SEND THE AUDITOR TO CRAMMING.

2. NEVER INVALIDATE OR HARASS AN AUDITOR FOR A CORRECT ACTION OR WHEN NO TECHNICAL GOOF HAS OCCURRED.

3. ALWAYS RECOGNIZE AND ACKNOWLEDGE A TECHNICALLY PERFECT SESSION.

The C/S must drill on this bulletin until he knows each point by number just the way a Dianetics Auditor is required to know points 1 to 9 and A to D. He can also keep a copy of this bulletin on the wall over his desk, to refer to until he knows the sequence cold. Even then he should refer to it from time to time to ensure he drops out none of the steps.

Auditors writing up C/Ses for the next session must refer to this bulletin also.

(This BTB is compiled from earlier C/S Series HCO Bs and from the following earlier LRH HCO Bs:

HCO B 1 Sept 68 “Points on Case Supervision”
HCO B 11 Sept 68 “Case Supervisor Data”
HCO B 8 Oct 68 “Case Supervisor—Folder Handling”
HCO B 28 June 69 “C/S—How to Case Supervise Dianetics Folders”.)
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C/S Series 69 ADDITION

C/SING CHECKLIST

(If a copy of C/S Series 69 is posted on the wall, also post this.)

Nothing in this checklist for C/Sing relieves the auditor or C/S from full knowledge of the entire C/S Series. Nothing in the C/S Series is changed by this checklist.

ADDITION

No. 10. Add. The time-honored way of seeing what has to be repaired in a Case not running well is:

GO BACK IN THE FOLDER TO WHERE THE CASE WAS RUNNING WELL AND COME FORWARD.

The major error or departure is in the very next session after that. The bugs after the high point should be repaired as the fast action to set the case going again.

The repair and handling of bogged cases is the finest skill of a C/S. Really it is why he is there.

To do this he has to know the C/S Series thoroughly, know all the materials of all levels he is C/Sing better than the auditor.

The use of prepared lists, WC Correction List, Green Form, C/S 53, Hi-Lo TA, GF 40 RR, Int-Ext Corr List, L1C and others, including “Have Examiner ask the pc what happened in session” are used to get information and correct as well as folder studies. KNOW BEFORE YOU GO.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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HOW TO WRITE UP A CRAMMING ORDER

There is a certain technology on how to write up a Cramming Order.

1. Isolate and state briefly the exact outnesses (in the Pc folder or staff member area).

2. Order those HCO Bs or PLs crammed.

The Cramming Officer also looks in a slightly wider circle around the data flunked and locates which basic is involved (i.e. Auditor’s Code, TRs, metering, handling a session, handling the Pc as a Being, or student basics and staff basics) and gets that crammed, too.

The Cramming Officer is not bound to accept any Cramming Order if his own investigation proves that something else entirely needs correction. It is part of the Cramming Officer’s responsibility to prevent Wrong Target correction. According to Qual Senior Datum, the Cramming Officer must not take orders but must do his own investigation and handling. It will be found that there is usually a valid corrective action to be made. He does not just waive the cycle if the original order is incorrect. He finds out what is really wrong and corrects that.

Written & Revised by CS—5
Ensign Judy Ziff
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C/S Series 71

D OF P OPERATES BY OCAs

A Director of Processing is a director of PROCESSING of cases.

All his functions are involved with this. He MUST understand his title and what its duties involve.

It is his job to get people PROCESSED.

To do this he has to KNOW (a) what people there are to be processed, (b) how much processing they will need, (c) what facilities can be maintained and expanded to get processing done and (d) to see that the processing is paid for and occurs.

The D of P does not have to be a C/S or to know C/Sing.

ALL HE HAS TO KNOW OF TECH IS HOW TO READ AN OCA, IQ, APTITUDE AND OTHER TESTS.

He does not even have to open a folder. If all he ever looked at was a pc’s OCA (Oxford Capacity Analysis or by some other name) the D of P would win every time.

If the D of P considered his job as “To raise OCAs with paid for processing and to be sure the pc is happier” he would be performing his duties.

To raise OCAs one has to know how to “read” an OCA. That’s easy. It says how right on its border. Unacceptable, Needing Improvement, Desirable, etc.

An OCA with any point on the left side of the graph in low or undesirable range means the pc is out of valence. Any low point on the right side of the graph means the pc is crazy.

If the graph is not in the desirable range and the pc happy and looking better, the HGC has not done its job yet.

The D of P goes wholly on the idea of MORE AUDITING when he wants to raise a graph or IQ.

It’s not up to the D of P what is audited only that auditing is done. The C/S, if he knows his business, will say what is audited. The D of P just knows MORE AUDITING.

A D of P can tell by the OCA improvement and improvement of TONE and APPEARANCE of the pc and what the pc says in an interview whether the required high quality result has been achieved. If it has not, then it’s MORE AUDITING.

The REGISTRAR can have very similar functions as to graphs and where there is no D of P the REGISTRAR must do these things.

A D of P who has a backlog is a dog. It means he isn’t getting auditors or recruiting Academy students or getting people to Auditor Interne and isn’t BEING by DEFINITION a D of P.
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If there is an “ARC Broken field” look at the D of P. He didn’t see that the OCA was raised and that the pc was happy before he left the org.

A good D of P has a potential processing line of EVERY OCA EVER GIVEN BY THE ORG.

He is in the business of raising graphs and making people happy with their auditing IN PAID VOLUME. If his HGC isn’t turning out 700 well done hours a week, he’s failing. If he is, he’s a success. If he turns out more, a second HGC is needed.

The traffic cop is the D of P.

He has to know what traffic he will have and what traffic he does have.

He can be defeated by a poor registrar, a poor C/S and a poor Qual. Therefore he has the right to demand these people get hatted. But he only has the right if he himself is hatted and doing his job. Given that he can demand Comm Evs.

If a D of P exists, knows his job and does it an org will become prosperous.

The first thing he has to know is the meaning of his TITLE.

The second thing is that his job is getting OCA graphs raised IN PAID FOR VOLUME.

(By current US rates a D of P should be running at least a $17,000 cash gross of auditing through an HGC each week to be considered a competent D of P.)

Any “field ARC Breaks” is a direct reflection on the D of P. He didn’t raise graphs and see people were happy before leaving.

During periods when the post of D of P was empty or “not on the org board” or not filled, the org has slumped.

The post is very important.

It is also a very simple, direct post.

Its duties are covered in C/S Series 25 along with others. But his use of the OCA is not listed there.

Procurement of auditors is currently the weakest point of a D of P’s duties. Without this he cannot deliver volume. I have known Ds of P to train auditors themselves to have auditors and others to train Academy Graduates after the course to have quality.

There are no limits on what a D of P can do—

So long as he is DIRECTING PROCESSING and RAISING OCAs in paid volume.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
WORD CLEARING OCAs

An illegal practice has been uncovered in which the words on the Oxford Capacity Analysis, American Personality Analysis and other tests have been word cleared by testers and Directors of Processing.

Example: Pc does an OCA (or any test) that shows a state of case in July. He gets auditing. He takes another test that shows what the auditing did by August. If somewhere along this line a test I/C or D of P word clears him on the test, the test will change. Entering this variable wipes out any possibility of establishing what the auditing did for the case.

Example: If a child is measured as to height and then fed certain foods to see if he will grow and then someone changes or stretches the tape by which he was measured, you can’t find out if the food did any good.

In science this is known as holding a constant.

We don’t give a hoot in hell if the pc understands the test or not. The next time he takes it he’ll probably have the same misunderstands but he’ll have a change of opinion or even have a new cleverness or better memory and the test will change.

Therefore none of these things may ever be done:

1. Never tell the pc the right answers to a test.
2. Never tell a pc to look up words on a test he doesn’t understand.
3. Never word clear the question sheet for a pc on any test.
4. Never answer a pc’s question as to what a question means.

DO THESE THINGS

A. Be sure any test person grasps this HCO B fully so he knows what a test is and why we test people.
B. Never let a person who falsely reports routinely near a test line.
C. Safeguard test answer sheets from being known or seen by unauthorized personnel.
D. Use 2nd test and 3rd test question sheets, each different from the 1st one. (Tests are issued this way.)
E. Give other tests (Aptitude or OTIS etc) to compare with the second or third OCA or APA if it is in doubt to see if the OCA has been “word cleared” or falsified.
F. Groove in Examiners: Give a meter check on ALL ATTESTS at the Examiner. “Do you have any doubts or reservations concerning attesting to (whatever the attest is)?” Note any INSTANT read (a latent surge can occur as a protest).
question is asked before the question asking him if he wants to attest. E.g. “Do you have any doubts or reservations concerning attesting to Word Clearing Method I complete?” No instant read. Then ask the attest question “Would you like to attest to______?”

Never let an Examiner permit any attest or pass to even be asked for if the meter tone arm is high or low or not F/Ning. If an INSTANT read is gotten on the first question above, the Examiner does not ask the second question, and sends the folder back to the C/S.

G. Require a meter check at Success with the TA position and needle behavior noted on the Success form. Those with high or low TA and/or not F/Ning are not valid success stories. The success person makes the meter check after the story is written, notes it without pc seeing it and smiles and acks. He does not refuse the story as it will ARC Break the pc. But he must call it to the attention of the Dist Sec and Qual Sec that a false attestation and poor result came from Div IV and it must be taken off Div IV’s stat.

H. Both Examiner and Success must know of the False TA HCO Bs so they don’t put the pc on wrong cans or use cans when the auditor used footplates.

This safeguards our test line.

The test line is a check on C/S and auditing quality. We are not trying to find out if Dianetics and Scientology work. We know that. We are trying to find out by test, Examiner and Success if it is being properly taught and applied in Div IV and Dept of Pers Enhancement.

HONESTY is a primary requirement on test lines. PR types that falsify to attain status or seem good fellows need not apply for these posts and shouldn’t be on them.

THE PC OR STUDENT DEEP DOWN KNOWS WHETHER HE HAS MADE IT OR NOT.

If you or tests tell him he’s made it when he hasn’t he will get a false opinion of you and doubt you.

If you tell him he hasn’t made it when he has he will get a false opinion of you.

He will think you don’t know your business and blow.

SANITY is basically HONESTY and TRUTH.

When false data or altered data is entered this is ABERRATION.

So be honest and run a sane D of P, Examiner, Success and TEST line.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 72

USE OF CORRECTION LISTS

A current survey shows that the weakest point in C/Sing done in orgs is failure to use Prepared Lists for Case Correction.

There are some other points. For some reason C/Ses are being inventive instead of following the C/S Series and doing standard repairs and grades.

Probably the failure to use Prepared Correction Lists derails the use of standard actions.

There are very few actions which do not have their own Correction Lists.

THERE IS NOTHING IN DIANETICS AND SCIENTOLOGY AS MIRACULOUSLY WORKABLE AS CORRECTION LISTS.

The only things which prevent the list from working are

(a) AUDITOR’S METERING
(b) AUDITOR’S TRs.

METERING

When the auditor’s meter is habitually placed where he cannot see (1) The meter needle, (2) The worksheet and (3) The pc WITH ONE DIRECTED LOOK, then he misses reads.

All three have to be seen at once.

The faults are

i) Eyesight poor

ii) Glasses rims obscure one while looking at another

iii) Position of the meter.

It is a Standard Cramming action to look into these points WHENEVER A CORRECTION LIST IS SAID TO BE BLANK.

For example a GF is done by Auditor A on Monday. It is done again by Auditor B on Tuesday. Reads are found by B. This means Auditor A is missing reads.

THIS IS FAR MORE COMMON THAN BELIEVED.

TRs

When an auditor can’t be heard or is overwhelming the pc the list won’t be valid.
An auditor’s TRs show up more quickly on a Correction List than anything else.

A pc ARC Broken by TRs 0 to IV will not read properly on a Correction List.

**NUMBERS OF LISTS**

The number of Correction Lists is large.

It is unthinkable to do Word Clearing without ever using a WC Corr List. Yet we find folders with bogged Word Clearing sessions where the list was never used.

There is the Green Form for general case upset, the Green Green Form for Solo, L 1 C for ARC Brks over a period, L3B for Dianetic bogs, L4B for listing and nulling goofs, Int RD Corr List for Int-Ext corrections, a Power Corr List for Power, GF 40R for resistive cases, C/S 53 and Hi Low TA for TA misbehavior, L7 for Clearing Course, and others.

C/Ses trying to “solve cases” without using Correction Lists is like trying to repair flat tires without puncture patches—it just CAN’T BE DONE.

**THE PRIMARY TOOL OF A C/S IS PREPARED CORRECTION LISTS.**

It is not inventive ways of “solving cases”.

**METHOD OF USE**

Where you have inexpert auditors you always order Method 5, which is just a full rapid assessment. Then the C/S sorts out the reads and C/Ses what to do as very well covered on the lists themselves and the C/S Series.

Then the auditor does the C/S.

A Green Form is *always* done this way. It will bog on any other method like 3.

There are different methods of handling lists. L1C is always done Method 3, carrying each read as it is found Earlier Similar to F/N.

A GF 40R is done Method 3 and then the engrams are run for each read where engrams are indicated.

It’s up to a C/S to use Correction Lists, to coach his auditors into proper list use and to get corrected any misuse.

A C/S who can’t or doesn’t use Prepared Correction Lists isn’t a C/S at all but a “person puzzled about cases”.

Correction Lists, standard programs and the Grade Chart and Grade Commands and materials.

These are the tools of the C/S.

There are NO others.

A C/S is one who uses these things. He is Supervising that they are used when they are supposed to be.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
THE NO-INTERFERENCE AREA

From R6 Solo to OT III one does not do anything except keep the pc winning for R6 Solo to OT III.

This is the critical band of the Gradation Chart.

On Flag it was learned the hard way that you don’t do other major auditing actions between these two points.

Example: Action—Completed R6, Clear and OT I, then a Dianetic Completion was attempted. Result—failure. Right Action—Complete Dianetics before R6. Right Action—let it go until OT III well begun, then complete Dianetics.

Example: Pre OT doing OT II. A new PTS RD is done. Failure. Right Action—do it before R6 or after OT III.


EXCEPTION

It will be found that a pc cannot confront doing Solo Grades. The reason will be found to be Drugs. All pcs who “cannot run engrams” CAN run Drug Engrams. They are afraid because they get into the bank heavily when on Drugs. Only Drugs can be run.

So a pc who has “done R6 and Clearing Course but hasn’t made it” will be found to be a rabbiting (frightened and running away) druggie. He can and will run Drug Engrams.

Thus the right action is to do a full Drug Rundown, then start the pc all over again at R6.

It is an exception only because he hasn’t done his Solo anyway.

REPAIRS

Where a Pre OT hasn’t made the grade of a Solo level (or gets sick afterwards) a full repair must be done and the failed grade must be completed before he goes on up.

It is possible to repair a Pre OT between R6 and OT III so long as you are not trying to handle his whole case but only repairing the grade he missed.

TRs

Never order TRs after Solo Materials study or before OT III is attested.

TRs should be done before or during Solo Auditing study but not after materials are issued. And the TR Course may not be done from then on to OT III.
A partially completed earlier TR Course found to be hanging up a pc on Solo Grades can be handled to completion and should be. This does not mean long additional hours of TR 0. It usually means word clearing on the TR materials and rehab.

MAJOR ACTIONS

It is a very losing game to throw a major rundown in between R6 and OT III. Such as L10 after Clearing and before OT I. The result is a mess.

The way to recover such a blunder is to get the pc rehabbed or to a rest point and then finish up the Solo Grades to OT III attest and then complete the rundown.

SET UP

It is therefore VERY important that a pc be fully set up including Dianetics before he is let onto R6 Solo materials study.

AUDITING SKILL

None of this states that you cannot improve a pc’s auditing skill between R6 and OT III (excepting only TRs).

BIG wins are to be had by doing so.

THE MAJOR CAUSE OF FAILURE ON SOLO GRADES IS THE INABILITY TO AUDIT.

You can take a Pre OT who didn’t really make Clear or OT I and move him back to R6 study and retread him as an auditor and then let him move back up the line and he’ll win.

The sources of failure on Solo are

1. No Drug RD.
2. Dianetics Incomplete.
3. Case not set up.
4. Inability to audit.

SUMMARY

Realize that from R6 to OT III you have a closed band for other major actions.

So don’t let people onto R6 Auditing who have points 1-4 out.

If it has happened, patch it up as you can and let the pre OT get on with it.

Then after the first OT III attest, do whatever you like or that needs to be done before sending him on to OT IV.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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TALKING THE TA DOWN MODIFIED

The expertise of talking the TA down should be preserved. It is a skill.

But we have had high and low TAs solved for nearly a year and don’t have to talk them down anymore as a constant action.

Auditors SHOULD know how to do it, and then use it as a rare action.

The right way to handle a high TA is to:

Do HCO B 24 Oct 71, HCO B 12 Nov 71, HCO B 15 Feb 72, each named FALSE TA if it has not been done by the auditor on the pc.

THEN if TA is high don’t talk it down or do unusual solutions, do a C/S Series 53 or a Hi-Lo TA Assessment and handle. The Int-Ext Correction List is done as indicated and so is the Word Clearing Correction List.

As far as a C/S is concerned, when the pc’s TA is seen to be high at session start, he should order as follows: “Check as per False TA HCO Bs” then when that is done he orders “C/S Series 53 Assess and return to me”. Or “Hi-Lo TA Assessment and return to me”. He then rapidly C/Ses the required actions.

He should have a standing order with all his auditors:

IF TA IS HIGH OR LOW
AT SESSION START DO
NOT CONTINUE THE
SESSION BUT SEND FOR
A C/S.

An auditor should not in fact talk a TA down, we know now, as he may be auditing over an Out Interiorization Rundown, either not done or botched.

It therefore saves time if other auditing is not done when the TA is high.

In general practice it will now be considered standard for an auditor, Dianetic or upper class, to not start a session over a high TA but to call for a C/S.

And where there is no C/S it will be considered standard for an auditor, seeing a high TA, to at once do a C/S 53 Method 5 (assessing it all), and then handling.

THERE ARE EXACT
REASONS FOR A TA
BEING HIGH AND
THOSE TODAY ARE
EASILY HANDLED.

There is no need to talk a TA down. It is faster to directly locate the reason it is up.

Smoothly handling such situations is the mark of an expert.
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(Urges HCO B 31 July 71 Issue II Corrected “Solo C/Sing”)

URGENT

C/S Series 75

Solo C/S Series 13

PREOTS DON’T C/S

HCO B 31 July 71 Issue II Corrected required PreOTs to C/S their folders for the next session.

I did not write this HCO B.

Research has proven that a Solo PreOT who is required by any C/S to write a C/S for his next session can be put into that next session action.

This C/Sing for himself his own next session violates the “continued session rule” wherein an auditor does not “finish” a session by telling the pc “the process will be continued in the next session”.

This puts the pc into continued sessions and in Solo can put the PreOT from Solo auditing to self auditing. There is a vast difference between the two. Solo auditing occurs in session with a meter. Self auditing is out of session wondering and chewing on bank.

A Solo PreOT must NOT self audit.

He ends the session he has done when he ends session on his worksheet.

He then goes to Examiner and gets his exam. The Examiner sends the completed Exam form to Solo Admin who puts it in the folder.

The Solo C/S, then, from his study of the folder, does the next C/S for the PreOT in proper C/S form. This is a diagonal 2 green stripes on the left-hand corner of the sheet, the PreOT’s name and date in black. The C/S itself is in black pen.

The PreOT takes this C/S and does it in his next session.

In rare instances when the PreOT is going really well, the C/S permits him to do several sessions. The C/S can tell from Exam forms that all is well. This MUST carry a notice “Come in at once to the D of P if you cease to audit or run into trouble. Do this C/S in the next several sessions. Come in for a new C/S the moment you feel this C/S is complete and are ready for a new C/S.”

When no Exam forms come in the Solo D of P chases the pc up.

If a Solo Exam form is bad the Examiner must mark it “Urgent Attn Solo C/S.” IN RED.

Solo Admin must alert the D of P who chases up the pc.

Tab is kept on ALL Solo pcs on lines by the D of P and if one falls off lines the
fact must be visible to the Solo D of P who keeps a board on sessions with all PreOTs’
names on it!

The above is the correct C/Sing line.

The worst features of a PreOT doing his own C/Sing are:
1. He is not a trained C/S.
2. Sudden ideas pop up he wants to handle instead of going on and he gets into an
   offline action when he should keep going.
3. A PreOT can “rabbit” (run away from the bank) by proposing a C/S that does not
   make him confront it.
4. And Last but far from least, a “C/S” by a PreOT is an invitation to the Solo Case
   Supervisor to Q and A with it. (Q and A means to just repeat whatever another
   says as a lazy way out.)

Pc + Auditor is greater than bank.

In Solo Auditing

C/S + PreOT is greater than bank.

PreOTs do NOT C/S their own folders!

THE PREOT DOES KEEP UP HIS SESSION SUMMARY EACH SESSION.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
C/S Series 76

C/SING A PTS RUNDOWN

References:  
HCO B 9 Dec 71  PTS Rundown  
HCO B 20 Jan 72  PTS Rundown Addition  
HCO B 13 Feb 72  PTS RD Additional  
Issue II  LRH Data  
HCO PL 5 Apr 72  PTS Type A Handling  
HCO B 16 Apr 72  PTS Correction List  
HCO B 17 Apr 72  C/S Series 76  
C/Sing a PTS RD (this HCO B)  
Any subsequent issues.

The whole point of a PTS Rundown is to make a person not PTS any longer.

The point is not to just run some processes. It is to have a person all right now.

To really understand this rundown, one would have to know what PTS is in the first place and why one was doing the rundown.

This would apply to the auditor as well as the C/S.

PTS means POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE. It means someone connected to a person or group opposed to Scientology.

It is a TECHNICAL thing.

It results in illness and rollercoaster and IS the CAUSE of Illness and rollercoaster.

When you do a PTS RD on a pc CORRECTLY he or she should no longer be ill or rollercoaster.

BUT THIS INCLUDES THE PERSON HANDLING HIS PTS CONDITION IN THE REAL UNIVERSE NOT IN JUST HIS BANK.

An auditor and C/S must see that the person is:

(a) Handled properly in HCO or by the D of P if HCO isn’t there so that the person handles the PTS Connection itself. (See HCO PL 5 April 72, “PTS TYPE A HANDLING”.)

(b) Do the RD correctly (see reference HCO Bs above).

(c) D of P Interview the person AFTER the RD is “complete” to be sure the person is now all right (not PTS).

(d) Watch the person’s folder for any new signs of illness and rollercoaster and if these occur find out what was missed by assessing PTS RD CORRECTION LIST. (See HCO B 16 April 72.)

(e) Handling the PTS RD CORR LIST.

(f) Re-interviewing to be sure the person is all right now.
DATA

Anyone handling or auditing or C/Sing PTS cases should have done the PACK “PTS, SP TECH” Pack I & Pack 2 which are based on HCO PL 31 May 71 which is the CHECKSHEET for available tech and policy on this subject.

To this checksheet (HCO PL 31 May 71) must be added these issues:

- HCO B 9 Dec 71 PTS Rundown
- HCO B 20 Jan 72 PTS Rundown Addition
- HCO B 13 Feb 72 PTS RD Additional Issue II LRH Data
- HCO PL 5 Apr 72 PTS Type A Handling
- HCO B 16 Apr 72 PTS Correction List
- HCO B 17 Apr 72 C/S Series 76 C/Sing a PTS RD (this HCO B)

Any subsequent issues.

PTS SITUATIONS

The hardest thing to get across about a PTS situation is that it IS the reason for continued illness and rollercoaster (loss of gains).

The condition does exist. It is in fact common.

We do have the auditing tech to handle now.

The material has to be applied correctly just like any other material.

The reason we do the rundown is not to do some sessions or sell some auditing or just explain why the person is like that. We do the rundown so the person will no longer be PTS.

The (EP) End Phenomenon of the PTS RD is attained when the person is well and stable.

As a C/S you MUST put a YELLOW TAB marked PTS on a PTS PC Folder that stays on until the person is NO LONGER PTS.

If you do NOT do this there will be about 25% of your pcs or more that YOU WILL BE IN CONTINUAL TROUBLE WITH! Because you will be C/Sing auditing for a person who is PTS, will be ill, will rollercoaster because the person has NOT been handled to EP on being PTS.

These people, by the way, will tell you, “Oh, I’m not PTS.” “But your father is suing the org.” “Oh yes, I know, but it doesn’t bother me. Besides my illness is from something I ate last year. And I rollercoaster because I don’t like the Examiner. But I’m not PTS.” The mystery is solved when you find they haven’t a clue what the letters mean or what the condition is, so give them a copy of HCO PL 5 Apr 72 and let them read it. If they still want to know more give them HCO PL 23 Dec 65. (Remembering it has to be Word Cleared Method 4 or he won’t have a clue even if he reads it.)

We are on no campaign to rid the world of suppressives when we are handling a PTS pc. But facts are facts and tech is tech.

In handling a PTS person as a C/S you are on a borderline of policy violation unless you make the person do what it says in HCO PL 5 April 72 first. That handles the situation itself. Then you can handle the person with the PTS Rundown.

It is a great rundown. Like any other it has a standard way of going about it.
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The reason an auditor can say he doesn’t “quickie a rundown” (and none ever say they do) is because he has no definition for the word QUICKIE.

The word has been used to designate rundowns that were not completely and fully done.

It is not a slang word.

In the dictionary you will find “Quickie also quicky: something done or made in a hurry. Also: a hurriedly planned and executed program (as of studies).”

What happens in auditing, for instance, is a “Grade Zero Expanded” is “done” by just doing a single flow to its first F/N.

That is obviously “quickie”.

A more subtle one is to do a “PTS Rundown” with no Ethics action to begin and no check for stability, holding gain and not ill a week or two after the RD. Only if both these actions were done would one have a “Complete PTS Rundown” as it would give a PRODUCT = A PC no longer PTS.

So what makes a Quickie “completion” quickie?

Is it length of time? Not necessarily.

Is it fewness of processes? Not necessarily as Power can be done quickie simply by not hanging on for the EP and only going to F/N.

To define COMPLETE gives us the reverse of Quickie.

“COMPLETE: To make whole, entire or perfect; end after satisfying all demands or requirements. “ A Completion is “the act or action of completing, becoming complete or making complete”.

So “completing” something is not a loose term. It means an exact thing. “End after satisfying all demands or requirements” does not mean “doing as little as possible” or “doing what one can call complete without being detected”.

Anything that does not fully satisfy all requirements is QUICKIE.

So “quickie” really means “omitting actions for whatever reason that would satisfy all demands or requirements and doing something less than could be achieved”.

In short a quickie is not doing all the steps and actions that could be done to make a perfect whole.

Standard auditing actions required for ages that auditors cleared each word of each command. Yet when they went quickie they dropped this. When this was dropped, GAINS ON 75% OF ALL PCS LESSEned OR VANISHED. We are right
now achieving spectacular wins on pcs just by clearing up commands and words on all lists. We are finding that these pcs did not recover and NEVER BEFORE HAD BEEN IN SESSION even though previously “audited” hundreds of hours.

By omitting an essential action of clearing commands, processing did not work because the pc never understood the auditing commands!

So quickie action did not save any time, did it? It wasted hundreds of hours!

Quickie Programs are those which omit essential steps like Vital lists or 2wcs to get data. FESs for past errors are often omitted.

To slow down the torrent of quickie actions on clearing commands HCO P/L 4 Apr 72 Issue III “Ethics and Study Tech” has Clause 4 “An auditor failing to clear each and every word of every command or list used may be summoned before a Court of Ethics. The charge is OUT TECH.”

Ethics has to enter in after Quickie Tech has gotten in. Because quickie tech is a symptom of out ethics. HCO P/L 3 April 72 (Est O Series 13) “Doing Work” and HCO P/L 4 Apr 72 (Est O Series 14) “Ethics” are vital know-how where a C/S is faced with Quickie actions—or flubby ones that will not cure.

Essential Quickie Tech is simply dishonest. Auditors who do it have their own Ethics out in some way.

To be sure their confront is down.

There are numerous remedies for the quickie impulse. The above mentioned Policy Letters and plain simple TR 0 are standard remedies. TR 0 properly done and completed itself usually cures it.

Quickie study in ‘67 and ‘68 almost destroyed auditing quality. LRH ED 174 Int which really pushes in Study Tech will achieve the primary reason for quickie-the auditor didn’t understand the words himself.

Wherever Quickie tendencies or false stats (the quickest quickie possible) show up, the above P/Ls had better be gotten into full use fast.

L. RON HUBBARD
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PRODUCT PURPOSE AND WHY AND
WC ERROR CORRECTION

Where untrained Auditors are finding Whys for a Danger Formula, or post purposes or post products as called for in the Est O System you will get a certain amount of error and case disturbance. Such upsets also come from word clearing by incompetent persons.

The C/S should look for these especially when such campaigns are in progress. He should suspect them as a possibility when a case bogs.

A C/S must be sure all such papers and worksheets get into pc’s folders.

A common repair action is to

1. Do an assessment for type of charge.
2. Handle the charge found by the assessment done.
3. Fly all the reading items found on such assessments by 2wc or direct handling.
4. Suspect LISTING ERRORS on any Why or purpose or product found even though no list exists and reconstruct the list and L4B and handle it.
5. Handle word clearing of any type in or out of session with a Word Clear Correction List done in session by an Auditor.
6. When word clearing is too heavy on the pc or doesn’t clean up suspect he has been thrown into implants which are mostly words or the words in some engram. As Implants are actually just engrams, handle it with an L3B.

LISTING

Any item found out of session or by a non-auditor is suspect of being a Listing and Nulling (L&N) error even though no list was made.

TODAY A CORRECT L&N ITEM MUST BD AND F/N.

So treat such items as you would list errors and try to reconstruct the list and either confirm the item or locate the real item (may have been invalidated and suppressed) or extend the list and get the real item.

The real item will BD F/N.

One can establish what the situation is with a post purpose, a Why or a product or any other such item by doing an L4B.

SELF AUDITING

The commonest reason for self auditing is a wrong or unfound L&N item.
People can go around and self list or self audit trying to get at the right Why or product or purpose after an error has been made.

REACTION

NOTHING PRODUCES AS MUCH CASE UPSET AS A WRONG LIST ITEM OR A WRONG LIST.

Even, rarely, a DIANETIC LIST can produce wrong list reactions. Ask the pc for his somatics and he blows up or goes into apathy. Or blows. Or attacks the auditor.

ALL of the more violent or bad reactions on the part of the pc come from out lists.

Nothing else produces such a sharp deterioration in a case or even illness.

OUT LISTS

Therefore when one gets a sharp change in a case (like lowered tone, violence, blows, “determination to go on in spite of the supervisor”, long notes from pcs, self C/Sing, etc, etc, the C/S SUSPECTS AN OUT LIST.

This outness can occur in regular sessions even when the item was said to BD F/N.

It can occur in “Coffee shop” (out of session auditing of someone), or by Est Os or poorly trained or untrained staff members or even in life.

PTS

When such actions as finding items by non-auditors are done on PTS people the situation can be bad, so one also suspects the person to be PTS to someone or something.

“PTS” does not communicate well in an assessment question so one says, “Someone or something is hostile to you” and “You are connected to someone or something that doesn’t agree with Dianetics or Scientology.”

REPAIRS

The main things to know when doing such repairs are (a) that such situations as wrong lists or upset people can occur in an org where untrained people are also using meters and (b) THAT IT IS UP TO THE C/S TO SUSPECT DETECT AND GET THEM HANDLED IN REGULAR SESSION.

Do not ignore the possible bad influence.

As the good outweighs the bad in such cases, it is not a correct answer to forbid such actions.

It is a correct answer to require all such actions and worksheets become part of the folder.

One can also persuade the D of T or Qual to gen in the people doing such actions. And do not ignore the effect such actions can have on cases and do not neglect to include them in C/Ses before going on with the regular program.

They can all be repaired.
Interviews to discover a PTS condition are done on a meter with all reads marked.

The Interview asks (a) about persons who are hostile or antagonistic to the pc, (b) about groups that are anti-Scientology, (c) about people who have harmed the pc, (d) about things that the pc thinks are suppressive to the pc, (e) about locations that are suppressive to the pc and about past life things and beings suppressive to the pc.

In doing the Interview the Interviewer must realize that a sick person is PTS. There are no sick people who are not PTS to someone or a group or something somewhere.

A somewhat suppressive pc will find the good hats suppressive. This does not relieve his condition. He is PTS to SP people, groups, things or locations, no matter how SP he is.

He can have been audited by someone he knew in an earlier life and who goofed the session. A few auditors have since been declared. Not because they goofed but because they were SP.

However, some PTS pc will make trouble for good people because that is what PTS means (Potential Trouble Source). So do not buy all the good people he is PTS to.

Further, when you do get the person or group or thing or location the PTS person will F/N VGI and begin to get well.

The PTS condition is actually a problem and a mystery and a withdrawal so it is sometimes hard to find and has to be specially processed (3 S&Ds) to locate it. Usually it is quite visible.

Don’t have a sick, rollercoaster pc appear for Interview and then say “not PTS”. It’s a false report. It only means the Interviewer did not find it.

The pc sometimes begins to list in such an Interview and such an Interview where a wrong item is found has to be audited to complete the list or find the right item. (See C/S Series 78, HCO B 20 Apr 72, Issue II.)

So Interview worksheets are VITAL.

The Interview should end on an F/N.

The Interview is followed by the Ethics action of HCO PL 5 April 72 or other Ethics actions such as handling or disconnection and posting as called for in policy. An Interviewer has to use good TRs and operate his meter properly and know 2-way comm and PTS tech.

Some Interviewers are extremely successful.

Such Interviews and handling count as auditing hours.

When properly done, plus good auditing on the PTS RD, well people result.
IMPORTANT

**C/S Series 80**

**“DOG PCs”**

AN AUDITOR WHO CANNOT AUDIT, WHOSE TRs ARE OUT, WHOSE METERING IS BAD AND WHO NEVER KEEPS THE CODE ALWAYS SAYS HIS PCs ARE DOGS.

When you find an auditor on this route, the remedy is:

1. Show him this HCO B and explain to him that an auditor is not likely to get any real results when he is so out of ARC with pcs.
2. P/L 3 May 72, 2 lists L & N by an auditor.
3. Get off his overts and omissions on pcs and pull his w/hs.
4. Check out his meter position so that he can see needle, paper and pc all in the same look without eye shift and drill him to do so.
5. Educate his left thumb so that he corrects a TA on BDs and catches the F/N and doesn’t leave the needle stuck to the right of the dial while the pc F/Ns and corrects only after the F/N has been O/R.
6. Make him do an Electronic attest and get his TRs up to where the pc has a chance to be in session.
7. WC M4 him on his materials so he isn’t swimming in misunderstoods.
8. Tell him there are no dog pcs now and get busy and help them out.

**WHOLE HGC**

An entire HGC can go bad this way. Shortly afterwards it will disintegrate and you will have few or no auditors left.

Some auditor who is covering up his overts, false bonuses or false stats begins it and it becomes “fashionable” to call various pcs dogs. Then other auditors, finding this an easy way to justify not trying hard, follow suit.

Next thing you have no HGC.

**C/S ERROR**

A C/S can err by being too critical of auditors. Or worse he can err by agreeing about what dogs the pcs are. If he does **HE HAS NOT REALIZED THAT HIS C/S EFFORTS A R E BEING WASTED BY THE AUDITOR’S OVERTS, FALSE REPORTS, METERING, CODE AND TR FLUBS.**

The way to handle this in the C/S is:

1. 3 May 72 P/L.
2. M4 on the C/S Series.
3. Require he listen to and okay ok to audit tapes.
4. Get him to come down on critical auditors with the above cramming action.
   Suddenly this C/S will begin to get wins.

CASES

Every “dog pc” investigated traced to incompetent programming, C/Sing, out TRs, bad metering, Code breaks and bad lists.

By forcing an auditor to cool off his opinions and properly handle the pc, each one of these “dog pcs” has begun to fly.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
AUDITOR’S RIGHTS MODIFIED

It occasionally (rarely) happens that an HGC’s line stops and programs do not get finished and pcs go unaudited or sent to Ethics or Cramming instead of getting their programs completed.

It also happens that a D of P becomes incapable of getting auditors to audit per the schedule he writes.

12½ hour intensives drop out. Auditing falls back to the bit and piece game.

The C/S finds all his work in programming wasted as the programs stale date or just get abandoned.

Hours fall. Lines tangle. Tech Services cannot get assignments done.

THE MAJOR WHY OF THIS AND MANY SUCH CONFUSIONS CAN BE TRACED TO AN ABUSE OF “AUDITORS’ RIGHTS” IN PICKING AND CHOOSING PCS ON THE GROUNDS OF “FEELING THEY CANNOT HELP THE PC”.

This “right” is also abused by auditors seeking pcs who F/N easily at the Examiner.

See HCO B 15 June 72, C/S Series 80, “Dog Pcs”.

The refusal to audit is in fact an admission, in most cases, of a feared inability to audit.

Therefore, an auditor may only refuse to audit a pc if a direct personal relationship exists such as husband and wife or some friend’s wife or familial relationship.

An auditor advising others about this or that “dog case” or seeking to exclude pcs from auditing by abusing his “right to choose pcs” is SUBJECT TO COMM EV AND SUSPENSION OF CERTIFICATES UNTIL RETREADED.

For the real why of it is his inability to handle TRs, meter, use the Code or apply Tech.

Nearly every “Dog Pc” has out lists or incomplete chains or is not being run on what needs to be handled. In other words they are simply problems in repair which modern tech handles easily. The drug case who is audited on grades but has had no drug rundown is an example of misprogramming.

The C/S can get many loses and the whole HGC go into a bedlam where you have auditors refusing to audit. Their reasons given are false. The real reasons involve fast F/Ns and bonuses or out TRs, metering, Code breaks and tech.
The D of P has a right, and so does Tech Services, to assign pcs to such and such auditors in the sequence listed without a lot of pick and choose by the auditors.

A C/S has a right to get his programs completed.

12½ hour intensive plans blow up where auditors choose their own pcs.

STATS

The stats of C/Ses and auditors may only be HOURS AUDITED with FES and admin hours separately noted.

The D of P’s stat may only be fully completed cases.

When the stats are this way the C/S can get his programs done without worry.

The D of P can get cases completed.

The D of Tech Services has only completed cases and course completions-for a stat.

HONESTY

Sanity is truth.

Truth is sanity.

The road to truth is begun with honesty.

There was the story of the “man who sold his soul for a mess of pottage” (soup). We could parallel this with the Auditor who sold his case gain for a mess of false stats.

An honest clean job and an honest clean line are the milestones of the road to truth.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
AUDITOR’S RIGHTS ADDITION REVISED

(Reference: HCO PL 8 Sept 70R, Rev. 18 Nov 73, “Examiner’s 24 Hour Rule”, and HCO PL 13 Jan 71, “Exam 24 Hour Rule”.)

It is the established right of an Auditor to use the exact Correction List to repair a specific auditing action, when required.

An Auditor does not have to obtain C/S OK to do a Correction List for a specific auditing action so long as the Auditor has a legal Qual Okay to Audit that specific Correction List.

An Auditor is expected to take a Pc who has red tagged back into session immediately and handle with the right Correction List for that process or rundown, or as authorized in the original HCO B 23 Aug 71, “Auditor’s Rights”.

It is strictly forbidden for an Auditor or any other Auditor to take a Pc back into session without C/S clearance, after the fact of a second red tag. The Auditor has only one chance to repair the Pc with the right Correction List. If this does not handle, the Pc is still red tagged and the folder must be gotten to the C/S fast and the Out Tech corrected within 24 hours of the original red tag.

The earlier issue of this Bulletin which permitted Auditors to count auditing hours lost on a salvage red tag session is cancelled.

Additionally, if a red tag Pc is NOT handled immediately by the Auditor concerned, the existing penalty of loss of the auditing hours which resulted in the Red Tag session is DOUBLED. For example, if the Auditor audited a 2 hour session, he is penalized 4 hours if he fails to take his Pc straight back into session.

This DOUBLE penalty is purely for the Auditor concerned and does NOT affect or change other penalties connected—the Examiner’s 24 Hour Rule per HCO PL 8 Sept 70R and the Paid Comps penalty per HCO B 30 Aug 71 RC, Rev. 6 Feb 74.

The intention here is to increase Auditor responsibility for the preclear and eradicate the incidence of Out Tech.

Qual Secs must get in on Policy daily HGC Auditor and Interne study, TRs and drills period. This will eradicate Out Tech and increase daily stats. Continuous restudy, TRs and drills, done on a daily basis, will create a crack team of Auditors. The datum that the number of times over the materials equals certainty and results still stands. If an Auditor is not flubless to his Class of training, Superliterate or not, he has areas of misunderstandings and nonapplication to be found and handled.
The first responsibility of an Auditor is his preclear and getting that preclear through, by application of flubless tech.
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On two certain subjects the “Interest?” question is omitted from Dianetic R3R patter.

On drugs and when running Evil Purposes or Intentions one does NOT ask the pc if he is interested in running the item.

The requirement on both drug items and intentions is that the item read on the meter (suppress and inval can be used) and has not been run by R3R previously.

Many pcs, it has now been found, have replied “No, no interest” on a drug item, the item has not been run and the pc then continued to have trouble with drugs.

Checking back pcs who returned to drugs after auditing showed “drug rundowns” that were so brief as to be nothing. One pc who had been on LSD for years had only a 1 hour quickie drug rundown. Later this person relapsed.

Tracing this, in each case the “Interest?” question had been used and the pc had replied “No interest” BUT MEANT “I’M NO LONGER INTERESTED IN DRUGS.”

So Drug items that have read are run R3R without asking for interest. The command is simply omitted.

In Expanded Dianetics the same thing has occurred in running Evil Purposes or Intentions. The Auditor asked the pc if he was interested in running the item and the pc said “No” and so it went untouched. But the pc had it confused with interest in doing the purpose and missed running it and then fell on his head later. Tracing the case back it was found that R/Ses and such had not been run due to the pc saying “No Interest”.

Nothing bad will happen if the item is run.

C/S RESPONSIBILITY

The C/S must keep telling his auditors, on drugs or Expanded Dianetics, “Omit asking for interest on R3R on these (drug) (intentions). Run them if they read on the meter.”

REPAIR

In repairing cases it is good sense to check this point on drugs and intentions to see if they were neglected in R3R due to “no interest”.

If so, then have them run and the case will suddenly do well.
CORRECTION LISTS

This BTB gives the major correction lists used in auditing with a brief statement of their use, EP and relation to Grade Chart.

"IMPORTANT NOTE: AUDITORS MUST BE EXCELLENT ON TRs AND METERING AND ASSESSMENT DRILLS BEFORE BEING PERMITTED TO TOUCH ONE OF THESE LISTS AS THEY OTHERWISE MISREAD, CALL WRONG READS, GET NO READS, DRIVE TA UP OR DOWN AND BOTCH THE CASE UP FURTHER. USE CRAMMING ON TRs AND METER BEFORE LETTING AN AUDITOR DO ONE OF THESE LISTS." —LRH.

**L1C:** Used by auditors in session when an upset occurs, or as ordered by C/S to handle ARC Breaks, sad, hopeless or nattery pcs. It is assessed Method 3. It can also be done M5 on a very ARC Broken pc. EP is area of BPC handled with pc F/N and VGIs. The L1C is not continued beyond a good cog with VGIs and a wide F/N.

**GREEN FORM:** Used for general case clean-up particularly on an out rud type pc or when ruds won’t fly. It is not used to handle high or low TA. Assessed M5 to provide data for the C/S then each read handled in accordance with C/S Series 44R. EP is each read handled to its EP. May be reassessed after handling all reading items if heavily charged on first assessment. Can also be done M3 to a good win and F/N VGIs.

**FALSE TA CHECKLIST:** (HCO B 29 Feb 72, Revised 23 Nov 73) Normally done early in auditing, especially if TA high or low. Prevents unnecessary repair due to wrong cans or grip. Is usually only done once. Do not suddenly interject this action into the middle of a session nor change from cans to footplates mid-session due to TA going high.

**C/S 53RH:** This is the basic list to get TA up or down into normal range. Assessed M5, reading items handled then reassessed, etc. to F/Ning assessment. Done well with good basic auditing this action should not need to be frequently repeated on a case, TA going high or low in later auditing after C/S 53RH already fully handled is normally handled with the correction list for that action (e.g. L4BR when TA high after listing or WCCL on word clearing, etc.). EP is C/S 53RH F/Ning on assessment with TA in normal range.

**LIX HI LO TA LIST:** (BTB 1 Jan 72) Normally used for further handling if high or low TA recurring after C/S 53RH completed. Does not supersede individual correction lists where TA went high or low on a specific auditing action. Assessed M5 and each reading item handled to its EP. EP of list is all reading items handled and TA in normal range.

**INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST:** (HCO B 29 Oct 71, Revised 14 May 74) Used when Int Ext reads on any repair list and the Int RD has already been done or corrected, when a bog occurs on the Int RD itself, or if pc upset after Int RD and/or TA gone high or low immediately after. Don’t re-run Int RD—use the correction list. EP is all reading items handled to F/N, EP of Int RD, and Int Ext no longer reading.

**L4BR:** (HCO B 15 Dec 68, Revised 2 June 72) Used for assessment of all listing errors, when trouble occurs on a listing process, when TA goes high or pc gets sick or upset after a session which included listing actions. Does not require C/S permission to use by an auditor within a session when trouble on listing occurs. Always assessed M5 and all reads handled (largest reads first). Used to handle individual lists or listing in general in which case the list can be reassessed after first handling if heavily charged on first assessment. EP is all reads handled to F/N with correct items found and indicated and pc no longer upset by the listing action. Should be taken to F/Ning list for full EP of List correction. There is no limit to the number of times it can be
used but an auditor who requires frequent use of L4BR needs cramming on basic auditing and L&N so he does it right the first time.

**L3RD:** (HCO B 11 April 71 RA, Revised 8 March 74) Used for locating and handling all errors and trouble in R3R actions. Assessed M3 with each reading item handled in full per instructions and continued further only if TA or upset remains unhandled. Is not taken to F/Ning assessment except when used in Dianetic Track Repair RD. EP is difficulty handled with pc F/N VGIs and again running well on R3R.

**GF40XRR:** (HCO B 30 June 71, Corrected & Reissued 13 Jan 72) Used to handle resistive cases (TA in normal range but not responding well to auditing). Assess M3 with all reading items taken to F/N per instructions, then handled in depth with L&N and R3R processes. Normally done only once if done properly. EP is all reading items handled, pc no longer resistive and making good progress in auditing. Note that a pc can be made to appear resistive by poor basic auditing and failure to use the right correction list when needed.

**PTS RD CORRECTION LIST:** (HCO B 16 April 72) “This Correction List is assessed and handled after a PTS Rundown has been done on the pc. It also serves as a checklist of expected actions with the Rundown.”—LRH. It is always assessed M5. EP is pc no longer upset, each reading item taken to EP.

**WORD CLEARING CORRECTION LIST:** (WCCL) (BTB 21 July 71, Revised 31 March 72, 30 Dec 72) Used to handle any upsets or high or low TA occurring during or shortly after word clearing. If a pc gets sick after word clearing, don’t start running R3R, use the WCCL. If TA goes high during or shortly after word clearing, don’t use a C/S 53RH, use the WCCL. This list can be overused by using every time the TA starts to go high in word clearing instead of simply completing the clearing of the word or subject started. Assessed M5. EP is all reading items handled to F/N and pc again running well.

**STUDY CORRECTION LIST:** (BTB 4 Feb 72, Revised 5 Oct 72) Used to handle outnesses in a person’s earlier studies which prevent him from progressing well in current study or make him antipathetic towards study. Done as part of the Primary Correction Rundown. It is not used as a substitute for correct application of study tech on the person’s current course. Assessed M5. EP is all reading items fully handled and an F/Ning list on final assessment. The full EP of pc willing and able to study well would require each step of Primary Correction RD completed in sequence if pc had been having study trouble. (Ref: HCO B 30 March 72, Revised 30 May 72.)

**AUDITING TOOLS DRILL**

It is vital that any auditor or C/S knows exactly which correction list to use and when to use it. This is particularly true of auditors in session who can and must use the L1C, L4BR, L3RD or WCCL in session when trouble occurs in order to quickly remedy the action and complete the session successfully without having to end off prematurely for C/S instructions.

For this purpose the auditing tools drill has been developed by LRH. In this drill the coach has a pile of the various correction lists, holds each up in any order and asks, “When do you use this?” “How do you use it?” Auditor must answer correctly without comm lag. When the auditor can do this without error coach then varies the drill by giving session situations and auditor must state the appropriate corrective action to be taken.

A flunk is always handled by having the student restudy the relevant HCO B (never by coach interpretation). Any disagreement or confusion is handled by appropriate word clearing on the materials concerned.

**REPAIRING THE PC INSTEAD OF THE AUDITOR**

Excessive use of repair lists and having to redo correction lists already done results from flubby auditing. Don’t just carry on repairing the pc. Get the auditor crammed especially on basic auditing, especially TRs and metering. Then you can get the pc rapidly and effectively repaired and back onto the Gradation Chart.

“DO NOT LET AN AUDITOR TOUCH SUCH LISTS ON A PC UNTIL HE HAS BEEN CRAMMED ON TRs, METERING AND ASSESSING.”—LRH.

**HANDLING TROUBLE BEFORE IT OCCURS**

To use a correction list in session every time the TA starts to go up or pc gets misemotional is Q&A and will slow up auditing tremendously. The correct action is to be an expert in basic auditing.
to know the action you are running, and run the process to its EP. TAs do go high and low during processes. Pcs do sometimes get misemotional when running certain actions. This doesn’t call for a correction list as there is nothing to correct. One simply completes the process.

**GRADATION CHART**

The EP of a Repair Program is stated in C/S Series 3 as “the pc feeling great and feeling he can get case gain”. It does not say every correction list or every repair action ever devised having been run on the pc.

If one goes past the EP of repair you then will have to repair the pc.

**THE CASE GAIN AVAILABLE TO THE PC IS CONTAINED IN THE PROCESSES OF THE GRADATION CHART WHICH IS THE BASIC AUDITING PROGRAM OF EACH PC.**

Failure to repair a pc who does need repair denies that pc the gains obtainable from processes. Repairing a pc who is doing well or continuing to repair a pc beyond the EP of repair also denies the gains of auditing.

The solution is to be an expert in basic auditing, know the processes down cold, know uses of correction lists and use them only when required and then use them correctly and get the pc back onto the Gradation Chart with a minimum of delay.
FLUBLESS C/SING

A C/S cannot C/S flublessly while he has ANY Auditors flubbing.

The standard procedure is

1. The C/S makes sure Tech Courses are taught okay and raises hell until they are.
2. C/S makes sure Qual has a Cramming Officer and crams him until he gets flubless Cramming and can Supervise TRs, do WCing Method 7, Method 6, Method 4, can correct metering and has packs to hand for reference.
3. The C/S follows a very standard handling of auditors:
   A. 1 error of any kind— instruct by reference to HCO B.
   B. A second error of any kind—send to Cramming and get the Auditor crammed at once, without any loss of auditing time but before the Auditor is allowed to audit further. (This is 2 hours, not 2 days!)
   C. A third error of any kind—RETREAD, wherein the Auditor’s weak areas are located and the Auditor has to M7, M6, M4 and restudy the materials of that area. This takes the Auditor back to Step A.

A retread under a good Super takes 4 or 5 days.

Now if the Auditor again errs he goes to Step A.

If he goes the route again he hits RETRAIN and is retrained fully like any other student. His PRD is done or verified and he goes through the course starting with basic books. This puts the Auditor back to A.

But if he now lands at RETRAIN again he is given a full and complete RETRAIN from his earliest contacts with the subject.

It is highly unlikely he will flub further but if he does, he should not be on auditing at all.

FALSE REPORTS

A falsified Auditing report puts the Auditor at once at retrain as he is not sufficiently aware of the potentials of the subject to know he can get results and does not have to be dishonest.

TR 0

OT Zero and TR 0 are the keys to good auditing.

2 C/Ses were found in orgs who “wouldn’t let the Auditors do TR 0 because of their cases”. Both orgs had horrible stats and bad results and ARC Broken fields.

OT Zero and TR 0 are a routine action for Auditors. They do TRs in spare time, not because they are being Crammed, just to get professional.

Every Cramming Order includes TRs, especially Zero, to also be done on the auditor’s own time.

This gets the Auditor up to really Confronting. His errors come mainly from an inability to confront (and from faulty metering or misunderstands or out ethics).

OT Zero and TR 0 are the keys to flubless auditing.
Auditors using LRH tapes and electronic attest (and with OT Zero, TR 0, metering, and Mis Us cleaned up and Ethics in) become very spectacular auditors in terms of results. Results bring pride.

Auditors who get results are happy auditors. And the above is how, the standard how, to get them to get results.

EASY C/SING

Only if he spends some of his time TRAINING, as above, can a C/S ever get down to really C/Sing cases and getting programmes DONE.

SUMMARY

The above is the way I C/S and handle Auditors as a C/S.

I long since found that the flubby Auditors were the ones who consumed the C/S time. The ratio is $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours to $6\frac{1}{2}$ hours wherein it only takes me $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours to C/S piles of folders when I have the auditors auditing honestly and flublessly and it takes me $6\frac{1}{2}$ hours when I have some flubbers.

It is neither kind nor decent to let Auditors lose. Only when I (or MSH) have not been doing the C/Sing has auditing gone wrong in any area where I was.

This is traced directly to the drop-out of the above actions. So it is the above actions which give standard results and any C/S who omits them (to be a good fellow, or “these are my friends”) is an Auditor killer.

Auditors sometimes achieve a high status and are “above being crammed”. Well watch it, watch it because they will fall on their heads with a crash.

An auditor is not unlike a race horse. He needs a lot of care and handling. And he needs his periodic drills and exercises or he goes sloppy. Like a race horse, a good auditor is very, very valuable. And all good auditors are made by C/Ses!

The proof is that even the best go bad when they no longer have a tight C/S rein. Experience has taught that. The exceptions are very, very few and you don’t have any of them.

It takes me about 3 or 4 weeks to get an auditor through his course and doing a good flubless job. The majority of Scientologists want to be auditors. So you have Auditor scarcity? That’s a laugh.

It’s the C/S! The Course Super, the Cramming Officer.

And it’s done just exactly as above.

Given the materials, there is no other answer. So stop dreaming of hiring or getting perfect Auditors.

The ones you have are fine. Get more.

And do the above! ! !

The auditors must not blame the pc (nor must you), the C/S must not blame the auditor. It’s you, the Course Super and the Cramming Officer. And mainly you the C/S.

You can and must build a corps of good auditors.

Or you’ll never make it as a C/S.

And listen, if you don’t make it as a C/S, where’s the world?
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CATASTROPHES FROM AND REPAIR OF
“NO INTEREST” ITEMS

I have done a review of several failed cases which blew or went bad after auditing.

THE COMMON FACTOR IN EVERY ONE WAS CASE BY-PASSED DUE TO “NO INTEREST”.

The auditor finds a reading drug item or an evil purpose and proposes to run R3R on it. The auditor asks if the pc is interested in running it. The pc says, “No.” The auditor does not run it. BANG, we have a BY-PASSED CASE.

The pc will blow or go sour or not recover.

One of these cases was unchanged after “a drug rundown”. He had a pair of eyes that looked like blank discs. Check of folder showed all major drug items “not run due to no interest”. The solution was to recover the lists, run the items that had read R3R triple and complete the case.

Another one blew. His folder was examined. Every evil purpose had been left unrun! Of the items from the “Wants Handled Rundown” the intentions were mislisted. The drug rundown failed due to “no interest”.

Each flubbed case I am finding has had his drug items and evil purposes left unrun on R3R due to “no interest”.

So DON’T ASK FOR INTEREST ON INTENTIONS, EVIL PURPOSES AND DRUG ITEMS.

IF THEY READ, RUN THEM!

REPAIR

1. On any stumbling case that has had a “drug rundown” or Expanded Dianetics get the Folder FESed to see if reading items were left unrun on R3R Triple. List them chronologically, early to late.

2. Get the case back, with an R factor of “Incomplete”.

3. Run every one of those unrung drug items, intentions and Evil Purposes.

4. If the items don’t now read, then get in Suppress and Invalidate on them.

5. If the case bogs do L3RD Method 5 and Handle on that chain only.

6. Go on with the action and complete it.
There is a precise line for handling Red Tags which must be put in and maintained.

A Red Tag is a large red card placed on the outside front cover of a Pcc folder which indicates that a REPAIR SESSION must be done within 24 hours. An FES may be called for but does not waive the 24 hr rule.

An Examiners 24 Hour Rule

A Red Tag is placed on the front of a folder by the Pcc Examiner, C/S, Senior C/S or Qual Sec for one or more of the following reasons:

A. No F/N at Exams after a session, word clearing, product or post purpose clearing or Why Finding or 3 May 72 PL or PTS Check.

B. Roller-coaster bad exam report within a few hours of a session.

C. Pcc ill within a few days of any major case action, or word clearing, product or post purpose clearing or Why Finding or 3 May 72 PL or PTS Check.

D. Flunked Declare of any major action or Grade, accompanied by a BER.

This pertains to staff and students as well as HGC Pcs.

The Red Tag Line is handled in the following manner:

1. PC RED TAGS, AS PER A—D ABOVE.

2. EXAMINER PAPER CLIPS A RED TAG TO THE EXAM FORM, LOGS THE EXAM IN THE EXAMS LOG BOOK IN RED AND BODY ROUTES (OR GETS IT BODY ROUTED BY QUAL PAGE) THE EXAM FORM INTO THE HANDS OF THE DTS FOR IMMEDIATE HANDLING. THE DTS NOTIFIES THE D OF P.

3. If red tagged after a session the Auditor is expected to take the Pcc back into session for the appropriate correction list. If this has been done yet the Pcc remains red tagged the Auditor must immediately write up the session for the C/S. The DTS in this case verifies that one or the other of these actions is occurring.
4. The D of P is responsible for seeing that an Auditor is assigned to the Pc.

5. The DTS is responsible for seeing that the folder gets to the C/S and that all necessary arrangements are made to get the Pc and Auditor into session as rapidly as possible.

6. The C/S is responsible to see that the folder is immediately C/Sed and the Auditor corrected. He puts the exam Red Tag on the folder.

7. Once the folder is C/Sed the DTS ensures that the session takes place.

8. After the session is given and Pc is now F/Ning, the Auditor, Pc and folder revert to routine traffic lines. The C/S would remove the red tag at this point.

9. ALL red tags must be seen by the Snr C/S preferably before the next session. This may not always be possible in orgs where the Senior C/S has other duties.

   In no case should this take more than 24 hours and, in most, it should be handled the same afternoon or evening.

   None of this, of course, relieves the Auditor (even if taken off the case by reason of retread, retrain or higher class Auditor needed) of his responsibility for seeing that his Pc is rapidly handled and F/Ning again.

   As the HGC operates on Intensive Auditing, bit and piece repair actions would be disruptive of scheduling and delivery. For that reason an Org would do well to invest in a Qual Emergency Review Auditor to handle Word Clearing and Why Finding flubs, assists for loss, illness and injury, student review, etc. In that case the DPE and Qual Page would substitute for D of P and DTS in the line. The HGC would handle its own red tags as part of their intensives.

   In order to ensure no red tagged Pcs get lost, on lines and not handled in 24 hours the following must be done:

   Pc Examiner sends a daily list at the end of each day of all Red Tags to the Cramming Officer and Senior C/S. For the C/S this provides a confirmatory line against his own marked Red Tags for the day. For the Cramming Officer, this provides data on who should be sent for Cramming. If those persons do not report for Cramming within 24 hours, the Cramming Off must report to the Dir Correction or Qual Sec for investigation and handling. The Examiner must verify that any Red Tagged Pc has been handled within 24 hours or report any not handled to the Senior C/S, Qual Sec and Dir I & R for investigation and handling. When handled he crosses off the Red Tag in his log.

AOs AND SOLO

All the above applies to Solo Auditing also and a Red Tagged Solo Auditor must keep himself available for a Cram, Solo Repair C/S or Review Session. His folder would get immediate C/S attention.

Regardless of whether the Red Tag was handled or not any non-F/N session logged by the Examiner is included in the Senior C/S F/N Percentage stat. The Pd Comps bonus for F/N percentage remains as per the Pd Comps BTB.

A D of P is well advised to have an Auditor on standby who is not assigned regular Pcs, to handle Red Tag repair sessions when the Auditor cannot complete the action, through retread or retrain required.
The Qual Sec and Senior C/S are responsible for getting this line in and drilled and the Qual Sec is responsible for maintaining it. A wall chart should be made up for drills and Chinese School.

PENALTY

“Penalty for violation of the 24 Hr Rule is loss of a day’s stats for the Division, the day being that day when the unrepaired flub occurred and subtracted at the time the flub is found”—HCO PL 8 Sep 70RA, EXAMINER’S 24 HOUR RULE.

If all on the line assume their share of responsibility for the well-being of Pcs, the penalty should never occur.
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NULLING AND F/Ning PREPARED LISTS

A prepared list is one which is issued in an HCOB and is used to correct cases. There are many of these. Notable amongst them is C/S 53 and its corrections.

It is customary for the auditor to be required to F/N such a list. This means on calling it that the whole list item by item is to F/N.

Now and then you get the extreme oddity of a list selected to exactly remedy the case not reading but not F/Ning.

Of course this might happen if the list did not apply to the case (such as an OT prepared list being used on a Grade IV, heaven forbid). In the case of lists to correct listing, and in particular the C/S 53 series, it is nearly impossible for this situation to occur.

A C/S will very often see that the auditor has assessed the list on the pc, has gotten no reads, and the list did not F/N.

A “reasonable” C/S (heaven forbid) lets this go by.

Yet he has before him first-class evidence that the auditor

1. Has out-TRs in general,
2. Has no impingement whatever with TR-1,
3. Is placing his meter in the wrong position in the auditing session so that he cannot see it, the pc and his worksheet,
4. That the auditor’s eyesight is bad.

One or more of these conditions certainly exist.

To do nothing about it is to ask for catastrophe after catastrophe with pcs and to have one’s confidence in one’s own C/Sing deteriorate badly.

An amazing number of auditors cannot make a prepared list read for one of the above reasons.

Putting in suppress, invalidation or misunderstood words on the list will either get a read or the list will F/N.

The moral of this is that prepared lists that do not read F/N. When prepared lists that do not read do not F/N or when the auditor cannot get a prepared list to F/N, serious auditing errors are present which will defeat a C/S.

In the interest of obtaining results and being merciful on pcs, the wise C/S never lets this situation go by without finding what it is all about.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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If it is found in investigating any situation in the Tech Division or Qual Division that a degrade of Tech has occurred—that the procedure and theory laid out in HCO Bs, Tapes or Books has been downgraded in any way—without effective action to eradicate it at once, the following Policy Letters should be made the subject of extensive and thorough checkouts and implementation programmes, and the basis of Ethics action for relevant Crimes or High Crimes as warranted.

They may be used for the same purpose if Tech or Qual stats are down and do not rise despite standard and routine actions to handle them—indicating hidden suppression of Tech which has not been located and indicated, and which should quickly come to light if these PLs are honestly checked out and accurately applied at once throughout Tech and Qual and Dept 3.

This would greatly assist any investigatory action to find a Why and a Who. When this investigation is complete, the programme to handle should include backing up these Policy Letters as an essential organizing action.

The local LRH Comm is primarily responsible for this action whenever it is needed but no other executive need wait for the LRH Comm to act on the matter if the situation brooks no delay (and any downgrade of Tech is an extremely serious matter) but must inform the LRH Comm who is counted on to support the action if any reasonable evidence is presented that it is necessary. A steep drop or continued Emergency in Tech or Qual GDSes are by themselves sufficient evidence.

Supplementary issues giving sample programmes for enforcement of these PLs will be forthcoming.

Since any situation involving downgrade of Tech implies the existence of Crimes and High Crimes (including the condoning of the downgrade), all personnel in Tech and Qual will be depended on to co-operate fully with actions to enforce these PLs so as to assist investigators to clear them of complicity in the downgrade if it is found to exist.

Misuse of this BTB to bring about loss of traffic in Tech or Qual would be the subject of Ethics action per this BTB. There must be evidence pointing to a definite suspicion of downgrade, so as not to handle something which isn’t there. But the fact of ordering and enforcing these PLs is not itself actionable unless it results in loss of traffic, this loss not being caused by a degrade from other causes.

The HCO Policy Letters are:

14 Feb 65 “Safeguarding Technology”
Reissued 7 June 67
1 July 65 “Ethics Chits”
18 Oct 67 III “Policy and HCO B Alterations”
7 Feb 65 “Keeping Scientology Working”
Reissued 15 June 70
17 Jun 70 “Technical Degrades”
26 Oct 71 “Tech Downgrades”
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F/N WHAT YOU ASK OR PROGRAM

Ref: HCO B 23 Dec 72 Integrity Processing Series 20
HCO B 21 Nov 73 The Cure of Q and A

When an Auditor asks one question but F/Ns something else it is simply a version of QandA.

Example: AUDITOR: Do you have a problem? PC: (ramble-ramble) I was thinking of last night’s dinner. AUDITOR: That F/Ns.

Every few folders you pick up, if you can find examples of this:

The Auditor is not trained not to Q and A.

He is NOT getting answers to his questions.

When the Auditor starts something (such as a question or process) he MUST F/N what he started EVEN THOUGH HE DID SOMETHING ELSE DURING IT AND GOT AN F/N ON SOMETHING ELSE. HE MUST F/N THE ORIGINAL ACTION.

The result can be:

(a) Missed W/H phenomena.
(b) High or low TA an hour after the pc “F/Ned at Examiner”.
(c) A stalled case.
(d) An undone program.
(e) An unhandled pc.
(f) Continual need for repair programs.

To get this disease out of an HGC requires that Auditors go through an Anti-Q and A handling.

C/S Q AND A

C/Ses can also Q and A. They simply handle whatever the pc originates to the Examiner or Auditor, over and over and on and on.

The result is:

A. Incomplete Programs.
B. Tripled or quadrupled C/S effort as the case never seems to get solved.
C. Loads of repair programs.

Yet a C/S who does it will never look for it as THE primary error being committed.

The remedy is to have the C/S do an Anti-Q and A program.
C/S Series 90

THE PRIMARY FAILURE


A C/S who cannot get a result on his pcs will find the most usual biggest improvement by getting the offending Auditors’ ASSESSING handled.

We used to say that “the Auditor’s TRs were out” as the most fundamental reason for no results.

This is not specific enough.

THE MOST COMMON REASON FOR FAILED SESSIONS IS THE INABILITY OF THE AUDITOR TO GET READS ON LISTS.

Time after time I have checked this back as the real reason.

It became evident when one could take almost any “null” (no read) list in a pc’s folder, give it and the pc to an Auditor who COULD assess and get nice reads on it with consequent gain.

Example: Pc has a high TA. C/S orders a C/S 53RF. List is null. Pc goes on having a high TA. C/S gets inventive, case crashes. Another C/S and another Auditor takes the same pc and the same list, gets good reads, handles. Case flies again.

What was wrong was:

(a) The Auditor’s TR 1 was terrible.
(b) The Auditor couldn’t meter.

REMEDY

One takes the above two reference HCO Bs and gets their points fully checked on the flunking Auditor.

The C/S gets the Auditor’s TR 1 corrected. In doing the latter one may find a why for the out TR 1 like a notion one must be soft-spoken to stay in ARC or the Auditor is imitating some other Auditor whose TR 1 is faulty.

QUAL CRAMMING

It can happen that these actions are reported done in Qual and the Auditor still flubs.

In this case the C/S has to straighten out Qual Cramming by doing the above reference HCO Bs on the Cramming Officer and getting the Cramming Officer’s TR I ideas unscrewed and straight.
REQUIREMENTS

It takes correct metering and IMPINGEMENT to make a list read.

If the auditor does not have these, then drug lists, Dianetic lists, correction lists will all go for nothing.

As the prepared list is the C/S’s main tool for discovery and correction an auditor failure to get a list to respond or note it then defeats the C/S completely.

SUMMARY

THE ERROR OF AN AUDITOR BEING UNABLE TO GET A LIST TO READ ON A METER IS A PRIMARY CAUSE OF C/S FAILURE.

To win, correct it!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt, jh
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It has been known for many many years that the phenomenon of “Mutual Out Ruds” existed.

This means TWO OR MORE PEOPLE WHO MUTUALLY HAVE RUDS OUT ON THE WIDER GROUP OR OTHER DYNAMICS AND DO NOT GET THEM IN.

Example: A husband-wife co-audit team never run O/Ws on the rest of the family because both of them have similar overts and so consider it usual.

Example: Prisoners engaged in co-auditing (as in Narconon) may have similar overts, withholds, ARC Brks and/or problems with the rest of society and so do not think of handling them as out-ruds.

Example: Two top class auditors co-auditing, have similar overts on the junior auditors and the org and so never think to get them in.

THIS CAN STALL CASES!

A C/S has to take this factor into account wherever he has a possibility of its occurring.

In one instance mutual out ruds went so far as four auditors, co-auditing, agreeing never to put their overts down on W/Ses “so they would not lose reputation”. Needless to say all four eventually blew.

If the C/S had done a routine check for mutual out ruds, this whole scene would have been prevented and four beings would not have ruined each other.

IN ANY SITUATION WHERE A SMALL PORTION OF A LARGER GROUP IS ENGAGED IN CO-AUDIT THE C/S MUST CHECK ROUTINELY FOR MUTUAL OUT RUDS.

This could even apply to an org or vessel which was separate from the rest of society around it: its members could develop mutual out ruds from the rest of society and cases could fail on this point.

Be alert to MUTUAL OUT RUD SITUATIONS AND HANDLE BY GETTING THEM IN ON THE REST OF THE SURROUNDING PEOPLE OR SOCIETY.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
C/S Series 92R
(Revisions in this type style)

WORD CLEARING ERRORS
(Appplies to Methods 1, 2, 4 and 5
done on a meter.)

The attention of the C/S is called to the revised Word Clearing Series 32RA which requires words be F/Ned and to HCO B 8 July 74 of the Word Clearing Series which requires word clearing errors be RED TABBED and that all Word Clearing worksheets be placed in folders.

Case troubles have occasionally been traced to metered W/Cing over a High TA or failure to F/N words.

This is a hidden area from the C/S unless W/C worksheets are included in folders and the RED TAB system for non-F/N at conclusion is used. Only in this way is a C/S able to get all the data.

Correction of W/C errors is done by a Word Clearing Correction List.

High TA or Low TA at start of a W/C session is usually handled by C/S 53RG.

All “non-session” worksheets such as why finding, contact or touch assists and Word Clearing should go into the pc’s folder.

None of this can be used as an excuse not to word clear somebody. Make a C/S handle that TA fast and Red Tab the folder until handling occurs. Then do the Word Clearing.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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NEW GRADE CHART

The “NEW” thing to do is the Grade Chart. Everything you are doing should contribute to getting the pc up the Bridge. THIS is the Bridge.

There is a new Grade Chart being prepared which has some changes in it, based on recent discoveries. It is urgent that you know of these in advance.

DRUG RUNDOWN

The effects of an omitted or incomplete Drug RD are severe enough to deny a person any lasting case gain.

This is covered in HCO B 31 May 74, “Unhandled Drugs and Ethics”. Some orgs have taken this HCO B so literally however, that they have taken pcs off Adv Cses Grades, refused to do Assists on ill pcs and some showed pcs the HCO B and invaled their gains.

This was not the intention of the HCO B. The C/S Series remain valid.

The Drug RD belongs on the Grade Chart after Life Repair. A Drug RD cannot be done over out ruds and a Life Repair may be necessary to get in a pc’s ruds.

Life Repair is not a prerequisite for the Drug RD, however, and if done is not to be dragged out intensive after intensive. In some cases a pc could not complete Life Repair without a Drug RD.

Following the Drug RD is ARC S/W, then the rest of Dianetics to completion.

EXPANDED DIANETICS

Ex Dn by the way belongs after Grade IV Expanded.

Some pcs R/S and have Evil Purposes to do others in. But no Grade 0 or Grade I or Grade II. What others? Martians?

“Got to secretly do everybody in” probably applies to Apeville some long date ago and he’s never come up to PT.

The answer is to bring the pc up the Grade Chart to Expanded Grade IV then do his Ex Dn.

The prerequisites for Ex Dn are covered on HCO B 23 April 74, Ex Dn Series 22, “Expanded Dianetics Requisites”. Add to that Expanded Grades up to IV and you have it.

GRADE II
Some orgs specialize in Grade II, especially on org staff. The pc is always getting Integrity Processing or his O/Ws pulled on so and so.

If you look on the Grade Chart you will find Withholds and Overts are Grade TWO.

Below Grade TWO lies Grade I (Problems) and Grade Zero (Communications). And below that is Dianetics and at the bottom end of Dianetics is the Drug Handling.

Now how do you expect a fellow who has unhandled drugs (or omitted drug items because of “no interest”) to even know (no Grade 0) that other people are around or that (Grade I) he is caved in with problems he’s never cognited on?

And he’s supposed to have enough responsibility to answer up on Grade II? With real overts and withholds?

This does not mean you must never Sec Check. It does mean that Sec Checks are no substitute for auditing or guarantee of innocence.

Grades are Grades and the Grade Chart sequence is correct.

SOLO SET-UPS

Set-ups for Solo are fully covered on HCO B 8 Jan 72R, Revised 8 July 74, Solo C/S Series 11 R.

This will be included as part of Solo on the Grade Chart as it is a vital step.

Pcs won’t make it on Solo if they aren’t set up.

FULL LIST

Here’s the full list of Grades showing where the various RDs now offered fit.

GROUP PROCESSING—Not mandatory or a prerequisite.

LIFE REPAIR—As needed but not prerequisite for Drug RD. To get ruds in on Life.

DRUG RD, means:

TRs 0-4, 6-9—Mandatory for a Druggie currently on Drugs, FLAT.

Full C/S-1—Where not done. To fully educate pc.

Objectives—Full battery to full EPs per basic books and early HCO Bs on them.

Class VIII Drug Handling—List and rehab all drugs, 3 way Recalls, Secondaries and Engrams of Taking and Giving Drugs.

AESPs on each reading Drug—Listed separately and handled with R3R, each drug to full F/N assessment of Drug List.

“No Interest” Drug Items—All reading ones run where they exist.

Prior Assessment—AESPs listed separately and run R3R, prior to first drug or alcohol taken.

ARC S/W EXPANDED.
DIANETICS, means:

C/S 54—Complete handling of Pc Assessment Form begun with Drug RD.

Health Form—Fully handled to full F/N Assessment.

EXPANDED GRADE 0—As issued.

EXPANDED GRADE I—As issued.

EXPANDED GRADE II—As issued, including Integrity Processing.

EXPANDED GRADE III—As issued.

EXPANDED GRADE IV—As issued.

EX DN—Not mandatory except where pc is a low OCA, an R/Ser (2%, chronically ill or psycho. Means:

Set-ups—Per HCO B 23 April 74, Ex Dn Series 22.

Introspection RD—Where pc ill, introverted or in a psychotic break.

R3R all E. Purps.

OCA Left-hand Side Handling—As issued.

OCA Right-hand Side Handling—As issued, with PTS RD as necessary.

POWER PROCESSING GRADES V & VA—Only prerequisites are Drug RD and Grade IV.

SOLO GRADE VI, means:

Solo Set-ups—Done at SH or AO per Solo C/S Series 11 R.

Solo Auditor’s Course.

Solo Audit Grade VI materials.

CLEARING COURSE

OT I

OT II

OT III

OT VII PROCESSES

OT III EXPANDED

OT IV

OT V

OT VI

FULL OT VII VERIFICATION

OT VIII—When issued.
PROGRAMMING

The C/S Series, especially the early HCO Bs, numbers 1-13R, fully cover the use of the Grade Chart in programming.

THE GRADE CHART IS THE BASIC PROGRAMME OF A PC.

This datum has been neglected in some orgs, who have specialized in the new RDs developed since ’71.

With refinement of repair and corrective actions and the release of new RDs, some may have forgotten that repair is only done to get off the overwhelm so that you can put the pc back on the Grade Chart.

SUMMARY

I thought I’d better fill you in on these changes and how the new Grade Chart lines up.

Make full use of this Chart with C/S Series programming tech in and your pcs will fly.

Here’s to lots of case gain and rave success stories.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rs.rd
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REDUCTION OF REFUNDS
C/Ses AND OVERLOAD

When a C/S is overloaded, he is a potential cause of OUT TECH.

He may try to make up time by not reading worksheets of Auditors, by failing to do Folder Error Summaries, by not taking time to write Cramming Orders and neglecting other C/S duties.

Recent evaluation has shown that OVERLOADED C/Ses CAN BE THE REASON FOR A HUGE REFUND RATIO IN THE ORG’S GI-CGI.

Any non-tech person such as the Ethics Officer can tell at once when a C/S is either not working or overloaded. THE HANDWRITING IN THE WORKSHEETS CAN’T BE READ, WORDS ARE NOT CLARIFIED IN RED, NO FESes ARE SEEN IN FOLDERS AND NO CRAMMING ORDERS EXIST TO MAKE AUDITORS DO HANDWRITING DRILLS TO WRITE FAST AND WELL.

Proper C/S posting was piloted by me on Flag years ago. The existing technical executives failed to export it to orgs.

The irreducible minimum C/S postings are:

SENIOR C/S who handles bugged cases and very upper level actions and keeps the other C/Ses functioning well. He is the highest classed C/S in the org. He is responsible for proper handling and results on all cases. (This is a hat I usually wore in an area.)

EXPANDED DIANETIC C/S who does only Expanded Dianetics.

GRADE C/S who C/Ses Grade pcs.

DIANETIC C/S or C/Ses who handle all routine C/Sing of Dianetics including Drug Rundowns.

There are several other C/S posts. In AOs additionally there are Solo C/Ses. In Saint Hills there are Power (Class VII) C/Ses.

As an org expands it can have additional types of C/Ses. Some of these are:

REVIEW C/S who reviews tech case failures, taking this load off the Senior C/S.

STAFF CASES C/S who C/Ses for audited staff.

STUDENT AUDITING C/S who C/Ses student sessions.

AO REVIEW C/S who C/Ses for fast review on Adv Cse Students.

CO-AUDIT C/S where a Co-Audit exists separate from HGC lines.
WHAT IS OVERLOAD?

When a C/S can’t read every worksheet and study and program every case he has, due to time, he is overloaded.

WASTED C/Ses

To get a Class VI or even a Class IV to C/S lower action folders is a waste of C/Ses since it is easy to train Dianetic C/Ses.

SUMMARY

*TRAIN* AND POST enough C/Ses and watch the GI go up and refunds go down.

It is not enough just to get Auditors and more and more Auditors.

DON’T OVERLOAD C/Ses. GET MORE OF THEM!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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Remimeo
All Students
All HGC Auditors
All C/Ses
All Internes
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“FAILED” CASES

There are no failed cases. There are only failed C/Ses and Auditors.

In a recent test; this was proven conclusively. A number of no-case-gain, slow-case-gain, sickie and “failed cases” were rounded up. Using well trained Flag Auditors and the most basic of lists, every one of these cases was soon flying.

At another time, lists which had been “nulled” by a group of trainee Auditors were then taken over, on the same pcs, same lists, and renulled by Class Xs. Over half the reading items had been missed by the trainees—they simply couldn’t make the list read on the pcs. Yet the lists were as alive as skyrockets. The pcs, under the trainee Auditors, had accumulated all manner of by-passed charge by having reading items ignored. And in some cases, having non-reading items given attention.

To a trainee, all this seems incredible and mysterious. He does not realize how very bad his metering can be, how faint and fainting his TR 1. He has numerous tricks which defeat him—such as keeping his sensitivity on 32 for a pc who only requires sensitivity 1, whereas the Auditor misses all his F/Ns as he can’t keep the needle at set. He doesn’t put his meter so he can see pc, paper and meter dial all in the same scope of vision and misses the reads. His Auditor presence is so poor and his attitude so unprofessional that the pc isn’t really in session. His own introversion prevents him from really observing the pc’s tone or reaction.

All these faults can be cured and HAVE to be before an Auditor can call himself a real Auditor. Short of that he is just a fooling-about dilettante. And he has “failed pcs”.

It takes hard sweating work to get good enough to be a real Auditor. It takes hours and hours and hours of TRs the hard way. It takes a high degree of honesty that includes never faking and going by misunderstoods in his materials, always being honest in his auditing reports, constant practice with his metering, drills with the tone scale and a large degree of self-discipline.

It isn’t “talent” that makes the good Auditor. It is practice and more practice until he himself knows first that he didn’t know and then knows that he really knows.

The source of out tech is only laziness and dishonesty. Someone who is afraid of work thinks he can PR the C/S and the pc, fumble his way through and succeed out of fakery. That route is failure. And it ends in “failed cases”. Don’t be a psychologist or psychiatrist. That was their route.

In the hands of a thoroughly trained and drilled Auditor, Scientology works and works splendidly.

There are no dog cases, no “ncgs”, no failed cases.

But there are “Auditors” who don’t study and drill hard enough to become real Auditors. And there are C/Ses who don’t know their business and who don’t keep up their study and are too lazy to FES or read sessions or cram their Auditors.
There are an awful lot of excellent Auditors and many very fine C/Ses. But in some local areas, where verbal tech gets going and ethics is out, the quality sags. And there you have ncgs and slow pcs and “failed cases”.

Want to know how lazy your C/Ses and Auditors are? How many ncgs and failed cases do you have around? If you have any at all, tech in your area is out.

A C/S 53RJ taken to F/Ning list and a GF40X taken to an F/Ning list will cure any ncg or failed case. BUT it has to be done by an Auditor who has sweated it out doing the checksheets of Qual required to make a list read.

So do not send to find the real who when cases bog or “fail”. Don’t blame and repair cases. Repair the Auditors and C/Ses.

It not only can be done. It is easier to do it than wrestle around with an “ARC Broken field”.

And it not only can be done, it MUST be done.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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C/S Series 96

DEVELOPMENT REPAIR LISTS

THERE’S NOTHING WRONG WITH YOUR CF, YOUR PC, YOUR
STUDENT, STAFF MEMBER OR YOUR OWN DELIVERY THAT A PREPARED
LIST WON’T HANDLE.

“ARC Broken CFs,” blown students, demanded refunds, low success stories,
withdrawn auditors, ineffective staffs are pretty silly problems to have these days.

Many years ago I developed a system called “Prepared Lists.”

These isolated the trouble the pc was having in auditing without taxing anyone’s
imagination and sending the auditor into a figure-figure on the pc.

These prepared lists were assessed on an E-Meter. One took up the biggest read
first and then cleaned up all other reads.

Time has gone on. The system of prepared lists has been expanded to include not
only pcs but students and staff.

It may have gone overlooked that such lists now include anything that could
happen to a pc or student. In other words, prepared lists have become very thorough.

WHO CAN USE

The only reason ever found for prepared lists not working was an auditor’s weak
TR 1 and inability to read a meter.

Even this difficulty has been handled by “Qual Okay to Audit” Checksheets.

Before an auditor should be let near a prepared list he should be put through at
least six “Okay to Audit” short Checksheets in Qual.

Qual is not fast flow. Things done in Qual are Method 4 Word Cleared and
starrated, with all demos and drills. Only if this is done can you have some certainty
that a prepared list will read on the pc and that the pc or student will get handled.

These Qual “Okay to Audit” Checksheets are done AFTER a student has been
trained and classed as an auditor. The “Okay to Audit” is for auditing in an org whether
staff or interne.
The checksheets are:

1. Board Policy Letter 14 Nov 74R Issue I
   QUAL OKAY TO OPERATE AN E-METER

2. Board Policy Letter 14 Nov 74 Issue II
   QUAL OK NO. 2R, QUAL OK TO ASSESS PREPARED LISTS

3. Board Policy Letter 14 Nov 74 Issue III
   QUAL OK NO. 3, QUAL OK TO AUDIT LISTING AND NULLING

4. Board Policy Letter 14 Nov 74 Issue IV
   QUAL OK NO. 4, QUAL OK TO CORRECT LISTING AND NULLING

5. Board Policy Letter 8 Nov 71RB
   QUAL OK NO. 5R, INTERNSHIPS ELECTRONIC ATTESTATION FORM

   TWO WAY COMM CHECKSHEET

Only when these have been thoroughly and honestly studied, drilled and done should an auditor be permitted to assess prepared lists on pcs and students.

It takes standard auditor training to handle the points found reading on a list.

CASE SUPERVISING

A C/S who is trained as a C/S must know what lists to use. And he must see to it that his auditors are trained via the above checklists. Otherwise the lists just won’t read and the C/S, the pc and the org are left up the creek!

LOTS of “lists that didn’t read” are found in folders. I used to make a practice of just having them nulled again by an auditor whose metering and TRs were good and THEY READ AND THE CASE RESOLVED.

PC LISTS

1. HCO BULLETIN 24 NOVEMBER 1973RB, C/S SERIES 53RJ” SHORT HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT C/S.” This is a famous list. It solved the long long problem of high and low TAs and really solved it. Unfortunately it has a name of being done for high and low TAs. In truth it practically handles the whole repair of any difficult case today! One assesses it Method 5. One handles the reads from the top down. It can also be reassessed several times until it F/Ns on a whole M5 assessment. It is quite remarkable what it will do for a case that has been running badly or is bogged, quite in addition to handling high and low TAs!

2. HCO BULLETIN 1 JANUARY 1972RA, “LIX HI-LO TA REVISED.” This is the same list as C/S 53RJ above. It has been brought up to date. It gives the whole question for each subject as in C/S 53RJ and the same handling. It is easier to use on a pc whose attention wanders or who is not very familiar with terms.

3. HCO BULLETIN 29 OCTOBER 1971R, “INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST REVISED.” As Interiorization-Exteriorization problems (when they exist) have to be handled before any other thing is handled, an auditor sometimes assesses another list and then finds himself doing this list. “Int” appears on many other lists and when it reads one does this list. One has to go back and complete the original list of course. “Int” problems cause high TA, headaches and general upset. I’ve begun to think after seeing a lot of headache cases that maybe only Int-Ext problems cause headaches! Instead of repairing Int, sometimes auditors will run it again and again. Also Int can go flat to Cog VVGIIs on an early flow, even a recall flow. Then if one insists on finishing the Int RD, one has trouble and I mean trouble. So this is a valuable list.
4. HCO BULLETIN 15 DECEMBER 1968, “L4BR” “FOR ASSESSMENT OF ALL LISTING ERRORS.” An out list (meaning one done by Listing and Nulling, not a prepared list) can raise more concentrated hell with a pc than any other single auditing error. The amount of misemotion or illness which a wrong list generates has to be seen to be believed. When a pc is ill after a session or up to 3 days after, always suspect that a listing action done on the pc had an error in it. It MUST be corrected. The prepared list L4BR corrects lists of the Listing and Nulling variety. It can be run on old lists, current lists, general listing. There has been no reason to revise this since 2 June 1972. It really works!

5. HCO BULLETIN 19 MARCH 1971, “LIST 1-C.” This is the updated version of the earliest list ever compiled. It is used during sessions at the auditor’s discretion and in other ways. It also prevents some pc from insisting “it’s an ARC Brk” (which never clears) when it’s really a withhold, a common error. It can also be addressed to life. Usually when a session blows up, an L1C is used fast rather than just sit and ack!

6. HCO BULLETIN 11 APRIL 1971RA, L3RD “DIANETICS AND INT RD REPAIR LIST.” This is the key list of Dianetic Auditing and is the Dianetic standby in case of trouble. As the Int RD is also Dianetics, while doing it, one uses L3RD for trouble.

7. HCO BULLETIN 2 APRIL 1972RB ISSUE II, EXPANDED DIANETICS SERIES 3 RB, “L3 EXD RB.” This is the prepared list for Expanded Dianetics.

8. HCO BULLETIN 29 FEBRUARY 1972R, “FALSE TA CHECKLIST.” This was a very important discovery about TAs. One uses this when another list indicates a False TA or one is suspected. Auditors have been known to get so desperate about a pc’s TA that they falsified worksheets. This (and C/S 53RJ) make that totally needless. I’ve seen this change a case from despair to VVVVGIs!

9. HCO BULLETIN 16 APRIL 1972, “PTS RD CORRECTION LIST.” It also gives the expected actions of a PTS Rundown. Doing PTS Rundowns without this prepared list handy can be risky.

10. HCO POLICY LETTER 7 APRIL 1970RA, “GREEN FORM.” This was the earliest Qual Saint Hill weapon (26 June 65) for case cracking. It is modernized up to 29 Sept 74 in the above issue. Used for general case clean-up particularly on an out rud type pc or when rud’s won’t fly. It is not used to handle high or low TA.

11. HCO BULLETIN 30 JUNE 1971R, “EXPANDED GF 40RB.” Called “GF 40X” This is the “7 resistive type cases” at the end of the Green Form expanded out. This is how you get those “earlier practices” and other case stoppers. This done well gives a lot of extensive work in Dianetics. It’s lengthy but really pays off. If you were to do a C/S 53RJ Method 5, all handled, and to an F/Ning list and then do a GF 40XRB, all handled, reassessed to an F/Ning list you would “crack” most cases to a point where they ran well.

12. BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN 28 MAY 1974R, “FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURY AND ILLNESS.” While you don’t put the pc on the cans for this one, you mark it as to the state the pc is in and it says what you do for illness and injury. This one, done correctly, is how the minister runs the medico out of business.

STUDENT LISTS

13. HCO BULLETIN 15 NOVEMBER 1973R, “FEAR OF PEOPLE LIST—R.” This is for the handling of timid tech staff who back off from handling rough pcs.

14. HCO BULLETIN 15 NOVEMBER 1974, “STUDENT REHABILITATION LIST.” This is the one that gets a bogged student sailing, gets a blown student back, gets an auditor back auditing. It even cures the revolutionary student! This is the master list for students—even students in grammar schools and colleges! A real winner.
15. BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN 27 MARCH 1972R ISSUE I, “STUDENT CORRECTION LIST, STUDY CORR LIST- I.” A list for correcting students on course.

STAFF LISTS

16. HCO BULLETIN 27 MARCH 1972R ISSUE II, “COURSE SUPERVISOR CORRECTION LIST, STUDY CORR LIST 2R.” This is to get the Course Supervisor going well.

17. HCO BULLETIN 27 MARCH 1972RA ISSUE III, “AUDITOR CORRECTION LIST, STUDY CORR LIST 3.” This one corrects Auditors who are having a rough time.

18. BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN 27 MARCH 1972RA ISSUE IV, “CASE SUPERVISOR CORRECTION LIST, STUDY CORR LIST 4.” This one corrects Case Supervisors, gets them back on the rails.

19. BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN 27 MARCH 1972RC ISSUE V, “EXECUTIVE CORRECTION LIST, STUDY CORR LIST 5.” This prepared list locates an executive’s troubles and indicates handling.

20. BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN 4 FEBRUARY 1972RD, “STUDY SERIES 7.” A real long workout for a person who won’t study or who is having real trouble on a course. Goes after it in depth. Can be used as a second list to Student Rehab list above or by itself.

21. HCO BULLETIN 21 JULY 1971RD, WORD CLEARING SERIES 35RD, “WORD CLEARING CORRECTION LIST REVISED.” Usually written “WCCL.” This is the famous list that goes with Method 1 Word Clearing or with any Word Clearing bog. Also corrects high and low TA WHEN it occurs in a Word Clearing session. This is the Word Clearer’s friendly friend.

22. HCO POLICY LETTER 9 APRIL 1972, “ETHICS, CORRECT DANGER CONDITION HANDLING.” Locates the trouble area that got him into a Danger Condition. Goes with the famous “3 May P/L” HCO PL 3 May 1972.

23. HCO POLICY LETTER 13 MARCH 1972, “ESTABLISHMENT OFFICER SERIES NO. 5.” An invaluable text and list for PRODUCT CLEARING. It’s a list of what you do to clear products. From it a prepared list can be made.

24. HCO POLICY LETTER 23 MARCH 1972, ESTABLISHMENT OFFICER SERIES 11, “FULL PRODUCT CLEARING LONG FORM.”

25. HCO POLICY LETTER 12 JUNE 1972, DATA SERIES 26, ESTO SERIES 18. A list you assess to locate trouble an evaluator might be having. Also for slow evaluators or slow students on a Data Series Course.

26. HCO BULLETIN 28 AUGUST 1970RA, “HC OUT-POINT—PLUS-POINT LISTS RA.” This is a prepared list that locates the outpoints in a person’s own thinking. When people can’t seem to evaluate (or think brighty) this list will do wonders. Some Data Series Course students make no progress at all until they are assessed on this list and handled.

27. HCO BULLETIN 2 DECEMBER 1974, “DYNAMIC SORT OUT ASSESSMENT.” (Revised from BTB 4 Dec 71 Issue II, Replacing HCOB 4 Dec 71 Issue II R-1C Assessment by Dynamics.) This gets those dynamics that are charged and handles them. Increases social personality and even can shift valences.

WORD LISTS
FOR PREPARED LISTS
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Nearly every prepared list has all its words on a separate sheet, ready for word clearing on the pc. All the words on a list are cleared on a pc without repeating the same word or asking the list question. Such lists are issued for auditor convenience.

A list of these word lists is being issued as HCOB I Dec 74 so that you can match them to the prepared lists in this Bulletin.

OTHER LISTS

There is a whole package of processing, mainly by prepared lists, in Integrity Processing, issued as its own series and now being reissued.

There are great Solo Lists for Solo Repair used on Advance Courses.

And from time to time when a need for prepared list is found new ones will be issued on different subjects.

One can REPAIR a pc or student or staff member. One can also FORWARD a case into new areas with other prepared lists.

MIMEO

Some orgs backlog their mimeos.

The AVAILABILITY of lists to auditors is something which should NOT be neglected. It is highly uneconomical as one loses re-signs and students and staff when prepared lists are in non-existence in an org or even short supply.

Tech is the atomic fuel an org runs on.

KEEP PREPARED LISTS IN SUPPLY FOR USE.

TRANSLATED ISSUES

In non-English speaking orgs lists must be very carefully translated and mimeoed for use. In such orgs, more than any others, great care must be taken to have and use lists as they keep tech straight where it tends to go hearsay and verbal.

---------

So, that’s quite an array of prepared lists, isn’t it?

If they are not in full use in your org don’t wonder about your Delivery Stats Why. Or your org and CF problems. It’s a lack of full use of this tech.

Hidden in these prepared lists is a wealth of tech that explodes into wins for your org, your CF, your pcs and students.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

All revisions by
Materials Chief FB

As approved by
L. Ron Hubbard
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AUDITING REPORTS, FALSIFYING OF

Probably the most covert and vicious crime in auditing is falsifying an auditing report.

At first glance, to someone who is trying to PR himself as an auditor or to escape consequences of session goofs, this might not seem to be the huge crime that it is.

When an auditing report is falsified, means of repairing the pc are denied, out tech and a need for re-study or re-drilling of materials is covered up, out tech is spread about and the repute of the org and Scientology are at risk.

There are many ways of falsifying an auditing report. Chief amongst them is omission of vital data in the report. Another is faking the things run or the pc’s actions or reactions.

To the person doing this it may seem that he has covered up his incompetence but in actual fact it is eventually detected.

A twice declared person recently messed up the cases of several VIPs by simply omitting some of their disagreements with what was being done.

Three SPs, now declared, some years ago had a mutual understanding that they would not put down each other’s withholds. These three also falsified auditing reports to the effect that they had run certain things on pcs “and there was nothing on them,” when in fact they either had not run them or there was reaction which they did not put into the report. They messed up about a dozen people before they were caught and it took many, many hours of careful C/Sing and auditing to salvage those cases (and it also took about two years). They made several hundred serious enemies for themselves and today I doubt any Scientologist would even speak to them and their names are remembered with scathing contempt.

It is not only easy to detect a falsified auditing report, it is also inevitable that it will be detected.

The person whose auditing reports have been falsified is easy to spot in folders and records. The auditor marks “VGIs, F/N” and the examiner notes by-passed charge and Bad Indicators. An auditor seeking to prevent this being detected has been known to take the examiner report from the folder but that there is no examiner report would be the first thing a C/S would notice. Examiner reports have been forged and exchanged with the actual one but this too is very visible.
Lack of a proper success story points directly to out tech and if it is not visible in the folder then that folder contains falsified auditing reports.

The pc in the midst of his auditing, refuses to re-sign for more. An inspection of folder either finds the out tech in the auditing reports or it doesn’t. If the Folder Error Summary finds no out tech, the next thing that is looked for is falsified auditing reports and this is extended to looking at the other cases this auditor has handled to see if there is any similarity of reaction.

A D of P interview with the pc will reveal falsified auditing reports. It will contain data that does not appear in the auditing reports. The first thing suspect is the auditing reports.

Basically, correct tech applied by a competent auditor who has been trained and interned, works and works every time. When it “doesn’t work,” a C/S begins to look for the real scene. There are many ways he can ascertain the actual scene. Amongst these are outside-the-door session taping, monitors, interviews, lack of success stories, failures to declare, failures to re-sign, examiner reports at variance with the session reports, personal check up into the case and many others.

The only thing which temporarily misleads a C/S is a falsified auditing report. But in all our experience with these, the detection of such reports is inevitable even if it occurs a long time afterwards.

The person who would falsify an auditing report is usually found to be a suppressive with abundant R/Ses and evil intentions who never should have been trained in the first place.

Therefore, the penalty for knowingly falsifying an auditing report in order to make oneself seem more competent than one is or to hide departures from the C/S or to omit vital data necessary to C/Sing, resulting in upsets to a case and time spent in investigation by seniors, is actionable by a Committee of Evidence and if the matter is proven beyond reasonable doubt, a cancellation of all certificates and awards, a declare and an expulsion order are mandatory.

Should the person perpetrating the falsification of auditing reports run away (blow) before action can be taken, the result is the same and is enforceable even if the person is not present.

A green auditor may look upon the offense as slight. If he is too untrained to realize that proper application of tech works every time and that improper application is a gross overt act, he may not realize the seriousness of his action. However, it cannot be pleaded as a defense. It is not a light thing to end the hopes and close the door on a pc just because one is trying to cover up his blunders. The blundering auditor can be repaired by cramming and retraining. But only if it is known how he has blundered. That in itself is nowhere near as serious as hiding the fact.

Honesty is the road to truth.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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Omissions from folders and complete loss of folders is a very serious matter.

A Case Supervisor, as well as a Folder Error Summary Auditor and the Auditor himself can be impeded greatly by folder omissions. Loss of folders entirely is a much greater catastrophe.

While cases and even folders can be reconstructed and eventually handled (at enormous trouble and time to the pc and technical people) this does not minimize the offense.

Usually Folder Pages are regarded too lightly as a post and are subject to much transfer even when posted. The Director of Tech Services is often far too lax in posting a Folder Archives I/C even as a double hat. Space restrictions often impede the careful preservation of folders in orgs. But all these posts and spaces are vital to a smooth delivery of auditing and should not be lightly looked upon.

The commonest (and most senseless) omissions from folders are:

1. WORD CLEARING WORKSHEETS. These are done in Academies or training or Interne areas as well as the HGC and it is often an omitted action to forward them to the person’s pc folder. Often the lines to do so are unknown or completely missing. Yet every metered word clearing action should not only be the subject of a worksheet but also must be included in the person’s pc folder in date order. Word clearer can fail to F/N a chain or even fail to clear a word as a chain when it doesn’t F/N. Such goofs can mess up cases and leave a C/S perplexed as to how the pc was running well one day and badly the next—yet there is no word clearing worksheet there, so the fact of ANOTHER AUDITOR on the case is hidden.

2. QUAL WHY FINDING ACTIONS. As why finding also includes listing, possibly the most vicious omission is the failure to include Why Finding worksheets in the person’s folder or even do a worksheet on it. Yet at least one org has been temporarily wrecked by indiscriminate “why finding” in Qual that resulted in wrong items and wrong lists and messed up the cases of whole staffs. This poor why finding has led at times to why finding becoming a restricted or forbidden practice. Qual worksheets of why finding MUST be included in the person’s folder along with any list made which itself must include the question asked.

3. HCO WHY FINDING. These actions must also be the subject of worksheets and must also be included in the person’s folder.
4. ALL SEC CHECKS AND INTEGRITY PROCESS LISTS AND ACTIONS. It doesn't matter who or what is doing the sec check, the resulting action is NOT the property of the department or branch or person doing the sec checking. A full worksheet must be made and ALL such actions done MUST be included in the routine pc folder of the person.

As it is very vital that a pc’s folder be COMPLETE as well as exist, hereinafter the loss of a pc's folders and the failure to make worksheets and include them in the person’s pc folder shall be actionable by a Committee of Evidence, to be convened by the Senior C/S of an org, and applies to any person or Auditor whether staff, mission or field.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
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THE DIANETIC CASE SUPERVISOR’S INDEX

This C/S’s Index is for use in Case Supervising Dianetic Auditing.

See also the Dianetic references in:

- BTB 18 Dec 71R C/S Series Zero R
  “Index of C/S Series HCO Bs & BTBs by Title and Subject”
- BTB 18 Dec 71-1R C/S Series Zero Updated
  “Chronological Index of C/S Series HCO Bs and BTBs by Title and Subject”
- BTB 18 Dec 71-2R C/S Series Zero A
  “Subject Index of C/S Series by Alphabetical List of Subjects”

Dianetic C/Sing is its own zone of technology.

Dianetic and Scientology C/Sing Technologies are different in many respects and must not be mixed. These technologies are complementary to each other in the long overall view of case handling. The rule is simply that whenever a preclear is being run on Dianetics, at any case or Grade level, the rules of Dianetic Case Supervision apply.

Dianetics can be run on any Grade of preclear or Pre-OT if necessary. It can even be run on a preclear who has had only a C/S No. 1 and no other auditing. The need for Dianetic Auditing can and does occur at any case level.

The advent of “Expanded Grades” and the C/S Series of HCO Bulletins and Triple Flow Dianetics does not cancel the basics of Dianetics Case Supervision; these developments clarify and complement Dianetic Case Supervision.

Dianetics is Dianetics. It is for use.

The use of this Dianetic C/S’s Index will help you to achieve the maximum gains attainable with Dianetics.

Use it.
## THE DIANETIC CASE SUPERVISOR’S INDEX

This index lists categories of preclear “situations” and HCO Bulletins and BTBs that give the proper handling for the situations.

Preclear situations are listed in the left-hand column.

HCO Bulletins and BTBs giving the proper handling of the situations are listed in the right-hand column.

There are spaces provided for additional HCO Bs to be added to each category as they may be issued in the future. It is expected that a person using this index would keep it up to date himself.

### SECTION I: USUAL SITUATIONS AND ACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>HCO/BTB Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting a preclear</td>
<td>HCO B 5 Apr 69 (reissued 26 May 70), “New Preclears”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 12 June 70, “Programming of Cases”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 23 Aug 71, “Auditor’s Rights”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 28 July 71, C/S Series 54, Dianetics, Beginning a Pc On”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 19 Apr 72, C/S Series 77, “‘Quickie’ Defined”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTB 24 Apr 69R, “Preclear Assessment Sheet”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTB 12 July 69, Issue IV, “Starting Dianetics on Pcs Who Have Had Scientology Auditing”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTB 8 Jan 71 R, “Auditing CS-1 for Dianetics and Scientology”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTB 28 Feb 71, “Metering Reading Items”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 28 July 71, C/S Series 54, “Dianetics, Beginning a Pc On”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 7 Mar 71 RA, C/S Series 28RA “Use of Dianetics”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCO B 4 Apr 71 RA, C/S Series 32RA, “Use of Dianetics”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: When R3R is run for the first time as at any later time it is run Triple Flow. See Section III for C/S data on Triple R3R.

Pc has had Dianetic Auditing on Flow 1 or Dianetic Triples but not on all items

Health Form and R3R

= HCO B 19 May 69, “Health Form, Use of”

= “Pastoral Counselling Health Form”—Revised 22 July 69

= HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder Analysis, Dianetics” (section under Health Forms)

= HCO B 28 Feb 71, “Metering Reading Items”
Assessment of existing lists for any regular Dianetic Auditing

- HCO B 29 Apr 69, “Assessment and Interest”
- HCO B 21 May 69, “Assessment”
- HCO B 26 Apr 69, “Somatics”
- HCO B 27 Jan 70, “Narrative Items Explained”
- HCO B 29 Jan 70, “Null Lists in Dianetics”
- HCO B 28 Feb 71, “Metering Reading Items”
- HCO B 24 July 69, “Seriously Ill Pcs”
- “Pastoral Counselling Health Form” Revised 22 July 69
- HCO B 14 Mar 71R, “F/N Everything”
- HCO B 14 Sept 71, C/S Series 59, “Dianetic List Errors”
- HCO B 20 Apr 72, Issue II, C/S Series 78, “Product Purpose and Why and WC Error Correction”
- HCO B 10 Aug 72, C/S Series 82, “Dianetic HCO B—Interest”
- HCO B 13 Sept 72, C/S Series 85, “Dianetics—Catastrophes from and Repair of ‘No Interest’ Items”
- HCO B 6 Dec 73, C/S Series 90, “The Primary Failure”
- BTB 24 Nov 71 R, “Pressure Somatics in Dianetics”

Reassessment of Existing Lists

- Same as above for assessment of existing lists for any regular Dianetic Auditing.

Item found last session

- HCO B 28 Feb 71, “Metering Reading Items”
- HCO B 14 Mar 71 R, “F/N Everything”
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Trouble with a Specific Area

(Chronic Somatic)

= HCO B 24 July 69, “Seriously Ill Pcs”

= HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder Analysis, Dianetics” (section under Special Cases)

= HCO B 16 June 70, C/S Series 6, “What the C/S is Doing”

= HCO B 16 Aug 70 (corrected & reissued 3 Nov 70), C/S Series 15, “Getting the F/N to Examiner”

= HCO B 5 July 71 R, C/S Series 49R, “Assists”

= HCO B 11 July 73, “Assist Summary”

= HCO B 6 Jan 74, “Assist Summary Addition”

= HCO B 15 July 70 (corrected & reissued 25 Nov 70), “Unresolved Pains”

= HCO B 19 July 69, “Dianetics and Illness” (especially second page re specific area)

= HCO B 16 Dec 71RA, C/S Series 35RA, “Interiorization Errors”

= HCO B 4 Jan 71 (corrected & reissued 3 Oct 71), “Exteriorization and High TA”

= HCO B 17 Dec 71R, C/S Series 23RA, “Interiorization Summary”

= BTB 24 July 73, “Pregnancy and Auditing”

SECTION II: DIANETIC REMEDIES

Pc Physically ill

= HCOB 12 Mar 69, “Physically Ill Pcs and Pre OTs (with a note on Drugs)”

= HCO B 19 July 69, “Dianetics and Illness”

= HCO B 27 July 69, “Antibiotics”

= HCO B 17 Oct 69, “Drugs, Aspirin and Tranquilizers”

= HCO B 24 July 69, “Seriously Ill Pcs”

= HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder Analysis, Dianetics” (re: Physically Ill Pcs and Special Cases)

= HCO B 28 June 69, “C/S—How to Case Supervise Dianetics Folders”

= HCO B 15 Mar 71, “Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject” [revised & replaced by 23 July 71, same title]
= HCO B 13 June 70, C/S Series 3, “Session Priorities—Repair Pgm and their Priority”

= HCO B 5 July 71R, C/S Series 49R, “Assists”

= HCO B 23 July 71 (corrected 4 May 72), “Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject”

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”


= HCO B 11 July 73, “Assist Summary”

= HCO B 6 Jan 74, “Assist Summary Addition”

= HCO B 19 May 69, “Drug and Alcohol Cases—PRIOR Assessing”

= HCO B 3 Oct 69R, “Dianetic Remedies”

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”

= HCO B 13 May 69, “Peculiarities”

= HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder analysis, Dianetics”

(See HCO Bs listed for Pc Physical- = HCO B 28 June 69, C/S—How to Ly Ill in addition to these) Supervise Dianetics Folders

= HCO B 17 July 71, C/S Series 51, “Out of Valence”


= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”

= HCO B 16 June 70, C/S Series 6, “What the C/S is Doing”

= HCO B 19 June 70, “C/S Q and A”

= HCO B 16 Aug 70 (corrected & reissued 3 Nov 70), C/S Series 15, “Getting the F/N to Examiner”

= HCO B 28 June 69, “C/S—How to Case Supervise Dianetics Folders”

= HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder Analysis, Dianetics”

= HCO B 16 July 69, “Urgent—Important”
Out-Ruds Situation

=  HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”
=  HCO B 17 May 69, “TRs and Dirty Needles”
=  HCOB 17 Apr 69, “Dianetic Case Supervision”
=  HCO B 9 Aug 69, “Case Folder Analysis, Dianetics”
=  Scientology List Actions such as L1 C List (Class III or above) for handling Out Ruds.
=  HCO B 11 Apr 71RA, “L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List”
=  HCO B 26 Apr 71, “TRs and Cognitions”
=  HCO B 14 Sept 71, C/S Series 59, “Dianetic List Errors”
Something Not Handled

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”

= HCO B 16 Aug 70 (corrected & reissued 3 Nov 70), C/S Series 15, “Getting the F/N to Examiner”

= HCO PL 7 Apr 70RA (revised 29 Sept 74) Scientology “Green Form” Method 5 Assessment (Class III or above) for finding the trouble.

Chains Left Unflat

(Also see TA High or Low category above)

= HCO B 22 July 69, “High TA Assessment”

= HCO B 17 Apr 69, “Dianetic Case Supervision”

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”

= HCOC 11 Apr 71RA, “L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List”

= HCO B 27 Mar 71, “Dianetic Erasure”

= HCO B 13 June 70, C/S Series 3, “Session Priorities—Repair Pgmns and their Priority”

= HCO B 16 Aug 70 (corrected & reissued 3 Nov 70), C/S Series 15, “Getting the F/N to Examiner”

= HCO B 6 Apr 71, C/S Series 34, “Non F/N Cases”

= HCO B 20 Nov 73, C/S Series 89, “F/N What You Ask or Program”

= HCO B 16 June 70, C/S Series 6, “What the C/S is Doing”

= BTB 3 Oct 69R, “Dianetic Remedies”

= BTB 10 June 72R, “The L3RD Rundown—Dianetic Track Repair”

Pc Anaten In Session

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”

= BTB 3 Oct 69R, “Dianetic Remedies”

Child Not Running Well

= BTB 8 Jan 71 R, “Auditing CS-1 for Dianetics and Scientology”
**Pc Physically Injured**

(See also: Physically Ill)

- HCO B 15 Mar 71, “Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject” [revised & replaced by 23 July 71, same title]

- HCO B 23 May 69, “Auditing Out Sessions—Narrative Versus Somatic Chains”

- HCO B 14 May 69, “Dianetic Assists”
  NOTE: This HCO B is not to be used as the source of R3R procedure.

- HCO B 13 June 70, C/S Series 3, “Session Priorities—Repair Pgm’s and their Priority”

- HCO B 28 Nov 70, C/S Series 22, “Psychosis”

- HCO B 8 Mar 71, C/S Series 29, “Case Actions, Off Line”

- HCO B 5 July 71 R, C/S Series 49R, “Assists”

- HCOB 23 July 71 (corrected 4 May 72), “Assists—A Flag Expertise Subject”


- BTB 22 July 70, “Touch Assist—An Improvement on Spinal Adjustment for Medical Doctors and Practitioners”

- BTB 7 Apr 72R, “Touch Assists—Correct Ones”

**Area of Physical Injury Not Fully**

(See also: Trouble with a Specific Area)

- HCO B 19 July 69, “Dianetics and Illness”

- HCO B 16 Aug 70 (corrected & reissued 3 Nov 70), C/S Series 15, “Getting the F/N to Examiner” (for handling Chronic Somatic)

- HCO B 13 June 70, C/S Series 3, “Session Priorities—Repair Pgm’s and their Priority”

- HCO B 7 Sept 71, C/S Series 58, “Programming Cases Backwards”

**Drugs and/or Alcohol**

- HCO B 19 May 69, “Drug and Alcohol Cases—PRIOR Assessing”

- HCO B 12 Mar 69, “Physically Ill Pcs and Pre OTs (with a note on Drugs)”

---
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= HCO B 8 Mar 71, C/S Series 29, “Case Actions, Off Line”


= HCO B 28 July 71, C/S Series 54, “Dianetics, Beginning a Pc On”


= HCO B 23 Dec 71, C/S Series 73, “The No Interference Area”

= HCO B 23 Sept 68 (reissued 22 Jan 72), “Drugs & Trippers”

= HCO B 10 Aug 72, C/S Series 82, “Dianetic HCO B—Interest”

= HCO B 13 Sept 72, “Dianetics—Catastrophes from and Repair of ‘No Interest’ Items”

= BTB 7 June 69, “How to Make a Person Sober”

= BTB 7 July 71 R, “Resistive Cases—Drug Handling”


---

_Pc Having Difficulty with Study_

= HCO B 23 Nov 69R (revised 26 June 73), “Student Rescue Intensive”

= BTB 9 Aug 70R, “Dianetic Student Rescue Intensive”

= BTB 8 Jan 71 R, “Auditing CS-I for Dianetics and Scientology”

---

**SECTION III: TRIPLE DIANETICS**

**Starting or Running Triple Dianetics**

= HCO B 5 Oct 69, “Triple Flows”

= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights” (re: High TA at start of session)

= HCO B 11 Apr 71RA, “Important—L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List”

= HCO B 7 Mar 71 RA, C/S Series 28RA, “Use of Dianetics”

= HCO B 4 Apr 71RA, C/S Series 32RA, “Use of Dianetics”

= HCO B 5 Apr 71RA, C/S Series 33RA, “Triple Reruns”

= HCO B 12 Apr 71, “Exteriorization Errors”

= HCO B 21 Apr 71RB, C/S Series 36RB, “Dianetics”

= BTB 1 Dec 70R, “Dianetics—Triple Flow Action”
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False TA

= HCO B 24 Oct 71, “False TA”
= HCO B 12 Nov 71R, “False TA Addition”
= HCO B 15 Feb 72, “False TA Addition 2”
= HCO B 18 Feb 72, “False TA Addition 3”
= HCO B 29 Feb 72R, “False TA Checklist”
= HCO B 23 Nov 73, “Dry and Wet Hands Make False TA”

SECTION I V: C/S HANDLING OF THE GOOFING AUDITOR

Auditor Goofing

= HCO B 10 Nov 70, “C/S Responsibility for Training”
= HCO B 16 July 69, “Urgent—Important”
= HCOB 15 Nov 69, Issue II, “Case Supervision, How it Goes Non-Standard”
= HCO B 15 Nov 69, “Case Supervision Auditing and Results”
= HCO B 19 Mar 71, “C/Sing Auditor-C/Ses”
= HCO B 5 Mar 71, C/S Series 25, “The Fantastical New HGC Line”
= HCO B 26 Apr 71, “TRs and Cognitions”
= HCO B 28 Apr 71, “Okays to Audit in HGCs”
= HCO B 19 June 71, C/S Series 45, “C/S Rules”
= HCO B 19 July 71, C/S Series 52, “Internes”
= HCO B 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, “Auditor’s Rights”
= HCO B 1 Sept 71, Issue I, C/S Series 57, “A C/S as a Training Officer—A Program for Flubless Auditing”
= HCO B 20 Dec 71, C/S Series 72, “Use of Correction Lists”
= HCO B 20 Nov 73, Issue I, “Anti-Q&A TR”
= HCO B 6 Dec 73, C/S Series 90, “The Primary Failure”
= HCO B 27 Jan 74, “Dianetics—R3R Commands Have Background Data”
= HCO B 16 Dec 71RA, C/S Series 35RA, “Interiorization Errors”
= HCO B 9 June 71, C/S Series 41, “C/S Tips”
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Flag Org Correction Chief

Revised & Reissued as BTB

by Flag Mission 1234

I/C: CPO Andrea Lewis
2nd: Molly Harlow

Authorized by AVU
for the
BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY
A

A to B programming, 154 (C/S 47)
ability attained, 7 (C/S 1)
invalidated, 8 (C/S 1)
accepting,
a C/S, 2 (C/S 1)
the pc, 1 (C/S 1)
accident prone, 12 (C/S 2)
accidents, if reads run out narrative R3R Triple, 168 (C/S 54)
action, ordering a major, 145 (C/S 42)
admin, auditor, 81 (C/S 25)
administration, definition of, 172 (C/S 56)
administrative function and excellent case results, 172 (C/S 56)
Advance Program, 48 (C/S 12), 88 (C/S 27), 13940 (C/S 39R), 145 (C/S 42); see also Return Program
age, symptom of the—there is no time, 40 (C/S 9)
alcohol included as a drug, 156, 157, 158 (C/S 48R)
All Flows Rundown, 110 (C/S 33RA-1)
analyzing folders, 45 (C/S 11)
ancient law—pc who “knows” what is wrong, 32 (C/S 7)
anti-Q & A handling, 243 (C/S 89)
AO confidential materials, 10 (C/S 2)
apathy, handling, 184 (C/S 59)
apparent behavior and the insane, 74 (C/S 22)
application, C/Ses for exact tech, 152 (C/S 45)
apprenticeships, auditor, 163 (C/S 52)
assess flows slowly, 134 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
assessing, low TA, 132 (C/S 37R Add. 2R)
assessment,
form 37R, 134 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
Hi-1o TA rules, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
low TA, 141 (C/S 40)
repeated, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
Sheet, Pc, 168 (C/S 54)
assists, 159 (C/S 49R)
and Exams, 159, 160 (C/S 49R)
Contact, 159 (C/S 49R)
Dianetic, 159 (C/S 49R)
interrupting auditing, handling of, 94-95 (C/S 29)
Touch, 160 (C/S 49R)
Touch and Contact, 94 (C/S 29)
worksheets, 247 (C/S 92R)
attest, pc to, when he’s made it, 153 (C/S 46)
auditing, blow downs, and Ethics, 46 (C/S 11)
and TRs, 136 (C/S 38)

auditing (cont.)
grade processes to EP, 30 (C/S 6)
of staff and public, 194 (C/S 65R)
quality, 99 (C/S 31)
skill, improvement of, between R6 and OT III, 212 (C/S 73)
standard, 57 (C/S 15)
auditor(s)’s,
Admin Room, 83 (C/S 25)
Board, 83 (C/S 25)
Checkout of Quad Dianetics, 92 (C/S 28RA-1)
Cramming and flubless, 183 (C/S 58)
data, 147, 148 (C/S 43)
errors, 97 (C/S 30), 122 (C/S 36RB-1R)
flubs, 43 (C/S 10), 90 (C/S 28RA), 92-93 (C/S 28RA-1)
handling, 61 (C/S 16)
inexperienced, 191 (C/S 63)
invalid, 147, 148 (C/S 43)
leaving Cramming go through Examiner, 193 (C/S 64)
minimum hours of, 85 (C/S 25)
morale depends on honest completions, 180 (C/S 57)
opinion, 171 (C/S 55)
rights, 1 (C/S 1), 228 (C/S 81-1RA)
rights, abuse of, 225 (C/S 81R)
rights addition revised, 227 (C/S 81-1RA)
rules and correction lists, 227 (C/S 81-1RA)
rights modified, 225 (C/S 81 R)
worksheets, 196 (C/S 66)
writing up C/Ses, 200, 201, 202 (C/S 69R)
Azimuth meter, use of, to see reads, 80 (C/S 24)

B

backtrack, getting the pc to go, 7 (C/S 1)
backwards C/Sing (towards significance), 29-30 (C/S 6)
bad exam reports, 96 (C/S 30)
Basic Program, 11 (C/S 2), 22 (C/S 4)
Begin Dianetics with Pc Assessment Sheet, 168 (C/S 54)
behavior—manners as an index to change, 35 (C/S 8)
blank periods, 156 (C/S 48R)
blind repair, when no FES is done, 66 (C/S 19)
and falls, 20 (C/S 3), 29 (C/S 6)
and length of reads, 149 (C/S 44R)
blow up, 
item, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
F/N item, 141 (C/S 3)
blue sheet, the Return Program is on, 14 (C/S 2), 21 (C/S 4)
boggy cases, 86 (C/S 26)
breakthrough, high and low TA, 127 (C/S 37R)
broad shooting, C/S can give alternatives in a C/S, 189 (C/S 62)
bug, C/Sing and finding the case, 112 (C/S 34)
bullbait using processes or implants forbidden, reads, 56 (C/S 15)
in a C/S, 189 (C/S 62) checking for,
boggy cases, 86 (C/S 26) Gradation, 211 (C/S 73), 232 (C/S 83RA)
breakthrough, high and low TA, 127 (C/S 37R) new Grade, 248-51 (C/S 93)
broad shooting, C/S can give alternatives in a C/S, 189 (C/S 62)
bugs, C/Sing and finding the case, 112 (C/S 34)
bullbait using processes or implants forbidden, reads, 56 (C/S 15)
in a C/S, 189 (C/S 62) checking for,
bug, C/Sing and finding the case, 112 (C/S 34)
bullbait using processes or implants forbidden, reads, 56 (C/S 15)
in a C/S, 189 (C/S 62) checking for,
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courses with no materials, 178-79 (C/S 57)
cramming,
   and auditor errors, 233 (C/S 84)
   and auditors, 233 (C/S 84)
   and excellent checkouts, 84 (C/S 25)
   and the C/S, 233 (C/S 84)
auditors who flub to, 142 (C/S 41)
chit, 178 (C/S 57)
C/S, 164 (C/S 52)
cycles and the C/S, 199 (C/S 68)
finding the misunderstood, 98 (C/S 30)
Hi-1o TA assessment, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
order, 199 (C/S 68)
order, how to write up a, 204 (C/S 70R)
raises auditing quality, 99 (C/S 31)
to get flubless auditors, 183 (C/S 58)
C/S, C/Ses, C/Sing,
   53, use of, 230 (C/S 83RA)
   and auditor admin, 82 (C/S 25)
   and cramming cycles, 199 (C/S 68)
   and Cramming Officers, 233 (C/S 84)
   and Exam reports, 96 (C/S 30)
   and flubby auditors, 233 (C/S 84)
   and overload, reduction of refunds, 252-53
   (C/S 94)
   and publics, 194 (C/S 65R)
   and tech courses, 233 (C/S 84)
as a training officer, 176 (C/S 57)
auditor, 83 (C/S 25)
auditor-C/Ses, 96-98 (C/S 30)
auditors writing up, 201 (C/S 69R)
backwards (towards significance), 29-30
   (C/S 6)
case gain, 161 (C/S 50)
checklist, 203 (C/S 69 Add.)
data, 44 (C/S 11)
easy, 234 (C/S 84)
error, 223 (C/S 80)
errors, 98 (C/S 30)
expertise, 144 (C/S 41)
failure, 39 (C/S 9)
failure, primary cause of, 24445 (C/S 90)
firm rule for, 45 (C/S 11)
first lesson, 14 (C/S 2)
folder handling, 4546 (C/S 11)
for new auditors or veterans, 191 (C/S 63)
for non-veterans, 191 (C/S 63)
genius, 10 (C/S 2), 19 (C/S 3)
glossary of terms, 48 (C/S 12)
handles post fast flow, 96 (C/S 30)
handling auditors, 61 (C/S 16)
handling auditors, 3 rules, 186 (C/S 61)
hard work, 77 (C/S 23RA)
in the chair, 2 (C/S 1)
Int, 77 (C/S 23RA)
C/S, C/Ses, C/Sing (cont.)
   invalidation, 60 (C/S 16), 147 (C/S 43)
   long, 87 (C/S 27)
   next, 81 (C/S 25)
   Org, 96 (C/S 30)
   postings, irreducible minimum, 252 (C/S 94)
   preOTs don’t, 214 (C/S 75)
   PTS Rundown, 216 (C/S 76)
   purpose, 31 (C/S 6)
   Q & A, 27 (C/S 5), 32-34 (C/S 7),
   243 (C/S 89)
   Q & A, results from, 243 (C/S 89)
   Quad Dianetics, 91 (C/S 28RA-1)
   quality, 99 (C/S 31)
   responsibility, 121 (C/S 36RB), 125
   (C/S 36RB-1R)
   responsibility and checking interest on
   drug items, evil purposes or intentions,
   229 (C/S 82)
   responsibility for training, 69 (C/S 21)
   rules, 14546 (C/S 42), 14748 (C/S 43),
   152 (C/S 45)
   rules—programming from prepared lists,
   149-50 (C/S 44R)
   rules—the sequence of programs, 151
   (C/S 44R Add.)
   short, 87, 88 (C/S 27)
   stable datum, 114 (C/S 34)
   standard handling of auditors, 233 (C/S 84)
   standing order to auditors, 213 (C/S 74)
   supreme test of a, 154-55 (C/S 47)
   thorough, 88 (C/S 27)
   three golden rules of the, 186 (C/S 61)
tips, 14244 (C/S 41)
tools of a, 183 (C/S 58)
   Triple Dianetics, 89 (C/S 28RA)
   twenty-four hour rule, 194 (C/S 65R)
two-way comm, 54-55 (C/S 14)
types of, 252 (C/S 94)
   variables, 144 (C/S 41)
   via, 14344 (C/S 41)
vital action, 153 (C/S 46)
wander on repairing a repair, 42 (C/S 10)
   with all folders to hand, 45 (C/S 11)
   written instructions, 44 (C/S 11)
current program inside pc folder, 145 (C/S 42)

D

daily study, 227 (C/S 81-1RA)
data,
   for C/S, 183 (C/S 58), 189 (C/S 62)
   HCO Bs and tapes are stable, 148 (C/S 43)
deaths are run out, 168 (C/S 54)
decay of tech, 148 (C/S 43)
declare(s),
c/s’s responsibility, 153 (c/s 46)
pt to, 153 (c/s 46)
delicate cases, pcs needing lots of repair
are, 43 (c/s 10)
delivery, c/s halting, 65 (c/s 19)
department 10, 37r process done in, 129 (c/s 37r)
destimulate, takes 3-10 days to, 56 (c/s 15)
dianetic(s),
assist, 159 (c/s 49r)
auditor and ruds, 3 (c/s 1)
beginning a pc on, 168 (c/s 54)
case supervisor’s index, 257
clear, 48 (c/s 12)
c/s 1, for unindoctrinated pc, 117
(c/s 35ra)
c/sing, 90 (c/s 28ra), 91 (c/s 28ra-1)
debt 10, 37r process done in, 129 (c/s 37r add.)
dropped oca graph, 162 (c/s 51); see also oca(s)
drug(s),
and cannot run engrams, 211 (c/s 73)
and roller coaster, 156 (c/s 48r)
and trs, 157 (c/s 48r)
done first, 157 (c/s 48r)
engrams and alcohol, 156 (c/s 48r)
former user, 156 (c/s 48r-3
full auditing rundown, 157 (c/s 48r)
handling, 156-58 (c/s 48r)
reads on, 168 (c/s 54)
rundown and grade chart, 248, 249
(c/s 93)
rundown and life repair, 248 (c/s 93)
use engram running on, 86 (c/s 26)
dummy run, hgc line should be, 85 (c/s 25)
director of processing; see d of p
discharged process, 29 (c/s 6)
discovery, why cases weren’t making it, 158
(c/s 48r)
dispersal (on programming), 12 (c/s 2)
dn c/s 1 for unindoctrinated pc, 117
(c/s 35ra)
d of p,
textbook, 188 (c/s 62)
operates by ocas, 205 (c/s 71)
pressures on, 33 (c/s 7)
schedule, 83 (c/s 25)
solo, keeps tabs on pcs falling off lines,
214-15 (c/s 75)
dog cases, 97 (c/s 30), 225 (c/s 81 r); see also dog pcs
can be handled, 146 (c/s 42)
locating the bugs, 177 (c/s 57)
many are just unsolved cases, 171 (c/s 55)
the why behind, 224 (c/s 80)
dog pcs, 223 (c/s 80); see also dog cases
don’ts regarding 37r, 134-35 (c/s 37r add. 3)
see also thirty-seven r (37r)
double,
actions, 145 (c/s 42)
folder, 45 (c/s 11)
int, 112 (c/s 34)
major grades, 112 (c/s 34)
double (cont.)
major rundown, 5 (c/s 1)
power, 97 (c/s 30)
downgrade, departure from exact processes, 152
(c/s 45)
dramatizes = r6ew unflat, 22 (c/s 4)
director of processing; see d of p
eight (viii),
actions, 50 (c/s 13r)
auditing for othand, 51 (c/s 13r)
end phenomena of,
37r process, 131 (c/s 37r add.)
a process, 30 (c/s 6)
repair, 17 (c/s 3)
ta handling rd, 129 (c/s 37r)
engrams,
cannot run, and drugs, 211 (c/s 73)
chain unflat, 28 (c/s 6)
chains unflat, 56 (c/s 15)
drug, 157 (c/s 48r)
list, 89 (c/s 28ra), 91-92 (c/s 28ra-1)
secondaries, locks, 29 (c/s 6)
words and phrases, 28 (c/s 6)
ep; see end phenomena
error(s),
auditor, and cramming, 97, 98 (c/s 30)
c/s, 98 (c/s 30)
dianetic and int, 115 (c/s 35ra)
gross, in programming, 99 (c/s 31)
in an int rd, 115 (c/s 35ra)
program, 97 (c/s 30)
ethics,
action after pts interview, 222 (c/s 79)
enters after quicke tech, 219 (c/s 77)
record, c/s should watch for, 46 (c/s 11)
evaluation, and the C/S, 189 (C/S 62) auditor, 25 (C/S 5) Chart of Human, 35-36 (C/S 8) telling the pc is, 10 (C/S 2) evil impulse, checking, 73 (C/S 22) Exam, Examiner, and meter checks, 207 (C/S 71A) F/Ns after flubs, 143 (C/S 41) getting the F/N to the, 56 (C/S 15) pattern at, 57 (C/S 15) reports, bad, 96 (C/S 30) reports, no, 59 (C/S 16) sour forms, 17-18 (C/S 3) unchanging natter at, 114 (C/S 34) Expanded Dianetics, and the Grade Chart, 248, 250 (C/S 93) prerequisites, 248, 250 (C/S 93) Expanded Lower Grades, 48 (C/S 12) experience and internships, 163 (C/S 52) Ext, exterior, exteriorization, (C/S 32RA-1R), 107 (C/S 33RA), do Int RD if check reveals, 43 (C/S 10) in session is end phenomena for that process or action, 116 (C/S 35RA) pc going, handle by Int-Ext, 13 (C/S 2) pc will go, 31 (C/S 6) run twice, 112 (C/S 34) eyesight should be tested, 80 (C/S 24) F fads in areas where tech is bad, 69 (C/S 21) failed, cases and “no interest” items, 236 (C/S 85) cases are auditor failures, 254 (C/S 95) cure for, 255 (C/S 95) sessions, most common reason for and remedy, 244 (C/S 90) failure, C/S, 39 (C/S 9) fall and BD in 2-way comm, 20 (C/S 3) false, reports, 233 (C/S 84) TA Checklist, 230 (C/S 83RA) TA HCO Bs, 208 (C/S 71A) falsely gotten to R6EW, 22 (C/S 4) family, don’t listen to, about a case, 171 (C/S 55) insanity, run out, 169 (C/S 54) fantastic new HGC line, 81 (C/S 25) fast flow, C/S handles post, 96 (C/S 30) FES(es); see Folder Error Summary finding the bug on a case, 113 (C/S 34) firefights and unrun or overrun chains, 120 (C/S 36RB), 124 (C/S 36RB-1R) firm rule for C/S, 45 (C/S 11) first error correction is Int, 115 (C/S 35RA) fixation, one life, 73 (C/S 22) flatten chain, failed to, 69 (C/S 21) flow (H), additional, 134 (C/S 37R Add.) flows, assess slowly, 134 (C/S 37R Add. 3) by-passed, 105 (C/S 33RA), 108 (C/S 33RA-1) by-passed, and repair, 105 (C/S 33RA), 108 (C/S 33RA-1) clearing, 131 (C/S 37R Add.) definition of, 100 (C/S 32RA), 103 (C/S 32RA-1R) missing, and mass, 100 (C/S 32RA), 102 (C/S 32RA-1R) note, 129-30 (C/S 37R), 133 (C/S 37R Add. 3) overrun, 107 (C/S 33RA), 110 (C/S 33RA-1) unrun, 105,106 (C/S 33RA), 108,109 (C/S 33RA-1) Flow Zero, 100 (C/S 32RA), 102,103 (C/S 32RA-1R), 107 (C/S 33RA), 110 (C/S 33RA-1) and Int-Ext RD, 92 (C/S 28RA-1) command, 103 (C/S 32RA-1R), 109 (C/S 33RA-1) command for Introspection RD, 101 (C/S 32RA) flubbed, cases and “no interest” items, 236 (C/S 85) R3R, 76 (C/S 23RA) flubby auditors, 163 (C/S 52) Flub Catch System, 65 (C/S 19) flubless, auditors, 176 (C/S 57) C/Sing, 233 (C/S 84) C/Sing in Missions, 235 (C/S 84-1) flubs, auditor, 43 (C/S 10), 90 (C/S 28RA), 92-93 (C/S 28RA-1) best answer is no, 63 (C/S 17) cramming, 69 (C/S 21) Exam F/Ns after, 143 (C/S 41) flunk(s), and retrain, 60 (C/S 16) TA producing action left incomplete is a, 2 (C/S 1) tech not by the book is a, 152 (C/S 45) when given, 60 (C/S 16) F/N, abuse, 30 (C/S 6) and word clearing, 247 (C/S 92R) at Exam, 152 (C/S 45) at Examiner and session grading, 59 (C/S 16), 82 (C/S 25)
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F/N (cont.)
gradual widening, 67-68 (C/S 20)
persistent, 67 (C/S 20)
same, 67 (C/S 20)
to Examiner, 56 (C/S 15)
what you ask or program, 243 (C/S 89)
F/Ning auditors, 193 (C/S 64)
Folder Error Summary(ies), 4 (C/S 1),
65 (C/S 19), 81, 85 (C/S 25)
and admin time, 85 (C/S 25)
cost borne by pc, 65 (C/S 19)
current, 97 (C/S 30)
folders, analyzing, 45 (C/S 11)
Folder Summary, 5 (C/S 1), 173 (C/S 56)
force,
is made up of, 31 (C/S 6)
run out the, 28 (C/S 6)
foreign language cases need GF items cleared,
86 (C/S 26)
Four (IV) Rundown, OT, 52 (C/S 13R)
Full Flow Dianetics, 100 (C/S 32RA), 103
(C/S 32RA-1R)
and OTs, 120 (C/S 36RB), 125 (C/S 36RB-1R)
offering, 101 (C/S 32RA), 104 (C/S 32RA-1R)
repair, 101 (C/S 32RA), 103-04
(C/S 32RA-1R)
requires flawless C/Sing and auditing, 121
(C/S 36RB), 125 (C/S 36RB-1R)
result, 101 (C/S 32RA), 104 (C/S 32RA-1R)
Full Flow Table, 100 (C/S 32RA), 103
(C/S 32RA-1R)

G

Gain(s),
case, and drugs, 156 (C/S 48R)
holding, 218 (C/S 77)
negative, 31 (C/S 6)
no case, 138 (C/S 38)

pc becoming more himself, 162 (C/S 51)
physical, 26-27 (C/S 5)
rapid, 3 (C/S 1)
solid, 14 (C/S 2)
study gives case gain, 138 (C/S 38)
genius,
in C/Sing, 10 (C/S 2)
of a C/S, 19 (C/S 3)
getting the F/N to the Examiner, 56 (C/S 15)
GF 40 for resistive cases, 51-52 (C/S 13R)
GF 40XRR, 231 (C/S 83RA)
glasses obstructing seeing the meter, 80
(C/S 24), 209 (C/S 72)
glossary of C/S terms, 48 (C/S 12)
goofs are few in type, 42 (C/S 10)
Grade(s),
II, 24849 (C/S 93)
II and the Grade Chart, 249-50 (C/S 93)
definition of, 6 (C/S 1)
Expanded Lower, 48 (C/S 12)
incomplete cycle of the, 62 (C/S 17)
Lower, processes, 53 (C/S 13R)
Ouckie, 49 (C/S 12)
Ouckie, and actions, 62-63 (C/S 17)
use all processes, 13 (C/S 2)
violations, 7 (C/S 1)
Grade Chart, 232 (C/S 83RA)
new, 248-51 (C/S 93)
gradiant scales, 39 (C/S 9)
grading, session, 59-60 (C/S 16)
graphs, OCA, 162 (C/S 51); see also OCA(s)
green,
Advance Program, 87 (C/S 27)
auditor, 191-92 (C/S 63)
Form, 86 (C/S 26), 149 (C/S 44R),
230 (C/S 83RA)

Form and method of use, 210 (C/S 72)
paper, Advance Program, 88 (C/S 27)
group processing and Grade Chart, 249 (C/S 93)

H

handle Int first on the case, 149 (C/S 44R)
handling auditors,
3 rules, 186 (C/S 61)
by C/S, 61 (C/S 16)
handwriting,
c/s insists on legible, 196 (C/S 66)
order to practice, 186 (C/S 61)
hasn’t made it, pc who, 153 (C/S 46)

HDC,
CI IV auditors can repair a messed-up Int,
115(C/S35RA)
pcs after going Ext do, 117 (C/S 35RA)

Health Form,
after Pc Assessment Form, 169 (C/S 54)
part of chronic somatic program, 57
(C/S 15)

HGC,
a whole new, 84 (C/S 25)
disintegration, 225 (C/S 81R)
fantastic new line, 81-85 (C/S 25), 96
(C/S 30)
how to get results in, 172 (C/S 56)
splendid sessions, 146 (C/S 42)
Hidden,
Data Line, decay of tech, 148 (C/S 43)
Data Line, stamping it out, 179-80 (C/S 57)
Standards, process for, 86 (C/S 26)
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High Crime for a C/S not to write C/S instructions, 44 (C/S 11)

high TA,
and ARC Breaks, 143 (C/S 41)
and exteriorization, 4 (C/S 1), 106 (C/S 33RA), 109-10 (C/S 33RA-1)
and flows, 105 (C/S 33RA), 108 (C/S 33RA-1), 129-30 (C/S 37R)
and illness, 58 (C/S 15)
and low TA breakthrough, 127 (C/S 37R) and word clearing, 247 (C/S 92R)
chronic, 28 (C/S 6)
or, 117 (C/S 35RA)
or low on Q & A, 33 (C/S 7)
right way to handle, 213 (C/S 74)
same action or Grade done twice, 145 (C/S 42)
source of, 105 (C/S 33RA), 108 (C/S 33RA-I)
usual reasons for, 56 (C/S 15)

higher levels, assessing pcs to, 144 (C/S 41)

Hi-1o TA assessment,
and Int RD, 4 (C/S 1)
rules, 131 (C/S 37R Add.) Short, 165-67 (C/S 53R)
honesty,
and test lines, 208 (C/S 71A)
of an auditor, 226 (C/S 81R)
of Scientology, 153 (C/S 46)
hours,
not counted on a salvage red tag session, 227 (C/S 81-RA)
successfully audited, 59 (C/S 16)
how to,
get results in an HGC, 172 (C/S 56)
write up a cramming order, 204 (C/S 70R)

human,
emotion and reaction, 170 (C/S 55)
Evaluation Chart, 35 (C/S 8)

I

ideal folder-C/S line, 82 (C/S 25)
if it’s not written it isn’t true, 9 (C/S 1), 148 (C/S 43)
ill,
pc physically, 94 (C/S 29)
pcs becoming, 16 (C/S 3)
illegal patch-ups, 95 (C/S 29)
illness, item(s),
cause of, 216 (C/S 76)
high TA and, 58 (C/S 15)
run out narrative R3R Triples, 168 (C/S 54)

incomplete cases, 62 (C/S 17)
indicators,
reliable, TA and cognitions, 30 (C/S 6)
untruths and auditor bad, 186 (C/S 61)

invalidation, auditor, by C/S, 147 (C/S 43)
invalidated, repairing handled repairs makes case feel, 62 (C/S 17)
invalidation,
author, by C/S, 147 (C/S 43)
kills auditors, 180 (C/S 57)
remarks by C/S, 60 (C/S 16)

blow up F/N, 141 (C/S 40)
confusions on reading, 80 (C/S 24)
Dianetic, never run twice, 5 (C/S 1)
flows of, 129-30 (C/S 37R)
found out of session, 220 (C/S 78)
giving the pc the, 133 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
metering reading, 79-80 (C/S 24)

insane auditor, 191 (C/S 63)
insanity, 169 (C/S 54)
definition of, 73 (C/S 22)
higher percent of, 72 (C/S 22)

institutional cases, steps IX to XVII work

int instruct by reference to HCO B, 233 (C/S 84)
Int; see interiorization

intensives, 12½ hour, 139 (C/S 39R)
interest,
on drug items, 229 (C/S 82)
on drugs, 236 (C/S 85)
on evil purposes or intentions, 229 (C/S 82), 236 (C/S 85)

interiorization,
errors, 115 (C/S 35RA)
handled first, 149 (C/S 44R)
Isaremedy, 77 (C/S 23RA)
remedy, 109 (C/S 33RA-I)

Rundown,
a Dianetic action, 120 (C/S 36RB), 125 (C/S 36RB-1R)
and Flow Zero, 92 (C/S 28RA-1), 102 (C/S 32RA-1R)

Corr List, 116 (C/S 35RA), 230 (C/S 83RA)
possible exceptions to, 19 (C/S 3)
procedure, 130 (C/S 37R)
repair, 185 (C/S 60)
when handled, 90 (C/S 28RA), 92 (C/S 28RA-1), 151 (C/S 44R Add.)

summary, 76-78 (C/S 23RA)
interne(s), 163 (C/S 52)
definition of, 163 (C/S 52)
doing FESes, 65 (C/S 19)

Interviews, PTS, 222 (C/S 79)
 invalidate button, use of, 3 (C/S 1)
invalidated, repairing handled repairs makes case feel, 62 (C/S 17)

I
subject index—case supervisor series

item(s) (cont.)
running an unreading item and Int, 76 (C/S 23RA)
suppress and invalidate on an, 3 (C/S 1)
unreading, 3 (C/S 1), 56, 58 (C/S 15), 80 (C/S 24)
wrong, and upset case, 221 (C/S 78)
Ivory Tower, 170 (C/S 55)

J

judgment in,
C/Sing for auditors, 191 (C/S 63)
ending session, 2 (C/S 1)

K

key out, engrams or secondaries or locks, 56 (C/S 15)
know before you go, 188 (C/S 62)
knowledge, a C/S’s, 183 (C/S 58)

L

L1 C, 230 (C/S 83RA)
L3RD, 119 (C/S 36RB), 123-24 (C/S 36RB-1R), 231 (C/S 83RA)
L4BR, 231 (C/S 83RA)
L9S,
using, 135 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
works on all cases, 133 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
L IX Hi-1o TA List, 230 (C/S 83RA)
Library, Cramming must have a, 148 (C/S 43)
life,
interjections, reasons for intensives, 137 (C/S 38)
 pc’s, if not audited before, 147 (C/S 43)
 Repair and Drug Rundown, 248 (C/S 93)
 Repair and Grade Chart, 249 (C/S 93)
 Repairs (Progress), 63 (C/S 17)
 lighter not heavier action regarding Effect
 Scale, 18 (C/S 3)

list(s),
Dianetic, and wrong list reactions, 221 (C/S 78)
errors, Dianetic, 184 (C/S 59)
handle after Int, 149 (C/S 44R), 151 (C/S 44R Add.)
numbers of, 210 (C/S 72)
out, 221 (C/S 78)
out of valence, 162 (C/S 51)
outness, 86 (C/S 26)
prepared, 149 (C/S 44R), 151 (C/S 44R Add.)
prepared, and C/S data, 188 (C/S 62)
question not reading, 3 (C/S 1)

M

major action(s),
and case set-ups, 6 (C/S 1)
and No-Interference Area, 212 (C/S 73)
definition of, 6 (C/S 1)
mixing, 136 (C/S 38)
major auditing actions, 211 (C/S 73)
mandatory C/Sing checklist, 200-02 (C/S 69R)
mannerism changes, C/S request for, 36 (C/S 8)
mass and overrun, 127 (C/S 37R)
massy thetans, 105-06 (C/S 33RA), 109 (C/S 33RA-1)
meaning of things secondary to forces in processing, 28 (C/S 6)
medicine, treat like drugs, 168 (C/S 54)
mental,
masses-forces-energy, 29 (C/S 6)
treatment, run out, 168 (C/S 54)
meter check at Success, 208 (C/S 71A)
metering,
and correction lists, 209 (C/S 72)
and failed sessions, 244 (C/S 90)
reading items, 79-80 (C/S 24)
reading items, data unknown can cost case failures, 80 (C/S 24)
Method 3, 210 (C/S 72)
Method 5, how to do GF, 86 (C/S 26)
method of use of correction lists, 210 (C/S 72)
mighty weapon—new uses for the Green Form, 86 (C/S 26)
mimicry and repair, 20 (C/S 3)
misprogramming and programming, 99 (C/S 31)
mixing major actions, TRs Course and auditing, 136 (C/S 38)
more auditing, pcs who haven’t made it sign up for, 153 (C/S 46)
motivator, pc will not recover fully if only run, 74 (C/S 22)
mutual out ruds, handling, 246 (C/S 91)
routine check for, 246 (C/S 91)

N

nature of man, basically good, 73 (C/S 22)
never, blame the pc, 146 (C/S 42)
motivator, pc will not recover fully if only run, 74 (C/S 22)

N

objects of the pc, 285

O

objection to force by thetans, 28 (C/S 6)
OCA(s),
D of P operates by, 205 (C/S 71)
graph, dropped, 162 (C/S 51)
graph, out of valence, 162 (C/S 51)
word clearing, 207-08 (C/S 71A)
OK to Audit, 163-64 (C/S 52)

operations, run out if reads, 168 (C/S 54)
opinion, auditor, not important, 171 (C/S 55)
opportunity rate of change and standard
processes, 36 (CjS 8)
order, C/S standing, 213 (C/S 74)
Org C/S responsible for all cases, 96 (C/S 30)
out,
of ARC, 128 (C/S 37R)
of valence, 162 (C/S 51)
points, a case is a collection of, 21 (C/S 4)
points, a C/S should spot, 199 (C/S 68)
program plays havoc with pcs, 16 (C/S 3)
ruds, mutual, 246 (C/S 91)
technic, flagrant, and non-F/Ning pcs, 112 (C/S 34)
TRs, 129 (C/S 37R)
TRs and failed sessions, 244 (C/S 90)
TRs, remedy for, 244 (C/S 90)
overload, what is, 253 (C/S 94)
overrepair, 147 (C/S 43)
and thorough C/Ses, 88 (C/S 27)
overrun(s),
and Full Flow Dianetics, 119-20 (C/S 36RB), 124 (C/S 36RB-1R)
and Int, 77 (C/S 23RA)
flagrant, 113 (C/S 34)
listing for, 128 (C/S 37R)
listing questions, 128 (C/S 37R)
reverse action is continue, 128 (C/S 37R)
TAs go high on, 56 (C/S 15)
to audit, 127, 128 (C/S 37R)
overshooting defined, 62 (C/S 17)
over-motivator, running, 74 (C/S 22)
overts on pcs, critical auditor, 8 (C/S 1)
overwhelm,
at Grade IV, 38 (C/S 9)
earlier Grades out, 32 (C/S 7)
handling the pc in, 17, 18-19 (C/S 3)
indicates need of Repair and Return, 51 (C/S 13R)
pc generally right when says he’s overwhelmed or upset, 33 (C/S 7)
too steep a gradient or heavy a process, 28 (C/S 6)

P

pc,
Assessment Form, 4 (C/S 1)
Assessment Sheet, begin Dianetics with, 168 (C/S 54)
assignment, 226 (C/S 81R)
doing well, 65 (C/S 19)
in trouble, 106-07 (C/S 33RA), 110 (C/S 33RA-1)
pc (cont.)
in trouble and TA high, 116 (C/S 35RA)
not in trouble, 106 (C/S 33RA), 110
(C/S 33RA-1)
reality and no change, 35 (C/S 8)
search, 29 (C/S 6)
too wild to audit, 20 (C/S 3)
when he’s made it sent to attest by C/S,
153 (C/S 46)
who knows what is wrong, 32 (C/S 7)
perception,
improves on flows, 169 (C/S 54)
reduces in ratio to overt, 154 (C/S 47)
persistent F/N, 67 (C/S 20)
personal relationships and auditor’s rights,
225 (C/S 81 R)
pink paper, Progress Program is on, 88
(C/S 27)
plus points, the big, 98 (C/S 30)
points on case supervision, 4445 (C/S 11)
Potential Trouble Source; see PTS
Power;
done twice, 97 (C/S 30), 145 (C/S 42)
repair, 24-25 (C/S 5)
PRD and auditor flubs, 233 (C/S 84)
preOTs don’t C/S, 214-15 (C/S 75)
prepared lists,
and auditor eyesight, 240 (C/S 87)
and metering, 240 (C/S 87)
and misunderstood words, 240 (C/S 87)
and out TRs, 240 (C/S 87), 244 (C/S 90)
and the C/S, 240 (C/S 87)
and use of suppress and invalidate
buttons, 240 (C/S 87)
choose finding out with handling, 189
(C/S 62)
failure to use, 209 (C/S 72)
F/Ning, 240 (C/S 87)
give C/S new data, 189 (C/S 62)
not reading and not F/Ning, 240 (C/S 87)
requirements, 245 (C/S 90)
precheck, 2wc lighter than, 43 (C/S 10)
pressures on D of P, 33 (C/S 7);
see also D of P
primary,
failure, 244 (C/S 90)
record is the pc’s folders, 190 (C/S 62)
process(es),
37R; see thirty-seven R (37R)
jumping, 14 (C/S 2)
misprogrammed lower level, 17 (C/S 3)
short-cut, 40 (C/S 9)
should not be extracted, 151 (C/S 44R Add.)
unflat, repair has priority, 16 (C/S 3)
use all for each Grade, 13 (C/S 2)
procurement,
letters, 85 (C/S 25)
new pc, 85 (C/S 25)
product purpose and Why and WC error
correction, 220 (C/S 78)
program(s), programmed, programming,
Advance; see Advance Program
and misprogramming, 99 (C/S 31)
and the C/S Series, 250 (C/S 93)
and the Grade Chart, 250 (C/S 93)
case incorrectly, 16, 17 (C/S 3)
cases backwards, 182-83 (C/S 58)
chronic somatic, 57 (C/S 15)
W/P training of auditors, 70 (C/S 21)
cross, 137-38 (C/S 38)
current, on inside of folder, 145 (C/S 42)
definition of, 6 (C/S 1), 10 (C/S 2)
(D/S 27) Dianetic, and drugs, 158 (C/S 48R)
Dianetics, correct, 169 (C/S 54)
dispersing away from, 11 (C/S 2)
EP, 147 (C/S 43)
equations, 97 (C/S 30)
from prepared lists, 149-50 (C/S 44R)
from White Form, 169 (C/S 54)
for flubless auditing, 176-81 (C/S 57)
for the C/S, 240 (C/S 87)
ines, 99 (C/S 31)
intelligence, 190 (C/S 62)
interjected by pc, 137 (C/S 38)
length of, 87 (C/S 27)
long, saves C/S time, 37 (C/S 9)
major errors in, lay case open to
goofed sessions, 16 (C/S 3)
necessity of working on a case by, 13
(C/S 2)
of cases, 10 (C/S 2)
of fat folder cases cover lists, 65 (C/S 19)
principal six errors of, 99 (C/S 31)
Progress; see Progress Program
quality, 99 (C/S 31)
quickie, 219 (C/S 77)
recovery, 48 (C/S 12)
Repair; see Repair Program
Return; see Return Program
sequence of, 151 (C/S 44R Add.)
short-cutting, 40 (C/S 9)
standard 121h hour intensive, 139 (C/S 39R)
three types, 11 (C/S 2)
TRs are a major, 137, 138 (C/S 38)
visual idea, 138 (C/S 38)
violations, 7 (C/S 1)
winning, 147 (C/S 43)
Progress Program, 44 (C/S 11), 48 (C/S 12),
87, 88 (C/S 27), 145 (C/S 42), 151
(C/S 44R Add.); see also Repair Program
sample, 139 (C/S 39R)
protest and high TA, 150 (C/S 44R)
psychiatrist is fading, 75 (C/S 22)
psychiatry descended into insane barbarism,
14 (C/S 2)
psychosis, description of, 72-73 (C/S 22)
psychotic,
being, 74 (C/S 22)
motivation of, 74 (C/S 22)
PTP, 57 (C/S 15)

Q
Q and A, and F/Ns, 243 (C/S 89)
C/S, 27 (C/S 5), 32-34 (C/S 7)
C/S, and programs, 243 (C/S 89)
C/Sing a win, 33 (C/S 7)
next Grade please, 33 (C/S 7)
with F/Ns, the results of, 243 (C/S 89)
with significance, 34 (C/S 7)

Quad Dianetics,
and Dianetic remedies, 93 (C/S 28RA-1)
and Int RD, 102 (C/S 32RA-1R)
and OTs, 104 (C/S 32RA-1R)
and upper level auditors, 93 (C/S 28RA-1)
auditor checkout of, 92 (C/S 28RA-1)
auditor errors in running, 172 (C/S 36RB-1R)
cancelled, 76 (C/S 23RA)
C/Sing, 91 (C/S 28RA-1)
dangers of, 122-26 (C/S 36RB-1R)
flows, 103 (C/S 32RA-1R)

R
R3R,
commands, used on Quad Dianetics, 103 (C/S 32RA-1R)
commands, used on Triple Dianetics, 101 (C/S 32RA)
flubbed, 76 (C/S 23RA)
R6EW, falsely gotten to, 22 (C/S 4)
rabbit, a preOT can, 215 (C/S 75)
rabbiting druggie, 211 (C/S 73)
reach and withdraw, 20 (C/S 3)
read,
no, suspected by C/S, 80 (C/S 24)
the most stopped, 128 (C/S 37R)
reading items, 149-50 (C/S 44R)
data unknown can cost case failures, 80 (C/S 24)
metering, 79-80 (C/S 24)
reads gotten on clearing commands, 142 (C/S 41)
red sheet, Repair Program is on, 14 (C/S 2),
44 (C/S 11)
red tab word clearing errors, 247 (C/S 92R)
red tag,
and use of correction lists, 227 (C/S 81-1 RA)
auditor is expected to handle, 237 (C/S 86RD)
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red tag (cont.)
- daily action, 238 (C/S 86RD)
- line, 237-38 (C/S 86RD)
- Pc Examiner makes a daily list of all red tags, 238 (C/S 86RD)
- penalty for not handling, 227 (C/S 81-1RA),
  239 (C/S 86RD)
- second, 227 (C/S 81-1RA)
- when a folder is red tagged, 237 (C/S 86RD)
- who takes it off, 238 (C/S 86RD)
- reduction of refunds—C/Ses and overload, 252-53 (C/S 94)
- refusing to audit pcs, 1 (C/S 1)
- reason why, 225 (C/S 81 R)
- Registrar sells auditing not “Repair Pgm”, 26 (C/S 5)
- rehab,
  - correction action on wins is rehab, not repair, 33 (C/S 7)
  - of chains, 118-19 (C/S 36RB), 123 (C/S 36RB-1R)
  - of chains left unflat, 113-14 (C/S 34)
  - on Grade done twice, 112 (C/S 34)
  - past major action, 105 (C/S 33RA), 108 (C/S 33RA-1)
- remedy,
  - auditor, 69 (C/S 21)
  - for auditor who says his pcs are “dogs”,
    223 (C/S 80)
  - for C/S who agrees with auditor about
    “dog pcs”, 223-24 (C/S 80)
  - for org, 174-75 (C/S 56)
  - Int is a, 77-78 (C/S 23RA)
  - lighter for the worse off case, 18 (C/S 3)
- repair(s), repairing, 221 (C/S 78)
  - a repair, 4243 (C/S 10)
- action, product purpose and Why and word
  - correction error, 220 (C/S 78)
  - an auditor, 232 (C/S 83RA)
  - and case gain, 232 (C/S 83RA)
  - and Full Flow Dianetics, 101 (C/S 32RA)
  - and high TA, 116 (C/S 35RA)
  - and “no interest” items, 229 (C/S 82), 236 (C/S 85)
  - and preOTs, 211 (C/S 73)
  - definition and data on, 6 (C/S 1)
  - delays in, 16 (C/S 2)
  - Dianetic, of flubs by L3, 169 (C/S 54)
  - for pc running badly, 4 (C/S 1)
  - of Int, 77 (C/S 23RA)
- Program, 62 (C/S 17), 145 (C/S 42);
  - see also Progress Program
- accepting a new, 2 (C/S 1)
- and their priority, 16 (C/S 3)
- dated session by session, 14 (C/S 2)
  - EP of, 17 (C/S 3), 232 (C/S 83RA)

Repair Program (cont.)
- example, 24-25 (C/S 5)
- gets off overwhelm, 21 (C/S 4)
- programming, BPC, 18 (C/S 3)
- the case, 17 (C/S 3)
- using lists and errors in current life, 13 (C/S 2)
- when done, 250 (C/S 93)
- report(s),
  - after session Examiner’s, 46 (C/S 11)
  - auditor’s, 46 (C/S 11)
  - out admin—liability, 4647 (C/S 11)
- resistive cases,
  - an VIII development now on GF, 51 (C/S 13R)
  - won’t make case gains until drugs are handled, 156 (C/S 48R)
- resistive, tagged by C/S, 189 (C/S 62)
- result(s),
  - honest C/Sing gives honest, 41 (C/S 9)
  - orgs didn’t attain result on the pc, 38 (C/S 9)
  - reorganize to get, when only 65% F/Ning sessions occur, 173 (C/S 56)
  - retrain, retraining, 233 (C/S 84)
- reviewers, 8 (C/S 1)
- R-factors, never give future or past, 142 (C/S 41)
- ridges and flows, 129 (C/S 37R)
- rise, use of in 37R on low TAs, 141 (C/S 40)
- Rising Scale, 40 (C/S 9)
- risk of FFD, Int-Ext RD and Power, 121 (C/S 36RB), 125 (C/S 36RB-1 R)
- roller-coaster, 72 (C/S 22)
- and drugs, 156 (C/S 48R)
- rudiments, ruds,
  - and GF, 3 (C/S 1)
  - and high TA, 4 (C/S 1)
  - and long C/Ses, 87 (C/S 27)
  - and sessions far apart, 3 (C/S 1)
  - auditing over out, 2-3 (C/S 1), 149,150 (C/S 44R)
rudiments, ruds (cont.)
definition and data, 6 (C/S 1)
generating one’s own in, 8 (C/S 1)
going out and handling, 5-6 (C/S 1)
handle after Int and lists, 149 (C/S 44R), 151 (C/S 44R Add.)
in 2-way comm, 54-55 (C/S 14)
inability to fly, 3 (C/S 1)
life knocking out, 94 (C/S 29)
mutual out, 246 (C/S 91)
out, don’t audit with, 2-3 (C/S 1), 149, 150 (C/S 44R)
out during 37R, 133 (C/S 37R Add.)
put in after Int and list repair, 185 (C/S 60)
suppress and false, 3 (C/S 1)
rules: see also rules
auditor, F/N before next C/S action, 136 (C/S 38)
blow up and 37R, low TAs, 141 (C/S 40)
broad, and C/Sing, 189 (C/S 62)
continued session, 214 (C/S 75)
firm, C/S only with all folders to hand, 45 (C/S 11)
general, return to where case was running well, 113 (C/S 34)
mandatory, Cramming Of ficer in all HGCs, 199 (C/S 68)
the Ivory Tower, 170 (C/S 55)
rules; see also rule
C/S; see C/S rules
exact tech application, 152 (C/S 45)
firm, on auditing the insane, 74 (C/S 22)
first aid, 160 (C/S 49R)
Hi-1o TA assessment, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
major C/S, for TRs Course, 136 (C/S 38)
of C/Sing 2-way comm, 54-55 (C/S 14)
three golden, of the C/S, 186-87 (C/S 61)
to improve tech results you must improve administration, 172 (C/S 56)
TR Course and auditing, 136 (C/S 38)
two, complete and incomplete actions on a case, 63 (C/S 17)

S
sanity is basically honesty and truth, 208 (C/S 71A)
self-auditing,
and handling, 94 (C/S 29)
manifestation of overwhelm, 35 (C/S 8)
reason for, 220 (C/S 78)
symptom of session or study or life
overwhelm, 16-17 (C/S 3)

S
seniors,
C/S (for tech) and D of P (for auditors and bodies), 84 (C/S 25)
in tech, 178 (C/S 57)
sequence,
new, Tech Services lines, 82-84 (C/S 25)
of programs, 51 (C/S 44R Add.)
overmotivator, 74 (C/S 22)

S
session(s),
by-passed charge, 18,19 (C/S 3)
continued, rule, 214 (C/S 75)
C/S for several, 214 (C/S 75)
Dianetic, 87 (C/S 27)
economical, 87 (C/S 27)
ending the, 2 (C/S 1)
grading by C/S, 59-60 (C/S 16)
grading form, 81 (C/S 25)
grading—Well Done, definition of, 59 (C/S 16)

S
logged, 81 (C/S 25)
priorities—Repair Programs and their priority, 16 (C/S 3)
running badly, 4 (C/S 1)
scheduling and programs, 3 (C/S 1)
splendid HGC, 146 (C/S 42)
starts, don’t mix them, 143 (C/S 41)
that went wrong, 9 (C/S 1)
vicious, ARC Break, 184 (C/S 59)

S
set up(s),
definition, 6 (C/S 1)
for next major action, 146 (C/S 42)
when Repair Program concluded case is, 21 (C/S 4)
seven flows, 131 (C/S 37R Add.)
Sheet, Pc Assessment, 168 (C/S 54)
short-cutting,
processes, 40 (C/S 9)
programs, 40 (C/S 9)
short Hi-1o TA assessment C/S, 165 (C/S 53R)
sickly and feeble cases, 87 (C/S 27)
sick pcs,
a lot of things to do for, 39 (C/S 9)
an indicator of wild program, C/S and auditing error, 113 (C/S 34)
sick person is PTS, 222 (C/S 79)
significances, no change when only addressed, 35 (C/S 8)
significance, the search of the pc is for, 29 (C/S 6)
simplicity of running Int, 117 (C/S 35RA)
skill,
and talking the TA down, 213 (C/S 74)
auditing, improvement of, between R6 and OT III, 212 (C/S 73)
solo,
D of P keeps tabs on all solo pcs, 214-15 (C/S 75)
failure on, 212 (C/S 73)
pcs on lines, 214 (C/S 75)
set-ups, 249 (C/S 93)
somatics, chronic; see chronic somatics
speed liability, 4041 (C/S 9)
stable data, HCO Bs and tapes are the, 148 (C/S 43)
staff is the public of a Staff C/S, 194 (C/S 65R)
stale-dated,
C/S, 2 (C/S 1)
pgm, 2 (C/S 1)
stalled cases and mutual out ruds, 248 (C/S 91)
standard,
12½ hour intensive programs, 139 (C/S 39R)
auditing, 57 (C/S 15)
auditing actions, 218 (C/S 77)
techn, was it applied, 46 (C/S 11)
standing order from C/S to his auditors, 213 (C/S 74)
stats of,
auditors, 226 (C/S 81R)
C/S, 226 (C/S 81R)
the D of P, 226 (C/S 81R)
stop, effort to, 127 (C/S 37R)
study,
Correction List, 231 (C/S 83RA)
rundowns, 95 (C/S 29)
success,
meter check at, 208 (C/S 71A)
stories, real stat of an org, 38 (C/S 9)
Summaries, Folder Error; see Folder Error
Summaries
summary,
each session, 145 (C/S 42)
session, and preOTs, 215 (C/S 75)
superficial actions and fast quick results, 37 (C/S 9)
suppress button, use of, 3 (C/S 1)
suppressive,
pc, 222 (C/S 79)
person, 72 (C/S 22)
supreme test of a C/S, 154 (C/S 47)
symptoms of insanity are from the same cause, 73 (C/S 22)

T

TA(s),
goest up after 37R, 133 (C/S 37R Add. 3)
high; see high TA
TRIPS, 118 (C/S 36RB), 122-23 (C/S 36RB-1R) and correction lists, 209-10 (C/S 72) and Cramming, 20 (C/S 3) and drugs, 20 (C/S 3), 157 (C/S 48R) and No-Interference Area, 211-12 (C/S 73) Course and auditing—mixing major actions, 136 (C/S 38) trust earned by great results, 170 (C/S 55) truth and declares, 153 (C/S 46) two-way comm. in repair, 16 (C/S 3) on BD items in repair, 87 (C/S 27) ruds in, 54-55 (C/S 14) rules of C/Sing, 54-55 (C/S 14)

U

unconscious pc, audited off a meter, 160 (C/S 49R) undershooting, a defeating error, 62 (C/S 17)

unflat, chain left, 69 (C/S 21) engram chains, 28 (C/S 6), 56 (C/S 15) on Dianetics, 13, 14 (C/S 2) process has priority, 16 (C/S 3) R6EW, 22 (C/S 4) unreading question, 3 (C/S 1) unrun flows, 113 (C/S 34) use of, correction lists, 209 (C/S 72) Dianetics, 89 (C/S 28RA), 100 (C/S 32RA) Quad Dianetics, 102 (C/S 32RA-1R) Quadruple Dianetics, 91 (C/S 32RA-1)
### CASE SUPERVISOR SERIES

#### ALPHABETICAL LIST OF TITLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assists (5 July 71R, C/S 49R)</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing of Staff &amp; Public (BTB 6 Oct. 71R, C/S 65R)</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor’s Rights (23 Aug. 71, C/S 1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor’s Rights Addition Revised (BTB 28 Dec. 72RA, C/S 81-1 RA)</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor’s Rights Modified (16 June 72R, C/S 81R)</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor’s Worksheets (3 Nov. 71, C/S 66)</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning a Pc on Dianetics (28 July 71, C/S 54)</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Actions, Off Line (8 Mar. 71, C/S 29)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophes From and Repair of “No Interest” Items (13 Sept. 72, C/S 85)</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chart of Human Evaluation (19 June 70, C/S 8)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Somatic, Dianetic Handling of (11 Sept. 70, C/S 18)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code of a C/S, The (BTB 30 Nov. 71 R, C/S 67)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction Lists (BTB 11 Aug. 72RA, C/S 83RA)</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S and Cramming Cycles, The (BTB 8 Dec. 71, C/S 68)</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S as a Training Officer, A—A Program for Flubless Auditing (1 Sept. 71, C/S 57)</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Case Gain (15 July 71, C/S 50)</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S and Overload—Reduction of Refunds (25 Sept. 74, C/S 94)</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Sing a PTS Rundown (17 Apr. 72, C/S 76)</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Sing Auditor-C/Ses (19 Mar. 71, C/S 30)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Sing Checklist (3 Jan. 72, C/S 69 Add.)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Sing for New Auditors or Veterans (2 Oct. 71, C/S 63)</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Sing 2-Way Comm (3 July 70, C/S 14)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C’S Q and A (19 June 70, C/S 7)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Responsibility for Training (10 Nov. 70, C/S 21)</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Rules (9 June 71, C/S 42)</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Rules (9 June 71, C/S 43)</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Rules (19 June 71, C/S 45)</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Rules—Programming From Prepared Lists (10 June 71, C/S 44R)</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44R—C/S Rules—The Sequence of Programs (BTB 3 1 Oct. 71, C/S 44R Add.)</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Series 11 (25 June 70, C/S 11)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Series 37R Addition 3</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/S Tips (9 June 71, C/S 41)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangers of Quadruple Dianetics (21 Apr. 71 R, C/S 36R/1 R)</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declares (19 June 71, C/S 46)</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianetic Case Supervisor’s Index, The (BTB 25 Apr. 71R)</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianetic Handling of Chronic Somatic (11 Sept. 70, C/S 18)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianetic HC0 B—Interest (21 Apr. 71RB, C/S 36RB)</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianetics, Beginning a Pc on (28 July 71, C/S 54)</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D of P Operates by OCAs (19 Dec. 71, C/S 71)</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Dog Pcs” (15 June 72, C/S 80)</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Handling (15 July 71, C/S 48R)</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Failed” Cases (26 Oct. 75, C/S 95)</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fantastic New HGC Line, The (5 Mar. 71, C/S 25)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flubless C/Sing (16 Aug. 72, C/S 84)</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flubless C/Sing in Missions (BTB 16 Aug. 72-1, C/S 84-1)</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/Ning Auditors (5 Oct. 71, C/S 64)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/N What You Ask or Program (20 Nov. 73, C/S 89)</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folder Error Summaries (6 Oct. 70, C/S 19)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting the F/N to Examiner (High, Low TAs and Chronic Somatics)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16 Aug. 70, C/S 15)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling Auditors—The Three Golden Rules of the C/S (22 Sept. 71, C/S 61)</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High and Low TA Breakthrough (3 June 71, C/S 37R)</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Lo TA Assessment Rules (15 June 71, C/S 37R Add.)</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How To Get Results in an HGC (25 Aug. 71, C/S 56)</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How To Write Up a Cramming Order (BTB 12 Dec. 71R, C/S 70R)</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Cases (26 Aug. 70, C/S 17)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interiorization Errors (16 Dec. 71RA, C/S 35RA)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interiorization Summary (17 Dec. 71 R, C/S 23RA)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest—Dianetic HC0 B (10 Aug. 72, C/S 82)</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internes (19 July 71, C/S 52)</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ivory Tower, The (8 Aug. 71, C/S 55) 170
Know Before You Go (28 Sept. 71, C/S 62) 188
Long C/Ses (6 Mar. 71, C/S 27) 87
Low TA Assessing (16 June 71R, C/S 37R Add. 2R) 132
Low TAs(7June71,C/S40) 141
Mandatory C/Sing Checklist (BTB 12 Dec. 71R, C/S 69R) 200
Metering Reading Items (28 Feb. 71, C/S 24) 79
Mixing Major Actions—TRs Course and Auditing (26 May 71, C/S 38) 136
Mutual Out Ruds (17 Feb. 74, C/S 91) 246
New Grade Chart (31 Aug. 74, C/S 93) 248
New Uses for the Green Form (6 Mar. 71, C/S 26) 86
No-Interference Area, The (23 Dec. 71, C/S 73) 211
Non-F/N Cases (6 Apr. 71, C/S 34) 112
Nulling and F/Ning Prepared Lists (15 Oct. 73, C/S 87) 240
Out of Valence (17 July 71, C/S 51) 162
Persistent F/N (8 Oct. 70, C/S 20) 67
PreOTs Don’t C/S (10 Apr. 72, C/S 75) 214
Primary Failure, The (6 Dec. 73, C/S 90) 244
Product Purpose and Why and WC Error Correction (20 Apr. 72, C/S 78) 220
Program for Flubless Auditing, A—A C/S as a Training Officer (1 Sept. 71, C/S 57) 176
Programming and Misprogramming (31 Mar. 71, C/S 31) 99
Programming Cases Backwards (7 Sept. 71, C/S 58) 182
Programming From Prepared Lists—C/S Rules (10 June 71, C/S 44R) 149
Programming of Cases (12 June 70, C/S 2) 10
Psychosis (28 Nov. 70, C/S 22) 72
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Return Program, The (14 June 70, C/S 4) 21
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Session Grading—Well Done, Definition of (21 Aug. 70, C/S 16) 59
Session Priorities—Repair Pgms and Their Priority (13 June 70, C/S 3) 16
Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S (24 Nov. 73RB, C/S 53RJ) 165
Standard 121h Hour Intensive Programs (31 May 71R, C/S 39R) 139
Superficial Actions (21 June 70, C/S 9) 37
Supreme Test of a C/S, The (20 June 71, C/S 47) 154
Talking the TA Down Modified (16 Feb. 72, C/S 74) 213
Tech Degrades (BTB 22 Oct. 73R, C/S 88R) 241
Three Golden Rules of the C/S, The—Handling Auditors (22 Sept. 71, C/S 61) 186
Triple and Quad Reruns (5 Apr. 71, C/S 33RA-1) 108
Triple Reruns (5 Apr. 71RA, C/S 33RA) 105
TRs Course and Auditing—Mixing Major Actions (26 May 71, C/S 38) 136
Use of Correction Lists (20 Dec. 71, C/S 72) 209
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Word Clearing Errors (8 July 74R, C/S 92R) 247
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Cumulative Index
INDEX INSTRUCTIONS

An index is an arranged analysis of the contents of a book or set of books for the purpose of detailed reference.

In the Technical Bulletins of Dianetics and Scientology, several methods of locating desired material are available.

CONTENTS

Each volume has at the front a Contents which lists in chronological order each issue in that volume. It is used where you know the date or approximate date of an issue.

PERIODICALS BY ISSUE NUMBER

Following the Contents in Volumes I, II, III, IV and VI, there is a list of Periodicals by Issue Number. Use it to look up an issue when you know its type and issue number.

LONG CONTENTS

At the front of each volume, following the Contents, and list of Periodicals by Issue Number, there is a Long Contents. This is a more detailed contents listing, also arranged in chronological order, which lists the series number where applicable, the date, the title, pertinent sub-headings and the major subjects of each issue in the order in which they appear. It can be used to quickly locate major subjects covered in a particular time period.

SUBJECT INDEX

The Subject Index is at the back of each volume immediately following the text pages. It is arranged alphabetically with references under headings the reader is likely to seek. It is used when you know the approximate year (which volume) of the data you are seeking.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF TITLES

Following the Subject Index, there is an Alphabetical List of Titles for issues contained in that volume. This is a fast way to find the issue you are seeking when you know the title or approximate title.

CHRONOLOGICAL TAPE LIST

Although it is not immediately apparent, there is also a Chronological Tape List contained in these volumes. To use it just look up the chronological volumes (first eight volumes) at or near the date you are seeking and you will find lectures given by LRH at the time. You can also often find tape lectures covering subjects you are interested in by looking up one of the other reference lists, locating key issues on the subject, then by turning to these in the text pages, locate tapes given on that subject, as, particularly in the SHSBC period, bulletins were issued which summarized data found more fully on tapes of same or similar date.

CUMULATIVE INDEX

The Cumulative Index will be found at the back of Volume X, the C/S Series Volume, following the Subject Index. It is basically an alphabetical integration of the ten subject indexes.
Purpose

The purpose of the *Cumulative Index* (and of its sub-indexes in each volume) is to indicate the location of specific data about a chosen subject, to lead one to further data about a subject (data which might be unknown and thus not looked for), to cross-reference related subjects, to clarify the use of terms and abbreviations by making them easily locatable in their context, to give definitions and references to definitions of terms, and to make it possible to do an embracive study of a subject, using the index as a guide through the collected technical writings of L. Ron Hubbard.

Alphabetical Order

The alphabetical order takes account of each letter in turn through the full entry even if it consists of several words. Thus *process levels* comes after *processing check*.

Definitions are placed in chronological order under the main heading and before the alphabetized sub-entries.

Numerals (entries beginning with a figure) are placed after the alphabet. Thus 8C, 37R Rundown, etc., are found after the letter Z.

Symbols ("/", "X", etc.) are put after the numerals.

Arrangement of Entries

The word or phrase one first looks up is the *main entry*. If there is a section of entries (usually two or more) that would have the same main entry or relate to the same main entry then the section is indented so the main entry stands out.

e.g. certificates,
    auditor, purpose of, I-400
    cancellation of auditor's certificates, reason for, IV-30, 96
    provisional certificates, I-52
    requirements for permanent certificates, I-65
    restoration of certificates IV-34
    suspension, line for, I-66
    why all begin with the word “Hubbard”, III-288

The page reference is given in the form volume-page number, thus I-400 means page 400 in Volume I.

The main entry may have a sub-entry that has further sub-entries. These are indented further to let the sub-entry stand out.

e.g. Learn(ing),
    by observing or experiencing, I-190
    isn't memorizing, III-424
    lag and process lag, III-18
    process, discipline of imagination essential, I-324
    rate, III-17, 20
    *defn*, the rate one will permit ideas to inflow, III-28
    aberration and learning rate, relationship between, III-15
    consequences, III-20
    dissemination, use of "learning rate" in, III-20, 21
    governs reading time, III-22
    increasing ~ rate by drill usually only increases
      familiarity and automaticity, III-22
    learning lag and learning rate, III-19, 20
    through mimicry, I-31
    willingness to, III-79
The sign ~, called a *swung dash*, used particularly in the individual volume subject indexes to save space, indicates that the main entry is understood to be read at that point.

**Cross References**

In addition to data being referenced under one main heading and then the same data being referenced under alternative headings, there are two other forms of crossreferencing. These are:

The *see* reference which is used when the information is provided under another heading elsewhere in the index.

The *see also* reference which leads the reader to material on closely related subjects, or to other sources for material on the subject.

LRH Books of the period are also referred to in cross references (for abbreviations of titles used see the *Technical Dictionary*).

**Chronology**

As the first eight volumes are arranged chronologically, the volume-page number code serves as a guide to the time period of the references, the lowest volume-lowest page number being the earliest material.

A square bracket with a year, e.g. [1955], following an entry indicates that the data is from that year. This is used where it was necessary to more clearly indicate the time period of the reference.

The chronology of the material is important as it shows both the evolution of the subject, and, where there is any conflict, the later material supersedes the earlier.

------------

These volumes make "Having the correct technology" easy.

"Knowing the technology" should be greatly assisted by the index.

Thus there should be no stops to the first two points of *Keeping Scientology Working*.

These technical volumes together with the third dynamic technology contained in the Organization Executive Course books provide the tools with which to reach the goals of Scientology, and so "give to earth a culture of which we can be proud".
CUMULATIVE INDEX
aberration(s), aberrative, aberrate (cont.)
evidences of an aberrated area, VI-196
factor in living is loss, I-296
freeing of valences remedies pain and aberration, IV-105
from being inhibited or being enforced, I-191
fundamental of aberration: all wrong actions are result of an error followed by an insistence on having been right, V-322
general causes of mental aberration, I-242
genius and aberration, I-130
geographical areas, their role in, I-448
goals terminal is that valence into which pc has interiorized and which carries the goal, modifier and aberration which pc attributes to self, IV-419
graphic representation of, I-159, 160
help, relationship to aberration; see help how to clean up aberration [1960], IV-7
how to get pc over any condition or aberration he is agonizing to get rid of, IV-44
ingredient of truth maintains it in force, II-143
is a chain of vias based on a primary non-confront, IX-310
is composit of unknowns, therefore pc can’t tell what is to be run, V-76
justice, savage, why it aberrates, VIII-172
man is basically good, only his ~ are bad, VI-346
other people’s causation is not aberrative, IV-19
pt environment, V-50
what has been done to him, IV-92
surrounded by things you cannot understand, pc need but become aware of actual cause of an aberration to have it vanish, VI-58
pc never has done anything in this life that aberrated him, VI-199
psychiatry’s basic assumption: shock cures aberration, IV-103
Q and A is simply postulate aberration, VIII-230
radiation, aberrative character of, III-52
reasoning with, won’t work, I-59
resolution of, I-244
savage justice aberrates, why, VIII-172
Scienceology’s basic assumption: a being without aberration will be good, ethical, artistic and powerful, IV-104
self-determined (not other-determined) flows can be aberrative, V-14
self-determinism, aberrative, is end product of fai
lures to help, IV-191
single source of aberration is time, V-277, 287
social aberration is a composite of individual aberrations, IV-45
somatics, aberrations, circuits and problems are postulate-counter-postulate situations, IV-414
aberration(s), aberrative, aberrate (cont.)
source of, VI-160, 340
that he thinks he is not the thetan is the aberration, I-267
thetan is holding himself in a state of stupidity, aberration and even insanity, IV-38
third and fourth dynamic aberration, how it comes about, IV-45
time itself is a basis of aberration, VII-87
totality of, is basically considerations a thetan is making, II-437
training, how it can de-aberrate, IX-310
why looking at or recognizing source of ~ in processing “blows” it, makes it vanish, IX-310
aberrative personality; see also merchants of fear; suppressive person
born out of decline of ability of individual to produce, I-477
characteristics of, I-473
communication lag of, I-475
computation of, I-475
continuing to reappear in pc’s thoughts and processing, I-474
method of processing, I-474
two common denominators to all, II-2
aberr, I-19
ability, abilities, aberration and psychosomatic illness and ability, II-109
accent on ability, II-106; see also Dianetics ’55!; NSOL
ambition much greater than, I-37
attained, X-7
attained as an EP, VII-48, 361
creative, I-399
creative, loss of, I-395
differentiation, I-245
gain is pc’s recognition that pc can now do things he could not do before, III-428
invalidated, X-8
madness is compounded of disarranged~, III-170
neuroses and psychoses are exaggerated, concentrated abilities, III-169
observation, I-376
of viewpoint, I-375
past life abilities, III-80
processing is measured by gradual increase in ability, VII-69
psychiatrist sees in every ability an insanity, III-170
recall, relation to, I-9
regained, gradient scale of, V-342
rehabilitation of, II-517, 555; III-79
technique, III-82
to change, II-304
to create, II-304
to think, defn., capability of mind to perceive, pose and resolve specific and general problems, I-77
Ability Release, Grade IV- Release, VI-98
able, getting well or able depends on establishing truth, VII-449
abortion, I-119
abridged style auditing; see auditing, abridged style
absolute, accuracy; in real universe entities of time, space~
distance, energy and thought cannot be computed with absolute accuracy, I-73
precision, I-74
unobtainable, I-73
right and wrong, I-70
truth, I-71
abstractions, mind uses, I-74
abundance of terminal, II-502
Academy; see also training
goal of, III-25, 250
no cases at Academy, III-309
stable data: new auditors should be able to audit in HGC [1961 ], IV-329

teach fundamentals of Scientology, V-52
training, III-309
unit one and two, IV-330
ACC; see Advanced Clinical Course
acceptance,
by authority or agreement, I-124
level; see New Slant on Life
level of an audience, I-154
of counter-effort, I-169
Acceptance Level Processing, I-491
how it is done and what it does, I-485
overt-motivator sequence and, II-8
specialized list, I-492
version of Expanded Gita, I-485
accepting,
a C/S, VII-44, 356; X-2
the pc—rights of refusal, VII-44, 355; X-1
accessibility; see also Notes on the Lectures
of children, 145
of psychotics, I-60
accident prevention, III-7
industrial, I-115
accident prone, I-9, 116; X-12
CCHs used to handle, VII-58
when audited, usually loses this unwanted characteristic, VI-348
accidents,
after ~ people should be audited, VII-2
if reads run out narrative R3R Triple, X-168
illness and bacterial infection predetermined by spiritual malfunction and unrest, II-153
occur in presence of suppression, VIII-211, 237
run out narrative R3R, VII-339
using assists on, III-262, 263; VII-417, 418
accused, don’t run a process that makes pc feel accused, V-441
ache and pain have a memory for which person will not take responsibility, I-210
acknowledge(ment)(s), II-255; III-543; IV-247, 250;
VII-249; see also TRs, TR 2
control factor, III-349
acknowledgement(s) (cont.)
all auditors acknowledge too little, V-292
and evaluation, difference between, II-255
auditor must always acknowledge what the pc has
said, II-235
big or multiple acknowledgement is taught at
Level II to shut pc off when pc is going off
subject, V-501
cycle, VII-244; IX-69
did the pc receive it, II-206
double acknowledgement,
by auditor causes OCA/APA drop in comm
level, III-334
cause and avoidance of, III-308
E-Meter dependence is created by invalidation or
poor acknowledgement by auditor, V-334
half-acknowledgement, defn, ways of keeping pc
talking by giving pc feeling he is being heard
and yet not chopping with overdone TR 2,
V-501
its general use is putting a period to the communi-
cation cycle, III-349, 350
maintaining 2-way comm, II-216
mis-acknowledgement is only and always a failure
to end the cycle of a command, III-543
mood can be expressed by, III-383
of children, III-110
of the preclear, II-205
over acknowledgement, defn, acknowledging be-
fore pc has said all, V-336
perfect acknowledgement, what it communicates,
III-349
premature,
effects of, VII-252, 253
leads to inadvertent withholds, VI-26
or late-or-never acks result in same thing, pc
running on and on and on, VI-138
result of, IX-78
ultimate in = would be end of universe, III-351
what to acknowledge, II-255
why stress on acknowledgement, III-349
action(s),
defn., motion or movement; an act; a considera-
tion that motion has occurred, II-407
automatic, II-409
bad, defn, I-293
consistent and inconsistent, I-212
cycles of: see cycles of action
from an idea flows the energy and forms necessary
to action, II-245
good, defn., I-293
is causative, I-209
only realization of actions done will key out a
GPM, V-435
ordering a major, X-145
phrases, I-191
command value of; see DMSMH; SOS
requires space and time, I-293
scale of, I-378
action, cycles of; see cycles of action
actor, “stage manners”, VIII-293; IX-498
acts, bad, defn, those acts which cannot be easily
experienced at the target end, III-432
actual, defn, what is really true; that which exists
despite all apparentness; that which underlies
the way things seem to be; the way things
really are, II-408
additive questions by auditor, VIII-160
adjectival commands, beware running, IV-50
“adjustment to the environment”, a false theory,
I-152
administration, administrative; see also AuditorAdmin
Series, IX-1
defn, a form of communication, II-386
defn, consists of keeping certain communication
terminals in place and making sure that the
proper particles go to and through the proper
terminals, II-386
defn, formation and handling of lines and termi-
nals involved in production, VII-365; IX-3, 5
defn, X-172
admin personnel, takes about 2 admin personnel
to keep a tech personnel going, VI-402
a piece of truth; see Dianetics Today
auditing requires administration, VII-365, 375;
IX-5
auditor admin; see auditor admin
auditor, wonderful, with poor admin could flop,
II-387; see also auditor admin
cramming, IX-96
C/Ses, long C/Ses ease admin lines greatly, VII-
187
details in folder, IX-21; see also auditor admin
ethics, tech, admin sequence, VIII-78, 172
function and excellent case results, X-172
majority of troubles are ~ not technical, II-386
personnel need TRs and drilling as much as tech
personnel, IX-118
Post Purpose Clearing, admin of, VIII-363
proper way to plan, II-387
PTS Rundown, administrative tech of, VIII-95
recruiting staff, tech/admin ratio, VIII-12
smoothness, necessary to knock out the enemy,
II-388
Whys, below, there is usually an Ethics situation,
VIII-100
administrator Q and A, VIII-223
admiration, I-416
defn., is a particle which unites and resolves all
types of energy, particularly force, I-437
absence alone permits persistence, I-375
and critical are a dichotomy, III-245
dissolves force, force cancels admiration, I-416
effect of insufficient ~ from sexual partners,
I-385
happiness is a state of admiration of things, I-437
love is human manifestation of admiration, I-437
most valuable part of attention interchange, I-382
particle or flow, I-311
substance of communication line, I-382
Admiration Processing, basis of, I-311
admired, those things which are not, persist, I-311, 383
uniadoption, problem of, I-120
ads, newspaper ads, where to place, II-353
Advanced Clinical Course, II-346
ACC and HPA/HCA, III-206
ACC Auxiliary Procedure, III-301
ACC Clear Procedure [1958], III-311, 322, 369
ACC Preparatory Process schedule for running engrams [1959], III-389
units, II-135
Advanced Course(s), VII-466; see also auditing, Solo do not mix TRs with Solo or ~, VII-341, 466
insecurity of material, VII-92
Advanced Organizations(s), confidential materials, X-10
Solo levels are only available at, VIII-23
Advanced Procedure; see APA Program(s) [earlier called Return Program], VII-57, 69, 187, 419; X-II-, 14, 21, 48, 88, 139-40, 145
defn, major actions to be undertaken to get case back on Class Chart from wherever he has erroneously gotten to on it; written on blue sheet, VII-57; IX-27
defn, writing down in sequence every needful step and process missed on Class Chart by case which are now to be done, VII-70
defn, putting pc over road sections he missed on road up, VII-71
defn, was called a “Return Program” in C/S Series; name is changed from “Return” to “Advance” as more appropriate; it gets pc really up to where he should be, VII-98
defn, as used in Dianetics is close to meaning of havingness, II-337
affinity; see also ARC; SOS; Scn 8-80; CMSCS
affinity process, “What would you like to confront front?”, III-463, 536
“afraid to find out” type of case, V-36
affinity (cont.)
defn, characteristic of energy as to its vibration, condensation, rarefaction, and, in physical verse, its degree of cohesion or dispersion, I-381
defn, pattern or velocity of particle creates degree of affinity, I-466
defn, co-existence, II-136
defn, liking/disliking of something, II-247
defn, ability to occupy the same space as some thing else, II-412
defn, consideration of distance, whether good or bad, II-412
defn, love, liking or any other emotional attitude, II-412, 413
defn, actually the consideration of distance, III-139
defn, space and willingness to occupy the same space of, VI-261
defn, emotional response, feeling of affection or lack of it, of emotion or misemotion connected with life, VII-29
affinity-reality-communication, understanding interrelationship, II-247; see also ARC triangle; POW and reality exist to further communication, I-381; see also ARC triangle a variable quality, II-412
below apathy affinity proceeds into solidities such as matter, II-413
child is full of, I-98
conceived to be comprised first of thought, then of emotion which contains energy particles, and then as a solid, II-413
embraces the distance part of communication for mula, II-136
affinity of emotion and Affinity Scale; see Scn 0-8
getting in communication with basic personality through, I-60
is never identification, I-98
level of hate, agreement is solid matter and communication is bullets, II-414
lines to data, Supervisors, don’t cut, II-163
tends to break down slightly where individual is too demanding, VII-240
weakest corner in ARC triangle, III-139
Affinity Process, “What would you like to con front?”, III-463, 536
age flash, I-16
age, symptom of the age—there is no time, X-40
agitation and excitement of pc actually a loss of havingness, II-337
agree (Secondary Scale level), IV-305
affinity as reality, I-350
reality = mass or agreement, VI-261
agreement (cont.)
    with environment forces consistency, I-212
    with preclear, I-305
ailment, physical, cure before auditing, I-420
aims of Hubbard Dianetic Research Foundation, I-13
aims of Scientology, I-317
alcohol; see drugs, alcohol
allergy, example of handling, IV-65
All Flows Rundown, X-1 10
    results of, VIII-288, 382
all style auditing; see auditing, all stylistic allies,
defn., person from whom one had sympathy and
    was dependent upon, VI-343
defn., person from whom sympathy came when
    pc was ill or injured, VII-26
assumption of valence on death of, II-9
chronic psychosomatic illness pc has is usually
counterfeit of illness suffered by, I-19
    pc is as occluded as he has lost allies, I-363
alteration(s), IX-426
and misunderstood words, VII-382
at the bottom of all alteration of meaning or
    action is a misunderstood word, IX-426
alter-is, alter-isness,
defn., covert avoidance of an order, VI-193
alter-is auditor, changes when the pc changes,
    V-75
alter-is commands, tendency of pc to, relation to
    Change Processing, IV-256
alter-isness and not-isness, cycle of, of any percep-
    tion, II-300
and degraded beings, VI-193
auditing Problems cures it in a case, IV-354
    basis of alter-is, VI-193, 291
degraded beings, why they alter-is orders or don’t
    comply, VI-193
of orders and tech is worse than non-compliance,
    VI-193
poor results and alter-is come from can’t-apply,
    VI-90
squirrels are only Case Levels 7 or 6 dramatizing ~
on Scientology instead of their track, V-327
alternate commands; see commands, alternate
Alternate Confront [process], IV-116, 121
commands of, III-547; IV-140
Help used in conjunction with Alternate Confront
    and Havingness, IV-108, 110
stabilizing tool, IV-122
“What can you confront?” “What would you
    rather not confront?”, IV-10, 118
Alternate Create, commands of, III-547
altitude,
defn., is the factor that makes a pc receive and
    execute an auditing command, IV-134
auditor altitude, I-20
relation to effect of individual on group, I-92
    teacher altitude, level of, I-131
aluminium electrodes, don’t use, IV-460; see also
    E-Meter cans
ambitions much greater than ability, I-37
A.M.A.’s proposed principles of medical ethics, III-2
American Personality Analysis; see OCA/APA
America, “only one” computation, and afraid of an
    effect, I-480
anaesthetic or unconsciousness, complete silence
    mandatory, II-430
analysis, case; see case analysis
analytical action, engram inhibits, I-31
Analytical Dianetics, I-27
analytical inspection, primary characteristic of reac-
    tive mind is response to a situation without
    analytical inspection, III-269
analytical mind(s)’(s), I-27; see also mind; reactive
    mind; somatic mind; thetan; DMSA-H
defn., combines perceptions of immediate en-
    vironment, of past (via pictures) and estima-
    tions of future into conclusions which are
    based upon realities of situations, II-429
defn., consists of visual pictures, either of past or
    of physical universe, monitored by and
    presided over by knowingness of thetan, II-429
ability to mimic, I-31
and reactive minds, principal difference between,
    I-381
awareness characteristics of, when low on scale,
    I-382
betterment of ~ and control of reactive mind,
    I-346
can be processed directly, I-387
capable of,
    developing its own energy, I-382
    resistance and action, I-29
    vision, I-426
characteristic actions of energy produced by ~,
    I-384
characteristics of, I-27
contents of, I-32
Dianetic processes evolved by paralleling analyti-
    cal mind action, I-33
how it remembers, I-33
keynote of: one knows what one is concluding
    and what he is doing, II-429
misconception regarding the ~, I-30
of auditor and preclear have greater power than
    reactive mind of preclear, principal thing that
    makes therapy possible, I-33
of group, I-87
organic seat, I-29
power over reactive mind, I-33
powers of, I-32
prime operating mechanism of ~, I-34
processed directly, I-387
reactive mind, analytical mind and somatic mind,
    three main divisions, II-429
resistant to aberration, I-37
role of the, I-28
vs. body and mest, I-420
when it becomes aware of a point in the reactive
    mind, makes it vanish, VI-57
analytical thought, I-380
Prehav Scale is not a picture of analytical thought, IV-331
analytical validate the analytical and neglect the reactive, I-163
analytical vs. reactive, response of pc, IV-88, 331
analyzing folders, X-45
anaten, see also coma; unconsciousness
defn., analyzer attenuation, I-45
defn., physiological manifestation of randomness of effort, I-168
demonstration of loss of havingness, II-334, 371; VIII-123
pc with loss of havingness will agitate or go anaten and tend to be upset in general, III-187
ensues when one direction of command is run too long, III-220
flow run too long in one direction gives unconsciousness, V-121
or agitation, cause of in running Terrible Trio, II-396
when pc goes more anaten than when not being audited, he is in grip of real or affected code break and is out of session, II-322
anchor point(s),
defn., any particle or mass or terminal, II-14
defn., points which are anchored in a space different to the physical universe space around a body, II-432
and pain in the head, III-98
communication is an, I-465
don’t drive in anchor points by shoving things at or gesturing toward pc, V-161; VII-251
of body, III-151
putting out anchor points, I-361
space exists by reason of, II-14
wasting, I-333
anger, antagonism and resentment are most fixative emotions, I-267
Anglo-American, civilization, first new civilization since the Roman Empire, II-400
in industrial push spiritual message was lost, II-401
animal, rehabilitation of sick animal, I-389
therapy, I-338
animating a pc is not auditing, II-247
answer(s),
automatic, II-235
flash, I-16
is an opposite side to problems, III-321
antagonism, I-205, 267
antagonistic pc = BPC = assess proper list (such as L1C) and handle, VII-46, 359
antibiotics, administering of, effects of, VIII-403-08
allergy to, can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-328
antibiotics (cont.)
often do not function unless illness or injury is also audited, VI-371, 422
anti-perspirant for wet hands, use of, ~/III-416
anti-Q and A handling, X-243
Anti-Q and A TR, VIII-221
antisocial act done and then withheld sets pc up to become “an ARC breaky pc”, VI-22
antisocial behavior, basic reason for, VI-179
antisocial personality, VI-177; see also social personality; suppressive person; ISE
attributes of, VI-177, 178, 179, 181
social vs. antisocial personality, VI-183
test that declares only antisocial personality with out also being able to identify social personality would be itself a suppressive test, VI-180
anxiety,
cause and remedy, I-379
chronic low tone, insecurity, can stem from prolonged physical illness, I-420
malnutrition and anxiety can produce symptoms of insanity, IV-82
state of, explained, VI-18
AO; see Advanced Organization
APA, American Personality Analysis; see OCA/APA
apathy,
case, I-495
handling, X-184
misery and desire for suicide and death, cause of, V-252
only goal of psychiatrist, II-475
on Universe or Beingness Processing, cause of and remedy, II-44
pc in apathy generally doesn’t know he has a PTP, III-177
pc in grief or apathy, cause and remedy of [R2, R3], V-251
pc rises in tone up to lower levels of Tone Scale, he comes up to apathy, V-419
pcs with low TAs are more or less in ~, VII-124
“total-apathy-won’t-answer” [Dn] session upset, probable cause of, VII-392
what it is, I-383
aparency,
defn., appears to be, as distinct from actually IS, II-407
time is actual but is also an aparency, V-330
apparent behavior and the insane, X-74
applause, I-383
application, apply,
“can’t-apply”, condition of, results of and handling, VI-90
case supervision is done against thoroughness and exactness of technical application, VI-424; X-152
checkouts must consult ability to apply, VI-205
gap between mere knowledge and application of that knowledge, VI-89
if you can’t get the technology applied then you can’t deliver what’s promised, VI-4
application, apply (cont.)
what can prevent, IX-472
why C/S C/Ses for exact tech application and not exclusively for result, VII-284
appointments once made, keep them all, II-97
apprenticeships, auditor, X-163; see also internships aptitude,
low, handling of, VII-34
relation to misunderstood definitions, VII-294,
IX-394
Aquinas, Saint Thomas, is an early forerunner of Scientology, III-514
arbitrary,
defn. I-87
principle of the introduction of an, I-87
time, the one arbitrary, I-245
ARC, VII-240, 291; see also affinity; ARC breaks;
ARC Processes; communication; reality; understanding; NOTL; SOS
defn., understanding and time, VI-261
all after charge is based on prior ARC, V-442
angry man, ARC of, VII-291
as complete identification, I-486
auditing consists of discovering a spark of ARC and, by processes and ARC, fanning it into a proud flame, II-247
auditors fail to make pc feel they are interested in pc when they handle him with poor ARC, III-242
basis of the Scientology Tone Scale, II-413
breaks; see ARC breaks
care should be taken not to heavily run an out-of-ARC type process, command which asks for out-of-affinity moments, out-of-reality moments and out-of-communication incidents, V-441
cause of auditor having low ARC, III-516
CCH and ARC, III-92, 174
common denominators of bank are out of ARC when another tries to copy your ARC, III-105
and stop, VII-269 with existence, V-347
control by ARC is taught in Comm Course, III-242
don’t go out of ARC with pc with too little 2-way comm, II-489
don’t run an out of ARC process, VII-268
down, I-101; see also ARC break
drops in pc’s, II-314, 322; see also ARC break
equates into understanding, VII-291
essence of auditing is ARC handled and controlled by auditor, V-426
factors, measure by ethic level, I-91
auditing is control by ARC, III-242
in auditing, defn., A = ability to be in or at a distance from something; R = ability to co-exist with something; C = ability to transmit thought between two or more points, III-311
keeping pc in session is done with good ARC, III-243
ARC (cont.)
knowingness is higher than ARC, II-136
lines, group, effect of tampering with, I-141
loss of havingness in an auditing session can be repaired by repair of ARC of session, III-157, 177, 187
loss of, is more important than loss of havingness, III-157
low, whole answer to it is contained in victim, III-516
of the preclear, II-314
processing and, I-103
role in education, VII-232
scanning, I-186
sudden increase in, I-102
test if auditing is working, did it increase pc’s ARC, II-246
tone is established by ARC, III-104
triangle, II-412; III-92, 136; see also CMSCS
affinity, reality and communication, relation ship of, I-350
affinity, reality and communication, theory of, I-98
co-existence is superior to ARC triangle and mechanics of living, II-136
common denominator to all of life’s activities, II-412
communication most important factor of, I-334, 464
formulation of, I-464
law, a communication to be received must approximate the affinity level of the person to whom it is directed, II-413
not an equilateral triangle, II-413
the way it is used, III-104
very spacious at the level of serenity and completely condensed at level of matter, II-413
weakest corner is affinity, III-139
understanding and life, interrelationship of, II-246
when another tries to copy your ARC, III-105
with existence, V-347
with the preclear, II-237
ARC break(s), ARC broken, III-296; IV-377; V-293, 438; VI-16, 433; VIII-370; IX-268; see also ARC; ARC Break Processes; rudiments
defn., assignment of responsibility for a sudden drop in affinity, reality or communication, III-364
defn., A-affinity, R-reality, C-communication, a break in any one of the three which has caused upset in past, VII-386; IX-430
ARC always must precede an ARC break, VI formal 261
are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, VIII-178, 179
assessment(s), V-306, 338, 352, 418; see also ARC breaks, handling
by-passed charge assessment and ARC break assessment, two different actions, V-470; see also Book of Case Remedies
continuing assessment after pc has cognized
invalidates pc’s cognition and cuts itsa line
and may cause a new ARC break, V-418
don’t ever do an ARC break assessment until
pc has given up trying to untangle it, V-338
four ways of using lists, V-306
how to do, V-306, 345, 469
if pc’s attention is still on auditor correct
charge has not been found, V-346
isn’t auditing because it doesn’t use auditing
common cycle, V-469
L-1, General ARC Break Assessment, V-307
L-2, listing sessions, assessment sessions, V-307
L-3, R3R engram running by chains, V-308
L4, Routine 3N, GPMs, all Goals sessions, V-308
natter is handled by ARC break assessment,
V-332
often has to be done through a dirty needle, V-306
purpose of ARC break assessment, V-346
sources of trouble in doing ARC break assessments,
V-348
uses for ARC break assessments, V-345
what it consists of, V-469
what it requires in an auditor, V-345
auditing is not possible in presence of ~, V-468, 469
auditing over top of ~ can reduce a graph, hang pc
clean will cause a future ARC break with
V-346, 417
charge has not been found, V-346
cause an ARC break, VI-18
charge left after (later) (nearer pt) than where
V-282
comes up in session must be handled, VIII-409
commands for ARC break, PTP and M/W/H, VI
259
communication becomes a contest of overt in the
ARC breaky case, IV-120
cycle of the ARC break, V-253, 417
difficulties, III-304
dirty needle, its cause is cut itsa or an L1 session
ARC break, V-384, 414
double ARC break; see Book of Case Remedies
dropped havingness and ARC break, how to dis
V-283, 285, 419
E-Meter and ARC breaks, V-102, 362; see also
E-Meter
ARC breaks stop a meter from reacting, V-73, 96,102, 361, 362
E-Meter can go dead in presence of monstrous
ARC break and it can go gradiently dull in
presence of out rudiments, V-96, 361
E-Meter can go out if ARC break is present,
IV-442, 450
E-Meter doesn’t register on ARC broken pc,
IV-442
E-Meter is invaluable in locating by-passed
charge and curing an ARC break, V-418
engrams contain heaviest ARC break with than’s
environment and other beings, V-291
false reads on W/Hs and asking for some W/Hs
more than once will ARC break pc, VIII-409
“field ARC breaks” is a direct reflection on
D of P, VII-463
finding and indicating clears the ARC break; if it
doesn’t clear on what you find, then you
haven’t found it, VII 6
ARC break(s) (cont.)
generality causing ARC break, handling of, VI-17
handling ARC breaks, III-437; V-469; see also
ARC break assessment
don’t use a process, find the missed charge, V-284
find and indicate the correct BPC, V-281, 282
“Have I done something you feel is wrong in this session?” “Describe it to me”, III-296
in R3R, V-293, 300
primary error, handling as an ARC break when pc really has a M/W/H, VI-22
with Routine 3H, VI-239
Havingness is a must on any Responsibility Process in presence of ARC breaks, IV-36
Help and ARC breaks, IV-85
high percentage of ARC breaks occur because of failure to understand pc, IX-76
if pc knows what charge it is he does not ARC break or he ceases to be ~, V-347, 465
is a disorder, III-378
is only thing that will depress a profile, III-437
Level VI ARC breaks, VI-18
listing errors, why they are handled before ARC breaks, VII-280
living, two conditions of, ARC broken, not ARC permitted
look for overts and withholds on ARC breaky pc, IV-43
lots of ARC breaks = Level 2 is out, VII-70
L1C handles ARC broken, sad, hopeless or nattery pcs, VII-203
missed withholds and ARC breaks, V-20, 23, 58
bottom of ARC breaks is a M/W/H, VI-22
how to distinguish between, VI-22
natterings, upsets, ARC breaks, critical tirades, are restimulated but missed or partially
missed withholds, V-26
no ARC breaks when missed withholds have been cleaned up, V-58
pc ARC broken, how to check for missed withholds,

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

ARC break(s) (cont.)
"arc break(s) (cont.)"
overt, ARC break, problem, relation between, IX-275
overt, auditor ARC breaks pc by demanding more than is there or leaving overt undisclosed that
will later make pc upset with auditor, VIII-370
overt, leaving overt touched on case and calling it clean will cause a future ARC break with audi
tor, IX-268
overt, don’t ARC break pc in getting overts off, V-464, 468
pc becomes critical of anything outside engram (room, auditor, Scientology, the technology) it
is an ARC break, V-293
pc can always be told what has been missed and will almost always settle down at once, V-282
pc in an ~ is in grip of reaction which was in incident, now fully on automatic, V-417
find and indicate the correct BPC, V-281, 282
leaving overt touched on case and calling it “Have I done something you feel is wrong in clean will cause a future ARC break with audi
tor, IX-268
in R3R, V-293, 300
primary error, handling as an ARC break when pc really has a M/W/H, VI-22
with Routine 3H, VI-239
Havingness is a must on any Responsibility Process in presence of ARC breaks, IV-36
Help and ARC breaks, IV-85
high percentage of ARC breaks occur because of failure to understand pc, IX-76
if pc knows what charge it is he does not ARC break or he ceases to be ~, V-347, 465
is a disorder, III-378
is only thing that will depress a profile, III-437
Level VI ARC breaks, VI-18
listing errors, why they are handled before ARC breaks, VII-280
living, two conditions of, ARC broken, not ARC permitted
look for overts and withholds on ARC breaky pc, IV-43
lots of ARC breaks = Level 2 is out, VII-70
L1C handles ARC broken, sad, hopeless or nattery pcs, VII-203
missed withholds and ARC breaks, V-20, 23, 58
bottom of ARC breaks is a M/W/H, VI-22
how to distinguish between, VI-22
natterings, upsets, ARC breaks, critical tirades, are restimulated but missed or partially
missed withholds, V-26
no ARC breaks when missed withholds have been cleaned up, V-58
pc ARC broken, how to check for missed withholds,

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

never discipline or Q and A with ~ pc, V-286
OCA/APA; see also OCA/APA
ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
whole line (or majority of points) drops means ARC breaks with auditor, III-334
occurs on a generality or a not there, VI-16
out list can make an ~ that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268

V-418

sad, auditor who goes sad is auditing pcs over his own ARC break, VII-362
sad effect, pc will go into a sad effect if you don’t find ~ but instead continue the process, VI-16
sad, pc sad = ARC break = locate and handle, itsa earlier itsa, VII-46, 359
session ARC breaks, caused by running pc over his head, IV-44
session ARC breaks, running O/W to handle, IV-43
ARC break(s) (cont.)

TA, never touch ARC breaks on a high TA, IX-224

TRs, pc ARC broken by TRs 0 to IV will not read properly on a correction list, VII-465

TR 5N is ARC break handling, III-353
two conditions under which pc violently protests about ARC breaks, III-303

when pc doesn’t change despite skillful ARC break handling, locating and indicating, it was a withhold in the first place, VI-22

when pc is talking and you’re getting no TA you already have an ARC break or are about to get one, V-336

withholders, III-430

withholds, PT problems and ARC breaks can stop a case, IV-210

yanking pc’s attention to the auditor is the source of a lot of ARC breaks, IV-43

ARC break needle, VI-73, 145, 265
defn., floating needle with TA above 3.0 or below 2.0, VI-373
defn., floating needle between 2.0 and 3.0 TA position with bad indicators, VII-117
defn., pc bad indicators while F/Ning, VII-145

bad indicators always accompany, VI-275
can occur with TA between 2 and 3, VI-275

description of ARC break needle, VI-176

ARC Break Process(es) [1963], V-284

Co-Audit ARC Break Process, commands of, V-319

ARC Break Processes (old R4-H renamed R-3-H), Grade III Release, VI-95; see also Routine 3H

ARC Break Straightwires, III-453, 489

cannot be run on a case that is motivator hungry, III-397

CCH-50 is its processing number, III-363

commands of and how to run, III-363, 389

good and bad points of, III-364

is very useful in husband-wife co-auditing teams, III-364
to as-is ARC breaks, III-489

works well on medium level pcs, III-381

ARC Process, commands of, V-95

ARC Processes, dub-in case should be running as case is over-charged for engrams, V-293

ARC Processing; see Dianetics ‘55!

ARC Process 1961, IV-442

ARC Release [1965], VI-96

ARC Straight Wire, I-290; II-545; III-69, 294, 316; see also Straight Wire

as a training process, III-483
can crack neurotic cases, VI-175, 261

ARC Straight Wire (cont.)

Cause ARC Straight Wire, IV-51

commands and how to run, III-111, 316

commands for, VI-261

cyclic aspect of, III-317

new [1963]: “What attitude was not received?”

“What reality was not perceived (seen)?”

“What communication was not acknowledged?” V-284

SOP 8 Step VI, I-392

triple, valuable action to do before, VIII-389

TR 11, III-69, 316

using next-to-last list of Self Analysis in Scientology, VIII-121

ARC Tone Scale, processes plotted on the, II-131, 138; see also Tone Scale

arguments, caused by failure to handle originations, III-371; VIII-183

Army Alpha, psychometry, I-40; see also tests

arrive (Secondary Scale level), IV-299

art(s)’, I-375; see also artist; Art Series, IX-487
defn., a word which summarizes the quality of communication, VI-83; IX-489

audience, art for self-satisfaction vs. audience, VIII-196; IX-493

communication, art follows the laws of, IX-489

communication is primary target, IX-490

decline of art forms, VIII-185
degree of, involved in processing, I-301

form or activity, one must conceive of the beholder, IX-491

forms, rhythm in, IX-501

for self-satisfaction vs. audience, VIII-196; IX-493

fundamentals of art, VIII-183

general spectator is generally unaware of technique; that is zone of art's creators, IX-495

having abused arts, how to handle, IV-195

how good does a work of art have to be to be good, VIII-196, 198, 199, 200

is certainty, I-362

least codified of human endeavors and most mis understood, IX-489

living itself can be an art, IX-491

no communication is no art, IX-490

originality, too much can be a liability, IX-489, 490

perfection, defn., quality obtainable which still permits delivery of communication, IX-490

perfection vs. communication, VIII-184

professional form must be there first, or the message will not transmit, IX-497

professional in arts is one who obtains communication with art form at minimum sacrifice of technical quality, IX-490

quality alone has an emotional impact, VIII-199; IX-496

quality and form, VIII-199; IX-496

rhythm in art forms, VIII-299

self-satisfaction, art for self-satisfaction vs. audience, VIII-196; IX-493
technique of art, VIII-197
technique should not rise above level of workability for purpose of communication, IX-490
to be good must have technical expertise itself adequate to produce an emotional impact, IX-495
why much originality can be a liability, VI-83
works of, are soul food of all people, IX-493
arthritically, arthritis, art(s)’(s)
defn, chronic somatic of depository type, I-272
defn, structurally, deposit of calcium, or other mineral, in area which has been restricted by old injury, I-272
arthritic hands give high TA, VII-423
arthritis cases and polio, experiment on curing, II-331
handling of, I-272
occurs at three places on ones scale, I-272
processing of chronic arthritis, I-272
artist(s)’(s); see also art
are not benefited by aberration, VIII-232
are subject to actions of psychotics, VII-158
auditor is both a technician and an ~, I-305
must work to be good at it, IX-496
rehabilitating, VI-85, 219; IX-491
relation to his audience, IX-491
Scientology is a must, if he would succeed without heartbreak, IX-491
technically flawless performance provides channel for what he is presenting, IX-495
artistic perfection, search for, the result of past failures to communicate, IX-491
artistic production, one has an audience of people, not critics, IX-493
as-is, as-ised, as-ising, as-isness, II-223
and persistence, II-226
auditor + pc as two pole system to ~, mass, VII-238
chronically tired pc who is not eating won’t get TA for there’s no as-is of locks, V-434
cognition is as-ising aberration with realization by goals to get a Help terminal, IV-124
communication tends to as-is mass, III-138
its line is a report on what has been as-ised, VII-243
it takes lower grades to raise pc’s cause level so that pc, on reaching Grade VI-, can as-is the bank, V-433, 434
mass, as-isness of, V-49
pc’s ability to as-is or erase in a session is directly proportional to the number of good indicators present in session, VII-258
pc who makes no gain is the pc who will not as-is, who will not confront, V-36
person out of valence does not easily as-is his bank, VI-426
reactive mind straightens out by ~ its content, VII-230
as-is, as-ised, as-ising, as-isness (cont.)
requires taking responsibility, III-555
to really as-is you have to make perfect duplicate, II-299
unless time track is made available it cannot be as-ised by pc and so remains aberrative, V-276
users of drugs cannot as-is, do not get TA, nor do they have cognitions, VI-245
aspirin, actions of aspirin and other pain depressants, VI-443
pc taking aspirin, handling of, VI-444
that innocent seeming painkiller, can produce havoc in auditing if not detected, VI-445
“asserted”, another name for suggested, used mainly in checkout, and occasionally in routine null when pc is declaring “It is my goal”, V-119
assess(ing), assessment(s), IV-124, 324; V-208; VI-266, 388; see also listing; listing and nulling
defn, discovering what has overwhelmed pc, III-465
defn, inventory and evaluation of pc, his body and his case to establish processing level and procedure, III-484
defn, whole action of obtaining a significant item from pc [1962], V-203
defn, locating on a prepared list, one item; listing and nulling means the pc lists, VI-266
defn, pick out the thing that will run, VI-388
actions of, do not apply to listing and nulling, VI-266
ARC break assessments; see ARC break assessments
assess flows slowly [C/S 37R], X-134
assessment for individual Why of evaluator taking a long time to evaluate, VIII-145
auditor has to have impingement on pc to, IX-224
auditor looks at meter while doing an assessment, VI-388
auditors who can’t assess lists, results of, VII-426
by Elimination (SOP Goals), IV-265
by goals to get a Help terminal, IV-124
by needle, audit by tone arm, rock slam is ap parent exception to, IV-284, 318
by-passed charge assessment is auditing because you clean every tick of needle on list being assessed, V-469; see also by-passed charge assessment
by-passed charge, pc will feel better moment right type of by-passed charge is identified by assessment and indicated by auditor, V-418
by TA, no assessment list is continued in Levels I to III beyond seeing a TA move until that TA motion is handled, V-373
Case Assessment, IV-214; see also Preclear Assessment Sheet
case using dynamics graph, I-293
Change List of Problems Intensive, IV-414
development of assessments, V-300
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

assess(ing), assessment(s) (cont.)

Dianetic assessment; see Dianetic assessment
Dianetic assessment list; see Dianetic assessment
list
done by auditor between pc’s bank and meter, VI-388
dynamic assessment, III-407
for clearing intensive, Pre-Clearing Scale, V-1 66
for Help terminals, Regimen 1, IV-128
form 37R, X-134
for new change (Problems Intensive), IV-415
HGC preclear assessment, IV-108
Hi-Lo TA assessment; see tone arm
interest and assessment, VI-357
is not auditing unless one is Auditing by List, VI-76
Know to Mystery Scale assessment; see Know to
Mystery Scale assessment
laws of assessment, IV-131
listing and assessment, weakest applied point in
our tech, VI-212
listing and nulling vs. assessment, VI-260; see also
listing and nulling
list, two ways to use, VI-210
list, you don’t begin, until you get an F/N, IX-224
low TA, X-132, 141; see also tone arm, low
LX 1, VI-267; see also LX lists
make sure it was the bank the meter read, not
breath or body motion, V-394
method of assessment of correction lists, VII-51, 465; see also
prepared lists, correction lists
Methods 1 to 4, VII-51
Method 3, do not read list while looking at pc,
VII-3 1 6
Method 5 is once through marking length and BD
of all reads, VII-185,280
Method 6 is a method of ~ used in CI XII auditing
where each question on list is assessed by look-
ing at pc and asking him directly, IX-1 80
never assess medical terms or symptoms, VI-352
of a case on lower rungs of processing using Know
to Mystery Scale, III-460
of help, IV-1 19
of pc using be, do, have and space, time, energy,
I-290
OT-3 Procedure assessments; see OT-3 Procedure
OT-3A Procedure assessments; see OT-3A Pro-
cedure
Pc Assessment Sheet; see Preclear Assessment
Sheet
pc does not have to think or answer before needle
responds, IV-331
pc interest as a method of assessment, V-325
pc still has somatics, no further items on assess-
ment list read, cause of and handling, VII-11
PE Co-Audit assessment, IV-70
Prehav assessment; see Prehav assessment
prepared lists; see prepared lists
prior assessment, one has to assess what was
wrong before or prior to cure, VI-377
assess(ing), assessment(s) (cont.)
repeated assessment, VII-282; X-131
rising needle treated as a null needle in assessment,
IV-273, 333
Routine 3D assessment; see Routine 3D
R2H assessment; see Routine 2H
R3R assessment; see R3R
service facsimiles, VI-189
slow assessment; see slow assessment
SOP Goals assessment; see SOP Goals assessment
terminal improperly assessed, how to detect dur-
ing auditing, IV-132
tone arm and assessment, VI-388
tone arm assessment, V-369, 372
to wait more than three seconds before uttering
next word on list is complete waste of auditing
time, IV-332
Treble assessment, AEI; see Treble assessment
Trouble Area assessment, VIII-83
what assessment is prevented by during 2-10,
2-12, 3GAXX, 3-21, V-203
which assessment method to use, VII-51, 465;
X-230
why assessment is done, VI-357
Why, assessment to find the Why for failed audi-
tors and bogged cases, VI-92
3DXX assessment; see Routine 3DXX
3GAXX assessment; see Routine 3GAXX
Assessment Confirmation by DoIP [SOP Goals],
HCO WW Form CT2, IV-229
Assigning Identity [learning process], III-31
assist(s), V-141, 505; VI-150; VII-322, 335, 364,
415; X-159
defn, an action undertaken by a minister to assist
the spirit to confront physical difficulties
which can then be cared for with medical
methodology by a medical doctor as needful,
III-259
defn, an action undertaken by a minister to assist
the spirit to confront physical difficulties, VII
415
defn, assisting individual to heal himself or be
healed by another agency by removing his
reasons for precipitating, and prolonging his
condition and lessening his predisposition to
further injure himself or remain in an intoler-
able condition, VIII-191; see also DnToday
accidents, using assists, III-262, 263; VII-417, 418
and Exams, X-159, 160
areas to use assist on, VIII-189, 190
Auditing Assist, VI-3 18
Auditor’s Report, Crime to give assist without
making, VI-364
begun by “This is the assist” and ended by
“That’s it”, VI-150
be professional and definite in assists, III-261;
VII-416
“Close your eyes and look at my fingers”, VII-415
Contact Assist; see Contact Assist
Dianetic Assist; see Dianetic Assist
assist(s) (cont.)
difference between formal session and assist, III-259, 260
different to auditing at large, VII-415
Double Terminaling is an assist, I-352
efficient assist but limited process, I-329
drug “five days” rule need not apply to assists, VIII-192
drugs, assist given over drugs, how to handle later, VIII-192
end phenomena of, VII-322, 335
Exam Reports, assists must be followed by, VII-167, 191, 322, 335; X-159, 160
first aid always precedes an assist, III-262; VII-417
for PT location by Comm Process, III-547
has a very finite purpose, VI-150
healing, assist is entirely outside field of, VIII-191
in an assist you always count on fact that thetan himself would, if he could, do right thing, III-262
interrupting auditing, handling of, X-94-95
is auditing on severals dynamics, III-262
is different from auditing as such in that it lacks any Model Session, V-505
“Keep it from going away” as assist, III-263, 264
like a session, has a beginning and an end, Auditor’s Code is observed and auditing comm cycle is used, but it lacks any Model Session, V-505
Locational Processing as assist, VII-415
medical treatment, assist is not substitute for, III-264; VIII-189
necessary in lower zone of auditing, VIII-206
on body by Communication Processes, III-547
on children, III-554
O/Wishestrepetitiveprocessfor,V-99
recovery, assist greatly speeds recovery, VIII-189
Remedies A and B are not always used as assists, V-507
rules of, VII-323
“Shut your eyes and look at my fingers”, VII-418
spirit, assist is entirely in field of spirit, VIII-191
summary, VIII-189
steps, VIII-191, 237
techniques which comprise an assist, III-260; VII-415
Temperature Assist; see Temperature Assist
Touch Assist; see Touch Assist
types: Auditing, Contact, Dianetic, Touch, described, VI-3 18
types of assists, VII-322
worksheets, X-247
must be done, VII-191
association; see also Scn 8-8008
differentiation and association are two principles of mind, III-150
free association, I-269; II-226, 467
Help resolves cases because it is the basis of all association, IV-119
leads to identification, IV-1 19

association (cont.)
of things, or thoughts, or classes, is considered all right but is half way to lazy thinking, IV-123
Associative Processing, I-269
“Technique 100” or, I-269
assumption; see also History of Man and birth, I-439
occurs within a few minutes after birth, III-226
of beingness, III-257, 258, 271; see also valences safe, V-357; see also service facsimiles
assumption points of Scientology, sciences and other subjects, IV-102
astigmatism, a distortion of image, is only an anxiety to alter the image, III-39
astral body, is an aberration, III-414
spirit is not, II-428
aural walking, II-11
atheism, I-38
atheist, what makes an, I-204
A to B programming, X-154
atom(s), defn, composed wholly of motion, I-214
different realities about, I-101
atomic age, IV-102
atomic bomb, II-362, 374; see also radiation
facts about and protection from; see radiation; ALL About Radiation
race between Scientology and, II-450
what are you going to do about it, II-292
atomic radiation; see radiation
attack, defense of anything is untenable; only way to defend anything is to attack, II-157
attacker of Scientology had criminal records, II-167
attack from psychiatrists, II-267
attacking entheta with too much entheta enturbulates, I-163
attacking self, I-190
attacks, form of organization to handle, I-412
attention,
ARC break assessment: if pc’s attention is still on auditor correct charge has not been found, V-346
attention gets stuck, only thing wrong with thetan, II-317
attention valence; see valence, attention bank merely expresses a recording of past attention fixations, III-428
case whose attention is solidly fixed on some thing, VIII-262
central valence or terminal is built in to demand total attention from pc, IV-406
clearing is a gradient process of finding places where attention is fixed and restoring ability of pc to place and remove attention under his own determinism, III-428
communication and, VIII-185
cern of two viewpoints is, I-382
consequences of fixed attention, relation to no “case gain”, III-428
assist(s) (cont.)

difference between formal session and assist, III-259, 260
different to auditing at large, VII-415
Double Terminating is an assist, I-352
excellent assist but limited process, I-329
drug “five days” rule need not apply to assists, VIII-192
drugs, assist given over drugs, how to handle later, VIII-192
end phenomena of, VII-322, 335
Exam Reports, assists must be followed by, VII-167,191, 322, 335; X-159, 160
first aid always precedes an assist, III-262; VII-417
for PT location by Comm Process, III-547
has a very finite purpose, VI-150
healing, assist is entirely outside field of, VIII-191
in an assist you always count on fact that thetan himself would, if he could, do right thing, III-262
interrupting auditing, handling of, X-94-95
is auditing on several dynamics, III-262
is different from auditing as such in that it lacks any Model Session, V-505
“Keep it from going away” as assist, III-263, 264
like a session, has a beginning and an end, Auditor’s Code is observed and auditing comm cycle is used, but it lacks any Model Session, V-505
Locational Processing as assist, VII-415
medical treatment, assist is not substitute for, III-264; VIII-1 89
necessary in lower zone of auditing, VIII-206
on body by Communication Processes, III-547
on children, III-554
O/W is best repetitive process for, V-99
recovery, assist greatly speeds recovery, VIII-189
Remedies A and B are not always used as assists, V-507
rules of, VII-323
“Shut your eyes and look at my fingers”, VII-418
spirit, assist is entirely in field of spirit, VIII-191
summary, VIII-189
steps, VIII-191, 237
techniques which comprise an assist, III-260;
VII-415
Temperature Assist; see Temperature Assist
Touch Assist; see Touch Assist
types: Auditing, Contact, Dianetic, Touch, de-
dscribed, VI-318
types of assists, VII-322
worksheets, X-247
must be done, VII-191
association; see also Scn &8008

differentiation and association are two principles of mind, III-150
free association, I-269; II-226, 467
Help resolves cases because it is the basis of all association, IV-119
leads to identification, IV-1 19
association (cont.)

do things, or thoughts, or classes, isconsidered all right but is half way to lazy thinking, IV-123

Associative Processing, I-269

“Technique 100” or, I-269

assumption; see also ~istory of Man

and birth, I-439

takes within a few minutes after birth, III-226

beings, III-257, 258, 271; see also valences

safe, V-357; see also service facsimiles

assumption points of Scientology, sciences and other subjects, IV-102

astigmatism, a distortion of image, is only an anxiety to alter the image, III-39

astral body,
is an aberration, III-414

spirit is not, II-428

asstral walking, II-11

atheism, I-38

atheist, what makes an, I-204

A to B programming, X-154

atom(s),
defn, composed wholly of motion, I-214

different realities about, I-101

atomic age, IV-102

atomic bomb, II-362, 374; see also radiation

facts about and protection from; see radiation; ALL About Radiation

race between Scientology and, II-450

what are you going to do about it, II-292

atomic radiation; see radiation

attack, defense of anything is untenable; only way to defend anything is to attack, II-157

attackers of Scientology had criminal records, II-167

attack from psychiatrists, II-267

attacking enthega with too much enthega entu-
lates, I-163

attacking self, I-190

attacks, form of organization to handle, I-412

attention,

ARC break assessment: if pc’s attention is still on auditor correct charge has not been found, V-346

attention gets stuck, only thing wrong with thetan, II-317

attention valence; see valence, attention

bank merely expresses a recording of past atten-
tion fixations, III-428

case whose attention is solidly fixed on some thing, VIII-262

central valence or terminal is built in to demand total attention from pc, IV-406

clearing is a gradient process of finding places where attention is fixed and restoring ability of pc to place and remove attention under his own determinism, III-428

communication and, VIII-185

concern of two viewpoints is, I-382

consequences of fixed attention, relation to no “case gain”, III-428
attention (cont.)
cycle of demand for, I-382
fixed attention, manifestation and result of, VIII-262
introduction and attention, VIII-262
invalidation is basically non-attention, I-443
master one action and center people’s attention upon it, V-432, 433
needle fall shows what form of mest and life attention is fixed upon, IV-54
pc who is having lots of PTPs has attention very fixed on something, IV-61, 62
R3R, interest is only absorbed attention and a desire to talk about it, V-301
(Secondary Scale level), IV-298
span of child is short, III-553
unfixing attention, III-428
by violence throws a case downscale, III-428
must be done by increasing ability to reach and withdraw from specific thing or person on which attention is fixed in bank, III-428
unit; see attention unit
yanking pc’s attention to auditor is source of a lot of ARC breaks, IV-43
Attention by Duplication 9, No. 4 [process], II-395
Attention Objective Decision Repetitive [process], VIII-263
Attention Subjective Repetitive [process], VIII-262, 263
attention unit(s),
case progresses toward Clear, I-26
money is attention unit of social group, I-371
pulling of attention units up to present time on a necessity level, I-113
attest; see also declare
pc may only attest one grade at a time, VII-80
pc to, when he’s made it, X-153
pc who can’t attest a grade ability at any point has to have a Repair Program and Return Program, VII-70
when to send pc or pre-OT to attest, VII-285
attitude(s),
are after the fact of an evil purpose in a psycho case, IX-149
C/S and auditor, IX-5
Dianetic breakthrough came in assessing only somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, VII-9
Hubbard Chart of Attitudes and Concept Running, I-275
of the professional to psychotics, I-56
to environment, I-152
audience,
acceptance level of, I-154
art for self-satisfaction vs. audience, VIII-196
basics of appearing before, VIII-293, IX-498
different, for Scientology material, II-86
handling of, II-265
in rapport is different than audience of spectators, VIII-298; IX-500
audit(ed)(ing),
defn, consists of discovering a spark of ARC and, by processes and ARC, fanning it into a proud flame, II-247
defn, that process of bringing a balance between freedom and barriers, II-366
defn, verbal exercising of a patient (preclear) in exact Scientology processes, II-441
defn, that process which restores confidence in confronting and undoes necessity to confront thought, time, life, energy, matter and space, III-311
defn, reversing of other-determined flows by gradient scales, putting pc at cause again, III-465
defn, any system which reduces charged condition of time track without reducing but in increasing awareness and decisionability of pc is valid processing, any system which seeks to handle charge but reduces pc’s awareness and decisionability is not valid processing but is degrading, V-287
defn, auditor gives pc something to answer, pc answers it and when pc has answered it to his satisfaction, auditor acknowledges it, V-426
defn, a contest of maintaining rightnesses so that we can delete wrongnesses, VII-258
defn, an action by which wrongnesses can be deleted from case to degree that rightnesses are present in session, IX-83
defn, a series of methods arranged on an increase as ingly deep scale of bringing pc to confront the no-confront sources of his aberrations and leading him to a simple, powerful, effective being, IX-3 10
ability, processing is measured by gradual increase in ability, VII-69
above pc’s level gives no gain, VII-85
abridged style auditing, V-501
sticks to essentials and drops rote where it impedes case advance, V-502
acknowledgements in, III-543
action of ~ is withdrawing communications, I-368
administration, auditing requires, VII-365, 375; IX-5
advertising auditing as “personal relations”, II-261
age and auditing, III-34
all style auditing, V-503
along the lines of the wellness in the dynamic, II-109
and Ethics, X-46
and TRs, X-136
animals, I-338
animating a pc is not auditing, II-247
ARC break and auditing; see ARC break
ARC formal auditing, description of, III-242
ARC in auditing, III-3 11
aspirin can produce havoc in auditing, VI-445
as reach and withdraw, IX-64
assist; see Auditing Assist
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audit(ed)(ing) (cont.)

attitude, IV-6
audit failures, how to handle [1956], II-464
audit perfectly, what it means, IX-66
audit the case one is auditing, III-312
availability of different grades and levels, VIII-23
Awareness Scale and auditing, VI-33
babies, I-337
bad auditing, worse than bad publicity, II-158
bad, running out, IX-251
barriers to, III-244; see also auditing, gross auditing errors
basic auditing, V-335, 336; VI-69
dirty needle, its cause lies in basic auditing not in technique errors, V-384, 414
handling of pc as a being, auditing cycle, meter, comprise the segment of processing known as basic auditing, V-385
is called basic auditing because it goes prior to the technique, IX-64
is necessary for technique to work, V-385
is prior to technique, VII-239
basic freeing action of auditing, what it depends upon, IV-53
basic rule of, is to start with something pc can do and then get him to do it better, III-161, 181, 182
being interesting is not auditing, III-355
body, attention must come off, before anything helpful usually occurs by way of auditing, VI-312
breaks are not counted as auditing time, IV-145
by Lists; see Auditing by Lists
by-passed charge assessment is auditing because you clean every tick of needle on list being assessed, V-469
by tone arm (except in rock slam), assess by needle, IV-318
case failure, only alter-is of routine auditing can cause, VI-27
case level as an index of ~ ability is discarded, it is only an index of how-hard-to-train, V-316
case set-up for auditing, VI-283
cases undergoing Ethics actions should not be audited until the Ethics matter is cleared up and complete, VII-31, 96
case that wants no processing, handling of, IV-178
code; see Auditor’s Code
“coffee shop auditing” described, V-505
cognition is of the highest importance in, II-255
coma, auditing of person in, VIII-206
command; see command
comm cycle, II-314, 443; V-340; VII-235, 248;
IX-69, 73; see also communication cycle;
session; TRs
additives on, are any action, statement, question or expression given in addition to TRs 0-4, VII-256; IX-81
additives on auditing comm cycle not permitted, VI-59

audit(ed)(ing) (cont.)

comm cycle (cont.)
always in use, VII-235
ARC break assessment isn’t auditing because it doesn’t use auditing comm cycle, V-469
auditor who interrupts or changes an auditing comm cycle before it is complete is Q and A-ing, V-410
basic tool of auditing, VII-238, 239
case runs on cycles of actions: auditing comm cycle, process cycle, program cycle, VII-261
charge is removed from case only by comm cycle pc to auditor, V-335, 414
communication cycles which make up the auditing cycle, VII-244, 246; IX-71
effect of fractured comm cycle on Ethics type case, VI-49
is a cycle of action; it starts with auditor asking a question pc can understand, getting pc to answer it and acknowledging that answer, V-410
line pc to auditor is somewhat senior to comm line auditor to pc, V-335, 336
no additives are permitted on, IX-81
to perfect muzzled auditing comm cycle use Mutter TR, VI-104
commonest error in, is failure to use correction lists, VIII-67
completion, VII-371
condition of, II-443, 446
covert auditing, V-491
cycle, basic error of auditing cycle (diagram), V-337
cycle, communication cycles which make up one auditing cycle, VII-244, 246; IX-71
cycle, get your pc trained into what auditing cycle is, V-490
cycle, violations of, can bring about overwhelm, V-400
Dianetic auditing; see Dianetic auditing
difficulties are difficulties of the communication cycle, IX-63
difficulties, lie more with auditor than preclear, IV-432
direct style auditing means straight, concentrated, intense, applied in a direct manner, V-502
discipline is needed to make processes work, V-263
dissemination, II-265
dissemination, best is good auditing results, II-171
don’ts, II-414, 455, 480, 489; see also Auditor’s Code
drive in pc’s anchor points by shoving things at or gesturing toward pc, IX-76
force auditing into physical healing, VI-313
drugs and auditing; see drugs
dummy auditing, III-384; see also TRs
auditing procedures learned by, II-341, 396
Step Two: Acknowledgement, III-349
dummy auditing (cont.)
  Step Three: Duplication, III-354
  Step Four: Handling Originations, III-370
earliest stage of, consists in taking over control of
cp to restore to cp more control of himself
  than he has had, II-443
effect point, don’t process cp at, III-518
derm product of all auditing is a floating needle
  [1965], VI-63, 68; see also end phenomena
environment, VIII-409
environment influences cp’s ability to confront,
  VI-359
essence of auditing is not finding what is wrong
with cp and hammering at it; it is ARC han-
dled and controlled by auditor, V-426
Ethics and auditing, VII-31, 96
experimental ~ and standard techniques, III-282
exteriorization, auditing trouble after, VII-27, 36,
  42, 168, 208, 281
exteriorize individual, one of goals of, II-429
failures, there are no auditing failures, there are
  only errors in auditing, IV-58
false TA, auditing cp over, VIII-409
falsified auditing report puts auditor at once at
  formal auditing, V-500
falsification, a program for, VII-375, 376
falsification in TRs are basis of all confusion in sub-
  sequent efforts to audit, IV-249
false auditing, a program for, VII-375, 376
flubs, what they consist of, VII-138
flubs in TRs are basis of all confusion in sub-
seque efforts to audit, IV-249
flubs, what they consist of, VII-138
formal auditing,
  defn, controlby ARC, III-242
different than Tone 40 auditing, III-242
four points of auditing error, III-285, 292
fundamental auditing, VI-325
fundamental of ~ is communication cycle, IX-
  64
gains vs. training gains, II-369
getting auditing into an org, VII-209
getting cp to talk to you honestly, III-3-15
  gives gains by deletion, V1416
  goal of processing is to bring individual into such
  thorough communication with physical uni-
  verse that he can regain power and ability of
  his own postulates, 11-67
audit(ed)(ing) (cont.)
goers in two stages: form a communication line; do
  something for the pc, IX-65
grade processes to EP, X-30
grades: see grades
gradient approach of auditing, III-312
gradient of processes, II-247
gradient scale of ability regained, V-342
gradient scale of auditing, V-493
gradient scales is inherent in, VIII-116
great deal of value of auditing lies in mechanics of
  session itself, IV-56
gross auditing errors (GAEs), IV-432; V-509
five, VI-93, 361
  regarding metering, VII-177-78
  Group Auditing; see Group Auditing
guiding style, VI-151
  guiding secondary style is steering cp toward
  revealing something or something revealed
  and handling it with itsa, V-506
  guiding style auditing consists of two-way
  comm that steers pc into revealing a diffi-
  culty followed by repetitive process to
  handle what has been revealed, V-500, 506
  guiding style auditing is two-way comm and
  formal auditing, V-500
  has no effect on pc or pc makes very slow gains,
  what to run, III-497
  Havingness, II-500; see also havingness
  Havingness scale, II-499
  help is key button which admits auditing, IV-85
  HGC auditing should convert earlier auditing los-
  ses to wins, IV-108
  High Crimes concerning delivering auditing, VII-
  80
  how it becomes a problem to pc, III-195
  how to persuade a stranger to get audited, IV-72
  illegal auditing, VII-167
  illness and auditing; see illness
  incidents, if you don’t run the incidents given by
  pc he doesn’t get well, VI-346
  individual, in a center, II-392
  inflexible regimen vs. experimental auditing,
  IV-38
  insured or ill people, auditing of, must be kept
  fairly light, VIII-238
  insane should get rest and then exercise before
  auditing, IV-88
  intensives, II-3 19; see also intensives
  reason for, VII-261, 419
  interesting, beinginterestingis not ~, III-355
  in the role of games, II-366
  introduction to auditing; see Dn Today
  is a game of exteriorization versus havingness,
  II-367
  is an exact science, not an art, V-59
  is as beneficial as it is real and factual to the pc,
  II-207
  is a team activity, IX-5
  is not a limited action, VII-3
null
psychos, VIII-264
psychotic persons unwilling to be audited, what to run, III-468, 497
purpose of auditing is healthy sane people, VI-403
quality, X-99
quality is raised by getting in cramming, VII-209
question, pcs can say whatever else they please, but they must answer auditing question or no auditing occurs, V-490
reach and withdraw, auditing as, VII-239
reduces time of healing, VI-312
remedy is something you do to get pc into condition for routine auditing, V-485
repair; see repair report(s); see also Auditor’s Report
defn., report of session given, written during session, on the session, VI-112
falsified auditing report puts auditor at once at set-up actions, VII-14
falsifying an auditing report, vilest trick that skills can be played on pc, VII-362
in correct ~ of failed session, answer as to why it failed is neon light big and glaring, VI-449
of whatever type of action are simply filed chronologically in current HGC folder, IX-14
required skills of processing and why, V-314
requires relaxed state of mind of auditor and do his TRs, VII-348
confidence that his use of Scientology upon pc will not produce a harmful result, II-443
requires that you obtain a better reality on your environment and all its drills are aimed at this, III-514
restimulated charge that is then blown gives us the action of auditing, V-290, 347, 416
result(s), are best achieved in a session and a session depends upon a self-determined agreement to be audited, V-491
do not lead pcs to expect instant results every time, VII-6
how to get results, VI-69, 402, 412
what they depend on, IV-64
rightness, degree of rightness present must exceed wrongness you are going to pick up, IX-83
room, IV-43
TR 10 on, IV-194
rote style auditing, V-499
rough auditing reduces havingness, V-225
rudiments; see rudiments rules,
don’t demand more than pc can tell you or receive less than pc has to say, V-336
get the pc through it; what turns it on will turn it off; the way out is the way through, VI-43
of auditing, eight big, VI-76
of basic auditing, II-255
rundown, one audits a rundown as itself, not as a botch of several actions run into it, VII-289
running out bad auditing, III-419; VIII-276
scanning through all ~ preclear has received, I-111
Scientology auditing is more delicate than Diatomic auditing, VII-21
Scientology isn’t just processing, that’s only one use of fundamentals, VIII-202
secondary styles, V-505
self-auditing, I-356, 419; IV-373; VII-191
cure for, VII-242, 256, 353; X-94
manifestation of overwhelm, VII-85; X-35
reason for, X-220
symptom of session or study or life over whelm, X-16-17
session; see session
set-up actions, VII-14
on new pc, VII-47
skills, V-218, 314
by Scientology levels [1964], V-411
five basic auditing skills, V-326
four grades of, III-83
improvement of, between R6 and or III, X-212
is a discipline in living and a know-how of the parts of life, III-236
of any student remains only as good as he can do his TRs, VII-348
smoother the auditing, the better pc’s havingness stays up, IV-54
sold by intensives, V-153
solids, II-550
Solo auditing.
admin, VIII-85
C/S + pre-OT is greater than bank, VIII-86
difference between Solo auditing and self auditing, VII-61, 106, 109, 322; VIII-85, 86
D of P keeps tabs on all Solo pcs, X-214-15
failure on, X-212
Grade Chart steps before, [1972], VIII-21
ideal Solo program, VIII-22
pcs on lines, X-214
set-up, VIII-20, 312; X-249
sources of failure on Solo, VII-467
standard, X-57
TRs and Solo, VII-341, 466
Solo Grades, major cause of failure on Solo
Grades is inability to audit, VII-467
Solo Grades, pc cannot confront doing Solo Grades, reason will be found to be drugs, VII 466
speed, VI-417
spiritual approach vs. physical approach, II-212
stages, auditing goes in two stages: form a comm line: dosomethingforthepc, VII-240
stamina, auditing requires, III-107
states attained by, VI-61; see also Scn 0-8
student auditing assignments, V-431
audit(ing) (cont.)

student auditing, inability to clean up needle is biggest hole in, V-214
styles of auditing, II-314; V-377, 498, 505; see also individual styles by name
tape recording sessions, points to look for, V-378 teaches pc that he can be at cause without having to be because he doesn’t dare be at effect, III-160, 180
team activity, VI-145, 402, 403, 412, 450; VII-365
not a game whereby auditor opposes and seeks to defeat pc and pc seeks to defeat auditor, II-367, 443
team, husband-wife auditing team, why unsuccessful, I-309
technique depends upon the procedure of auditing, II-396
techniques, an informal discussion on, I-1 96
terminal improperly assessed, how to detect during auditing, IV-132
test line is check on auditing quality, VIII-31 theory of, III-311, 312
theory of charge erasure, V-291
theta-mest theory, I-360; see also theta-mest theory; SOS
things which one must avoid, II-448
time, it takes as long as it takes, VII-88, 91
time track, auditing itself is a sort of time track, earliest session blows later sessions, VII-210;
VIII-274, 378
to assist dying, I-490
to get auditing into a state of perfection, know basics, know practical, V-61
tone arm action, most vital necessity of ~ at any level of Scientology is to get, V-369
tone arm, audit with TA in normal range or repair to audit in HGC [1961], IV-329
it so it is in normal range, VII-197; see also tone arm
Tone 40; see Tone 40 auditing
tools of auditing are the Grade Chart processes and the numerous correction lists, VII-387
totality of successful processing consists of rebalancing freedom, barriers, purposes, II-418
training, get as well as auditing, IV-133
TRs; see TRs
two “A’s” of, anaten and agitation, II-396
two-way comm; see communication, two-way uses of auditing, VII-2
any human situation containing pain or misemotion should be handled by auditing, VII-2
fever, handling with auditing, VII-335
cpc’s desire or complaint, no reason or excuse not to actually handle these with auditing, VII-4
using Scientology to handle situations in life is a whole subject in itself and it isn’t ~, V-491

audit(ing) (cont.)

Vitamin E assists auditing, VI-123; see also vitamins
volume and quality, what brings about, VII-375
watch pc’s eyes, don’t take auditing actions if pc is not looking at you, V-336
where the pc’s mind is, IV-43
whole track, II-195
why mechanical action necessary, II-344
wins are not always fast, total and appreciated volubly, VII-5
“without the person knowing anything about it”, V-491
wrongnesses, auditing is only trying to find, in order to increase rightnesses, IX-83
you are running a thetan and his bank while helped and hindered by body, V-255
Auditing Assist, VI-318; see also assist
Auditing by Lists, V-423; VI-41, 64, 76; VII-316
L.1 and L.4, V-423
auditor(s)’, IV-377
def., a person assisting a teammate to gain able co-operation and teamwork toward opponents in life, II-366
def., a person with enough gutsto do something about it, II-393
def., a person who makes people, at their choice, do various exercises, and these exercises (pro cesses) bring about changes for the better in intelligence, behavior and general competence, II-405
def., a Scientology practitioner, I-405
def., listen or one who listens carefully to what people have to say; person trained and qualified in applying Scientology processes to others for their betterment, IX-3
ability as auditor related to his case, VIII-110
Academy stable data: new auditors should be able to audit in HGC [1961], IV-329
accepts and acknowledges goals pc has for session, life and livingness, IV-194
acknowledgement of the preclear, II-205
administration (admin), VII-96; see also Auditor Admin Series, IX-1
def., IX-3
actions, VII-180, 181, 182
auditor falsifying report, how to handle, VII-229
cramming, IX-1 13
forms and worksheets are never recopied, VII - 215
handwriting, illegible, how to handle, VII-433
out admin—liability, VII-96
responsibility for admin, IX-3
room, X-83
what it includes, IX-3
advantages of being part of group, VII-366
all auditors talk too much, VIII-160
alter-is auditor, changes when pc changes, V-75
altitude, I-20; see also Science of Survival
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auditor(s)’(s) (cont.)
and pc as group; see SOS
and pc get into games condition only when audi-
tor refuses help to pc, IX-283
and pc, when they are cleared for session, only
then begin on case, III-301
ARC break assessment and auditor; see ARC
break assessment
ARC break in session, auditor not handling, re-
medy of, VIII-409
ARC breaks with auditors, III-430
ARC with the preclear, II-237
administer,II-262,355
assess, auditor who can’t assess lists, results of,
VIII-426
assessment weaknesses, remedy of, VIII-233
assignment policies, VI-420
attitude, II-341; IX-5, 285; see also TRs
on Integrity Processing, IX-285
required to confront the world, III-108
to pc’s data, IV-129
toward self, VII-365
auditing alone will have case failures, VI-402
auditing by rote will make mistakes, I-129
auditing pc who is out of session, handling of,
VII-180, 205
auditor accepting a C/S, VII-44, 356
auditor accepting verbal C/S instruction is a
and pc, when they are cleared for session, only
High Crime, VII-94
auditor-as-a-C/S, action of, VII-180, 205
auditor does not let a C/S C/S hopefully, he
refuses C/Ses until an FES is done and bug
found, VII-358
auditor may not C/S in auditing chair while
auditing pc, VII-356
auditor responsibility for C/Ses, VII-44, 355
auditor’s C/S, defn., sheet on which auditor
writes the C/S instructions for next session,
IX-10, 29
auditor should never take a verbal or written
correction that is not in an HCO B or tape,
VII-363
auditor who knows he goofed and yet gets a
well done holds the C/S in contempt and
his auditing worsens, VII-398
auditor who knows his tech is able to hold the
line on any given action in auditing or
C/Sing and not mix up, VII-289
C/Sing in chair, handling of, VIII-411
does not
omit “Fly a rud” or “Fly ruds” does not
avert use of “you” to pc, V-161
bad “auditor”, V-32
bad auditor talks too much to pc and stops pc
from properly answering, III-308, 544
bad auditor versus dangerous auditor, VI-149
basic course for, II-368
basic fundamentals, when they are securely the
auditor’s own there is no need for him to be
told what must be done, III-425
basics, auditor out basics, VIII-409
basics: metering, TRs, understanding of Auditor’s
basic theory of human mind, strict
honesty and honor as an auditor, IX-91
become an auditor; see Dianetics Today
becomes an auditor when he or she finds out that
it’s the basics that count, V-425
being audited should be content to be a pc for the
term of the session, II-162
Board, X-83
book auditor, III-83, 84, 85
by-passed charge, indicating BPC is a necessary
auditor action which at first glance may seem
evaluative, V-465
calling pc’s attention to meter or TA or his hands
in session, handling of, VIII-410
can assume that pc is in a native state, II-281
can be smoothed out as cases by running a Com-
unication Process on “an auditor” and “a
preclear”, III-505
can run courses in his living room, II-391
can’t control pc, cure for, IX-80
case, I-419
homework?
author(s) don’t have, VII-362
case of, depends upon his skill, II-122; VIII-110

Case Supervisor actions regarding auditor; see case
supervising
causes a restimulation and then pc needs to an
Code, swer question to get rid of restimulation,
VII-244; IX-69
CCHs and auditors; see CCHs
certainty and results, I-357
certainty, pc’s reaction to, I-357
certificates, purpose of, I-400
certified auditor, III-83, 84
characteristics of, II-255
classification and internships, program of, [1972],
VIII-13
classifications of, [1956], II-510
classifications [1965], VI-34
Class VI auditor, things he should know [1964],
V-412
Class VIII; see Class VIII
clearance (rudiment), IV-41, 194
clearing the auditor; see clearing the auditor
Code; see Auditor’s Code
command, auditor must know when pc has finish-
ed answering, IX-73

321
commands, before auditor gives them, he makes certain he has pc’s attention on him again and off last question, III-296
comm breakdowns, auditor has to assume responsibility for all comm breakdowns in session, VII-250, 428
comm cycle, auditor watches pc’s comm cycle; auditor’s own is perfect, VII-248; IX-73
comm cycle, roughness of auditor’s comm cycle can prevent not only a release from occurring but can prevent rehabilitation, VI-66, 76
comm line to pc, auditor must keep in, VII-242, 243
comm line to pc, process doesn’t work until auditor has a, IX-66
competence depends upon auditor being able to receive and give forth a process as it has been found to work, II-53
complete precision required of today’s ~, V-52
confidence, III-379
auditor who inspires, VI-359
importance of, V-326
increased by standardized sessions, IV-53
Confront a Preclear [process], II-545
control, cure for auditor who can’t control pc, VI-140
controlling the pc, II-17
control of session, IV-373
course graduate becomes an ~ by auditing, VII-331
Cramming and flubless auditors, X-183
cramming auditors, IX-90, 112
crimes, two biggest, are rough and choppy auditing and overestimating level of case, III-397
cycle of decline of an auditor and student, VI-273
dangerous, VI-149
dangerous auditor, characteristics of and remedy for, V-32, 36
data, X-147, 148
Dianetic auditing is fundamental background of auditor, VI-325
Dianetic auditor; see Dianetic auditor dirty needle and auditor; see dirty needle
dissemination of information to a pc is completely forbidden by the Auditor’s Code, 1954; this is evaluation, II-161
distracting pc, VIII-160
does not grade his own session, IX-29
does not invalidate, criticize or evaluate for pc, VI-321
“dog pcs”, remedy for auditor with, VIII-147
don’t drive in anchor points by shoving things at or gesture toward pc, V-161
don’t run thought out faster than havingness and solids run in, II-489
don’t talk to pc much during session, III-379
don’t work with severely ill or insane, II-268
duplicate, auditor willingness to, VIII-109
education and, I-124
E-Meter, ~ does not tell pc anything about meter or its reads ever, except to indicate F/N, VII 259
E-Meter, don’t use distractingly, VII-22, 230
E-Meter goes null on a gradient scale of misses by auditor; the more misses the less meter reads, V-105
end phenomena, remedy of auditor errors in handling, VIII-273
environment, auditor is responsible for session environment, VIII-409
errors, VII-206; X-43, 90, 92-93, 97,122
acknowledging too little, V-292
acting like a spectator instead of being in control, V-74, 273
asserting rightness, making others wrong, V-327
auditor errors add charge; pc then is overwhelmed, V-401
auditor goofing, what it means regarding train ing, VII-301
auditor has right to know what he did wrong, VII-48, 363
auditors who begin to goof, how to handle by two-way comm or earlier purpose, VI-306
auditor who can’t get reads, how to handle, VII-273
auditor who refuses to audit his quota of hours or sessions is subject to action, VII-44, 355
causing a null prepared list, VIII-213
cleaning a clean meter is asking for trouble, V-335
come from inability to confront, faulty meter ing, misunderstood or out-ethics, VIII-164
consistently missing charge or consistently failing to anticipate missed charge, result of, V-286
cure for auditor who can’t control pc, VII-255
cure for auditor who is “letting pc itsa”, VII-254
disagreement with data measures degree of un workability he’ll enter into processing, V-326
don’t gesture toward pc, VII-250, 251, 428
failure to take pc’s data; you take pc’s data, never take his orders, V-292, 415
new auditor flubs, VII-93
not intentional, II-394
out TRs and no impingement get no reads, V-82
Q and A, V-37, 74, 410
repeating what the pc said, V-161, 414
establishing auditor with pc, III-314
establish the existence of the auditor, II-250
ethical auditor, what he does, III-392
Ethics, auditor to, VI-50
evaluation, VIII-160
accidental evaluation may occur when auditor repeats what pc said, V-161, 414
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auditor(s)’s (cont.)
evaluation (cont.)
  auditor never says what overt is, for that’s evaluation, V-464
  auditor repeating what pc says, IX-75
  consists of telling pc what to think about his case, IV-129
  failing to handle E-Meter, chief reason is TR fail- ures, IV-261, 264, 432
  fail to make pc feel they are interested in pc when they handle him with poor ARC, III-242
  falsifying report, how to handle, VIII-292, 386
  field auditor targets, V-432
  file clerk’s faith in, I-18
  Find a Pc [process], II-250
  firefight is quarrel between auditor and pc, VIII-291, 385
  first should know tools before going in for artistic, I-305
  flaws show up glaringly only on rough pcs, IX-224
  flinching from pc, how to handle, II-500
  flush a C/S instruction and can’t get it going, remedy for, VI-282
  F/Ning auditors, VII-412
  F/Ning something else than question asked is Q and A, VIII-222, 223
  handling of, VIII-410
  forcing pc, why auditor mustn’t, VI-369, 442
  fully responsible for session, IV-43, 373
  games condition, auditors and pcs get into, only when auditor refuses help to pc, VIII-180
  general working rules for, I-62
  get the preclear through it, example, II-31
  getting and keeping pcs, II-443
  getting into communication with pc, II-20, 500, 553
  getting pc in session, II-16, 217
  getting pc sessionable, II-17
  give pc nothing but wins, II-443
  giving pc full hours, IV-145
  goal(s) of, II-121, 487
  auditor and pc, VIII-110
  stack up on a gradient scale between thetan inoperative and thetan who can operate, III-155, 175
  to discover an ability in pc and improve it, III-5, 159, 178
  to help pc re-establish confidence in his ability to confront thetans, thought, time, life, energy, matter and space, III-311
  good auditor, actions of, V-426, 427
  goofing, what it means regarding training, IX-398
  group, advantages of being part of, IX-6
  hand cream, applying during session is wrong, handling of, VIII-411
  handling pc who is not co-operative, III-159, 178
  handwriting, how to handle, IX-44
  handwriting, poor, illegible worksheets, VIII-412
  has initiative, II-393
  has more control over pc’s reactive mind than pc, reasonwhy, IV-332
  has to be skilled on one process at least and know all about it before he can do two, V-432
  having low ARC, cause of, III-516
  havingness of pcs, II-501
  HGC Auditor’s Sec Check, IV-356
  honesty of, determines his results, VIII-26
  how auditor knows pc is exteriorized, II-12
  how to handle auditor saying “Process didn’t work”, IV-1 18, 432
  how to increase pc’s willingness to confront past, III-489
  impingement on pc, auditor has to have, to assess, IX-224
  inexperienced, X-191
  interest in case, from auditor and pc, III-405
  interneship, auditors must take interneship after each course, VII-331, 332
  invalidation and evaluation of pc is just plain villainy, VII-230
  invalidation of auditor by C/S, VII-128, 278, 379
  is an individual, you can train individual auditors not a mass of auditors, VIII-12
  is a real auditor when his or her pcs don’t overtalk or undertalk but answer auditing question and happily now and then originate, IX-79
  is in absolute control of bank—it always does what you tell it to do, V-413
  itsa, a silent auditor invites itsa, V-370
  job of auditor is to free thetan by digging him out of his time track, V-288
  judgment, V-316
  leaving Cramming go through Examiner, X-193
  legal attacks on an auditor, how to handle, II-156
  length of time to become an auditor, III-329
  “letting the pc itsa”, cure for, IX-79
  levels of auditors and processes [1957], III-84
  line to the pc, what’s-it line, IX-68
  list of auditor’s efforts, emotions and thoughts related to processing which must be run, I-216
  lists, auditor who can’t get reads on, consequences and remedy of, VIII-233, 234
  make auditors by making them audit, IV-376
  making pc physically well without pc finding out about it, III-182
  may only take advice on cases from C/S, VI-49
  means “alistener”, V-335
  minimum hours of, X-85
  misunderstandings on basic words, auditing pc over, handling of, VIII-410
  morale depends on honest completions, X-180
  morale, what it depends on, VII-380
  must be able to duplicate, III-355
  must be interested not interesting, VII-230
  must be perfect on a meter, defined, V-104
  must check out on materials before application, VII-173, 378

323
must know basic laws and mechanics of time track in order to run engrams, V-273
must know Dianetics to understand mind and aberration, VI-160
must look at rightnesses of pc, not just wrongnesses, VII-257; IX-82
musts to make pc gain and cognize, VII-230
natural auditor and dangerous auditor, difference between, V-32
necessity for auditors to review entire process of evolution of the science, I-489
needs his periodic drills and exercises or he goes sloppy, VIII-165
need subjective reality on bank, IV-374, 376
negative criticism undermines auditors, VI-409
nerve, essential ingredient of auditor, II-27
never repeats anything pc says after him, no matter why, VII-250, 428; IX-75
new auditors, VI, 413, 420
not in comm with pc means no cognition, VII-241; IX-66
not understanding what pc said or meant, how to handle, V-161, 414
object is to get pc to look so that pc can tell the auditor, V-23, 335, 415
observation of pc, V-357, 360
on staff, [1956] procedure for putting, II-519
Operating Thetan, only goal worthy of auditor’s attention, III-176
opinion, X-171
orders, auditor giving orders that are not part of any process is very bad, VIII-160
OT Zero and TR 0 are routine action for auditors, VIII-164
overrunning due to false TA, handling of, VIII-411
overwhelming pc, II-399
pc actions all have an exact auditor response, V-59
pc and auditor as two pole system to as-is mass, VII-238; IX-63
pc exterior and good win, auditor carrying on past and asking “say or ask”, handling of, VIII-410
pc finding the auditor, II-444
pc gain, auditor unhappy about, III-454
pc plus auditor is greater than the bank, auditor plus bank is greater than the pc, pc minus auditor is less than the bank, VI-359
pc red tag, auditor action, VIII-320
pc’s attention, don’t pull over to auditor, VII-21
pc’s reality, what auditor believes has little to do with, VI-345
pc’s somatics, auditor doesn’t get, IX-63
perception of pc, V-357
personal reputation of, II-346
pictures, auditor always has more control over pc’s mental image pictures than pc does, VI-342
plus pc is greater than pc’s bank, VII-230, 366; VIII-86
plus pc versus bank is a lot more than the bank, when auditor is part of a third dynamic, IX-6 poorer he is, the simpler actions he’s assigned, V-217
practice, it isn’t “talent” that makes good auditor; it is practice, VIII-426
processing of, I-216
purpose of, is to give pc certain and exact commands which pc can follow and perform, II-441
Q and A, VIII-222, 223
change in pc causes auditor to stop or change process, IV-218
questions, asking odd non-process questions while “doing a process”, VIII-160
questions, the less specific and sequitur the better the results with pc, II-144
raising cause level of, V-434
real auditor’s pcs don’t overtalk or undertalk but answer auditing question and happily now and then originate, VI-139; VII-254
reason for hatred toward, I-438
reasons why some auditors cannot run engrams on pcs, V-287
recovery, VIII-60
refusing to audit is in fact an admission, in most cases, of feared inability to audit, VIII-149
relaxed attitude to pc, II-346
remains at cause in all sessions without forbidding pc to be at cause, III-161, 181
Repair Programs, new auditors shouldn’t do, VII-93
repeating not only does not show pc he heard but makes him feel auditor is a circuit, IX-75
report; see Auditor’s Report
research worker in the field of illness, II-353
response when he doesn’t understand pc, VII-454
results, auditor isn’t getting results means either he or pc is doing something else, VI-91
results, auditors are not gauged by results, but by flawless application of standard tech, VI-273
results, auditor who doesn’t consistently get results is going to have his own case cave in on him, VIII-110
retraining of, II-163
Review auditors, VI-126, 399
rights of auditor, VII-44, 355; X-1, 9; see also Dianetics Today
abuse of, X-225
addition revised, X-227
and correction lists, X-227
modified, X-225
right to choose pcs modified, VIII-149
right to reject or accept pcs he is given, VII-44, 355
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auditor(s)'(s) (cont.)

role of; see also Advanced Procedure and Axioms

auditor(s)'(s) (cont.)

auditors who have PTP of how to get case gain for their pcs, VII-326

auditor who goes sad is auditing pcs over his own ARC break, VII-362

auditor worried about his pc is working over a problem, VII-362

overt and withholds on pcs, VII-277, 289, 345, 362

running the preclear’s machinery, II-218

session, auditor is responsible for session, VII-235, 250, 428; IX-75

session, ending session is totally up to auditor, VII-44, 356

skill, V-315, 326

by case level [1963], V-314

is directly measured by amount of TA he can get, V-373, 413

mark of skilled auditor is ability to remedy a case and then get on with routine auditing, V-485

somatics, auditor doesn’t get pc’s somatics, VII-238

speed, VI-417, 420

error can also stem from, VIII-273

staff auditor(s)(s), III-83, 84

advices, VI-49

groove in for wins and TA action, V-327

grounds on which to refuse to process or release a pc, III-51

requirements, VIII-12

responsibilities, IV-214, 219

trainee programming, VIII-12

training of, [1961], IV-389

statements, permitted auditor statements, V-464

statistic of auditor, VII-129, 147; VIII-150

steering pc, IX-283

students in Academy are auditors, not pcs, III-250

suffer from association with psychologists and psychiatrists, II-389

survive better than other people, II-393

takes pc’s data, never his orders, V-415

taking order from pc causes pc to ARC break, IV-373, 374

target of auditor is pc’s reactive mind, IV-428

target of the auditor, II-41

technician and artist, I-305

tendency to invent new processes, II-345

test of, VIII-427

supreme test of an auditor, VII-289, 290

due to orders of, V-387

three hats of, V-387

time track obeys auditor; time track does not obey a preclear (early in auditing), V-274

tone arm and auditor; see tone arm

tone level of auditor necessary to handle case; see Science of Survival tools, auditor has to know his tools very well to be able to observe pc, VI-90

auditor(s)(s) (cont.)

touching the pc, II-553

trainees come under Interne Supervisor, VIII-12, 13

trainees, personnel pools for, VIII-12

training; see also training and skill of, I-365

is not only for professional auditors, IX-434

sending auditors to upper orgs for, VIII-13

stages, VII-152

treating pc as a victim, III-516

trouble with an ARC breaky pc or no gain, how to handle auditor who has, V-58

TRs and auditors; see TRs

two-way comm, auditor doing without C/S, handling of, VIII-411

two-way communication, not using can cause fail ed pcs, II-146

unable to produce good results, cause and handling of, III-~85, 292

understanding of pc’s answer, VIII-395

unusual solutions, VI-49

upper level auditors, VIII-376

using a process on which he has high reality will obtain high results with a pc, III-60

validated auditor [1957], III-84

vision, auditor is expected to see meter, pc and worksheet all at one time, VII-178, 464

what an auditor should know, I-368, 488

what he is working to do, I-245

what is required to get bonuses, VII-1 84

what it takes to make a real auditor, VIII-426

what LRH thinks of auditors, II-393

who can audit an uneducated pc is a jewel, VII-225, 458

who cannot audit, whose TRs are out, whose metering is bad and who never keeps the Code always says his pcs are dogs, VIII-147

who can’t do his TRs can’t audit, VI-138; IX-78

who chops up pc with bad TRs may see TA go below 2, VI-419

who have no pcs write procurement letters, VII-184

who reports “it didn’t work”, handling of, VI-7, 28

who sets himself up to be resisted will fail, II-17

who tries to make pc guilty is violating Clause 15 of Auditor’s Code, IX-272

who won’t hear what pc is saying, VI-22

why auditor mustn’t force pc, VII-414

will always be senior to Clears, III-237

willingness to duplicate, II-121

with low hours needs TRs, IX-102

wonderful, withpooradmin could flop, II-387

working alone, decline of, VII-366; IX-6

worksheets, IX-44; X-196

writing up C/Ses, X-200, 201, 202

Auditor Correction List—auditor recovery, VIII-60

Auditor Integrity List, IX-300
Auditor’s Code, I-62; see also DTOT; NOTL; SOS; CDN; Scn 8-80; Dn 55!; COHA; CMS CS
defn, governing set of rules for general activity of auditing, II-441
addition to the, III-82
basic auditing rules, II-255
bogged-down case due to ~ breaks, I-18
breaks in running SOP 8-C, II-13
change [1958], III-306
dirty needle, breaking Auditor’s Code causes, VI-375
dissemination of information to pc is completely forbidden by Auditor’s Code 1954; this is evaluation, II-161
is auditing tool, not just a nice idea, VII-227;
VIII-289, 384
is observed in giving an assist and auditing comm cycle is used, V-505
is to protect the pc and auditor, II-442
No. 19, III-417
OCA/APA profile dropped, auditor code break, handling of, III-285
out, prevents case gain, VII-230
pc, challenged by an “auditor” who is breaking ~, gets solid reaction in reactive bank, reason why, VI-291
processes do not work when administered outside Auditor’s Code and without skillfully practiced TRs, V-263
Scientologist operates within boundaries of Auditor’s Code and Code of a Scientologist, III-281
when pc goes more anaten than when not being audited, he is in grip of real or affected code break and is out of session, II-322
1954, full description of each point, II-96
1956, II-442
Auditor’s Report, VI-246, 363; VII-215; see also auditing report; auditor admin; DMSMH; CDN
Crime to copy it, VI-247, 364
Crime to give any session or assist without making an ~, VI-247, 364
Form, IX-39, 40
defn., outline of what actions were taken during session, made out at end of each session, IX-10
commands are written out in full on, IX-42
use of, V-444; see also Auditor Admin Series, IX-1
authoritarianism, I-128
a graded scale, I-178
anessayon, I-173
concept of, I-178; see also suppressive person discovered readily in psychometry, I-180
is little more than a form of hypnotism, III-424
or authority exists in ratio to amount a curtain is lowered across ARC lines, I-179
authorities, field containing the most ~ contains least codified knowledge, VI-83; IX-489
authority and preponderance of agreement ordinarily make man accept things, III-420
authorship, mis-responsibility is miscalling of, III-98
auto-control, no-confidence induces a sort of ~ in session which induces a dirty needle, V-93
automatic actions, II-409
automatic answers, II-235
automatic bank,
defn., when a pc gets picture after picture after picture all out of control, VI-343
cause of, how to handle, VI-343
automaticity, automaticities, II-541
defn, fact of taking pictures automatically simply by putting out flitter, I-416
defn, something is going on and we do not know its cause, II-225
defn., non self-determined action which ought to bedeterminedbyindividual, II-541
harm comes from automaticity only when people have forgotten that something has been put on automatic, II-225
increasing learning rate by drill usually only in creases familiarity and automaticity, III-22
is discharged by indicating area of charge only, V-282
of form, solution to, III-210
of making pictures, II-231
randomity and, II-142, 533
remembering and forgetting, greatest automaticity in which anyone was engaged, II-221
responsibility and, III-167
we take over automaticities only to rehabilitate ability of thetan, III-232
Auxiliary Pre-Have 3D Scale, IV-434
avalanches, outflowing and inflowing, II-39; VIII-106;
see also havingness awareness, VIII-406
defn, ability to perceive existence of, VIII-182; IX-346
assessing the awareness levels on pc, VI-190
awareness change is the indication of effect, I-359
communication and, II-191
description of, II-191
E-Meter locates charged areas below awareness of pc and verifies that charge has been removed, V-334, 416
E-Meter measures awareness depth of pc, VI-358
healing, restoration of awareness is often necessary before healing can occur, VI-3 18
if one can confront he can be aware; if he is aware he can perceive and act, VIII-182
inability to differentiate is a decline in, IV-122
increased, is only factor which offers any road out, III-107
is certainty, I-359
item which does not read on meter when assessed is beyond pc’s level of awareness, VI-357
level of awareness, I-356
level of awareness—that of which a being is aware, VI-33
scale of, I-378; II-191; see also Scn 0-8
Scientology addresses improved ~, VI-329, 378
triangle of certainty of, I-378
326
awareness of awareness unit, I-379; II-211; see also thetan; *Dianetics* '55!
built space to cut down knowingness, II-176
has no mass, meaning or mobility, position or movement in space, has qualities and potentials, II-143
postulate made by ~ is higher manifestation than any energy-space manifestation, II-215
thetan, , is understanding, II-137
Awareness Scale, I-378; II-191; see also Scn 0-8
Axiom(s); see also SOS; AP&A; HFP; COHA; A&L; PXL; Scn 0-8; *Dn Today*
defn., self-evident truth as in geometry, II-435
valid today as they were, I-345
known cold at HAA level, II-296
not-isness (Axiom 11), how to bring under pc’s knowing control and to reduce the not-isness in pc’s bank, III-489
of Dianetics, survival is basic, I-6
of Scientology, first ten, are the most fundamental truths, II-436
of Scientology, I-10 explained, II-435
of SOP 8-C, II-13
primary Dianetic; see Original Thesis
psychology is in actual use a dramatization of Axiom 10, wholly reactive, III-499
Scientology as a science is composed of many, II-435
Scientology Axiom 58, III-393
Scientology, principles and axioms of, are considerations agreed upon and from which stem this universe and livingness, III-344
therapeutic processes easily derived from, I-242
thetan is defined in Axiom 1, III-223
Axiom 10,
becomes confused by thetan with cycle of action, III-539
cycle of action, Axiom 10 and communication formula become identified, IV-35
psychology is in actual use a dramatization of Axiom 10, wholly reactive, III-499
Axiom 11, III-489
Axiom 28,
amended, VIII-185
relationship to process workability, IV-155
Axiom 51 and Communication Processing, II-240
Axiom 55: create, change, destroy, II-3 13
Axiom 58, III393
Azimuth meter, VI-388; VII-178
use of, to see reads, X-80

B

baby, babies; see also child
at birth, is not perceptive beyond first dynamic, II-412
auditing of, I-337
how to feed and handle, III-361
Bachelor of Scientology and Hubbard Advanced Au
ditor Course, II-339, 345, 559; see also B.Scen.
backlog, don’t allow a backlog of pcs, VII-5
backtrack, pcs who won’t go, reasons and remedies for, VIII-276, 388, 389; IX-251; X-7; see also past lives
backwards/C/Sing (towards significance), X-29-30
bacteria, bacterial,
ilness caused by recognizable bacteria and injury in accident are best treated by physical means, II-153
infection, accidents and illness are predetermined by spiritual malfunction and unrest, II-153
intestinal bacteria, VIII-408
structure and, I-431
bad action, defn., I-293
bad acts, defn., those acts which cannot be easily experienced at the target end, III-432
bad auditor; see auditor, bad
bad Exam Reports, X-96; see also Exam Reports
bad indicator; see indicator, bad
bad, people are never as bad as they think they are, IV-24
balance, Chloro- and Aureo- families of antibiotics can affect sense of balance, VIII-406
bank; see reactive mind
barbarianism, violence leads to, III-343
barbarism,

how to cure, III-252
whatitis, III-251
barrier(s); see also game conditions
defn., sp., e, energy, object obstacles, or time, II-15
defn., composed of inhibiting (limiting) ideas, space, energy, masses and time, II-422
absence of, is the trouble with pc when pc is having trouble, II-499
auditing is that process of bringing a balance between freedom and barriers, II-366
causing few barriers one loses control over them, II-439
freedom and barriers, workable balance between, II-422
how they can trap a man, II-423
losing control over, II-423
nest universe is a game consisting of, II-15
problems of ~ or their lack, how resolved, II-15
space is the first barrier of knowingness, II-11
basic, disability of the pc, I-361
dynamic principle of existence is: survive!, I 167
goals, I-187
impulse is to produce an effect, I-482
personality; see personality, basic
purpose, I-37; X-11, 22
reason—basic principles, I-148
unit of this universe is two not one, IV-62
Basic Affinity Process, “What would you like to con front?”", III-536
basic area, 
defn., I-25
engrams, I-17
most vital area in case, I-17
unconsciousness, reason for removal of, I-25
basic auditing; see auditing, basic
basic-basic, I-468
defn., first engram on the whole time track, V-274
defn., most basic of all basics and results in clearing, VI-343
basic course(s); see also HAS; HQS
for auditors, II-368
give people the tools to live better, II-369
give precise definitions, II-391
in Scientology, II-76, 352
all qualified auditors should run, II-416
materials of, II-368, 382, 391
need to teach everybody a ~, II-369
with before and after Scientometric tests, II-451
basic of chain, 
defn., first incident (engram, lock, overt act) on any chain, V-274
defn., first experience recorded in mental image pictures of that type of pain, sensation, VI-343
blowdowns indicate a basic has been reached, VII-I
chain is held in place by basic for that chain, VI-400
charge is held in place by basic on chain, V-41, 290
engram contains pain and unconsciousness; its basic would be a physical duress not a symptom resulting from that duress, VI-352
first incident of any chain is fully or partially unknown to person, V-28, 41
floating needle always occurs when basic on chain erases, VII-117
incidents, later than basic incidents are run either to uncover more basic (earlier) incidents or to clean up chain after basic has been found and erased, V-290
relation to Technique 80, I-300
running somatic permits you to get to a basic, VII-9
somatic chains go quickly to basic and are the important chains, VI-394
Basic Program, defn., laid out in Classification and Gradation Chart, IX-27; X-11, 22
basics,
list of out basics and references to correct them, VIII-409
techn basics are not cancelled by later developments, VII-100
B complex, Bland C, VI-422; see also nutrition BD, see blowdown
be, being, beingness, VIII-118; see also being [the person]; Beingness Processing 
defn., assumption (choosing) of a category of identity: role in a game; example of beingness —one’s own name, II-410
be, being, beingness (cont.)
above havingness there is doingness, and above doingness there is beingness, and above beingness there is communicatingness, and above communicatingness there is knowingness, and above knowingness there is postulatingness, II-183
assumed by oneself or given to oneself, or is attained, II-410
assumption of beingness, III-257, 258, 271, 272; see also valences
basic escape is into another being, thus one acquires beingnesses to escape, IV-368
be, do and have depend on communication, III-92
be—do—have—were coordinated, IV-206
be, have and do, relationship to space, time and energy, I-295
being [the person]; see being being, having, doing—triangular interrelationship, I-296
beingness is more involved with havingness than with confront, IV-122
cause and effect, and beingness, I-406
Certainty Processing and, I-406
communication, space, synonyms in action, I-326, 352
condition of, II-410
course creates a beingness, not imparts data, III-464
covered theft of beingness, III-257
decision to be, I-375
reason behind, I-358
DEI Scale on beingness, III-271
doingness, havingness and ~ must be balanced; each must be flexible in pc for a stable gain, IV-207
Goals Processing finds beingness and mind’s doingness toward it (Prehav Scale) and results in havingness, IV-207
granting of, II-69, 247
auditor must be willing to grant beingness to the pc, II-100, 255
highest of human virtues, ability to assume or to grant (give, allow) beingness, II-411
increase in ability of pc to grant life to others and environment, II-255
Help [process] handles problems of beingness, IV-110, 119
ideal state of; see Handbook for Preclears
increasing by doing without having, I-296
in life experience space becomes beingness, II-13
of child, increased by Scientology, I-320
preclear who assumes aches of another wishes to be that other; he is short on beingnesses, III-272
Six Steps to Better Beingness, I-424
space could be said to be Be; I-295
states of, attained by processing, VI-20, 61
struggle of people to be themselves, II-416
thetan tends to move from source beingness to effect beingness, IV-131
be, being, beingness (cont.)
valences are mocked up other-beingnesses a person thinks he is, IV-104
beams, pressor and pulling, I-290
"beating the meter", IV-421
beauty; see Scn 8 - 80
between lives; see also History of Man
beggar philosophy of, I-476

Beginning of incident, erasure depends in some measure on pc getting to, VI-376
Beginning rudiments; see rudiments, beginning behavior,
dynamics of and prediction of; see SOS
human, I-473
mannerisms as an index to change, X-35
Tone Scale gives a prediction of human behavior, II-413; see also SOS
two types—that calculated to be constructive and that calculated to be disastrous, V-407
underlying facts in odd human ~, VI-292

Behavior and Physiological Scale; see Scn 0-8
being; see also be; thetan
at different lifetimes is good and evil, V-408
basically proper only when they are self-determined and can be pan-determined to help in prosperity of all, VIII-130
basic certainties of, I-359
insistence on rightness is a last refuge of, VIII-257; IX-249
recognition of rightness of, IX-82
spiritual being, timeless and deathless, proof that individual is, VII-27, 168
when you add something to the being he gets worse, VII-257; IX-82
who is something cannot observe it; being who looks at something ceases to be it, V-50
Beinness Processing, I-416; 1144, 53; see also Be
apathy on Universe or Beinness Processing, cause of and remedy, II-44
is best solution to valences, III-257, 271
belief or faith, Scientology demands no, and thus is not in conflict with faith, III-514
betrayal,
defn., action of having things pounded in and held against one, I-361
defn., help turned to destruction, III-219
defn., to be disloyal or faithless to, VIII-102
Danger RD step, person to work out how out-ethics situation is betrayal of group, VIII-103
medicine considering man a body is a sort of betrayal, IV-86
relationship to help; see help better, defn. negative gains; things disappear that have been annoying or unwanted, III-428
between lives; see also History of Man
areas, might have passed at one time or another for heaven or hell, II-433
between lives (cont.)
implants, V-333
series, III-226
big mid ruds; see rudiments, middle
Big Tiger Drill, V-196
biochemistry and nutrition, VIII-204, 205; see also nutrition
lie below spirit and mind and could be loosely considered to be undercut as they do impede spiritual gain, VIII-203
may not work at all until stress is relieved by processing, VIII-206
"Biolactyl", dosage of intestinal bacteria, VIII-408
bio-physics, founded by Scientology, II-431
birth,
and “assumption”, I-439
auditing of, VI-379
do not run prenatal or birth engrams unless they come up naturally, VI-163
engrams; see Original Thesis
ideal conditions for, III-361
interiorization occurs at birth, that’s an engram; exteriorization occurs at death, that’s an engram, VII-28
prenatal experience and; see also DMSMH
prenatal and conception are a bounce from a death, III-411
running out delivery, VII-2
trauma, II-466
within a few minutes after it, assumption occurs, III-226
birth control pills, VII-389
black, blackness, I-360, 399
defn., simply pressing on things to push them away, II-497
cases, II-473; IV-9
resolution of, II-217
desire to be effect and inability to be cause, I-395
field, III-191, 256; VIII-124
defn., some part of mental image picture where pc is looking at blackness, VI-342
handling of, I-361
indicative of scarcity of viewpoints, I-433
itself is only a picture, II-229
probable cause of "all black" on Green Form, VI-258
screens,
how to resolve, I-437
purpose of, II-178; VIII-114
tremendous saturation abilities of, II-22
types of, II-547
black and white, phenomenon of, I-445; see also Scn 8-80
Black Dianetics, I-280
black five, II-178
is so far gone he can’t even see pictures any more, he only sees blackness in front of him, II-229
blackmail and punishment are keynotes of all dark operations, IV-28
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blame,
  defn., arbitrary election of cause, I-210
  and regret, I-213
blaming pc, VII-277
blanketing; see History of Man
blank periods, X-156
blindness, III-38
cases, I-434
  is an extreme unawareness, III-96
blind repair, when no FES is done, X-66
blinking, TR 0 notes on, VIII-369
blood leveling time and antibiotics, VIII-403
blow(s),
  defn., unauthorized departure from an area, usually caused by misunderstood data or overt, VII-141, 286; IX-312
  as an effort to exteriorize, VII-42
  by-passed charge can cause person to, V-346
  cause of, IV-217; VI-22
  five main reasons for student blows, VIII-193
  from Scientology orgs [1960], IV-1
  handling blown student or pc, VIII-193, 194
  improvement of conditions often worsens the amount of blow-off, III-557
  justification for, III-558
  major cause of, VII-42
  misunderstood words can cause blows, VII-162, 198, 294, 390
  off session, cause of, II-246
  only reason anyone has ever left Scientology is because people failed to find out about them, IX-282
  overts are a primary cause of, V-1142
  reason for, III-555, 557, 558
  reason for pc blows, VIII-179, 194
  student is slow or blows, reason for lies in failure to understand words used in his training, V-45
students trying to blow do so only after matter has not been confronted and handled in routine supervision; left unhandled, situations become blows, V-43I
blowdown(s),
  defn., when auditor has to move TA from right to left to keep needle ondial and movement is .1 divisions or more then a BD is occurring, VI-69
defn., tone arm motion to the left made to keep needle on dial, VI-357
  and falls, X-20, 29
  and length of reads, X-149
  auditor must not speak or move during ~, VI-69
  floating needle cannot be observed during ~, VI-69
  indicate a basic has been reached, VII-1
  is a period of charge blowing off bank, relief and cognition to a pc while it is occurring and for a moment after it stops, VI-69
  listing and nulling item must BD and F/N, VIII-96
blowing engrams by inspection, VI-400, 407
blow-off; see blow
blow up,
  item, X-131
  F/N item, X-141
  of low TA, rule only applies to C/S Series 37R, VII-272
blue sheet, the Return Program is on, X-14, 21
bodhi,
defn., who has attained an ideal state of intellectual and ethical perfection by purely physical means, II-72
  is evidently our “Clear”, III-217
body(‘s), bodies, III-530
defn., a solid appendage which makes a person recognizable, III-151
defn., identifyingformnon-identifiableform to facilitate control of, communication of and with and havingness for thetan in his existence in mest universe, III-480
defn., a carbon-oxygen engine running at a temperature of 98.6 degrees F, VI-124
defn., physical object, it is not the being himself, VIII-129
defn., a complex-biological carbon-oxygen engine, running at an operating temperature of 37° Centigrade and, being biological, has ability to establish and repair itself, VIII-401
anchor points of, III-151
  and E-Meter; see E-Meter
  and mest vs. analytical mind, I-420
  and mind are part of gradient scale of creation, I-419
  and TR 0, VIII-369
big PTP a thetan has is his body, VI-339
body control comes before control of thinking to
  ness, III-479
body part run on Communication Process, III 513, 519
can’t change without changing mind, III-1
  care of, I-404
  communication terminal, using body as, II-276
  control of body by pc, III-184
  death of body and handling of, III-224, 227
  Dianetics addresses body, Scientology addresses the thetan, VI-338, 339, 351
discolors when mass from bank is brought in on it, V-255
effect of running things in or close to body, I-361
  effect of Vitamin E on body, VI-124; see also vitamins
effort to make something out of nothing, I-482
electrical field surrounding body monitors physical structure of body, II-432
electronic structure around body, III-151
exists in its own space created by anchor points, II-432
exteriorization proves that individual is not a body but an individual, VII-27, 168
  first step to control of pc’s body, III-240
body(s), bodies (cont.)

fixation, VIII-203

GE is something that mocks up bodies, III-226

good process for, I-491

GPM, more advanced the GPM the more careful

you have to be of the body, V-256

havingness, relation to body; see havingness

how mind becomes fixated upon, I-419

hungry for motivators, II-333

improperly fed, absorbs energy put out by thetan,

II-97

in auditing, masses are released off body and out

of thetan’s bank, V-256

interaction of mind and body, I-209

is a mass, a solid terminal, III-240

liabilities of mest body, I-403

life in, thetan puts it there, VIII-126

lives only about 70 years, it puts an awful limit on

man, VII-90

living in body makes a being vulnerable, VII-79

malnutrition is general breakdown of body func-
tions due to lack of adequate nourishment,

VIII-207

mind or spirit can predispose illness or injury,

VI-312

motion, V-394

and E-Meter, I-230; IV-421

doesn’t count as TA, V-413

TA conscious body-moving pc, how to cure, 

V-373

TA is never touched during sneezing, body

motion, etc., and no recording is made, 

V-397, 443

TA shifts because of body motion, yawning,

asking questions, and particularly because of

protests do not count in reading TA

position [R2, R3], V-241

must be handled to some degree before anything

helpful occurs by way of auditing, VI-312

nerves and pain, VII-I 10

nerve system, IX-502

not-ising body, II-208

nutrition is in field of physical treatment of body,

VIII-205; see also nutrition

overweight is residual elements of food, sub-

stances or gases which are not totally elimi-

nated or utilized by body after ingestion, VIII-

401

pain, person could feel pain only as himself

(thetan plus body), V-176

parts of man—thetan, mind, body, III-129

pc assigns ~ to his case or case to his ~, VI-3 12

pc exterior, handling body, VII-79

physical universe undercuts the body, III-129

Q and A, VIII-231

cure for, is objective processes, VIII-232

reactive mind can impinge itself directly on body,

II-431

reason for holding on to body, III-186

responds badly to forces, VII-86

body(s), bodies (cont.)

robot’s inertia of body, VIII-129

shut-off of memory actually occurs with pick-up

of new body, III-226

sick body is a PTF and inhibits attaining spiritual

freedom by Scientology, VI-347

SOP 8-C Step II, pc discovering he can handle

body, II-13

structure of, can be changed by changing electrical

field, II-432

sugar in abundance by-passes basic energy produc-
ing mechanisms of body, VIII-207

theta clear can exist knowingly independent of

bodies, III-155, 176

thetan, himself without body is capable of performing

all functions he assigns to body, III-480

is senior to mind and body, II-432

to be “sane”, must learn how he’s been caring

for the body, I-301

vs. body, V-255

underweight or debility is inadequate or lacking

foods, substances or gases which are needed

for activity, maintenance or repair of body,

VIII-402

was made to be worked, I-421

while a thetan can produce illness, it is the body

that is ill, VI-312, 338

Body Confrontingness, commands and how to run,

III-319

Body Mimicry, Full, III-6

Body-RoomContact, CCH6, III-67

body valence; see valence, body

Bog Check by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form

CT6, IV-232

bogged down case due to Auditor’s Code breaks,
current environment or painful emotion, I-18

bogged, Qual tools to handle a bogged or failed stu-
dent, IX-452

bogy cases, X-86

boil-off,

“boil off” or dopey pc, cause and remedy of,

II-182; VIII-l 17

manifestation of unconsciousness, I-321

pc feels dopey: has either run too long on flow in

one direction, in which case reverse flow, or he

has reduced havingness down to a point where

he feels tired or sleepy, II-44, 182

to arouse pc from this state, I-321

bonuses, what is required to get auditor ~, VII-184

bonus package (BP), V-189

book (s),

books answer people’s questions, IV-78

C/S must know well, VII-103

dissemination fails without books distributed,

IV-78

distribution and selling, II-320; see also dissemina-
tion

Word Clearing Method 4 of, VIII-166, 305; IX-466
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Book and Bottle; see Opening Procedure by Duplication
book auditor; see auditor, book
Book Mimicry; see CCH 4, Book Mimicry
Book of Case Remedies used by person himself to
spot by-passed charge, VI-13
Book One addressed the psychotic, I-301
Book One Clear, a relative not an absolute thing,
I-357; see also Clear, best
boredom,
and game conditions, VIII-I 13
described in terms of games condition, II-177
borrowing; see History of Man
bouncer, I-17
throws pc backward, forward, up or down from
track and so makes it apparently unavail-
able, V-275
BP; see personality, basic
BPC; see by-passed charge
bracket (s),
defn., I-393, 397, 462; see also Scn 8-80
defn., for pc, for another, others for others, others
for self, another for pc, pc for another, II-16
“brain” at each joint, IX-504
brain is a shock cushion, IX-502
brainwash(ing), II-312, 530
manual, “psychopolitics”, II-309, 328
original brainwash thatens did to one another,
II-474
Breuer, Freud’s co-worker, II-478
bridge, the, VI-34
briefing tape is a tape designed for a special and in-
formed audience, VII-436; IX-366, 438
“Bring Order”—the motto of HCO, III-391
broad shooting, C/S can give alternatives in a C/S,
X-189
bronchitis, example of case analysis on chronic bron-
chitis, V-388
B.Scn., D.Scn., I-372
B.Scn./HCS [1958], III-366
B.Scn./HCS [1961], IV-261
B.Scn. and HAA Course, II-339, 345, 559
Buddha, Gautama, II-210; III-217; see also Hymn of
Asia
Buddhism, II-72
Scientology’s relation to, VI-195
why it won, III-134
bug, C/Sing and finding the case bug, X-112
building unit of a great society is the individual,
IV-45
bullbait that uses actual processes or implants should
be stamped out hard, VII-192
lobullbait using processes or implants forbidden, X-95
bullfight, physical aspect of, II-492
“business” people in first org, II-458
buttons,
help is key button which admits auditing, IV-85
needle reaction starts to occur a fraction of a sec-
ond after you utter the button, IV-332
buttons (cont.)
never ask the meter after a pc volunteers a button,
V-285, 415
order of big mid rud buttons [1963], V-248
suppress and invalidate buttons, VII-50; X-3
using restimulative materials to push someone’s,
X-95
way of clearing, IV-87
we want flat on everybody in Scientology: victim,
money, III-508
which depress clearing if pc has erroneous defini-
tions for them, III-321
by-passed case and “no interest” items, X-236
by-passed charge(s), V-417; VII-63
defn. earlier charge restimmed and not seen, VIII the
144
defn., one handled later charge that restimmed
earlier charge, VIII-144
ARC break and BPC; see ARC break
assessment,
and ARC break assessment, two different
actions, V-470; see also Book of Case
Remedies
is auditing because you clean every tick of
needle on list being assessed, V-469
on an ARC broken pc, never do, V-469
blowdown of tone arm is meter reaction of having
found correct by-passed charge, V-346
can cause person to blow out of session, or out of
an org or a course of Scientology, V-346
case is the whole sum of past BPC, V-347
does not always = ARC break, but ARC break al
ways = by-passed charge, V-417
E-Meter is invaluable in locating by-passed charge
and curing ARC Break, V-418
how charge can be by-passed, V-281
indicating BPC, relationship to evaluation, V-465
is explanation for violence of M/W/Hs, V-285
is in some degree a missed withhold, missed by
both auditor and pc, V-306, 417
list goes wrong = BPC = handle or do L4A at once,
VI-146
lists designed to find by-passed charge and repair
faulty auditing action or life situation, VII-51
never audit ARC broken pc for a minute even but
locate and indicate by-passed charge at once,
VI-91
of last session, X-18, 19
pc antagonistic = BPC = assess proper list (suchas
L1C) and handle, VII-46, 359
pc’s subjective reality on gain will not compare to
TA action, if charge by-passed, until BPC
cated, V-368
pc will feel better moment right type of by-passed
charge is identified by assessment and indi-
cated by auditor, V-418
prepared lists, missing items on, leaves by-passed
charge on pc, VIII-426
reading items not F/Ned leave pc with ~, VII
196

332
by-passed charge (cont.)
Repair Program, exact BPC of last session is always first action, VII-63
roller-coaster can also be caused by, VIII-339
several can exist and be found on one list, V-418
by-passed flows; see flows, by-passed
by-passed item defined, V-182
by-passing, how to handle compulsive ~, VI-126
B1 and restimulation, I-421; see also nutrition

Cable, don’t phone, III-508
CADA, defn., California Association of Dianetic Auditors, II-200
calcium, muscular spasms are caused by lack of, VIII-354
California Test for Mental Maturity, psychometry, I-40
Cal-Mag, formula and effect of, VIII-354, 355, 369
canceller, lock scanning can perform duties of, I-111
cannibal, cleared cannibal step, VIII-260, 261, 263
cannibalism, I-387
cans; see E-Meter cans
can squeeze, setting correct sensitivity on E-Meter, IV-32
can’t have(s), II-416
Games Processes demand that all can’t hasves be run on something else than the pc, II-516
havingness and, II-486
subject of engram is subject of ~, II-497
valences are all “can’t haves” so when valence is off havingness of pc comes up, IV-110
waste what you can’t have, III-141
Can’t Have Processes, II-415, 416; III-10
Can’t Have Rundown, VIII-141
capability and cause, wide difference between, I-28
capability of theta, I-293
carbohydrates, result of heavy intake of, is to feel tired all the time, VIII-207
case(s); see also preclear
defn, whole sum of past by-passed charge, V-347
abandonment of, II-479
actions, off line, X-94
advance of, is amount of charge you get off it, VII-187
“afraid to find out” type of case, V-36
all react to same actions, they differ in amount of “charge”, VI-28
alter-isness in case handled by auditing Problems, IV-354
analysis, III-428; V-385
establishes two things: what is going on with case and what should be done with it, V-386
health research and case analysis, V-388
steps, V-386, 388

Case(s) (cont.)
ARC breaky case, communication becomes a con test of overts in, IV-120
assessments of a case on lower rungs of processin& using Know to Mystery Scale, III-460
assessment using dynamics graph, I-293
auditor and pc when they are cleared for session, only then begin on case, III-301
auditor’s, 1419
auditor’s ability as auditor related to his case, II-122; VIII-110
auditors don’t have cases, X-8-9
audit the case one is auditing, III-312
average case, processes for, [1960], IV-168
bad off and good condition case require special handling, III-159, 160, 179, 180
bad off case does not register on E-Meter, why, VI-405
basic area, most vital area in case, I-17
basic difference amongst cases lies in ability to knowingly cause, III-160, 180
betterment, without TA motion, no charge is being released and no actual case betterment is observed, V-329, 335
big withhold case, IV-178
black case, formula to handle, III-405; IV-9; see also black
black five, II-178, 229; see also black
bogged case, VIII-11
bogged-down case due to Auditor’s Code breaks, current environment or painful emotion, I-18
bogs, how to repair, X-220
can be repaired, X-137
Case IV or V, I-360
Case V, defn, no mock-ups, only blackness, I-392
keynote of processing, I-341
solution of a, I-363
what is wrong with him, I-363
central aspect of case is desire to experience, I-184
change, accurate test of case change, I-351
charge is removed from case only by comm cycle pc to auditor, V-335, 414
children are usually very burdened cases, VIII-388
children with rough cases, III-554
circuit case, characteristic of, II-19
Co-Audit, how it stalled cases, IV-185
complete cases, VII-131, 276
completed, X-63
condition of case day to day, what it depends on, V-290
confront case, III-405
continuous overt case commits antisocial acts daily during auditing, VI-23
“corps case”, solution to, VIII-119
deadliest faults on cases are running same action twice; this drives TAs up through roof, VII-276
delusory or dub-in cases also sometimes trace to chemical “releases”, VI-258
destimulation of case can produce some astonishing changes in beingness [1963], V-373
Dianetic "oddity" case, V1410
different cases, there are no, VI-386
difficult case, VI-395
difficulties,
case does not run well means (a) resistive, (b) errors have been made in auditing, VII-407
case isn’t responding normally, C/S must suspect off-line action, VII-191
case not advancing has problems, VII-58
case not handled, VII-46, 360
case running badly, don’t go on hoping, get data, VII-358
cases who flinch at remembering anything at all, handling of, VII-65
case trouble, “might be anything” use GF, VII-388
C/Sing towards significance produces non-advancing cases, VII-77
does not advance, six reasons why, VI-91; see also case, resistive
drug case having trouble with M1 Word Clearing, handling of, VIII-163
Exam non-F/N cases, errors to look for, VII-217
points that bog a case, VII-260
twelve things that can foul up a case, VII-218
what’s really wrong lies in field of mass, energy, space, time, form and location, VII-84
Director of Processing’s case checking hat, IV-228
discussing cases, don’t impart personal secrets of pc, II-162
disturbance and Whys, X-220
does not know what is wrong with it or it would as-is and wouldn’t be wrong, VII-345
dog case; see preclear, dog
do not improve with havingness neglected, II-396
don’t “solve” by altering data, VI-387
drug case having trouble with Method 1 Word Clearing, handling of, VIII-163
drug cases, characteristics of, VI-377; see also drugs
dubbing in a high tone, II-518
dub-in case, III-405; see also dub-in
has a wholly one-sided flow and is trying to run the other side, VI-279
imaginary—recall, I-79
or delusive case, I-79, 436
or delusory cases also sometimes trace to chemical “releases”, VI-258
should be running ARC Processes as case is over-charged for engrams, V-293
dynamics and cases, relationship of, IV-166
Dynamic Straight Wire, cleverly done, takes a case apart, starts almost any case, III-453
ergy, starvation for, is keynote of any case which maintains facsimiles in restimulation, I-38
entrance to case is not on level of technique, but is on level of comm cycle, VII-239
errors, study folder back to where pc ran well and then come forward and you’ll find error every time, VII-278, 358; X-4
Ethics, case undergoing Ethics actions should not be audited until Ethics matter is cleared up and complete, VII-31, 96
Ethics type case, effect of fractured comm cycle on, V149
experience case, III-405
failed case, defn, case in which thought can always be overpowered by most, III-118
failed case can’t confront overt, IV-5
“failed cases” are medically ill or injured cases, VI-313
“failed cases” or “dog cases”, causes of, VII-376; see also preclear, dog
“failed cases”; prepared lists clear up, VIII-426
“failed” cases, there are no failed cases; there are only failed C/Ses and auditors, VIII-426
failure in, chief cause is unhandled or only partially handled drugs, VIII-300
failure, only alter-is of routine auditing can cause, VII-27
fast case considered a bad case when it is just a fast case, VII-406
fast, slow and no-gain case, VI-103
figure-figure case,
defn, somebody who will not ever admit having done something or anything to any body, III-519
figure-figure-figure, source of, II-47
figure-figure mechanism about a situation, III-404
not-ising by figure-figure, result of handling, III-405
pc who figures his answers, III-428
what he is doing, II-349
finding the engram necessary to resolve case, III-352
fixated attention case, VIII-262
folder; see folder
foreign language cases, GF on, VII-185
former therapy case is apt to be the roughest, VII-449
fundamental entrance to, IX-64
gain and no case gain,
ability gain, defn, pc’s recognition that pc can now do things he could not do before, III-428
any level is capable of giving a stable case gain, VI-310
auditing above pc’s level gives no gain, VII-85
auditing gives gains by deletion, VI-416
auditing over a withhold and PTP = no case gain, VII-123
auditor unhappy about preclear gain, III-454
cases progress in exact ratio to amount of charge gotten off, VI-242
continuing overts hidden from VI-ew are cause of no case gain, VI-91, 102
criminals get no case gain, VI-102
discharged process no longer gives TA and gives case gain, VII-77
drugs fog up student and prevent any case gain, VII-319, 327, 425; VIII-137, 311; IX-325; X-156
fast, slow and no-gain case, VI-103
gain depends on taking responsibility, III-555
handling of auditor or student who has trouble with an ARC breaky pc or no gain, V-58
holding, X-218
intelligence gain, defn., loss of restimulation of stupidity by reason of attempts to confront or experience problems of life; intelligence appears when stupidity is keyed out or erased; intelligence is a confronting ability, III-428
lack of, how to handle, VII-33
lack of TA action means no gain for pc, V-325
lack of training means more trouble in making his gains stably, VII-60
morale, case gain and, IX-275
negative gain, X-31
defn., things disappear that have been annoying or unwanted, III-428
no case gain can be created by lack of comm cycle in an auditor, lack of an action cycle in processes or messing up a program cycle, VII-262; X-138
no-case-gain, slow-case-gain, sickie and “failed cases”. handled by basic lists, VIII-426
no case gain then it’s GF 40X, VII-388
no gains occur in presence of PTPs or overts, V-468, 470
Objective Havingness established and used often is necessary for stable gains, IV-167
others can get gains when oneself is processed, IV-45
PC audited a bit below or at his level of awareness gets case gain, VI-33
PC becoming more himself, X-162
PC gains measured in terms of charge discharged, V-325
PC not getting gains, causes of, VI-91, 315, 324, 429, 435
PC’s gain is directly proportional to TA action, V-367
PCs hiding general illness may show up as no case gain, VII-191

pc’s it’s a on and on and on and on with no gain, cause of, VII-252; IX-77
pcs who do not hold their gains are PTS, VII-452; VIII-330, 338
pcs who roller-coaster (regularly lose gains) are PTS, IX-136
pc who complains that auditing has no effect on him or who makes very slow gains, what to run, III-468, 497
pc who makes no case gains is suppressive, VI-75, 76, 103; see also case, resistive
pc who makes no gain is pc who will not as-is, who will not confront, V-36
physical, X-26-27
rapid case gain, X-3
rock slammer is a slow-gain or non-gain case, V-185, 187
rough TRs, rough metering, out code and dis active auditor make no case gain, VII-230
running engrams produces most case gain, VI-278
study gives case gain, X-138
tone arm action, amount of, per session is index of gain, VII-77
unstable gain, cause and handling of, III-285, 292
getting grief off a case, I-16
getting special cases to participate in session, III-159, 178
good case condition is knowing games condition, II-558
Grade 1, Problems, is usual reason for no case advance, VII-101
gradient scale of, 1435
grind case, the audit forever case, is an afraid to find out case, V-37

handling, case has many things to be handled, not one, VII-69

case must be completed on an action before starting a new one, VII-261
part of handling cases is handle N-O-W, VII-4
to handle case one keeps at it, VII-5
has somatics, X-22
haviging run as process stabilizes case, IV-168
heavily charged case, symptoms of, VI-281, 426
Help and Step 6 do not work on low level cases, III-322
Help, running cases with, IV-109

HGC case, tips on how to crack, [1960], IV-154
high case, how you tell, III-159, 179
high TA cases; see tone arm, high
histories, official, II-406
how case behaves as we raise confrontingness on mental image pictures, III-447
how to get information on, VIII-11
how to handle cases that self-invalidate between sessions, III-504
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case(s) (cont.)

hung up case, running Help is necessary, III-239
hyper-critical case, IV-178
incomplete cases, VII-130
interest in case, from auditor and pc, III-405
invisible case, III-405
invisible case, cannot see mock-ups, how to crack, III-400
keep up co-audit pc’s interest in case, III-550
key to all cases is inability to have, IV-150
key to all cases is responsibility, IV-18
level(s),
as an index of auditing ability is discarded, it is an index of how-hard-to-train, V-316
auditor skills by case level [1963], V-314
percentages of case levels, V-331
sanity and case level, relationship to training, V-327
time sense compared to case level, V-330
I-8 [1963], V-289
levels of, I-490
low case, processes for, [1960], IV-169
low graph case, IV-139
low TA cases; see tone arm, low
low-toned case, how to recognize, IV-26
use of Step VII of SOP 8 upon an, I-433
low-toned case, overt manifestations on, IV-26
use Science of Survival and later 1951 tech major

action, don’t use to repair a case, VII-47, 360
major action, set up case before starting, VII-14, 277
major processes are done to improve case, VII-57
make-or-break point of case, III-129
most aberrative thing on case is association with
most of pc’s case will be found connected with
some general terminal, IV-49
must not be run without TA action or with
minimal TA action, V-331, 413
mutual out ruds can stall cases, VIII-259
natural auditor and dangerous auditor, difference
between is not case level but a type of case,
V-32
nervous-dispersed case, there is no real gain in run-
ing significance until hellos and okays are present
time problem, relationship to case;
see present time problem
neurotic, ARC Straight Wire can crack, VI-261
“no auditor” case, IV-325
no case gain; see also case gain and no case gain
no case gain in auditing, case has withholds or
PTPs, IV-207
no case gain or failed case, handling of, VIII-
427
no “case gain”, relationship to fixed attention,
III-428
no case progress, persons with heavy overts on
Scientology make, V-185
non-exteriorized, II-42
non-F/N, X-112
non-gain or slow-gain cases, V-185, 187
non-persistence case, II-22

case(s) (cont.)

no one grade solves the whole case; that’s why
there are grades, VI-252
no responsibility case, IV-98
not advancing under auditing, has a PTP, II-447
not handled, X-6
not-ising by figure-figure, III-405
not-isness on case, indicators of, III-485
not responding normally, suspect off-line action,
VII-191
not to run on victim process, III-519
obcessive change, high-critical cases shouldn’t be
on staff, II-387
occluded case(s), I-150
and sight, I-434
and wide-open case, difference between, I-301
characteristic of, I-435
entrance to, I-433
has to know before he can go, I-434
is doing all possible to stop or absorb motion,
I-435
is too fixed, II-23
Short 8A and, I-410
two types, I-410, 445
use of Step VII of SOP 8 upon an, I-433
use Science of Survival and later 1951 tech major
iques, I-303
why occluded, I-360
will run efforts and counter-efforts, I-303
off-line actions, VII-191
opening, I-419
and running engrams, I-15
OT cases on Dianetics, VI-395
out of valence case, VI-426
out-points, case is collection of, VII-69
pc interested in own case, IV-66, 450
pc’s case is composite of PTPs, II-295
people talking about their cases, VII-192; X-95
percentage of cure, II-51
points of case address; see also Scn 0-8
poor case, processes for, [1960], IV-168
post flubs, do not buy case reasons as Whys, IX
117
present time problem, relationship to case; see
present time problem
programming cases [1963], V-331
programming of cases; see also programming
progress marked by rock slams, V-212
PTP, person in PTP is often current clue to case,
IV-61
reality of case is proportional to amount of charge
removed, VII-450
relativeenthetaon; seeSOS
Release is a person whose case “won’t get any
worse”, III-444
remedies, III-468, 497; VI-282
remedies, The Book of Case Remedies, V-495
repair, V-67; see also repair
don’t use major action to repair, VII-47, 360
how to C/S, VII-62
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repair (cont.)
many cases have to begin processing with a repair, VII-65
worse the condition, lighter the remedy required, VII-53

resistive cases, II-19; VII-101
an VIII development now on GF, X-51
case does not run well means (a) resistive, (b) errors have been made in auditing, VII-407
drugs or alcohol in most instances make a resistive case, VII-320, 327, 328
former therapy, VII-449
handling, VII-406
rundown [GF 40] is an VIII development to handle those who cannot make the grades, VII-101
seven types of resistive cases, VI-310; VII-449
found in GF 40X, VII-388
Green Form, No. 40 GF is “7 resistive cases”, VI-410
person who has been on drugs is one of the “seven types of resistive cases”, VII-319, 327
tagged by C/S, X-189
won’t make case gains until drugs are handled, X-156
Resistive V, I-487; II-19
Short 8A is a rote process for the resolution of the Resistive V, I-410
results, what a result is, III-428
“roller-coaster” case, VI-109
roller-coaster is a slump after a case gain, VIII-330, 338
rudiments, don’t run a case by, IV-274, 363
running well, never repair, VII-48, 362
runs on cycles of actions: auditing comm cycle, process cycle, program cycle, VII-261
run the case, I-20
run well when moderately well programmed, C/Sed and audited, VII-219
R3R, which cases can run, V-331
scale of deterioration of case, III-390
Selected Persons Straightwire on Overts will bring up responsibility of case to a point where he can be trusted to run engrams, III-453
setting up cases, VII-14, 47, 51, 277
set-up for auditing, VI-283
somatics, case has, equals Dianetic level unflat, VII-70
special cases, VI-430
stalled; see also Notes on the Lectures
standard tech alone resolves all cases, VI-242
starting case [1959], III-402
starting cases, I-15; IV-175
entrance point of case determined by ability to remedy objective havingness, IV-155
Formula is a method of getting a case started, IV-179
how to start an old case, IV-108

starting cases (cont.)
reVl-sed case entrance [1960], IV-167
state of case and ability to follow a command line are co-ordinated, VI-126
state of case, how to establish, II-518
State of Case Scale; see also Scn ~8
State of Case Scale, Levels 1 to 8, V-289
straight memory case scouting, I-24
student, case of, III-309
study, find the right Why, X-112, 113, II-4, 147
supervision errors, gross, X-47
symptoms of case with overts and withholds, IV 4, 5
TA action, relationship to case progress, IV-144, 207, 225
tagging cases, VII-406
tech errors on a, X-59
tests for types of, I-82
tests give idea of how charged up case may be, VI-281
can’t get into or run past lives, VI-424
couldn’t remember, handling of, II-220
don’t run well, X-II-4
“thee weetie case” (sweetness and light), IV-325
there are no cases in the Academy, III-309
tone arm, rather than needle, is foremost in ana-lyzing case, IV-18
trouble and W/C errors, X-247
trouble and Word Clearing, VIII-304
two biggest auditor crimes are rough and choppy auditing and overestimating level of case, III-397
types of, I-79; see also individual type by name;
NOTL: AP&A
UK case, control is more easily inverted on, IV-202
unburdening, VIII-389
unburdening case brings up confront, VII-110
undercutting cases, III-404
unmoVI-ng case, IV-4, 178
unusual cases, do the usual, VI-73
upset: wrong list item or wrong list, VIII-97
wants no processing, handling of, IV-178
ways to bog a case, X-136-37
way to solve a case, X-145
what gets the case moVI-ng, II-322
what makes cases advance, IV-68
which do/do not have sonic recall, I-79
which maintains facsimiles in restimulation, key note of, II-38
which runs shallow and F/Ns easily, VI-424
who answers with generalities, LRH session, II-256
who do not resolve easily, address in them prob lem of havingness, II-52
wide-open case, I-150, 436; III-447; see also SOS defn., case that has pictures and everything and is impatient to get on with it but does
wide-open case (cont.)
not markedly alter the bank with thinking alone is not a high case but an old "wide open case" of Dianetic days, III-159, 179 actually a psychotic who duplicates continuously and psychotically, II-19 and occluded, difference between, I-301 can observe but thinks poorly or shallowly, I-433 needs first-book procedure until he gets out of incident he is stuck in, I-305 processing in the first book designed for, I-301 run Responsibility, I-305 withholds, as case progresses it becomes conscious of more, IV-204 withholds, case with, will not clear, IX-270 worsened, do not abandon, II-480 worsening is caused only by a PTS situation, VI-114 worsen when audited over an ARC break, V-470 worse off the case, lighter you handle it, VI-281 "You're working too hard" case shouldn't be on staff, II-387 7 resistive cases; see case, resistive Case Assessment, IV-214; see also Preclear Assessment Sheet case folder; see folder Case Progress Sheet, IX-17 defn., sheet which details levels of processing and training pc has achieved; lists incidental run-downs and set-up actions pc has had, IX-9, 16 case supervising, case supervision, C/S, case supervise; see also Case Supervisor accepting a C/S, VII-44, 356 action of C/S is reduction of forces, VII-77 actions, VII-102 adept Scientology ReVI-ews against Dianetic auditing, VI-410 against standardness of application, not against results, VI-424 and auditor admin, X-82 and Exam Reports, X-96 and overload, reduction of refunds, X-252-53 and publics, X-194 and tech courses, X-233 anyone that flubs that affects the C/S gets a cramming chit, VII-377 auditor; see also auditor, Case SuperVI-sor and auditor-C/Ses, X-96-98 auditor C/Sing in chair, handling of, VIII-411 auditor falsifying report, how to handle, VIII-292, 386 auditor flunks a C/S instruction and can't get it going, remedy for, VI-282 auditor may not C/S in auditing chair while auditing pc, VII-356 auditor opinion is not a study of case, VII-345 auditors, even best go bad when they no longer have a tight C/S rein, VIII-165 auditors handling psychos, C/S takes it easy on, VIII-264 auditors, standard handling of, VIII-164 auditorwritingup, X-201 auditor who doesn't grasp a C/S gets help from Cramming, VII-183 auditor, I flub, I retrain in Cramming on that point, VII-153 backwards C/Sing, VII-77 towards significance, X-29-30 basic facts of case supervision, VII-56 basic rationale behind C/Sing, VI-425 basic tech, use of, VII-58 broad shooting C/Ses, VII-406 cases, how C/S gets information on, VIII-11 cause and effect in C/Sing, VII-58 Chart of Human Evaluation, use of in C/Sing, VII-85 checklist, VIII-11 ; X-203 chronic somatic, VII-139 Class VI (SHSBC) tapes and bulletins are all valid and vitalto C/Sing, VII-103 Class VIII C/S-6 list, VIII-276 co-audit, C/S must check routinely for mutual out ruds in, VIII-259 cramming cycles, X-199 Cramming Officers, X-233 C/S can err by being too critical of auditors or worse, by agreeing about what dogs the pcs are, VIII-147 C/Sing auditor-C/Ses, VII-205 C/S instruction must be written, VII-94 C/S mentally tags the easy cases and tough cases, VII-406 C/S proVI-ng unworkable during session, auditor has right to end off, VII-44, 356 C/S should know exactly what is wrong with a case, VII-405 C/S who assesses pc to higher levels to solve lower ones is really asking for a wreck, VII-275 C/S 53, use of, X-230 data, X-44 declare, it is C/S's responsibility that a pc or pre OT is sent to declare, VII-285 Dianetic C/S, four possible actions to take, VI-409 Dianetic C/S programs the case from Assessment Form, using drugs or medicine first and rest by largest reads first, VII-340; see also Dianetics Dianetic C/S 1, VI-368, 409; VII-225, 458; X-117; see also Dn Today Dianetics, VI-336, 366, 409, 411, 428; VII-186 Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing, VII-190; VIII-285 don't let others decide what's to be run, VII-83 don't look for the process to handle, use a gra dient scale, VII-89 don't wander off known tech points, VII-279 easy, X-234 errors, X-98, 223
case supervising (cont.)

errors, gross case supervision errors, VI-254; VII-97
“Examiner! Ask pc what auditor did in session”, VII-274
expertise, X-144
failure, X-39
failure, primary cause of, X-24445
false auditing reports, C/S’s response to, VI-50, 450
fast flow basis, C/Sing on, VII-205
FES, failing to call for, when C/S doesn’t know after a failed rundown, VIII-413
firm rule for, X-45
first lesson, X-14
flabby auditors, handling of, X-233
F/N, obtain before starting next C/S action, VII-260
folder, biggest error for C/S is not to read through the pc folder, VI-254
folder handling, VI-49, 254, 268; X-4546
folder handling, C/S only with all folders to hand, VII-95
folders, how C/S can tell if he has all, IX-14
form, VII-180
for new auditors or veterans, X-191
for non-veterans, X-191
genius, X-10, 19
glossary of terms, X-48
grading of sessions, VII-127, 180; X-59, 81
gross case supervision errors, VI-254; VII-97
handling auditors, X-61
handling auditors, 3 rules, X-186
handling of auditors, standard, VIII-164
hard work, X-77
High Crime for a C/S not to write in a pc’s folder
what the case super-VI-sed instructions are, VI-245
hopeful C/Sing, VII-358
how C/S gets data on case, VII-388, 405
how it goes non-standard, VI-449
insane, ways for a C/S to detect, VII-155
instructions are always written, VII-94
in the chair, VII-356; X-2
Int RD, C/Sing, VII-388, 460; X-77
invalidation, X-60, 147
invalidative remarks should not be made by C/S, VII-128
Ivory Tower, X-170; see also Dianetics Today
key points C/S looks for on Integrity Processing, IX-289
key points on case supervision, VII-94
listing, points a C/S must be alert to regarding, VII-392
lists prepared by C/S, assessed by auditor, VII-405
long, X-87
long C/S Ses, advantages of, VII-186, 187
long programs save time, VII-87
maxim “when in doubt order a 2-way comm”, VII-41

mental masses, forces, energy are what C/S handles, VII-77
must be sure all Why finding and Word Clearing papers and worksheets get into pc’s folders, VIII-96
must put a yellow tab marked PTS on PTS pc folder, VIII-92
must watch ethnics (customs) oddities and changing fashions, VI-253
newly trained auditors, VII-152, 410, 411
next, X-81
only variable a C/S has is how charged up is a case, VI-281
opinions, C/S does not take opinions as a source of data on pc, VII-345
pc gets into Ethics trouble, C/S should have folder reviewed, VI-251
pc illness must be reported to C/S before new session, VII-191
pc in psychotic break, handling, VIII-353
pc not responding normally, suspect off-line actions, VII-191
pc remarks, use of in C/Sing, VII-83, 406
pc running well, let roll; pc not running well, repair, VII-278
pc’s demands for next grade despite all contrary indicators, C/S agreeing with, handling of, VIII-412
points on, VI-248
pre-OT ha-VI-ng a Solo and auditing folder, C/S must look at both before C/Sing, VII-95
pre-OTs don’t, X-214
prepared list is C/S’s main tool for discovery and correction, VIII-234
prepared lists, C/Sing from, VII-280, 281, 405, 410, 465
PTs Rundown, X-216
purpose, X-31
Q and A, X-27, 32-34, 243
Q and A, results from, X-243
Q and A, to abruptly C/S everything the pc has just said is a Q and A; but worse, it can lead to evaluation, VII-406
Quad Dianetics, X-91
Quad Dianetics, how to C/S a case for, VII-188; VIII-374, 376
quality, X-99
Registrars’ Advice Form informs C/S what pc wanted and expected, VII-7
repair and handling of bogged cases is finest skill of, VIII-11
repair, ingenuity is required of C/S only in area of repair, VII-64
repairing pc instead of auditor, handling of, VIII-412
RepairPgm session, procedure for repairing, VII-92
request for Review, VI-74
responsibility and checking interest on drug items, evil purposes or intentions, X-229
case supervising (cont.)
Review C/S looks over the sessions, X-83; see also review
rights of auditor with relation to C/S, VII-48, 363
rules, VII-276, 278, 284; X-145-46, 147-48, 152
rules—programming from prepared lists, X-149-50
rules—the sequence of programs, X-151
rundown, one C/Ses rundown as itself, not as
both of several actions run into it, VII-289
sessions, personally C/Sed by LRH; see Dn Today
short, X-87, 88
stable datum, X-II-4
stable dated C/S means it is too old to be valid,
VII-136
standard handling of auditors, VIII-164; X-233
standing order to auditors, X-213
supreme test of a, X-154-55
TA, amount per session is C/S’s index of gain,
VII-77
thorough C/Ses, VII-187; X-88
three golden rules, X-186
tips, X-142-44
to get results on pcs must handle auditor’s ability
to get reads on lists, VIII-233
tools of, X-183
towards significance produces non-advancing
cases, VII-77
Triple Dianetics, how to C/S case for, VIII-284;
X-89
troubles C/S is looking for, VII-205
trying to fix “no EP” on one rundown by trying
to run another rundown, handling of, VIII-413
twenty-four hour rule, X-194
two variables: auditor fault, or pc in overwhelm,
VII-63
two-way comm, VII-40, 46, 104, 360, 405;
X-54-55
unworkable C/S, VII-44, 356
use Summary Sheet to get auditor’s attitude, VI-
268
variables, X-144
Via, X-143-44
vital action, X-153
wander on repairing a repair, X-42
watch for Ethics record of pcs who have been
C/Sed, VII-96
win, C/Sing a win is Q and A, VII-83
with all folders to hand, X-45
worksheet must communicate to C/S what actions
were taken during session, IX-42
worksheet, never try to C/S an illegible worksheet,
VII-96
worksheets, C/S not reading, handling of, VI-II-413
written instructions, X-44
Case Supervisor(s)(~s); see also case supervising
as a training officer, X-176
attitude of C/S, VII-365; IX-5
auditing materials, HCO Bs and texts, C/S has to
know, much better than auditor, VII-275
Case Supervisor(s)(~s) (cont.)
auditor(s) and C/S, VII-129, 152, 153, 398
auditors, flubby, are ones who consume C/S
time, VIII-164, 165
auditors like a business-like accurate C/S, VII
399
auditors may only take adVl-ce on cases from
C/S, VI-49
C/S correcting auditor must refer to HCO B or
tape, VII-279
C/S correcting auditor should do it positively
and refer to HCO B; negative criticism un
dermines auditors, VI-409
C/S giving a daily auditors’ conference, VII
153
C/S is really not just Case Supervisor, he is also
auditors’ handler, VII-129
C/S is responsible for auditors’ ability to audit,
VII-1 52
C/S must insist on good legitimate handwriting
of auditors, VII-433
C/S must interne his auditors for each internes
ship missed on way up, VII-331
how to make auditors flubless, VII-375
secret of how LRH as a C/S makes star audi-
tors, VII-284
test of C/S in auditor’s eyes, VII-398
books a C/S must know well, VII-103
case gain, how to handle C/Ses who have PTP of
to get results on pcs must handle auditor’s ability
how to get case gain for their pcs, VII-326;
X-161
Cramming, C/S has to straighten out, VI-II-164,
233
Cramming C/S I/T, IX-113
cramming cycles and the C/S, IX-108
cramming, if there’s no Cramming, C/S can fully
afford to do cramming himself, VII-161, 461;
VIII-281
Cramming Officer to report the real Why to C/S,
IX-108
cramming Supervisor and C/S, IX-90
D of P, C/S not using, to get data after failed ses-
sion, handling of, VIII-412
D of P does not have to be a C/S or to know C/S
ing, VII-462
establishment and purpose of, V-395
expertise, VII-275
folder-C/S line, VII-181
handles post fast flow, X-96
handling cases on the VI-a of an auditor, VII-274
HCO Bs and tapes are stable data that form agree-
ment between auditor and C/S, VII-279
HGC, two chief seniors, C/S (for tech) and Direc-
tor of Processing (for auditors and bodies),
VII-183
is a training of ficer of auditors and of other Tech
Qual personnel as well, VII-375
is friend of pc, VII-344
is there to make certain that pc makes gains and
attains actual abilities of level, VII-79
Case Supervisor(s)'s (cont.)
limited by what his auditors can do, VI-282
lines of C/S and Senior C/S, VII-182
lives in an Ivory Tower, VI-145
makes sure tech courses are taught well, VIII-164
misunderstands from worksheets, VII-433; IX-44
most successful when he superVI-ses in seclusion,
VII-344
must be confident he could crack case as auditor,
VII-275
must insist on good legible handwriting of auditors,
IX-44
mustn't tolerate missing materials, VII-378
opinions, C/S has no political or personnel opinions,
VII-344
org C/S, duty of, VII-205
overloaded, results of and how to detect, VIII-318, 319
postings, VIII-318
postings, irreducible minimum, X-252
primary cause of C/S failure, VIII-234
purpose, VII-76, 79
Q and A, VII-75, 82, 92; VIII-222, 223
quality is raised by C/S study of cases and Qual Sec cramming the C/S, VII-209
Qual Sec, Cramming Officer and Intern Super-
VI-sor are close technical links with C/S, VII-377
remedy for C/S who is agreeing there are “dog
pcs”, VII-147
responsibility, VII-152, 228, 375, 411; X-121, 125
responsibility for training, X-69
responsibility of, regarding programs, IX-27
retraining is an ineVI-table part of C/S’s job, VII-
1 52
standard tech and C/S, VI-449
state of, VIII-150
supreme test of a C/S, VII-289
technical and Qual terminals and lines, C/S must co-
ordinate, VII-375
tech, C/S who knows his tech is able to hold the
line on any given action in auditing or C/Sing people
and not mix up, VII-289
tech, why C/S C/Ses for exact tech application
and not exclusively for result, VII-284
terms, glossary of C/S terms, VII-98
test of, VIII-427
time, VII-88
tips, VII-273
tools of a C/S, VII-387, 388, 465
training officer, C/S as a, VII-375
troubles, where most of a C/S’s troubles come
from, VII-228, 410
trouble, what it comes from, VIII-292, 386
trying to obtain volume, quality and VI-ability, VII-
375
types of C/Ses, VIII-318: X-252
who begin to goof, how to handle, VI-306
beingness, relation to, I-406

casualty contact, “I will talk to anyone”, illness re-
searches: three methods of dissemination, 11
351
catastrophes from and repair of “no interest” items,
X-236
causability, degree of knowing, III-160, 180
causative, action is, I-209
cause(s), causation, I-375; see also effect
defn., potential source of flow, II-14
defn., emanation, II-437
defn., for purposes of communication, source
point, II-437
able to admit causation, able to withhold from, is
anatomy of responsibility, IV-14, 19
always precedes effect, I-208
blame is arbitrary election of, I-210
capability and ~, wide difference between, I-28
cause and effect; see cause and effect
evaluation on a cause basis, III-166
group goals and national, I-142
havingness, running Havingness restores pc at
cause over matter, IV-53
human mind is ~ and human body is effect, I-209
inability to duplicate is also inability to be cause
and inability to be effect, II-15, 172
individual is representative of cause on all eight
dynamics, I-208
knowledge and causation, II-435
lasciviouswayofbeingcause, III-518
life becomes serious when man becomes less cause
and greater effect, I-212
only those things which others are able to ex-
perience easily, III-43 1
other people’s causation is not abject, IV-19
ovets give highest gain in raising cause level, why,
VIII-370
pc has as much bank as he has denied cause, IV-19
pc has creation tangled up with cause and cause
tangled up with overt-motivator sequence, IV 35
pcs who are insufficiently cause in their daily lives
cannot as-is bank, V-433
people who get things done are at cause; when
they are not, they Q and A, VIII-225
prevailing anxiety is to be effect, not to be cause,
II-438
run the pc always at cause, IV-44
(Secondary Scale level), IV-316
state of high ~ is also keyed-out Clear, V-435
success depends upon being willing to be ~ equal
ly in ratio to being willing to be effect, II-440
terminals, run always causative terminals never
effect terminals, IV-132
thetan cannot withhold, then compulsively causes
things that are bad, IV-19
thetan is at obsessive cause while trying to do
eovets or get motivators, IV-191
cause and effect, I-208, 393, 397, 438; see also cause;
effect: AP&A
cause and effect (cont.)
imbalances between, II-437, 440
necessarily inter-operate as a person experiences
life, I-208
postulates lie at root of, I-211
Processing, I-211
Tone Scale, relation to, I-436
understanding laws of, I-213
Cause ARC Straight Wire, IV-5
run to give pc win on getting audited, IV-48
Cause Elementary Straight Wire turns on recall in pc,
IV-52
cause level of auditors, raising, V-434
cause level of pc, raising, V-434, 436, 438, 439
cause point and effect point, bridge between, on any
subject, III-359
cause points, degree to which person becomes aber-
rated, III-466
Cause/Withhold version of Responsibility, IV-17, 19
CCH(s), III-5, 278, 394, 400; IV-325; V-310; VI-40,
118, 257
defn., stands for Communication, Control and
Havingness, III-33
defn., is really C for Control, D for Duplication, C
use of, III-379
for Communication, ct for Control of Thought when to run CCHs before SOP Goals, IV-255
= Havingness, III-128
alternated with Prechecking [1962], V-51, 127
ARC and CCH, III-92
are “familiarization” processes that permit pc to
confront control and duplication, V-43
are good on auditors, V-34
are processes, not drills, VI-40
gainshistory of CCH, III-130
case history, III-249
commands and how to run, III-312
correct: no antagonism to pc, Tone 40 not shout-
ed, no endurance marathon in progress, V-45
correct version of CCHs, V-127, 310
correct way to run CCHs, IV-347
Course [1957], III-58
curriculum of CCH [1957], III-121
does not work unless each command is in a sepa-
rate unit of time, III-354
done right flatten CCHs done wrong, V-68
flatness, forget the 20 minute test, 3 times equally
done are enough to see a CCH is flat, V-46, 127
gains vanished when the ARC ran out, V-46
goal of CCH, III-5, 129
Joburg Sec Check and CCHs, IV-348
long form, III-267
must be taught exactly as they are used in session,
complete with two-way comm, V-79
Opening Procedure by Duplication different than
CCHs, V-45, 68
originations and CCHs, V-126
handling originations, V-47
pick up a physical origination when it happens,
V-126
take up each new physical change manifested
CCH(s) (cont.)
originations and CCHs (cont.)
as though it were an origin by pc and query
it, V-46, 47, 49
preclear kept in two-way comm, V-46
produced tone arm action while higher level pro-
cesses did not, V-43
producing change do not go on but flatten that
CCH, V-127
psychos, run on CCH 1, 2, 3, 4, III-502
purpose of the CCHs, V-47, 50
repair of CCHs, V-67, 168
Routine 1 and CCHs, IV-334
running CCHs, III-183, 482; V-44, 46, 127
run wrong can drive pc out of PT, V-50
Tactile Havingness is a CCH type of process, V-43
thinking at command is a sort of CCH on think
ingness, IV-121
to handle accident proneness, VII-58; X-12
Tone 40 auditing, III-480
training and CCH processes, [1957] III-61, [1959]
394
Upper Indoc attitude makes CCHs grim, V-47
use of, III-379
when to run CCHs before SOP Goals, IV-255
when to use CCHs, V-43, 44
when verbal commands fail, CCH 3 and CCH 4
can be used, IV-155
wrong idea that they are for nuts, IV-334
CCH 0, III-157, 205, 294, 314
defn., a collection of mechanical aids to assist pc’s
participation in session and to assist the audi-
tor in ARC, III-158, 178
is firstly establishing the rudiments of session,
discussing the goals of pc for intensive, han-
dling PTP and clearing auditor for pc, ITI-238
purpose of, III-239
rudiments, goals and present time problem, III-65
starting session, III-296
CCH Ob—Help in full—starting session, III-219
rules governing the running of, III-220
Step 6, Mock-ups and Help, CCH Ob, two pro-
cesses that clear a pc, III-243
CCH 1,
commands and how to run, VI-118-20
“Don’t give me that hand” version, III-483
“Give me that hand”, commands and how to run,
V-310
“Give me that hand”, Tone 40, III-240, 313,
480
“Give me your hand”, Tone 40, III-65
Reality Scale and CCH 1, III-240
running of CCH 1, III-183
session, III-53
use only right hand, V-127
what it does, III-240
CCH 1 and 2 used for bad-off child, III-526
CCH 2, Tone 40 8-C, III-66, 313, 481
commands and goal of, III-247
commands and how to run, V-311; VI-119
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CCH 3, Hand Space Mimicry, III-66, 314, 481
Book Mimicry and ~ are not Tone 40, III-400
CCH3 was Book Mimicry in 1958; see CCH4, Book Mimicry
commands and how to run, V-312; VI-119
Hand Contact Mimicry, III-5, 136, 140
Hand Space Mimicry was called CCH 4 in 1958, III-248
how to run, III-248, 249, 401
CCH 3(c), S-C-S on a person, III-317
CCH 3 & 4, only valid if they heighten ARC, III-174
CCH 4, Book Mimicry, III-66, 314, 482
Book Mimicry was called CCH 3 in 1958, III-248
commands and how to run, V-312; VI-120
CCH 4 was Hand Space Mimicry in 1958; see
CCH 3, Hand Space Mimicry
Hand Space Mimicry and ~ are not Tone 40, III-400
IQ changes produced by CCH 4, III-247
motions are the commands, III-401
product, purpose and procedure of Book Mimicry, III-247-48, 400
CCH 5, Location by Contact, III-67
commands and how to run, VII-408
CCH 5, Tone 40 Locational Processing, purpose, procedure and commands of, III-254
CCH 6, Body-Room Contact, III-67
commands and how to run, VII-408
CCH6, Opening Procedure by Duplication [1957], purpose, procedure and commands of, III-254
CCH 7, Contact by Duplication, III-68
commands and how to run, VII-409
CCH 7 [1958]: Tone 40 8-C—“Keep it from going away”, III-255
CCH 8 [1958]: Tone 40 8-C—“Hold it still”, III-255
CCH 8, Trio, III-68; see also Trio
CCH 9, Tone 40 “Keep it from going away”, III-69
is a withhold process, III-230
CCH 9 [1958]: Tone 40 8-C—“Make it a little more solid”, III-255
CCH 9, 10 & 11, why they are run, III-233
CCH 10, Tone 40 “Hold it still”, III-69
CCH 11, Tone 40 “Make it a little more solid”, III-69
CCH 12, Limited Subjective Havingness, III-70
commands of, III-256
CCH 13, Subjective Solids, III-70
commands of, III-256
CCH 14, Then and Now Solids; see Then and Now Solids
CCH 15, Rising Scale Processing, III-72; see also Rising Scale Processing
CCH 18, III-99
CCH-50, processing number of ARC Break Straight Wire, III-363
CCH 88, Enforced Nothingness, III-246
CDEI cycle with Lower Scale; see Scn 0-8
CDEI Scale,
Expanded, VI-200
goods listing using Create ~, V-143
on inflow and outflow, V-16
CECS, defn, Committee of Examinations, Certifications and Services, II-115, 164
cells and the organism; see DMSMH
center centerness of all thinking, I-443
center, exact plan of a, III-500; see also franchise center, small amount of money needed to start one, II-377
central organization(s), II-456; see also organization and field auditor relationship, II-458
and field auditor targets, V-432
can succeed as far as it can service, III-515
fees, what they go for, II-460
function, training professional auditors, II-384
necessary for surVi-val of the subject, II-457
purpose of, II-307
certainty, certainties, I-342; see also Certainty Processing
as a gradient scale, I-356, 378
auditor certainty, and results, I-357
pc’s reaction to, I-357
clarity of observation, I-377
formula of, I-349
is awareness, I-359
itself is knowledge; datum is secondary knowledge, I-349, 376
knowledge is certainty, I-356
most certain certainty is perception, least certain evaluation, I-349
of awareness, triangle of, I-378
of impact, I-379
sanity and ~, parallel between, I-376, 377
theory of certainty, I-341
this is Scientology—the science of ~, I-374
triangle of certainty, I-349
Certainty Processing, I-3 50, 367, 393, 397; see also certainty; Scn 8-8008
basic technique, I-394, 395, 398
beingness and, I-406
report on, II-27
SOP 8, Appendix No. 2; see COHA

certificates,
auditor, purpose of, I-400
cancellation of auditor’s certificates, reason for, IV-30, 96
provisional certificates, I-52
requirements for permanent certificates, I-65
restoration of certificates, IV-34
suspension, line for, I-66
why all begin with word “Hubbard”, III-288
Certification Board,
duties and responsibilities, I-65
purpose of, I-66

certification, student, I-372
chain(s),
defn., series of incidents of similar nature or similar subject matter, V-28
defn, series of similar engrams, or of similar locks, V-274
defn, chain of incidents, makes up a whole
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chain(s) (cont.)
defn. (cont.)
  adventure or activity related by same subject,
general location or people, understood to take
place in a long time period, weeks, months,
years or even billions or trillions of years,
V-275
defn, series of recordings of similar experiences,
VI-343
are held together mainly by somatics, VI-352, 394
basic of chain; see basic of chain
can be overrun, how, VIII-385
Dianetic chain, how to rehab, VIII-289, 384
Dianetic chains preVI-ously flubbed, how to han-
dle, VIII-290, 384
Dianetic chains run a second or third time, how to
handle and indicate to pc, VIII-291
Dianetic EP, cognition could simply be “the chain
blew”, VII-272
Dianetics, EP of chain is erasure, accompanied by
F/N, cognition and good indicators, VIII-272
engrams, X-28, 56-57; see also DTOT;
DMSMH; SOS; Dn Today
engrams which go solid when you try to run
them are too late on chain, VI-227
go into restimulation on overrun in life,
VII-18
engram running by chains; see engram running;
tion of surprise, death and forgetfulness, IV-54
erased chain can be overrun; what happens is that
pcs try to cooperate and put something there,
VII-228; VIII-291
erasure; see also erasure
failed to flatten, X-69
floating needle on chain can be called end of that
chain, but not of Dianetics on case, VI-349
flubbed, X-119, 123
Full Flow Dianetic chains that did not F/N when
originally run, how to handle, VII-211
grinding occurs because incident is too late on ~,
VI-360
it takes more than one chain of engrams to build
up an ill area, VI-337, 416
narrative chain; see narrative
of incidents has only one basic which is earliest
engram received from or overt act committed
against subject, location or beings which make it a chain, V-275
ovet chain; see overt
reduction of lock chains, I-110
rehabbing chains, VII-227 ; X-118, 119, 123
R3R and chains; see R3R
somatic chains go quickly to basic and are impor-
tant chains, VI-394
somatics, run one chain at a time, VI-343
two types of chains: story or narrative, and feel-
ings, VII-9
unflat engram ~ and high TA, VII-18, 76, 122,
123; X-28, 56
unknown incident pins chains, V-41
charge (cont.)
defn, stored energy or stored or recreatable potentials of energy, V-290
defn, electrical impulse on case that activates meter, VII-50
advance of case is amount of charge you get off it, VII-187
all after charge is based on prior ARC, V-442
all cases react to same actions, they differ in amount of “charge”, VI-281
ARC break and charge; see ARC break automaticity is rendered discharged by indicating area of charge only, V-282
blowdown is a period of ~ blowing off bank, VI-69
blows off bank to degree that it’s confronted and this is represented by itsa line, VII-243; IX-68
by-passed; see by-passed charge
cases progress in exact ratio to amount of charge gotten off, VI-424
cronic, V-291
E-Meter and charge; see E-Meter erasure, auditing theory of charge erasure, V-291; see also erasure
gains on pc can be measured in terms of charge discharged, V-325
how it reacts on needle and TA, V-290
is held in place by basic on chain, V-290
level still charged, reliable indicators are TA action and cognitions, VII-78
overwhelms; auditor errors add charge; pc then is Overwhelmed, V-401
postulates at time of incident contain ~, V-349
reality is proportional to amount of charge off, VI-227, 281
reality of case is proportional to amount of charge removed, VII-450
removed from case only by comm cycle pc to auditor, V-335, 414
shows only; that an area has something in it; it also shows that pchas possible reality on it, VII-50
slow down responses, V-400
stuck TA is always caused by running pc above pc’s tolerance of charge, V-350
study blows charge, VI-281
symptom of heavily charged case is F/Ning too quickly to be processed well, VI-426
TA slows down when pc goes into more charge than he can itsa easily, V-374, 413
terminal chosen must be real to pc and must show charge on E-Meter, III-550
tests give an idea of how charged up case may be, VI-281
time track and charge; see time track
tone arm motion, without, no charge is being released and no actual case betterment is observed, V-329, 413
where there is charge (motion) E-Meter needle is in motion, and where pc is stuck needle will freeze, II-528
charity, mercy, kindness are the highest and kingliest qualities there are, II-237
chart, Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart; see Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart
Chart of Attitudes, application of, VII-148
Chart of Human Evaluation, VII-85, 86; X-35; see also SOS; SA application of, VII-148
chart of processes, where they are on the ARC Tone Scale, II-131, 138
chart, Scientology Process Chart, II-483
Chart, Standard Procedure, I-21
checklist, defn, list of actions or inspections to ready an activity or machinery or object for use or estimate needful repairs or corrections, VII-140, 286; IX-3 11
mandatory C/Sing, X-200
checkout, VII-447; IX-3 11; see also training
defn, action of verifying a student’s knowledge of an item given on a checksheet, VII-140, 286; IX-311
check for application in checkouts, VI-205
High Crime checkouts, IX-99
must consult student’s understanding, V-480, 488
on materials by auditors, X-179
star-rated, defn., 100% letter perfect in knowing, under standing, demonstrating and being able to repeat back material with no comm lag, VI-157
required before application, VI-156
zero rate, defn, material which is only checked out on basis of general understanding, IX-3 12
checksheet(s), V-227; VII-447
defn, list of materials, often diVI-ded into sections, that give theory and practical steps which, when completed, give one a study completion, VII-140, 286; IX-311
High Crimes concerning checksheets, VII-80
is translated and printed in local language, IX-350
material, defn, policy letters, bulletins, tapes, mimeo issues, any reference book or any books mentioned, VII-198
never delete data from checksheets or assign part of it “background” data, VII-115
sequence, VII-448; IX-356
tape course checksheets, IX-381
use in training, IV-329
chemical assist, I-40
child(‘s), children; see also baby; Child Processing;
Child Dianetics accessibility of, I-45
acknowledgement of, III-110
and help, IV-85
application of Scientology to children, VI-30
as cases, VIII-388
attention is badly scattered, I-45
attention span of, is short, III-553
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Child(s) (cont.)
attitude towards; see also SOS
communicating with, I-325
condition of, III-109
Dianetics, I-120; see also CDN
preventive, I-47
dignity and purpose are native to the, I-324
education of the, I-47; III-30
goals, importance of giving goals to ~, I-47
high IQ and mock-ups, I-328
high IQ, yet low quality work, I-328
how to handle children, III-81, 105
how to live with; see NSOL
increased beingness and potentialities of beingness of, I-320
independence, what undermines it, I-48
in present time, I-325
instilling confidence in children, III-554
interpersonal relations with, I-189
is a thetan in usually rather bad condition, III-34
is dependent on exterior evaluation, III-166
is full of affinity, I-98
is suffering from death shock, III-109
misunderstandings of, I-47
must be permitted to contribute, VIII-80
natural sense of dignity, I-45
never talk over child’s head to his parents, I-45
not capable of sustained concentration, I-49
not permitted to work, III-214
or foreign language persons or semiliterates, use
Word Clearing Method 7, IX-463
originations of a child, III-371; VIII-183
out of present time, I-325
perception inhibitions, I-322
processing of; see Child Processing
psychosomatic difficulties, I-322
reactive bank, language in the, I-44
reality, I-48
requires understanding and assistance in controlling the environment around him, III-110
role in the home, I-325
routine of, III-81
Scientology, I-319
should own his own mest, I-190
special problems, I-49
Streptomycin can cause pregnant mothers to give birth to children who have impaired hearing, VI-II-404
third party action, I-48
three classes of, I-321
unburdening, VII-389
using good 8-C on children, II-82
with rough cases, III-554
childhood illnesses, I-46
Child Processing, III-553; VI-30, 31; see also child age of child, I-44; III-34
aim is not a “normal” child, I-322
assists on children, III-554
auditing a 10-year old child, III-53
Child Processing (cont.)
demands more perfect auditing than adult processing, needs very formal session, III-553
education of parents, I-46
effect of processing on, I-327
for use in public schools, I-328
game for processing, I-215
give the child the dignity of real sessions, III-526
grief and locks, I-45
group processing of, I-319
how it is done, I-327
results of, I-321
much time is used to flatten things on ~, VI-32
never tell the child that any part of any situation is imaginary or a delusion, I-49
parent as auditor, I-44
processes for different types of children, III-526
process given to groups of, I-321
routine child processes, III-554
shifting environment, I-46, 48
short sessioning works very well with a child, III-526, 553
technique for entering a lock, I-49
theory underlying, I-323
three major steps in, I-44
“You do something you think I’ll like” [child process], III-540
Children’s Security Check, ages 6-12, IV-378
chilled pc almost always has a high TA until he gets warm, VII-438
Chinese School, IX-318, 319
chiropractor, I-206
choice, power of, III-81
is senior to responsibility, IV-24
choice, thetan’s power of choice, how it has been overthrown, VII-257
cholesterol, role of in body, VIII-204
choosing pcs, X-225
chopping pc’s communication, VII-245; IX-70
Christ, II-211
goals set for man by, II-152
identification with, II-9
intended for man: wisdom, good health and immortality, II-159
promises of, II-156
Christianity, is based on the VIictim; compulsion of overt act
motivator sequence, III-494
why it won, III-134
chronic,
aches and pains, I-183
arthritic, processing of, I-272
high TA; see tone arm, high
illness, suspected, send pc to medico, I-421
low tone, anxiety, insecurity, can stem from prolonged physical illness, I-420
psychosomatic illness pc has usually counterfeit of
illness suffered by ally, I-19
somatics; see somatics, chronic
churches used mechanism of confession, IV-12
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church, how to handle ministers of other churches, II-158; see also religion
Church of Scientology; see also religion
Creed of; see Scn 0/8
Science is a religious practice in that the Church of Scientology conducts basic services such as sermons at church meetings, christenings, weddings and funerals, VI-195 why it has come into existence, II-72
church, how to handle ministers of other churches, Class VIII (cont.)
II-158; see also religion high TA handling (cont.)
Church of Scientology; see also religion tions to list “What has been overrun” are can
celled, VII-269
how a Class VIII gets in standard tech, VIII-391
invalidation can crash stats, VII-23
purpose of, VI-242, 273
six zones of action in, VI-252
take care not to invalidate junior auditors, VII-23
clay, causes and handling of a pc just doodling in
clay, V-496, 497
clay demos, how to make, V-452, 453; VII-163, 164;
see also clay table
clay, physical handling of, V-455
clay table, VII-447; IX-355; see also Clay Table Processes
any part of mind or any term in Scientology can be demonstrated on a, V-452; VII-163
art is no object in clay table work, VII-164
construction of clay tables, V-451; VII-162
everything is labeled, VII-163
goofs, V-476
IQ increased by HGC use of ~, V-454
label each clay object, V-452, 477, 509
mass parts are done by clay, significance or
tought parts by label, V-452; VII-163
thin-edged ring of clay with a large hole in it is
usually used to signify a pure significance,
V-452; VII-163
training, VI-205
use, V-452, 487
work,
in training and processing, V-451, 453; VII
162, 163
is Level III, V-466
is not for cases who get no TA in general,
V-486
on definitions, V-451
pc must label everything made in ~, V-509
what clay table workhandles, V-466
Clay Table Auditing, importance of getting auditing
questions answered in ~, V-490; see also clay table
Clay Table Auditing, two actiVI-ties of, V-456
Clay Table Auditing, who may use, V-487
Clay Table Clearing, V-456, 457, 475, 483, 484; see
also clay table
auditing cycle vitalin , V-497
auditor is handling chief urges of pc, not trying to
 teach pc, V-457
Clay Table Healing and ~ are different, V-472
direct style auditing, V-502
does not go into physical ills, V-458
errors, V-475, 476, 477, 483, 493, 496
is Level IV, V-487
is used to achieve pc’s rehabilitation and raised IQ
in various fields, V-456
pc should have TA action on lower levels first,
V-486
process of clearing words and symbols, V-474
Clay Table Clearing (cont.)
representing the word, V-496
steps of Clay Table Clearing, V-458
was called Clay Table IQ Processing, V-454, 456
Clay Table Healing, V-453, 472; see also clay table
abridged style auditing, V-501
Clay Table Clearing and ~ are different, V-472
don'ts, V-473
goof, VI-29
is Level III, V-487
steps, V-472
used to get rid of physical discomfort of psychosomatic origin, V-457, 474
Clay Table IQ Processing, V-454, 456; see also Clay
Table Clearing
clean hands make a happy life, IV-387
cleaning cleans; see E-Meter, cleaning cleans

Clay doesn't react on E-Meter because he is able to be conscious, IV-331
clean needle, defn, responsive to instant reads only, V-84
defn, one which flows, producing no pattern or erratic motions of smallest kind with auditor sitting looking at it and doing nothing; not just something that doesn’t react to particular question; a lovely slow flow, usually a rise, most beautifully expressed on a Mark V at 64 sensitivity, V-224
is vital in order to null a list, V-224
medium clean needle, defn, offers many prior and latent reads, but reads instantly when a question is asked, V-84
Clear(s), I-25; VI-61, 86, 141; see also clear; DMSMH

defn, somebody who does not have any engrams in present time with him; by actual practice a Clear would have a stable thetan exterior since the body itself is composed of energy masses which unfortunately contain engrams, II-228
defn, in an absolute sense would be someone who could confront anything and everything in past, present and future, III-II-4
defn, a thetan who can knowingly be at cause over life, matter, energy, space and time, subjective and objective [1957], III-172
defn, a person at willing and knowing cause over his own life, his body and his surroundings and without a reactive or subconscious mind [1958], III-217
defn., somebody with no “held down fives” in this lifetime (see E-olution of a Science), V-353
able to confront the physical universe, other bodies, his own body, other minds, his own mind and other beings—without trimmings, III-101
are the lucky, III-153
attainment of “Clears” [1958], III-217
auditors will always be senior to Clears, III-237
basic personality capable of all attributes of Clear, III-284
Clear(s) (cont.)
being Clear gives one the potential of being and makes the being rather easy, and fun; makes it possible to continue to be something, III-236
bodhi is evidently your “Clear”, III-217
Book One Clear, a relative not an absolute thing, I-357; see also Clear, mest
checks and re-Clear checks, VI-203, 204
clear bracelets [1958], III-341
confidence regained makes Clears, not quantity of stuff run, IV-44, 65, 66
Dianetic, VII-98; X-48
length of time to achieve, I-82
doesn’t react on E-Meter because he is able to be conscious, IV-331
don’t try to make an OT before you make a Clear—V-260
dynamic clears, IV-416
false clear read, IV-26
First Stage Release, VI-87
first Clears made easily by others were done with meter assessments and five-way Help brackets on terminals, IV-92
“firstgoalclear”, stateof, V-112, 316
Grade VII—Clear, VI-95, 142
has no VI-cious reactive mind and operates at total mental capacity, VI-19
is best described in DMSMH, IV-80
keyed-out Clear, VI-19, 20, 51
know-how in auditing to Clear, III-286
mest clear,
defn, a Book One Clear; clear in terms of facsimiles, III-155, 175
defn, can see facsimiles with sonic present lifetime, has no psychoses or neuroses, upper part of OCA/APA graph, above 135 IQ [1957], III-156, 176
defn., freedom from keyed-in engrams, III-375
defn., thinks of himself as a body and is subject to one; all engrams are effectually keyed out without being examined; has excellent recalls, III-375
defn, preclear is mest clear when no terminal selected is, when run by Communication Process, productive of variation of tone arm from male or female clear reading, III-504
defn, IV-137
clearing processes for, III-377
difference between mest clear and theta clear, III-376, 445
from mest clear to theta clear requires an address to sixth dynamic with Help Processes, IV-174
Help is flat on mest clear, IV-116
is a way station on the road to theta clear or OT, III-376
method of making, I-485, 491
Procedure [1958], III-205
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Clear(s) (cont.)
- process package which makes mest clears, theta clears and OTs [1960], IV-133
- what makes the state unstable, III-446
- needs training, III-237
- no responsibility case, a mockery of Clear, how to check this out, IV-98
- one’s first duty is to be Clear, III-153
- one-shot; see Dianetics ’55!
- “one-shot clear” is impossible, VII-69
- Operating Thetan vs. Clear, VI-51, 56, 86
- postulates of Clear read as a surge, VI-220
- Power will not need repair after pc has gone Clear, VII-143
- procedure for certifying Clears [1958], III-289
- Project Clear processes, how to run, III-144
- Release—Clear-OT, VI-86, 141
- road to Clear, VI-19
- R6 bank, VI-62
- state of high cause is also keyed-out Clear, V-435
- steps to make a Clear [1963], V-354
- test [1963], V-259, 353
- clear(ing), III-375; IV-133, 174
  - defn., person is clear of his body, engrams, facsimiles, but can handle and safely control a body, II-10
  - defn., a Clear obtained by Clear Procedure [1957], III-155, 175
  - defn., can exist knowingly independent of bodies [1957] , III-155, 156, 175, 176
  - defn., has no obsessive engrams; can put back at will his reactive bank or any engram in it and blow it off again at a glance, III-376
  - defn., person at cause over his own reactive bank and can create and uncreate it at will; person who is willing to experience, III-447
  - defn., preclear is theta clear when he can handle engrams without producing a change from clear reading [1959] , III-504
  - defn., a Case Level 2 that is exterior, V-3 17
  - and aberration, what LRH is trying to do, I-315
- attained by handling sixth dynamic to attain a straight seventh, IV-166
- attention units, as case progresses toward Clear, I-26
- auditing formulae to make a theta clear, I-278
- auditor needs good command of DMSMH to understand ~, I-315
- Clears made in 1947 that were stable were in reality theta clears, not mest clears, III-445
- made by gradually raising their confronting-ness of mental image pictures, III-445
- mest clear and ~, difference between, III-376
- Operating Thetan and theta clear, road to, V-213
- procedures for, I-289; see also exteriorization processes on gradient scale from unconscious pc to theta clear [1959] , III-436

Clear(s) (cont.)
- theta clear(ing) (cont.)
  - produced by SOP 8-C, II-12
  - route theta clear, list of processes, III-439
  - schedule [1959] , III-468
  - singular attribute of, I-296
  - Standard Operating Procedure for, I-289
  - three grades of Clear [1959] , III-375
  - Training 0—Confronting, first step on the road to Clear, III-101
  - valence, if pc were in no valence, but was himself completely, he would be wholly Clear, IV-102
  - various roads to it described, V-275
  - what are Clears, III-375
  - why Clear would go unclear, IV-443
  - you cannot stay Clear unless you solve things by the greatest good for the greatest number of dynamics, III-237
  - clear(ed)(ing), [1947-1949] III-273, 318; V-316; see also Clear
defn., a gradient process of finding places where attention is fixed and restoring ability of pc to place and remove attention under his own de theta termism, III-428
- altered goal wording prevents clearing, V-150
- assembly line, V-1 53
- assessment for clearing intensive, V-166
- buttons which depress clearing if pc has erroneous definitions for them, III-321
- by SOP Goals, IV-217
- by Valences, III-274
- LRH session, Clearing by Valences, III-276
- cleared cannibal, VIII-260, 261, 263
- cleared Zulu is a cleared Zulu, III-236
- clear(ing) the auditor, III-122, 123, 301
- best way of, III-326
- commands of, III-239
- with the pc after D of P InterVIew, III-307
- commands; see commands
- completing clearing, V-355
- curriculum for Clearing Courses [1961], IV-374
- difficulty of clearing, V-317
- Earth, III-501
- fields, clearing of, III-209, 210
- free needles and clearing, V-112
- HGC clearing [1962], V-152
- lies in confronting, not escaping, IV-374
- materials used for clearing [1961], IV-370
- mest clearing is shortcut clearing, III-446
- reality, III-235
- responsibility, basic clearing process using, III-321
- staffclearing, III-291
- theta clearing is faster than mest clearing but not faster than releasing, III-447
- things that prevent clearing, IV-217
- to clear pc give him series of realized wins, IV-65
- up a goal, III-327
- up states of mind and psychosomatics, III-302
- valences key to clearing, IV-368
clear(ed)(ing) (cont.)
words; see Word Clearing
why it works, V-493
why some people are unwilling to clear people, III-454
Clear Check by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT8 [1961], IV-233
Clearing Course, do not run Power on anyone who has run CC materials, VI-203
Clearing Success Congress in Washington, V-137
Clear Procedure, Clearing Procedure, III-296, 382
ACC Clear Procedure, III-311, 322, 369
auditing the pc on Clear Procedure, III-243
definitions, goals, III-155
HGC Clear Procedure outline [1958], III-219
Sientology: Clear Procedure Issue One, III-172
Step One: Participation in session by the pc, III-157, 176
Step Two: Placing the preclear at cause, III-182
Step Three: Establish control of pc’s body by pc, III-184
Step Four: Find the auditor, III-188
Step Five: Pc versus mest, III-189
Step Six: see Step 6
Step Seven (Optional): Establish pc’s control over his “bank”, III-191
Step Eight: Make some time, III-191
Standard Clearing Procedure [1958], III-274
standardization of Clear Procedure, III-285, 292
what Clear Procedure consists of, III-285, 292
close terminals, people who, II-159
closing terminals, phenomenon of, II-189
coach(es)(ing); see also training
defn., a student who is standing in the role of “pc”, III-42, 462
blows occur when coach gives too few wins, III-116
coachless training, use of a doll, V-103
definition and purpose, VII-288
Drill [1963], V-272
E-Meter drill, VII-10
rules of, VI-122, 233, 234
teaching coaching is not examining, V-489
to a no win, IX-91
TRs done solo in absence of good coaches, except TR 04, V-103
what coaches should look for, V-194
co-audit(ing),
ARC Break Process, V-319
ARC Break Straight Wire is very useful in husband-wife co-auditing teams, III-364
C/S must check routinely for mutual out ruds in, VIII-259
difficulties, II-162
formula, III-475
Formulas and Regimens were never for co-audits, IV-176
HAS Co-Audit, III-380, 449, 498, 524, 527; IV-188; see also HAS; HQS
clear(ed)(ing) (cont.)
HAS Co-Audit (cont.)
allowed process, III-469
Comm Course and HAS Co-Audit [1959], III-456; see also Communication Course
ended, IV-176
finding terminals, III-513
how to run a co-audit, III-452
is for people “trained” on a PE Course, IV-188
Overt-Withhold Straight Wire better than
Comm Process on HAS Co-Audit, III-550
processes, III-439, 498, 550
Process I and 11, IV-189
resumed, IV-185
terminals, one of most effective light terminals
and one of best Comm Processes partic
ularly for HAS Co-Audit is a body part, III-519
untrained person can go release on, III-444
6th London ACC tapes tell how to run an HAS
Co-Audit Course, III-474
how Co-Audit stalled cases, IV-185
husband-wife co-auditor team, unlucky as a gener
al rule, V-37
in groups, IV-64
instructor audits each case through the co-auditor, IV-70
list ens style co-audit, V-511, 521
O/W dropped on Co-Audit, V-25
PE Co-Audit process, III-552; IV-70
retain co-audit’s interest in case, III-538, 550
student intensives and co-auditing processes, III-75
team should run O/W [1960], IV-21-25
urgent change in all co-audit courses [1959], III-551
Code, Auditor’s; see Auditor’s Code
Code of a C/S, X-197-98
Scn ~8
Scientologist operates within the boundaries of the
Auditor’s Code and ~, III-281
Code of Honor, an ethical code, II-104; see also COHA; Scn 0-8
codes of conduct mutually agreed, IV-388
cos-existence, superior to ARC triangle and mechanics of living, II-136
coffee shop auditing, VII-191; X-94
described, V-505
cognition(s), II-240, 254; VI-373; VII-117
defn., awareness of awareness, II-254, 255
defn., unknown confronting or not confronting, when uncovered, gives us the phenomenon of cognition, III-311
defn., something pc suddenly understands or feels, IV-42
defn., pc origination indicating he has “come to realize”; it’s a “What do you know. I ______” statement, VI-373; VII-117
cognition(s) (cont.)
defn, as-isng aberration with realization about life, VII-230
a major cognition resulting from any process is generally a flattening of that process, II-255, 328
and flattening of process, IX-67
and haviness, II-334, 336, 372; VIII-123
auditor not in comm with pc means no cognitions, VII-241; IX-66
blowdown is a period of relief and cognition to pc while it is occurring and for a moment after it stops, VI-69
chopping, abuses indicator of F/N, X-30
chopping off cognitions, effect of, VII-22, 78
cognition lag is the most important communication lag, II-255
don’t prevent by cutting when F/N appears, VI-275, 362
don’t use “I will repeat the auditing command” after a cognition, IV-42, 164, 222, 455
example of, II-254
flattening off a process and cognition, VII-242
F/N occurs most often after a big ~, VI-145, 147
goal of any process, II-255
how pc gets, VII-76
invalidation of pc’s, V-418
is rather quick, not an hour’s maundering, VI-162
master cognition, “I knew it all the time”, III-88
mother command, restimulates charge in pc; only way this charge can be blown is by pc telling auditor, V-335, 415
before auditor gives them, he makes certain he has pc’s attention on him again and off last question, III-296
CCH 3 and CCH 4 can be used when verbal commands fail, IV-155
auditor must clear each and every word of, VIII-93, 94
never let pc off cans while clearing commands, VI-284
dangerous auditor misses withholds and refuses to permit pc to execute auditing commands, V-33
effect of accusative auditing commands, V-442
of Dianetic EP could simply be “the chain blew”, VIII-272
pc who doesn’t cognite, cause of, V-36
show that thought is releasing from force, VII-77
significance recovered or realized by the pc only as a line, don’t use new commands to cut, V-338
Training 13, Fishing a Cognition, III-73, 240
TRs, in presence of rough TRs cognitions do not occur, VII-230
usually occur immediately after an erasure, VI-373
waiting for F/N to broaden to cognition, VII-22
when they occur, VII-117
cold(s); see also illness (common), cause of and handling, VI-18
loss can cause, VIII-237
process to cure, III-246
vitamin C is excellent for helping, VIII-407
cold pc sometimes has a falsely high TA, VII-424
collective thought agreement, result of, VI-6
collect (Secondary Scale level), IV-308
color flashes, preclear folder tape, IX-13
comanome, defn., I-7; see also engram
combined terminal; see terminal, combined command(s),
adejctival, beware running, IV-50
alternate commands, how to avoid making mis take in giving correct next command, IV-140
alternate commands, how to run, II-516
altitude is the factor that makes a pc receive and execute an auditing command, IV-134
anaten ensues when one direction of command is run too long, III-220
are written out in full on Auditor’s Report Form, IX-42
auditor must know when pc has finished answer ing the command, VII-248
auditor’s command restimulates charge in pc; only way this charge can be blown is by pc telling auditor, V-335, 415
before auditor gives them, he makes certain he has pc’s attention on him again and off last ques tion, III-296
command(s) (cont.)

communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
administration is a form of communication, II-386
affinity and reality exist to further this, I-381
and the Scale of Awareness, II-191
area of enturbulence ceases to exist as soon as
communication is leveled into it, II-292
art and communication; see art
auditing and withdrawing communications, I-368
auditing comm cycle; see auditing comm cycle
auditor has to assume responsibility for all comm
breakdowns in session, V-161, 414; IX-75
basic clue to successful marriage, I-309, 310
becomes a contest of overts in the ARC breaky
case, IV-120
be, do and have, III-92
beinigness, communication, space, I-326
synonyms in action, I-352
between men and women, I-406
breaking a solid communication line, III-140
can create spaces, II-467, 492
change also means perception change, I-351
change, measure of progress, I-16
character of person, determining by observing his
intention concerning communication, III-105
chopping pc’s communication, IX-70
effect of, VII-245
common denominator of ~ and aberration, III-28
communicate so it can be duplicated, I-137
communicatingness, VIII-118
communication ability proceeds from control,
III-24
Communication—Control—Havingness; see CCH
component parts of, VIII-1 85
consequences of cut communication, III-148
control + duplication = communication, III-248,
355

cycle(s),
defn., cause, distance, effect with intention,
attention, duplication and understanding,
VII-246; IX-71
additives, VI-59
auditing comm cycle; see auditing comm cycle
auditor’s; see auditor’s comm cycle
difficulties of auditing are difficulties of the
communication cycle, VII-238
E-Meter drill coaching, flunking out comm
cycle keeps needle clean, VII-10
fundamental of auditing is communication
cycle, IX-64
in auditing, II-314, 443; IX-73; see also TRs
magic of, IX-63
must exist before technique can exist, VII-239;
IX-64
pc’s results will go to hell on an additive comm
cycle, IX-81
six comm cycles which make up one auditing
cycle, IX-71
use of in Touch Assist, IX-505
whichmakeuponeauditingcycle, VII-244, 246
within the auditing cycle, IX-69
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communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
cycle of action, communication formula and
Axiom 10 become identified in the mind with
one another, IV-35
depends upon certainty of despatch and receipt,
I-388
depends upon duplication, II-15
deVI-ces useless with no formula for communica-
tion, II-401
dirty needle phenomena usually traced to auditor
haVI-ng cut pc’s communication, V-364, 447
do not force a person who is low on havingness to
communicate, II-480
duplicative factors of, III-355
eccentric genius is a problem in, I-130
Factors, The, I-375
failure keys in by-passed charge, V-417
first discoverable ability of a pc is , III-5
formula, II-136
affinity embraces the distance part of, II-136
formula of: cause, distance, effect, with intention,
attention and duplication with understanding,
VIII-185
formula of, is cause, distance, effect with inten-
tion and attention and a duplication at effect
of what emanates from cause, VII-232
fourth dynamic problems solved with ~, II-292
getting into communication with basic personality
through affinity, I-60
getting into communication with preclear, II-20
graph of, II-42
has power of eradicating spaces and masses, II-467
havingness drop and communication, III-138, 177
havingness is result of withheld ~, II-41 5
how to communicate to a group, III-336
idea that communication could be harmful, III-
51 8
inhibited communication, III-466
intention communicates, III-338
interest, communication, control, help, sequence
of breakdown in aberration, IV-120
is a familiarization process based on reach and
withdraw, VII-239
is an anchor point, I-465
is as exact as it approaches duplication, II-1 5
is-ness and communication, III-146
is part of the triangle of affinity, reality and
communication, II-136
is raised by holding things in, III-231, 232
is simply a familiarization process based on reach
and withdraw, IX-64
is the heart of life, I-351
itsa isn’t a comm line; it’s what travels on a comm
line from pc to auditor, if that which travels is
saying with certainty “It IS”, V-370
lag; see also Dianetics ’55.’
defn., length of time between the moment the
auditor poses the question and moment
when that exact question posed is answered
positively by pc no matter whether silence
communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
lag (cont.)
defn. (cont.)
or talk or incorrect answers occurred in the
interim, II-68
defn., length of time, whether verbal or silent,
intervening between the auditor’s asking of
a specific question and the specific and
precise answer of that question by pc,
II-119; VIII-108
defn., length of time intervening between the
asking of the question by auditor and reply
to that specific question by pc; question
must be precise, reply must be precisely to
that question, II-128
defn, interval of time between the moment of.
auditor’s asking the question and the reply
to that exact question by pc, II-234, 449
always reduce every encountered by contin-
ued use of same question or process, II-99
direct index of sanity, I-310, 466
explained, II-449
flat question is when ~ has been similar for
three successive questions, II-234-35
handling of, I-352
how to measure, II-128
index as test of aberration, I-351
is inverse to amount of space a person has,
I-465
is known to be flat when the replies are readily
given without pause or hesitation and with
out any comment on pc’s part, II-216
most important ~ is the cognition lag, II-255
no-comm-lag reaction, process above pc’s level,
II-218
of aberrative personality, I-475
physical ~ just another kind of ~, II-68
physiological, II-130
position on the Tone Scale established by,
II-128
process lag is length of time it takes to reduce
all communication lag from a type of ques-
tion or action in auditing, II-130
questionable answers and repeat of question
do not reduce the ~, II-1 28
use in selecting a marriage partner, I-310
whatitellsyous, II-129
language, main tool of, I-99
levels of, I-351
line(s); see also HTLTAE
all lines should have a purpose, II-400
are not command lines, II-386
collapsed, I-367
cut comm lines in marriage problems, I-310
depend upon reality and communication and
affinity and where an individual is too de
manding the affinity tends to break down
slightly, VII-240; IX-65
how severed, I-139
is first requisite of auditing, II-53
communicate, communication(s) (cont.)

line(s) (cont.)
itsa maker line, itsa line, what’s-it line, VII-243
jealous person afraid of hidden ~, I-310
management, keeping wide open all ~, I-139
of Scientology, II-92
process doesn’t work until auditor has a comm
line to pc, VII-241
three important, IX-68
worse off case, the less person can follow a ~,
VI-126
live , postulates, will always create change, II-
258
location, to communicate one must be able to
hold to a location, VII-264
low-havingness person withholds ~, II-126
ment, VII-529
magic of, IX-63; see also Dianetics Today
mechanisms of, II-48
mimicry, entering wedge of ~, II-138
mind is a communication system, II-429
misuse and withhold of ~ is abertative, III-518
more important than the other two corners of the
triangle, IV-413
OCA/APA drop in comm level caused by double
acknowledgement by auditor, III-334
of material, I-402
one-way ~ is a first dynamic operation, II-138
other forms of, I-99
outtranks by far affinity and reality, I-334, 464
over-communication, II-467
O/W is junior to various laws of communication,
control and help, IV-186
parts of communication, III-5
pc is as well as he can originate a communication,
III-370; VIII-183
performer purpose is basically ~, VIII-293
person who is jealous has something ~rong on the
subject of communication, I-310
persuasion and ~, differences between, III-82
point past which communication is bad and short
of which lack of communication is bad, III-
177
Prehav Scale command for Communication, IV-
211
Processes; see Communication Processes
Reality and Communication Scale; see Scn 0-8
reason for, I-380
refusing to communicate is a crime of omission
for an organization, II-165
rehabilitation of communication, III-93
relationship to obsessive games condition, III-104
Release; see Grade 0
responsible as one can communicate, I-351
role that ~ plays in game called existence, I-350
Scale, II-192
Scarcity, Remedy of, II-291, 325
(Secondary Scale level), IV-287
solvent for all things; it dissolves all things, II-413
sound in communication, III-138
communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
speed, I-368
success level of a person is his ~ level, III-92
tends to as-is mass, III-138
terminal(s),
is a live mass or something that is capable of
causing, receiving or relaying communica-
tion, III-II-4, 164
keeping certain communication terminals in
place and making sure that the proper par-
ticles go to and through the proper termi-
nals is administration, II-386
using the body as a, II-276
The Factors, I-375
theory of affinity, reality and ~, I-98
theory of communication, I-464
thetan communication the best, I-352
third dynamic activity, highest level of, and earli-
est instant of it is and was communication,
III-518
third dynamic, how it violates the communication
formula, III-336
to a specific indiVI-dual, III-336
to communicate one must be able to hold to a
location, IX-314
two-way communication, II-136, 314, 449; III
122, 136; VIII-107; see also Dn 557; PXL
applied to a mass will as-is mass without par-
ticularly depleting havingness of pc, II-196,
197
as a process, III-160, 179
auditor doing without a C/S, VII-411
auditors must make notation of auditing essen-
tials in 2-way comm as of senior impor-
tance to pc’s text, VII-104
can be too much in auditing session, II-449
Course Supervisor use, VII-146, 175, 299
explained, IX-396, 397, 399
vs. auditor two-way comm, IX-396
C/Sing two-way comm, VII-46, 104, 360, 405
four main reasons for 2-way comm C/Ses,
VII-40
reasons for C/S errors in C/Sing 2-way
comm, VII-104
does not mean invalidative or evaluative ques-
tions or comments by auditor, III-484
don’t use a listing question in, why, VIII-
270
E-Meter, two-way comm done on, VII-41
dead phenomena, VII-41, 104, 105, 126
evaluation in auditing two-way comm is a
deadly sin, VII-40
follows all rules of auditing, VII-104
how to get to F/N, VII-105
in repair, X-16
Interiorization Rundown is followed by two
way comm session, VII-36, 52, 126, 159,
460; VIII-280
is not conversation, it is a highly specialized
thing, III-122, 161, 181
communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
two-way communication (cont.)

maintain it, do not begrudge preclear few moments' discussion of incident just recalled, or discussion of phenomena he has suddenly noticed, II-145
mass can be disintegrated, no matter what type of mass it is, by ~, II-197
must be used sparingly, II-467
must remain "two-way", III-196
not using can cause failed pcs, II-146
on BD items in repair, X-87
one-way communication as-ises havingness, two-way doesn’t and actually raises the tone of pc, III-195
part of every process, II-138
pc upset, look into two-way comm processes in folder and treat them as L&N processes where pc has answered with items, VIII-270
prepared lists, two-way comming
Precheck, two-way comm is lighter than, VII-93
process, II-118; VII-176
prolonged, reduces havingness, II-414
questions, improper, VII-105
questions must be limited to feelings, reactions, significances, never ask for terminals or locations, VIII-270
recalling pc’s secrets, II-250
right amount of, II-234
rudiment going out in two-way comm session must be put in by auditor, VII-105
ruds in, X-54-55
rules of C/Sing, X-54-55
sessions, do not go into long ~, II-417
sessions, mark all reads, VIIbS, 75
sessions which do not end in F/N, how to handle, VII-105
subject chosen must be tested for read, VII-104-105
subject of major processes, don’t use, VII-105
third-dynamic operation, II-138
two ways to err: permit two-way comm to a point where the pc’s havingness is injured; chop communication to such a degree that havingness is injured, III-157
use of two-way comm, VII-bS
what it consists of, II-125
what it is, II-296
worksheets are detailed as to what pc says, VII-40
war, how it can come about by lack of ~, III-423
what makes communication work in processes is duplication part of communications formula (Axiom 28), IV-155
withheld, single and sole reason for accumulation of ridges and barriers, II-415
withhold ~, ability to, advances IQ, III-201

communicate, communication(s) (cont.)
withholds and communication, III-93, 201
word of mouth, II-92
communication bridge,
to get from one process to another, II-449
use of, IV-5
what it is, III-373, 536
Communication Course, [1957] III-58, [1958] 335, 451, [1959] 456; see also Co-Audit, HAS; HAS; HQS
ARC in Comm Course, III-242
HAS Comm Course, III-449, 451, 456, 527
PE becomes a dissertation in Scientology and a Comm Course, IV-182
Communication Process(es), III-5; see also communication
defn., any process which places pc at cause and uses communication as the principal command phrase [1959], III-503
assists for PT location and on body by ~, III-547
auditors can be smoothed out as cases by running a ~ on "an auditor" and "a preclear", III-505
avoid pinning the process in present time, III-531
basic ~, "Recall a time you communicated", III-463
body part run on ~, “From where could you communicate to a (name of body part)”, III-513
cautions regarding ~, III-505
Comm Recall Process, III-536
DEI Scale and ~, III-534
don’t self-audit with a ~, III-505
end phenomena [1959], III-504, 513
essentials of use of ~, III-503
Grade 0 Release, VI-95, 96, 98; see also Grade 0 how to run Comm Processes on assessment, III-524
illnesses, process with Communication Processes if illness is in the way of session, III-505
increases havingness by damping out excessive in dividuation, III-531
Locational Communication relieves face pressures and terror stomachs, III-466
on body part, IV-70
on Universe: separation process from all universes the thetan is anxious about, III-524
restimulative nature of ~, III-502
terminals employed in command should be generalized, III-503, 513
terminals to which ~ are addressed must be real terminalsnever significances only, III-503
use of E-Meter [1959], III-504
“What could you say to your father?”, II-194
why pc doesn’t do it, III-519
workbest on obvious and visible terminals, III-531
Communication Processing, Axiom 51, II-240

communism, cult of, based upon only one life, II-428
communism, fascism, socialism are bad management, I-143

355
communists try to convince people that you’re guilty of their overts, IV-321
Comparable Magnitude, Problems of; see Problem Process, Problems of Comparable Magnitude Comparison [process], I-329
compartimenting the question, IV-322; V-77, 78
complete, completed, completing, completion, defn., completing of a specific course or auditing
grade, meaning it has been started, worked through and has successfully ended with an award/Qual, VII-141, 288; 1X-313
defn, to make whole, entire or perfect; end after satisfying all demands or requirements; act or action of completing, becoming complete or making complete, VIII-93; X-218
audited ~ must be paid and have attested with an F/N VGIs and written a success story, VII-371
cycles on a case, X-145
list; see listing, complete list
pc completion points, VIII-214
quickie, X-218
student completion must be paid, must have passed examination and must have acceptable success story, VII-369
visual idea of completing actions, VII-262
what makes it quickie, VIII-93
complete list; see listing, complete list
complexity, complexities, defn, a circumstance regarding a mass or basic law on complexity, IX-309
degree of complexity is proportional to the degree of nonfront, IX-309
non-confront, any complexity stems from an initial point of, IX-310
postulates go from simplicities to ~, III-34
study—complexity and confronting, IX-309
surrounding any subject or action is derived from a greater or lesser inability to confront, VII-266; IX-316
compliance, degraded beings refuse to comply without mentioning it, VI-193
composed, OCA/APA drop in, cause of, III-334
compulsions and obsessions, assessment of, I-294
compulsive outflow, how to stop a, III-350
compulsive position precedes compulsive thinking, II-13
terminals should be run, not ~, II-323, 332, 354
“conditioning” ~, Pavlov’s school of, VI-391
conditioning, randomity contains the idea of, II-536
Conditions by Dynamics, VIII-81
conditions of existence, II-410; see also alter-isness; as-isness; is-ness; not-isness; NSOL; PXI,
conditionsofsuccess; seeProblemssofWork
conduct, codes of, mutually agreed, IV-388
conduct, good conduct—do only those things which others can experience, III-432
conduct, regulated by sense of ethics, I-294
codification, daily auditors’, X-70
confession(s), I-351
and IQ, III-201
mechanism used by churches, IV-12
religious—historical precedence, IX-262
computational psychotic, defn., I-57
computer, mind as, I-70
Concentrate—Shift Attention Process, run on stuck needle, IV-218
concentration, child not capable of sustained, I-49
concept(s), defn, abstract general notion or conception, I-275
collection symbolized by word, VIII-316
conditions and positions and states run as, I-276
how to run, I-72; see also Rising Scale Processing
inprocessing, I-311
of authoritarianism, I-178; see also suppressive person
Running; see also Scn 8-80
Hubbard Chart of Attitudes and, I-275
routine for, I-276
Concept Help, IV-121
Confront straightens out any “mugginess” churned up by Concept Help, IV-122
two-way ~ on general terminal, IV-117
conception, running of, I-17
conceptualization of meanings, VIII-316; IX-485
collective level running of motivators, overt acts, DEDs and DEDEXes, I-275
crucial understanding of word, VIII-317
condensation, tendency of physical universe is condensation and solidification, V-276
condition(s), defn, is a circumstance regarding a mass or terminal, III-164
all conditions are postulated conditions, II-240
assignment, wrong, can turn on somatics and is kind of suppressive, IX-166-67
difference between terminals and conditions, II-516; III-164
ethics; see ISE
surrounding any subject or action is derived from a greater or lesser inability to confront, VII-266; IX-316
compliance, degraded beings refuse to comply without mentioning it, VI-193
composed, OCA/APA drop in, cause of, III-334
compulsions and obsessions, assessment of, I-294
compulsive outflow, how to stop a, III-350
compulsive position precedes compulsive thinking, II-13
terminals should be run, not ~, II-323, 332, 354
“conditioning” ~, Pavlov’s school of, VI-391
conditioning, randomity contains the idea of, II-536
Conditions by Dynamics, VIII-81
conditions of existence, II-410; see also alter-isness; as-isness; is-ness; not-isness; NSOL; PXI,
conditionsofsuccess; seeProblemssofWork
conduct, codes of, mutually agreed, IV-388
conduct, good conduct—do only those things which others can experience, III-432
conduct, regulated by sense of ethics, I-294
codification, daily auditors’, X-70
confession(s), III-351
and IQ, III-201
mechanism used by churches, IV-12
religious—historical precedence, IX-262
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Confessional(s); see also Integrity Processing; Security Checking
Exam Report is required after any ~, VII-167
on students, VIII-173
Confessional List, Johannesburg, VIII-419
certainty, ability to predict equals confidence, V-93
auditor confidence, importance of, V-326
auditor confidence increased by standardized ses-
sions, IV-53
it’s confidence regained that makes Clears, not
quantity of stuff run, IV-44, 65, 66
only thing wrong with pc is his lack of confidence in
handling himself without hurting others, IV-67
scale of increasing confidence, IV-8
cidential materials, reason for, VI-105
cidential, why OT materials are confidential, VIII-
23
licts are usually remedial by conference unless a
third party is promoting conflict, VI-290
confront(ing), confrontingness, III-100, 116, 211, 318; VII-264; VIII-182; see also Confront
Process; preession; TRs, TR 0; NSOL
defn, ability to be there comfortably and per-
ceive, VII-265; IX-315
defn, to face without flinching or avoiding, VIII-
182; IX-346
defn, to stand facing or opposing, especially in
challenges, defiance or accusation, VIII-182; IX-
346
ability to confront pc and session and parts of
session permits one to accurately go from A to B, VII-289
ability to confront the future without restimula-
tion, III-488
Affinity Process, “What would you like to con-
front?”, III-463
an HCO B or HCO PL (drill), IX-3 17
auditing enVI-ronment influences pc’s ability to
confront, VI-359
auditing restores confidence in confronting and
undoes necessity to confront, III-311
auditor errors come mainly from an inability to
confront, VIII-164
auditors failing to handle E-Meters, chief reason is
mainly confront, IV-261
beingness is more involved with havingness than
with confront, IV-122
body and TR 0, VIII-369
bullbaited; see TRs, TR 0 Bullbait
case; see case, confront
CCHs are “familiarization” processes that permit
pc to confront control and duplication, V-43
charge blows off bank to degree that it’s confront-
ed, IX-68
charge blows off bank to degree that it’s confront-
ed and this is represented by itsa line, VII-243
charge prevents pc from ~ time track, V-290
clearing lies in confronting, not escaping, IV-374
confront(ing), confrontingness (cont.)
complexity and confronting; see complexity
concepts are more in kind with confronting than
with creating, IV-121
confronting mental mass, gradient scale of, II-227
create and confront, IV-35
Dianetic item that reads guarantees pc will be able
to confront and erase chain, VI-357
drama, III-213
effect, person at effect is confronted by life, he
does not confront it, VIII-231
eyesight and confronting, III-37; see also eyesight
failed case can’t confront overts, IV-5
first step of handling anything is gaining ability to
face it, III-113
glib student, what he can confront, VIII-99
gradient of confronting study, VII-264, 265
havingness is an objective and somewhat obscure
method of confronting, IV-122
havingness is proportional to pc’s ability to con-
front in session, V-225
if one can confront he can be aware; if he is aware
he can perceive and act, VIII-182
“If you can’t stand it, confront it”, III-100
incidents, end goal of running incidents is increas
ing ability to confront, III-419
irresponsibility and confronting, III-96
less a pc can confront two things, the more he
fixes on one, IV-62
level, III-212
mental image pictures and ~, III-114, 447; see
also mental image pictures
most clear has not been through a total ~, III-446
misunderstood, confusions, omissions, alterations
of a subject begin with failures or unwilling
ness to confront, VII-264; IX-314
not-is, when a person can confront something, he
no longer has to not-is it, III-413
obsessive confronting, III-3 19
on a VI-a (using a relay point), IX-3 15
pc; see TRs, TR 0
pc ability to confront force, VII-79
pc’s past, how to increase willingness to confront,
III-489
pc who makes no gain is pc who will not as-is,
who will not confront, V-36
present time, III-96
Process; see Confront Process
PTP is basically inability to confront dual terminal
nature of universe, IV-61
Q and A and confront, VIII-224
rising needle means pc can’t confront it, IV-333
rock is confrontingness on a VI-a, III-320
Scale of Confront; see Scn 9-8
student only fails by not confronting, duplicating,
absorbing and using the materials before him
exactly like it says, VII-237
surVI-val represented best by “continuous con
fronting” at a process level, III-S39
surVI-ve and ~ are of same order of thing, III-539
confront(ed)(ing), confrontingness (cont.)  
symptoms of having trouble with, VII-265; IX-315  
TA “drift up”, pc’s refusal to confront, V-48  
talent and “native ability”, related to ability to confront, VII-264  
theta clears were made by gradually raising their confrontingness of mental image pictures, III-445  
things which are worth confronting, III-213  
time track, unavailability, cause of, V-275  
TR 0; see TRs, TR 0  
unburdening case brings up confront, VII-110  
unhappiness is inability to confront, VII-265  
work, III-214  
you have to be able to hold the position in the face of something, III-232  
Confront Processes, IV-15 1, 154; see also confront aimed at further confront, X-31  
Alternate Confront, IV-121  
commands of Alternate Confront, III-547; IV-140  
Body Confronting, commands and how to run, III-31  
commands for Presessions II-X, IV-142  
commands in order of test for pcs, IV-152  
Confront a Preclear [process], II-545  
Confrontingness and Recall Processes, II-526  
Confront is a Responsibility Process, IV-35  
Continuous Confront, IV-123  
survival is translated for processing as Continuous Confront, IV-127  
Failed Help as the Confront Process, IV-171  
Happiness is a Confront Process and straightens out the create factor, IV-35  
Happiness Processes and ~, finding; see EME  
order of test of Happiness and Confront commands, IV-151, 152  
Responsibility is confront and is very senior to Confront as a process, IV-35  
standard Confrontingness Processes, III-215  
straitens out any “mugginess” churned up by Concept Help, IV-122  
Subjective Confrontingness, commands and how to run, III-319  
Confrontingness Scale, III-489; see also Scn 0-8  
Confrontingness Scale of Reality, III-447  
is a parallel to Responsibility Scale, III-446  
Confront Scale is the scale of disintegrating reality, III-404  
confused ideas stem from misunderstands, IX-421  
confused scene, mechanisms of taking over, III-262  
how disseminating Scientology can result in confusion, II-1 54  
how to handle confusion, III-262; VII-417  
theticantithesisofaflow, II-154  
minus randomness is the opposite of ~, II-538  
misunderstood word exists at the bottom of a confusion, VII-373; VIII-29  
of earlier philosophies, II-395  
of workaday world, handling; see POW  
prior confusion; see prior confusion  
problem consists of two opposed stable data and therefore two confusions, IV-354  
somatics, handling by sec checking area of confusion, IV-409  
stable datum and confusion, IV-62  
student, why he may experience somatics and confusions, III-344  
TA motion is caused by energy contained in confusions blowing off case; confusion is held in place by aberrated stable data, V-375  
teaching of basic data restimulates confusions, VI-276, 365  
two or more opposed VI-ews or actions) stays in position because it is hung on a single fixed point, IV-62  
Connectedness [process], II-517, 554; III-97, 317  
can also be run outside, III-191  
clears stuck needles, III-243, 297  
commands of Connectedness, III-297  
commands of GP-3, Connectedness, III-318  
command with “you” added, III-229  
Control Connectedness, III-205  
control version, III-294  
earliest commands of, III-190  
is the basic process on association of theta with mest, III-163  
most basic of spotting processes, III-189  
used to bolster havingness, III-317  
why it works, III-189  
connect (Secondary Scale level), IV-286  
conqueror, world, operates with a perverted dynamic, I-35  
conquest of mest, I-188  
by theta, I-173
conscience, bad, III-559
uneasy lies the head that wears a guilty drag, IV-27
consciousness, banishment of, too much agreement under duress, I-350
on death of; see NSOL
reactive mind acts below the level of, II-430
Consequences of Solutions [process], III-11
Consequences [process], II-251; IV-57
consider, consideration(s), agreement in a human group, VI-6
consider, defn, an instructor who is on duty sporadically or from time to time but not routinely in any one place, III-42, 462
Consultant, Hubbard; see Hubbard Consultant
consulting ministers, society of, II-353
consulting Scientologist, II-262
Consultant available to the auditor, III-23
Contact Assist, VII-322; VIII-191; X-159; see also assist described, VI-318
EP—pain gone, cog., F/N, VII-322
interrupting a general course of auditing, VII-191
Touch Assists and Contact Assists mandatory when any injury occurs, VII-167
when possible make persons hold things they were holding, if any, while doing, VII-323
Contact by Duplication; see CCH 7
Contact (Step VII of SOP8), I-424, 426
continuous is the reverse action to overrun; continuous equals survival, VII-269
Continue Process, X-128
assessing and listing, VII-282
Continuous Confront, surVI-val is translated for processing as ~, IV-127
continuous missed withhold; see missed withhold, continuous
continuous overt; see overt, continuous
Contra-survival and Pro-surVI-val Processing, I-192
control, III-9, 204; see also precession; Start—Change—Stop; CMSCS
absence of control is sickness itself, II-213
control (cont.)
acknowledgement is a control factor, III-349
anatomy of ~ is start, change and stop, II-433, 521
and creation of mental images utilizes and disciplines energy, I-324
auditing, earliest stage of, consists in taking over control of pc to restore to pc more control of himself than he has had, II-443
bad control, no such thing, II-522
body control comes before control of thinking ness, III-479
body, control of by pc, III-184, 240, 267
by ARC is taught in Comm Course, III-242
by Tone 40 is taught in Upper Indoc, III-242
center; see AP&A; Handbook for Preclears
change belongs at “inverted control” on Prehav Scale, IV-320
children, using good 8-C on, III-82, 110
communication ability proceeds from control, III-24
Communication-Control-Havingness; see CCHs
communication is reached by control plus duplication, III-248, 355
cure for auditor who can’t control pc, VI-140; VII-225
difference between good and bad control, II-213
affected by introducing uncertainties and hidden influences, I-389
facsimiles are control mechanisms, III-231
factor(s), asking for missed withhold is a totally acceptable control factor, V-59
available to the auditor, III-23
Find the Auditor is part of Control, III-204
Formula 20 is an effort to run control on thought level, IV-213
game, requisite to playing is ability to control, II-446
good control is positive control, II-522
interest, communication, control, help, sequence of breakdown in aberration, IV-120
is more easily inverted on UK case, IV-202
mind (attention), control of, III-267
parts of control, III-6
pc, control of, II-17; III-204
pc is out of session when he starts to control session, II-524
pc, rehabilitation of, in terms of control, II-518
pc under auditor’s control to extent of doing the process, IV-66
person, control of, III-267
reason, I-153
religion used as bad control, II-212
(Secondary Scale level), IV-289
session without proper rudiments is a session without control, IV-56
situation, howto control, III-261; VII-416
thinkingness, control of, III-119, 255, 267
whole track, control of, III-454
control (cont.)
8-C, good and bad, III-212
8-C on students, III-90
Control Connectedness [process], III-205
Control Processes, characteristic, purpose, stable data-
tum of, III-479
Control Process, tactile 8-Cb, II-553
Control Trio, III-119; see also Trio
commands, III-111, 278
how to run, III-278, 279
is a three-stage process on a heavy spotting con-
trol, III-190
conversation is not two-way comm, III-161
cope, way to get out of, is to organize, VII-380
copperplate longhand, VII-433; IX-44
Copying lists or worksheets, X-5
Corners of the Room, Holding, [process] , I-472
"corpse case": see case, "corpse"
correction,
lists; see prepared lists, correction lists
Qual’s function is, VIII-188
usually cannot be accomplished without Ethics
back-up, VIII-66
corrective actions, when done, X-250
Corrective Word Clearing, Word Clearing Method 9 is, IX-473
correspondence courses, frailties of, III-331
coterm; see terminal, combined
counselor,
attitude of, I-242; see also auditor
Scientologist as a counselor, IV-II-4
counter-effort(s), I-215
acceptance of, I-169
occluded case will run efforts and ~, I-303
counter-policy and counter-tech, IX-89
counter-postulate; see postulate
courage,
level; see Science of Survival
that we can observe what we observe and say what
we have observed, IV-203
course(s); see also Course Supervisor; training
available, I-408
can be wrecked by lack of study materials, VI-406
containing TRs 04, 6-9 or Admin TRs is a major
program in itself, VII-261
fast courses, VII-198
secret of, IX-389
fast flow student passes ~ by attestation, VIII-162
final valuable product of any course, VII-199
graduate becomes an auditor, X-163
by auditing, VII-234, 331
Group Auditor’s, I-312
how to translate a course, VII-441
materials, VII-198
misunderstood word tech is the sole course tech
when course admin is in and materials are
available, VII-303
outnesses which must be corrected, IX-90
retread course, illegal to give away, VII-165
Scienology course, what it consists of, VII-198
course(s) (cont.)
slow course, speeding up, IX-409
students who drift off of courses or who are very
slow lack somebody to talk to, VIII-75
Study Tapes, only piece of technology you use on
a course, VII-302
supervision, it is out tech to fail to know and use
tudy tech, VIII-41
tapes are never played to a group of students,
VII-435; IX-365, 437
translation to tape, IX-349
use of clay table on courses, VII-163
what is a course, VII-198
with no materials, X-178-79
Course Administrator,
defn., course staff member in charge of course
materials and records, VII-140, 286; IX-311
actions of Course Administrator, VII-199
Course Supervisor; see also course; instructor
defn., instructor in charge of a course and its
students, VII-140, 286; IX-311
actions, VII-198, 301; IX-398
checking students for misunderstoods on E-Meter,
VII-300; IX-397
checkout, defn., checkout done by Supervisor of a
course or his assistants, VII-140, 286; IX-311
Code and stable data; see Scn 0-8
Correction List, VIII-52
course is slow, Supervisor uses Word Clearing
Method 4, IX-409
cramming, IX-95
dialogue of a Supervisor, VII-299
don’t cut affinity lines to data, II-163
don’t give experimental data, II-163
duty, VI-272
expected to be efficient, not kind, II-345
failure, cause of, VIII-41
handling of student asking technical questions,
VII-236
to have study tech, not necessarily subject
taught, VIII-41
Integrity List, IX-303
is not an “instructor”, VII-198
is there to get student’s confront up on materials
not to lessen it by “explaining”, VII-236
is tough, VII-198
minimum requirements of Supervisor, VII-232
must show that he is interested in progress of his
students, VII-146
outnesses make slow courses, VI-437
primary tech, VIII-42
product of Supervisor, VIII-43
remedies; see BCR
should have an excellent grip on the exact audit-
ing procedure, II-418
skill, what it is, VII-198
student doping off, looking upset or blowing,
Course Supervisor actions, VII-146
student is going along well, Course Supervisor
does not act to correct, VII-146
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Course Supervisor (cont.)
student queries, handling of, VIII-32, 302; IX-451
supervising at abelow F/N level, IX-402
total dialogue of, IX-396
two-way comm: see communication, two-way
use of Word Clearing Method 4, VIII-29, 302; IX-451
cramming, VII-183, 207, 282; VIII-188; IX-87, 93, 109, 362
defn., section in Qualifications Division where
student is given high pressure instruction at his
own cost after being found slow in study or
failing his exams, VII-141, 287; IX-312
actions, VIII-66
done in Qual must be done on a meter, VIII-397
and excellent checkouts, X-84
and the C/S, X-234
auditing quality raised by getting in cramming, VII-209
auditor(s), IX-90, 112; X-233
auditor goofs, always find and handle, by
 cramming, VII-153, 206, 273, 412
auditor leaving Cramming goes through Examiner,
VI-141 2
auditor must complete cramming on action
before doing action again, VII-379
auditors who flub, send to Cramming, X-142
let them complete programs on pcs
though going to Cramming, VII-380
can assess correction lists, VIII-66
chit, X-178
C/S, X-164
C/S, anyone that flubs, as it affects the C/S in any
way, gets a cramming chit, VII-377
C/S does cramming himself, if no Cramming Officer,
VII-161, 461
C/S has to straighten out Qual cramming, VIII-233
C/S, if an auditor doesn’t grasp a C/S he has
received he gets help from Cramming, VII-183
C/S I/T, IX-113
cycle(s), IX-108, 112
and the C/S, X-199
compliance reports on cramming cycle should have
Exam Report attached, VII-412
done in Qual must be done on a meter, IX-121
excess and admin personnel, IX-113
finding the misunderstood, X-98
finds the real Why of an auditor error, IX-108
good cramming is the key to flubless auditors and
auditing, IX-104
handling staff member never crammed before,
IX-118
heavy hussar handling, IX-116
Hi-Lo TA assessment, X-131
if there is no Cramming, a C/S can fully afford to
do cramming himself, VIII-281
it is obviously senseless to cram someone whose
studytechis out, VIII-66

cramming (cont.)
log book, IX-104
maxim of: handle the hell out of it, VIII-335;
IX-120
most cramming cycles reveal a broader area of
situation which must also be handled, VIII
334; IX-119
must exist in any org which sells auditing, VII-233
must have a library of all materials, VII-279
normal procedure even for Class X1Is, VII-379
order, X-199
always includes TRs, VIII-164
how to write up, IX-52, 107; X-204
over out ruds, VIII-334; IX-119
Primary Correction Rundown (revised), VIII-65;
see also Primary Correction Rundown
purpose of Cramming, IX-87
raises auditing quality, X-99
red tag pc report must lead at once to cramming
of auditor, D of P, C/S and Tech Sec, IX-105
retread and retrain, VIII-164
Section issues okay to audit, VII-233
standard Cramming action when correction list
said to be blank, VII-464
success, what it depends on, VIII-335; IX-120
 Supervisor and C/S, IX-90
tech as good as Cramming Officer can cram,
VII-184

even tools, IX-88, 112, 117
TRs in Cramming, IX-102
why it’s VI-tal, VII-207
Word Clearing in Cramming, IX-104
Cramming Officer(s),
areas of expertise a ~ has to have, IX-93
check for basics, IX-92
close technical links with C/S, VII-377
C/S makes sure Qual has one, VIII-164
flubs, IX-119
is not bound to accept any cramming order,
IX-107
must report the real Why to the C/S, IX-108
post requirements, IX-104, 110
procedure for handling auditors, IX-93
statistic, IX-106

 craving for work, I-333
 cravings and hungers, how to resolve, I-492
 create, creating, creation, III-320; see also Create Processes; FOT
defn., make, manufacture, construct, postulate,
bring into beingness, II-408
ability to, II-304
and control of mental images utilizes and disci
plines energy, I-324
and destruction, I-176
insanity can be grouped and classified, detect
ed and remedied by a study of, I-293
Axiom 55: create, change, destroy, II-313
common denominator of all aberration (mental
derangement) is cessation of creation, II-433
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create, creating, creation (cont.)
concepts are more in kind with confronting than with creating, IV-121
confront and create, IV-35
“create” is dynamic principle of existence in Scientology as “survive” was in Dianetics, III-539
cycle of action: create—survive—destroy, IV-126
death, cessation of creatiGn, II-433
discreditable creations, IV-7
fundamental urge of a thetan, IV-126
gradient scale of creation, mind and body are part of, I-419
Havingness is a Confront Process and straightens out create factor, IV-35
how to handle subject of create, IV-I 16
how to stop creation, II-409; see also as-is(ness)
individual who has a free heart and mind about life is bent upon creating, II-433
knowing and unknonwing, II-409
lying is the lowest order of creativeness, II-447
no creation without destruction, I-293
obsessive creation, III-539
of knowledge, opposed to knowledge, II-437
of time and creation of memory were concurrent incidents, II-222
pc has creation tangled up with cause and cause tangled up with overt-motivator sequence, IV-35
person in any valence is VI-citized by his own creation, IV-11 6
precedes destruction, II-245
reactive mind comes from obsessive creating, III-320
reactive mind, basis of, is creativeness done below level of consciousness, IV-116
reactive mind toughened up by creating, how to handle, IV-11 6
responsibility of individual for his ~, IV-35, 147
science goes mad when it is “creating in order to destroy”, IV-127
(Secondary Scale level), IV-314
spirit is source of all creation, III-270
survival is apparency of creating, IV-126
thetan’s answer to being threatened or struck is to no fear of any consequences, VI-405
Create CDEI Scale, goals listing using, V-143
create-counter-create, defn., to create something against a creation; to create one thing and then create something else against it, II-408
create-create-create, defn., create again continuously one moment after the next, II-408
Create Processes; see also create Alternate Create, commands of, III-547
are limited, IV-35
dangers and advantages, III-539
“Recall creating”, III-536
creative, ability, I-399
loss of, I-395
creative (cont.)
imagination, I-323
creativeness, radiation hits at, III-52
Creative Processing, II-277, 528; see also Mock-up Processes
adding creativeness to spotting, II-304
changing things in space, I-454; see also mock-up commands and running, III-205
needle consistently out of pace with supposed command execution, cause of, III-206
credo of a good and skilled manager, I-96
credo of a true group member, I-94
crime,
crime and criminal acts are perpetuated by anti social personalities, VI-177, 178
High; see High Crime
subdivided into accidental and intentional crime, II-62
when you let a person give nothing for something you are factually encouraging crime, VIII-79
why it increases, VI-404
criminal(s), criminality, II-62; III-234; VIII-78
defn., one who thinks help cannot be on any dynamic or uses help on anyone to injure and destroy, IV-101
always assists himself to be caught, IV-12
attackers of Scientology had criminal records, II-167
codes and VI-olent punishment are not needed to regulate social personalities, VI-182
creation of, VI-404
criminal and the E-Meter, IX-275
ethics presence checks criminality, VIII-78
exchange and criminality, VIII-79
get no case gain, VI-102
groups, II-63
having no awareness of good and evil, VI-405
how a person becomes criminal, IV-24
individual rights not originated to protect crimi nals, IV-27
insanity of, II-63
is in fact insane, IV-83
mentally deranged persons, II-62
most criminals are unable to predict and thus have no fear of any consequences, VI-405
treatment for, II-64
war and criminality, IV-45
welfare states, why they get lots of criminals, VIII-79
why punishment doesn’t cure, V-439; VIII-371; IX-269
will not receive orders called law, IV-136
would not register on overts, IV-19
criminalically inclined, I-81
critical, criticism(s),
auditor does not invalidate, criticize or evaluate for pc, VI-321
auditor finding himself being nattery or critical of pcs should get his withholds on pcs pulled and overts on them off, VII-345, 362
critical, criticism(s) (cont.)
critical pc = W/H = pull W/H, VI-146, 359
critical thought is a symptom of overt, not the overt itself, V-469
critical tirades are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, VIII-178
C/S negative criticism undermines auditors, VI-409
high critical cured by CCH 88, Enforced Nothingness, III-246
hyper-critical case, IV-178
is justification of haVI-ng done an overt, IV-12
OCA/APA: critical; see OCA/APA
only reasons a pc is critical are a withhold or misunderstood word, VI-91
pc becomes critical of anything outside engram, it is an ARC break, V-293
pc critical of or angry at auditor, organizations or people of Scientology equals missed withhold, V-59
pc with withholds will be critical, natter or blow and is out of comm, VI-76
“Recall being critical” “Recall withholding criticism” [process], III-532
there are no criticisms in absence of overt committed earlier by pc, V-464, 468
upset, ARC breaky pc, handling of, VIII-179
1.1, is effort to reduce size of target of overt, IV-13
C/S: see case supervising; Case Supervisor
cultural lag, VI-374
culture, education mustn’t skip gradients in, VIII-171
curable physical ailment, I-420
cure(s),
don’t ever promise people you will cure them, II-269
never run Scientology grade to make pc well or cure something, VI-350
prior assessing is done to determine what pc was suffering from before he used a harmful “cure”, VI-377
tend to become a new illness, IV-103
curiosity, III-533
current enVI-ronment of the preclear, I-18
current program inside pc folder, X-145
cycling action of pc into the past, III-70
curse of the world today is irresponsibility of physical scientists, I-389
curve, emotional, I-204, 205
cycle,
defn., a span of time with a beginning and an end; section of the totality of time with a beginning and an end; in beginningless and endless time one can set out periods which do have a beginning and an end insofar as action is concerned, II-407
complete cycles, VII-276
diseases have their own, VIII-406
cycle of action, I-378; II-11, 407; IV-35
cycle of action, defn (cont.)
defn., actually only a continuous creation, II-408
actual, defn., create, create-create-create, create counter-create, no creation, nothingness, II-408
antisocial personality cannot finish, VI-178
applied to work, IV-126
Axiom 10 becomes confused by thanan with ~, III-539
basic curve of change compares to cycle of action, IV-258
communication formula, Axiom 10 and cycle of action become identified, IV-35
contains nothing but creation, II-408
create—survive—destroy, IV-126
double action cycles, IV-126
describe—destroy, IV-126
differentiation of, IV-35
is redefined as start—continue—complete, V-410
old action cycles, IV-126
session is a cycle of action, IV-56
cycle of, conquest of best, I-188
creation, growth, conservation, decay and destruction, I-293
demand for attention, I-382
1.1, is effort to reduce size of target of overt, IV-13
cycle of Action Processing, I-467
cyclical and non-cyclical endings, VI-101
cyclical process, never leave the process when pc is recalling moments which are far into the past, II-217
cyclical process, defn., repetitive process which does cause pc to cycle on time track, IV-51
cycle process,
defn., repetitive process which does cause pc to cycle on time track, VI-101
how to end, IV-42, 221
cycling action of pc into the past, III-70

d
Danger Condition, correct ~ handling, VIII-82, 84, 100
Danger Conditions—technical data for Review auditors, VI-126
dangerous auditor; see auditor, dangerous
Danger Rundown steps, VIII-102, 103, 104
Danger Rundown, Why of robotism can be added to, VIII-130
darkness, the result of too much loss, I-385; see also blackness
data; see datum
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Data Series sometimes bring about a headache or upset in student, handling of, VIII 16

date and duration of incident found permits an incident to be run with VI-sio, VI-158, 386
date to blow, locate to blow, VII-401, 402
dating, I-231; IV-60; see also EMD

argument with pc can group track, V-293
don’t use “more”—“less”, use “greater than”—“lesser than”, VI-1 91

E-Meter dating on rehab, VI-66

how to date, V-288

incidents, I-233

with E-Meter, III-389; see also E-Meter

it is possible to get F/N and VGIs while simply spotting or dating an engram, VI-407

pc’s contrary data unspoken and untaken can give you a completely wrong date, V-293

stuck picture, handling by dating, Responsibility and O/W, IV-16

use meter to date and verify date only after pc has been unable to come up with date, V-334

datum, data; see also information; knowledge

defn., invention which has become agreed upon and so solidified, VIII-III-4

and truth, VIII-1 14

course creates a beingness, not imparts data, III-464

don’t “solve” cases by altering data, VI-387

education is the process of placing data in recalls of another, III-28

evaluated, is useful, unvalulated, is useless, I-125

evaluation of, I-125, 374; III-421

evaluation of importance of data in philosophy, III-346

evaluation of importance of datum is often more important than the datum itself, III-345

for C/S, X-183, 189

good data is usefully accurate data, I-74

HCO Bs and tapes are stable data, X-148

is as valuable as it has been evaluated, III-422

knowledge is not, I-356

lack of, I-76

management and operational, I-138

new data doesn’t invalidate early proven techniques, I-300

observe for yourself that presented data exist and are true, III-422, 425

occluded, how to pick up, I-144

person does not have more Scientology data just because he is a Release, VI-39

power of choice over data, III-21

power of Scientology is that it, by stressing single, simple truths, eliminates oceans of mere data, III-346

prime datum, no such thing; there must be two data since datum is of no use unless it can be evaluated by datum of similar magnitude, III-422

quality and quantity of, I-76

secondary knowledge, I-349

datum, data (cont.)

security of, VI-105

similar magnitude, I-125

stable datum, III-60

and confusion, IV-62

teaching of basic data restimulates confusions, VI-276, 365

thinking consists of comparing aparticular datum with physical universe as it is known and observed, III-424

utilization of data and education, III-30

“dead horse list”, VII-49

defn., list which even with good auditing failed to produce a reliable item, V-203

Dear Alice; see TRs, TR 1

date(s), dead, I-376; III-223

defn., state of organism motionlessness, I-214

defn., cessation of creation, II-433

defn., rapidity of change of state, unpredicted, IV-54

are run out, X-168

cause of desire for, V-252

child is suffering from death shock, III-109

dead: ARC broken: incapable of affinity for, course creates a beingness, not imparts data, III-464

evaluation of importance of datum is often more important than the datum itself, III-345

for C/S, X-183, 189

havingness and death, III-225

inclusion towards, I-92

injury or death (or harmful communication), basic postulate of, is best summed up by “victim”, III-518

is a forgettingness, III-223

is just one of varied forms of game of victim, III-518

isn’t a game anymore, III-518

mind, partial death of, III-224

necesary part of activity of theta, I-188

of the body, III-224

past deaths; see also History of Man

of famous historical figures, III-411

“What Are You Buried?” project, IV-55

pc who only gets death pictures or bad pictures is somewhere late on cycle of action or late on an inversion cycle, IV-35

person always exteriorizes on body death, II-432

prenataals, birth, conception and sexual incident are a bounce from a death, III-411

ultimate failure, II-3

unworkable solution, I-456

what happens after, III-226
death(s), dead (cont.)
what it is, III-224
decay of tech, X-148
decided and protested used to get pc easier in session, V-447
Decisional Processing, II-290, 324
decision, Attention Objective Decision Repetitive [process], VIII-263
decisions, people who get things done make, I-475
decision to be, I-375
delivered what you promise, II-392
decisional, Attention Objective Decision Repetitive cases, pcs needing lots of repair, X-43
delivery (birth), C/S halting, X-65
delivery, C/S's responsibility, X-153
delusion, I-435

ded(s), I-232; see also HOM; Scn 8-80
delusive or dub-in case, I-436
and DEDEX, motivators, overt acts, conceptual entrance to, I-433
deep and light processing, I-187
defend, don't protect and defend, III-147
defense, consequences of, III-147
defense of anything is untenable; only way to defend anything is to attack, II-157
defensive person, V-321
definition(s), VII-447; see also Word Clearing aptitude, relation to misunderstood definitions, VII-294
by-passed definition, VII-294
by-passed, effect of, IX-394
clay table work on definitions, V-451; VII-162
cycle of mis-definition, V-508; VI-153
how to handle mis-definition on vital words, III-301, 321
in basic courses give precise definitions, II-391
student must look up every definition of the word when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processes, VI-150, 151
are not clay table processes, V-505
when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processing, II-277, 284
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, II-38; VII-105
degraded beings, VIII-230, 235
degraded beings, data on, VI-193, 194
deintensified engram, I-16
DEI Scale,
Comm Processes and DEI Scale, III-534
evolution of the DEI Scale, III-533
on beingness, III-271
stealing and DEI Scale, III-257
DEI to CDEI, see Scientology 0-8

delicate cases, pcs needing lots of repair, X-43
delivering Dianetics and Scientology, importance of, II-481
deliver what you promise, II-392
delivery (birth), how to run out, III-361
of babies, handled with assists, VIII-189
running out, VII-2
delivery, C/S's responsibility, X-153; IX-52
situation is imaginary or a delusion, 149
delusive or dub-in case, I-436
entrance to, I-433
demerol, I-105; see also drugs
democracy, Scientology exists to further and better government of people, and believes in prinDED-
ciples of democracy, Magna Carta, Constitution of the United States, and Bill of Rights, II-168-69
demon circuit(s), I-16, 30, 32, 301
defn., is any circuit that vocalizes thoughts, I-82
relation to entities, I-359
removing ~ and valence commands, I-18
valence shifters, when contacted and reduced, I-20
demons, source of, I-18; see also DMSMH
demonstrate, glib students can't demonstrate, V-488
demonstration kit, VII-287
defn.
by-passed, effect of, IX-394
clay table work on definitions, V-451; VII-162
cycle of mis-definition, V-508; VI-153
how to handle mis-definition on vital words, III-301, 321
in basic courses give precise definitions, II-391
student must look up every definition of the word when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processes, VI-150, 151
are not clay table processes, V-505
when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processing, II-277, 284
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, II-38; VII-105
degraded beings, VIII-230, 235
degraded beings, data on, VI-193, 194
deintensified engram, I-16
DEI Scale,
Comm Processes and DEI Scale, III-534
evolution of the DEI Scale, III-533
on beingness, III-271
stealing and DEI Scale, III-257
DEI to CDEI, see Scientology 0-8
delicate cases, pcs needing lots of repair, X-43
delivering Dianetics and Scientology, importance of, II-481
deliver what you promise, II-392
delivery (birth), how to run out, III-361
of babies, handled with assists, VIII-189
running out, VII-2
delivery, C/S's responsibility, X-153; IX-52
situation is imaginary or a delusion, 149
delusive or dub-in case, I-436
entrance to, I-433
demerol, I-105; see also drugs
democracy, Scientology exists to further and better government of people, and believes in prinDED-
ciples of democracy, Magna Carta, Constitution of the United States, and Bill of Rights, II-168-69
demon circuit(s), I-16, 30, 32, 301
defn., is any circuit that vocalizes thoughts, I-82
relation to entities, I-359
removing ~ and valence commands, I-18
valence shifters, when contacted and reduced, I-20
demons, source of, I-18; see also DMSMH
demonstrate, glib students can't demonstrate, V-488
demonstration kit, VII-287
defn.
by-passed, effect of, IX-394
clay table work on definitions, V-451; VII-162
cycle of mis-definition, V-508; VI-153
how to handle mis-definition on vital words, III-301, 321
in basic courses give precise definitions, II-391
student must look up every definition of the word when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processes, VI-150, 151
are not clay table processes, V-505
when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processing, II-277, 284
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, II-38; VII-105
degraded beings, VIII-230, 235
degraded beings, data on, VI-193, 194
deintensified engram, I-16
DEI Scale,
Comm Processes and DEI Scale, III-534
evolution of the DEI Scale, III-533
on beingness, III-271
stealing and DEI Scale, III-257
DEI to CDEI, see Scientology 0-8
delicate cases, pcs needing lots of repair, X-43
delivering Dianetics and Scientology, importance of, II-481
deliver what you promise, II-392
delivery (birth), how to run out, III-361
of babies, handled with assists, VIII-189
running out, VII-2
delivery, C/S's responsibility, X-153; IX-52
situation is imaginary or a delusion, 149
delusive or dub-in case, I-436
entrance to, I-433
demerol, I-105; see also drugs
democracy, Scientology exists to further and better government of people, and believes in prinDED-
ciples of democracy, Magna Carta, Constitution of the United States, and Bill of Rights, II-168-69
demon circuit(s), I-16, 30, 32, 301
defn., is any circuit that vocalizes thoughts, I-82
relation to entities, I-359
removing ~ and valence commands, I-18
valence shifters, when contacted and reduced, I-20
demons, source of, I-18; see also DMSMH
demonstrate, glib students can't demonstrate, V-488
demonstration kit, VII-287
defn.
by-passed, effect of, IX-394
clay table work on definitions, V-451; VII-162
cycle of mis-definition, V-508; VI-153
how to handle mis-definition on vital words, III-301, 321
in basic courses give precise definitions, II-391
student must look up every definition of the word when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processes, VI-150, 151
are not clay table processes, V-505
when used as remedies are normally processed by guiding secondary style, V-506
Definition Processing, II-277, 284
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, II-38; VII-105
degraded beings, VIII-230, 235
degraded beings, data on, VI-193, 194
deintensified engram, I-16
DEI Scale,
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

desire for effect, I-209
desire for pain, I-333
desire (Secondary Scale level), IV-305
destimulate, takes 3-10 days to, X-56
destroy, destruction, destructive; see also creation
  defn., a creation of something against a creation of something else, II-408
  defn., no more creation, II-408
Axiom 55: create, change, destroy, II-313
betrayal is help turned to destruction, III-219
creation precedes destruction, II-245
criminal is one who uses help on anyone to injure and destroy, IV-101
destructive actions are approved by antisocial person, VI-179
destructive actions are not necessarily overts, V-32
destructive people and constructive people, V-408
help and destroy are opposite ends of the same string, III-252
in order to survive is not a duplication, IV-126
keynote of insanity is destructive efforts on various dynamics, IV-82
no such thing, II-408
preclear who believes that every cause brings about a destruction, IV-35
psychiatrist thinks ~ is same as help, III-252; see also suppressive person
science goes mad when it is “creating in order to destroy”, IV-127
(Secondary Scale level), IV-295
detachment, pc’s feeling of, I-267
determined, pan-; see pan-determined
determined, self-; see self-determined
determinism, psychotic goes berserk at thought of doing anything told him by another determinism, IV-136
determinism scale, robot band of, VIII-127
development, meaning excessive, traffic, basic reason for, VII-4
Dharma, II-72, 73
diagnosis; see DTOT; DMSMH
  and repair of groups, I-91
  “dialogue sense”, I-17
Dianazene, for radiation prevention, what it is, VI-123
Dianetic(s), VI-262; VIII-289; see also Dianetic Assistant; engrams; R3R; DTOT; EOS; DMSMH; SOS; SA; CDN; Dn 55!; Dn Today
defn., a very exact analytical approach to problems of the mind, II-209
defn., an extension of old-time faculty-psychology of 400 years ago, II-405
ability to do, is fundamental background of Scientology, VI-159, 325
accomplishes an eradication of unwanted condition, VI-329, 348, 378
Analytical Dianetics, I-27
and Scientology, essential difference between, II-118
Dianetic(s) (cont.)
and Scientology, less workable the further from source, II-457
assessment, VI-357, 388, 389
defn., choose, from a list or statements, which item or thing has the longest read or pc’s interest, VI-343
by longest read, list of symbols, VI-357
Dianetic misassessment, how to tell, VI-429
prior assessment, VI-377
assessment list, defn., list of somatic items given by pc and written down by auditor with reads marked that occur on meter, IX-11, 51
assist; see Dianetic Assistant auditor(s).
  ability to get results is a basic for, VI-448
  and ruds, X-3
are not expected to do Scientology actions, VI-336
can repair Int RDs, VII-224
instructions, VI-158
is not concerned with “rehabilitation” of over run, VI-373
keys out locks; what happens to pc, VI-372
must know Dianetics to understand mind and aberration, VI-160
requirements, VI-366
skills reach up to and include a well, happy human being, VI-326
value of being Dianetic auditor before Scientology auditor, VI-447, 448
Axioms of; see A&L; Scn 0-8
axioms, primary; see DTOT
basically epistemology, the study of knowledge, I-149
basic difference between Dianetics and Scientology, III-270
begin Dianetics with Pc Assessment Sheet, not Health Form, VII-339
beginning a pc on, X-168
Black Dianetics, I-280
body pain, sensation, somatic, illness, disability, subject to use is Dianetics, VI-351
bottom rung of Dianetics, II-397
branch of Scientology which deals with mental anatomy, III-470
breakthrough came in assessing only somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, VII-9
case,
  failures, causes of, VI-337, 386, 387
  three types of, I-79
case supervision, VI-336, 366, 411; see also case supervising
  C/Sing. X-90, 91
C/S plays adept Scientology Reviews against Dianetics, VI-410
C/S programs case from Assessment Form, using drugs or medicine first and rest by largest reads first, VII-340
C/S 1, VI-368, 409; VII-225, 458; X-117

366
Dianetic(s) (cont.)

case supervision (cont.)
C/S 1 and 2 [1969], VI-368, 409
C/S 3 [1969], VI-409

Dianetic C/S’s Index, X-257

Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing, VII-190;
VIII-285

four possible actions to take, VI-409
chain; see chain
changes and improves the rate of healing, VI-320,
348, 423
Child; see Child Dianetics
Clear; see Clear, Dianetic
C/S; see Diabetic case supervision
definitions, VI-340
development of, VI-393
Dianometry; see Dianometry

“Did that incident erase” is not asked now, VII-53
don’t copy Dianetic lists or worksheets, VII-359
don’t wait on pc to act or move in time, pictures
do what auditor says, VI-342

Double Terminaling and -, I-352

Drug RD, Dianetic, VII-321, 329; see also Drug
Rundown
drugs are handled first in Dianetics, VII-339, 340;
see also drugs
drugs, pc on drugs lately, why Dianetics can’t be
run properly, VI-443; see also drugs
early Dianetic problems now solved, VI-386
early Dianetics, similarities and differences to
Freud, II-465

Educational Dianetics, I-27
education of parents, I-46
end phenomena, VII-20, 53, 117; VIII-272; see
also end phenomena
erasure, F/N, cognition, VI-373
engram discovery and erasure methods owned en-
tirely by Dianetics, II-466
erasure; see erasure
errors, VI-355, 366, 414, 447
evolution of, I-488

Expanded Dianetics; see Expanded Dianetics
first law of, I-283
floating needle on a chain can be called end of
that chain, but not of Dianetics on case
for pastoral counseling is completely legal, VI-347
First law of, I-283
flows, one tries to do all flows of item in one
session, VII-186
Flow Table, IX-55; see also Dianetics, Full Flow
Table
defn., chronological list of Dn items run, from
earliest to latest, with flows that have been
run, IX-11
example of, IX-55
for pastoral counseling is completely legal, VI-347
Full Flow Dianetic(s), VIII-274, 284, 286, 374,
378; X-100, 103; see also flows; Quadruple
Dianetics; Triple Dianetics
action, result of doing, VII-211
and OTs, X-120, 125

Dianetic(s) (cont.)

Full Flow Dianetic(s) (cont.)

auditing additional flows while earlier items
remain Single or Triple restimulates miss-
ing flows and stacks them up as mass, VIII
377
auditor’s lack of knowledge of flows, doing
F0s on a Triple pc, handling of, VIII-410
by-passed flows and mass, VII-210, 212, 213;
VIII-286, 380
chains that did not F/N when originally run,
how to handle, VII-211
completing unfinished flows in, VII-275, 378
cost of, VIII-379
Dianetic remedies and Triple Flows, VIII-285
do not audit four flow items until all earlier
Dianetic items brought into four flows,
VIII-377
getting in all flows, VIII-287, 288, 381
high TAs, heavy pressures and even illness can
come from by-passed flows, VII-12; VIII
286, 380
if pc’s TA begins to average higher, overrun is
occurring, VII-227; VIII-290, 385
Int-Ext RD and, VIII-285, 375
mass occurs when flows of items are by-passed
and then later restimulated by auditing
them, VII-210, 212, 213; VIII-287, 381
missing flows are still potential mass, VIII-274,
377
offering, X-101, 104
old pcs run Triple, let them remain Triple
unless you have to do Int RD or some
Quad RD, VIII-373

on specific situations, VII-2
original version, done on a gradient, VI-162
person doesn’t like, X-157
procedure [1966], VI-161
repair, X-101, 103-04
requires flawless auditing and C/Sing, VIII
292, 386; X-121, 125
result of, VIII-273, 379; X-101, 104
running previously unrun flows, VII-1
run previously unrun flow or flows first to get
charge off, then verify or run them as
run already, VII-213; VIII-287, 381
safe course is to use Triples on new, never
audited before pcs; those begun on Triples,
use then only Triple flows, VIII-291
TA, high TA and Quad Flows, VIII-381
TA, high TA and Triple Flows, VII-1; VIII
287

Triple reruns, VIII-286
and Quad reruns, VIII-380

tripling earlier Dianetics, VIII-274, 377
unrun flows law: when one or more of the
four flows of an item or grade are left
unrun, when used in later processes the
earlier unrun ones restimulate and make
mass, VII-212

367
Dianetic(s) (cont.)

Full Flow Dianetic(s) (cont.)
unrun flows law: when one or more of the three flows of an item or grade are left unrun, when used in later processes the earlier unrun ones restimulate and make mass, VIII-286, 380
when doing additional flows one must also check or rehab flows run to F/N, VIII-287, 381
when to triple or quad narrative items or multiple somatic items, VIII-275, 378
Full Flow Table, VII-188, 210; X-100, 103; see also Dianetics, Flow Table
Int RD and Full Flow Table, VII-189
is only done if it comes up or bogs running Triples (Ex Dn), IX-174
goal of, I-149
goal, original, I-9
Grade, when Dianetic Grade was missed, people F/Ned their way straight on up to OT VI, still wondering where their headache came from, VI-424
greatly speeds up reaction time, increases IQ rapidly, VI-420
grinding, VI-400
Group Dianetics; see Group Dianetics
handles locks, secondaries and engrams, VI-342
healing profession and Dianetics, there is no conflict of interest between, VI-320
Health Form; see Health Form
High Crimes, VI-396
illness and Dianetics; see illness incidents, earlier beginning, VI-401
intention in, II-479
interest, two subjects on which the interest question is omitted, X-229
Int-Ext RD is essentially a Dianetic, not a Scientology action, VIII-291, 386
is so simple that it demonstrates cleanly whether person can audit or not, VI-447
is very general in application, IX-127
item(s),
already run may give a protest read, VI-357
ask for pc interest, VI-357
doesn’t read, pc still has symptoms, use suppress and invalidate, VII-11
how they first are null and later read, VI-358
must be made plural on Flow 3 when one is running Triples, VI-442
must never be run twice, VII-359; X-5
“no interest” items, VIII-161; IX-138
right item indicators, VI-389
that an item reads guarantees that pc will be able to confront and erase chain, VI-357
that doesn’t read will produce no chain, no basic and pc will jump around track trying but just jamming up his bank, VII-49
wrong item indicators, VI-388, 429
language and; see Child Dianetics

Dianetic(s) (cont.)
lets preclear overwhelm engram, II-398
Life Repair before Dianetics, VII-74
list(s),
*defn.* is simply pc’ s physical aches and pains, VI-390
all Dianetic lists can be carried to an item that blows down and F/Ns, VII-392
bring together in folder, VII-359
can produce wrong list reactions, VIII-97;
X-221
Dianetic lists vs. Scientology lists, VI-390
errors, VII-392; X-184
is not a listing action, VII-49
L3RC—Dianetics and Ext RD Repair List, VIII-245
L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List, VIII-265
use of, VIII-290
note reads as pc mentions items, VI-377, 389
null lists in Dianetics, VII-11
of somatics, pains, emotions and attitudes can act as a list under meaning of laws of listing and nulling, VII-392
lower-toned cases, reason it often worked on, I-494
mechanistic science, II-209
medicine made effective by Dianetics, VI-372, 423
“mentally retarded” children have been made more normal by Dianetics, VI-348
must be used in all cases of psychosomatic illness or in physical suffering, VI-338, 349
never prepcheck while doing, this mushes up en grams, VIII-291, 385
nine things that go wrong, VI-428
“no interest” items, VIII-161; IX-138
“oddity” case, VI-410
Operating Thetan and Dianetics, VI-360, 395
operations and delivery, aftereffects of, handled by Dianetic auditing, VI-320
overrun = disrelated chain being restimulated, VII-18
pain depressants inhibit ability of thetan to create mental image pictures and impede electrical conductivity of nerve channels, VI-443; see also drugs
pain not resolved on Dianetics, VII-110
past life remedies, VIII-388
past track, pc who doesn’t go past track in Dianetics doesn’t recover, VII-452
peculiarities handled by Scientology Review, VI-370; see also Dianetics, L3RD
preclear(s), VII-99; X-49; see also preclear
*defn.* is one who is being processed toward objective of a well and happy human being, VI-326
F/Ns on a lock, ask for an earlier incident, VI-373
has somatics, Dianetic level unflat, VII-70
Dianetic(s) (cont.)
is supposed to erase a picture, not only somat-ic, VI-376
not changing or improving, how to handle, VI-430
often is unable to confront actual engram at once, VI-341
pattern at Examiner, VII-123
repair of a Dianetic pc, VI-429; VII-74; see also Dianetic list, L3RD
should be audited on Dianetics until no somat-ics, VII-99
telling there are several incidents, take earliest, VI-401
who does not resolve on Standard Dianetics alone, how to handle, VI-395
who doesn’t go past lives doesn’t recover, VIII-330, 339
who is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower use of Dianetics, VI-347, 348; VIII-274, 284; see also Dn Today
prepcheck, never, while doing Dianetics, it mushes White Dianetics, I-282
up engrams, VII-228
PreventiveDianetics; see PreventiveDianetics processes evolved by paralleling analytical mind action, I-33
programming, VII-340; see also programming Quadruple Dianetics; see Quadruple Dianetics
raises IQ as a side product to usual auditing, VI-348, 420
reason evolved, I-6
development of, with “cultural lag”, VI-374
religion and, I-38; see also religion
religion vs. science, II-211
remedies, X-90, 93
results, VI-351; X-90, 93
results are a well body and a being happy with it, VI-325, 351
reverie, purpose of, I-8
roller-coaster after Dianetic auditing, handling, VI-410
rudiments, out, in Dianetics, VI-429
run somatics, not medical terms, VI-389
run what is offered, don’t force pc, VI-341
run what reads not what auditor thinks, VI-358; see R3R
Scoiology, Dianetics and, VII-160, 349, 351
difference between, I-316, 405; II-118; III-270; VI-325, 338; VIII-107
discussion of; see also DMSMH
scope of; see also DMSMH
session outnesses, examples, how to handle, VI-366; see also Dianetic list, L3RD
science of ability [1954], II-110
solution vs. Scientology, I-405
Standard Dianetics is very general in application, VIII-68, 87

Dianetic(s) (cont.)
stuck pictures, VI-227
student ill, handled by Dianetics, VIII-76
survival is basic axiom of, I-6
technique of, I-8
tone arm, high; see also tone arm, high
at session start, how to handle, VII-45, 208
in Dianetics, engram chain not erased in restim, VII-117
in Dianetics, reason for and how to handle, VI-356, 418
tone arm position and ~, VI-373
“total-aphathy-won’t-answer” session upset, probable cause of, VII-392
Triple Dianetics; see Triple Dianetics
TRs are TR 101, 102, 103 and 104, VI-414
unable to run standard, X-78
unflat on, X-13-14
upsets, handling of, VII-228; VIII-291, 385
use of Dianetics, VI-347, 348; VIII-274, 284; see also Do Today
White Dianetics, I-282
who can run Dianetics, VIII-291, 386
worst crime is overwhelming pc by telling him what’s wrong, not letting him tell you, VI-379
you use Dianetics much the way you would use any remedy, VI-349
Zero Flow in Dianetics may F/N very suddenly; it is easily overrun and can be very fast, VIII-288, 382
Dianetic Assist, I-8; VI-318; X-159; see also assists; Dianetics
EP.—pain gone, cog, F/N, VII-322
Dianetic Counseling Group, VI-402, 403
Dianetic Engram Release, VI-175
Dianetic Engrams Triple, VI-297
Dianetic Foundation, I-412
Dianetic Research Foundation, first, failed as it wouldn’t run past lives, VI-345
Dianetic Secondaries Triple, VI-295
Dianetic Secondary Release, VI-175
Dianetic Study Intensive, VI-452
Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health, auditor needs good command of DMSMH to understand theta clearing, I-3 15
Clear best described in, IV-80
contains a bridge between uninformed and informed public on subject of Scientology, IV-79, 81
disseminate with DMSMH, IV-80
handles public arguments and questions concerning the mind, IV-79, 81
printed with hard covers in foreign language is vital, VII-443: IX-351
some things in DMSMH we no longer use such as repeater technique, looking for phrases to explain conditions, VI-336
why it was written, IV-78
Dianometry, defn., is that branch of Dianetics which measures thought capacity, computational ability, and the rationality of the human mind, I-68; see also Dianetics; tests
dichotomy, dichotomies; see also Scn 8-80; Scn 8-8008 defn., plus minus aspect of all thought, II-445
admiration and critical are a ~, III-245
dictatorship, why it doesn’t work, II-423
dictionary, dictionaries, V-489; see also Word Clearing
big dictionary is needed to define simple words fully, VII-383; IX-427, 460
dinky dictionaries, IX-460
foreign words—get a dictionary of that language, IX-432
how to use, IX-431
whichare the best, VIII-151
diet; see also nutrition
high protein and auditing, I-42
proper, VIII-208
search for the natural diet of man, VIII-401
differentiate, differentiation; see also Scn 8-8008
and identification, I-244; II-272
are the two extremes of processes, II-297
enhancing ability to, I-245
inability to differentiate is a decline in awareness, IV-122
rational, I-239
dictatorships (ARC Process 1961), IV-442
difficult student, I-366; see also Remedy A; Remedy B
difficulty is a get-rid-of desire, goal is an actual desire, IV-420
difficulty stems from no responsibility, V-9
dignity and purpose are native to the child, I-324
dimension point(s), action of a ~ is reaching and withdrawing, I-375
can be moved by the viewpoint, I-375
purpose of a ~ is space and a point of view, I-375
dimension, space is a viewpoint of, II-11, 13
director of Processing(s) (DoP), III-334
defn., is a director of processing of cases, VII-462
actions of, VII-182; VIII-150, 412
any “field ARC breaks” are direct reflection on ~, VII-463
case checking hat, IV-228
case handling, V-357
checks assessment, new goals and terminals, and pc on rudiments, IV-215, 216
Check Type Forms I-8 [SOP Goals], IV-228-33, 254
grounds on which to refuse a pc or to refuse to release a pc, III-51
handling of auditors who say, “It didn’t work”, IV-118
handling pc’s Ethics cycles, IX-54
Director of Processing(s) (cont.)
HGC, two chief seniors, C/S (for tech) and D of P (for auditors and bodies), VII-183
indicates the processes to be used by auditors on pcs [1959], III-381
interviews, VII-183; IX-52; X-188
to get data on case, VII-405
job, “to raise OCAs with paid for processing and to be sure pc is happier”, VII-462, 463
operates by OCAs, X-205
pcs D of P may refuse to audit [1961], IV-334
pc “transferred” to D of P, how to handle, IV-216
pressures on, X-33
relationship of staff auditor to, IV-214
responsibilities, VII-183, 184
role of D of P, IV-228
schedule, X-83
Solo D of P keeps tabs on pcs falling off lines, X-214-15
stat, VIII-150
training, VII-183, 462
view on R2 & R3 ARC break, V-253
work is completely textbook, VI-50
Director of Tech Services (D of T/S), actions and stat of, VIII-150
actually in charge of pcs and all folder files and all board keep-up work, VII-183
Director of Training (D of T), Examiner’s information line to, I-66
goal of training from viewpoint of D of T, III-345
grounds on which to refuse a student already registered or to send student to Examiner, III-51
instructors and ~ responsible for any future failure of student may have in processing pcs, III-50
should never instruct Academy, III-264
direct style auditing; see auditing, direct style
direct valence; see valence, direct
Dir of Procu, abbreviation for Director of Procurement, III-334
dirty needle(s) (DN), V-119, 236, 335, 364, 384, 414, 447
defn., agitated throughout check, making reading difficult; pc’s attention obviously dispersed, V-84
defn., a small rock slam, V-129
defn., a quarter of an inch agitation of needle as an instant response, V-141
defn., that erratic agitation of needle which covers less than a quarter of an inch of E-Meter dial and tends to be persistent, V-177
defn., one that jerks, tips, dances, halts, is stuck or has any random action on it with auditor sitting looking at it doing nothing, V-224
defn., ragged, jerky, ticking needle, not sweeping, VI-375

CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975
dirty needle(s) (cont.)
ARC break assessment often has to be done through a dirty needle, V-306
(brief, not continuous) on Grade 5 or above person means “no”, VI-220
can be cleaned both with auditing (like mid ruds) and with Routine 2, V-224
causes of dirty needles, V-93, 384; VI-148, 375
auditor failing to hear all pc had to say, V-335, 414
basic auditing not technique errors, V-384, 414
cut itsa, V-364, 384, 414, 447
cutting pc off, getting in more actions than pc is allowed to answer, V-419
earlier item is wrong [3DXX], V-57
incomplete lists [3GAXX], V-180, 181
missed withholds, not withholds, V-57, 59, 129
no-confidence induces a sort of auto-control in session which induces a dirty needle, V-93
pc has withholds or is ARC broken, VI-375
don’t ever try to null a list with ~, V-224
how to get rid of, V-93, 364, 447
jitters all the time, different from a dirty read, V-384
medium dirty needle, defn., agitated throughout check but with periods of no agitation when a read can be obtained easily; reacts to checker’s voice, V-84
persistent and always recurring, handling of, V-129
real dirty needle is constant and continues, VI-220
rock slams and dirty needles, V-129
TRs and dirty needles, VI-375
what a ~ means on an oppose list, V-232
dirty read (DR), defn., that more or less instant response of needle which is agitated by a major thought; it is an instant tiny (less than quarter of an inch) agitation of needle and is in fact a very small cousin of rock slam, but is not a rock slam; it does not persist, V-177
different from a dirty needle, V-384
rocket read is superior in value to an R/S; R/S is superior in value to a DR; DR is superior in value to a fall, V-212
Dirty 30 is Procedure 30, which encompassed what is now R2-17 and two other steps, II-172; see also Opening Procedure by Duplication

disagree, disagreement,
how to cure, VI-126
makes reality weaker, I-101
(Secondary Scale level), IV-306
Disagreements Check, VIII-40
disappearances, sudden, stay hung in the bank, III-137
dissociation from identities, IV-7
discharged process, X-29
somediscipline of imagination essential in any learning process, I-324
discipline vs. reactive mind, VI-102
disconnection from present time, III-97
disconnect or handle, VIII-209
discovery, why cases weren’t making it, X-158
discreditable creations, IV-7
disease cycles, VIII-406
disease, mechanism of, III-147
diseases, venereal, III-147
dishonest,
defn., disposed to lie, cheat, defraud or deceive,
VIII-102
continuous missed withheld, probably all dishonest social conduct brings about, VIII-235
dishonest person, his way out, IV-27
disinterest is one of mechanisms of play, II-421
dislike (Secondary Scale level), IV-292
disorder, ARC break is a disorder, III-378
disinterest is one of mechanisms of play, II-421
dispatch lines, fast ~ handle awkward situations, III-521
dispersal (on programming), X-12
disperse (Secondary Scale level), IV-312
disseminate, disseminating, dissemination,
approach the workaday world, not executives, II-392
auditing, dissemination of, II-265
best ~ is good auditing results, II-171
book distribution and selling, II-320
books are dissemination, IV-78
broad dissemination depends upon technical quality, V-324
contact individuals, not groups, II-354
correct gradient of data to use, II-87
disseminate Scientology without telling what it is, III-476
failure, V-59
improperly done results in confusion; correct handling, II-154
interest is not first step in dissemination, IV-74
intro lectures, don’t talk about but give them Scientology, II-404
is a regular routine activity, II-355
method(s), I-353
“I will talk to anyone”, illness researches,
casualty contact, II-351
street contact, industrial contact and psycho analytic contact, II-351
Sunday service, II-352
of material, manual on, II-151
of materialsofScientology, correctpublics, II-153
Presession Processes assist dissemination, IV-72, 73
use a proper gradient, II-88
use of “learning rate” in, III-20
using agreement, II-390
what to disseminate, II-153
withDMSMH, IV-80
with “something can be done about it”, II-264
you cannot communicate in 25 minutes
thing which took 25 years to develop, III-106
distrust-trust, I-213
dizziness, I-120
defn., feeling of disorientation and includes a spin-
ness, as well as an out-of-balance feeling,
V-175; VII-192
optterm produces dizziness or “winds of space”
sensation, V-5
DMSMH; see Dianetics: The Modern Science of
Mental Health index entries
DMT, a drug, description of, VI-244
DN; see dirty needle
do, doing, doingness,
defn., action, function, accomplishment, the
attainment of goals, the fulfilling of purpose,
or any change of position in space, II-410
above havingness there is doiness, and above
doiness there is beingness, and above being-
ness there is communicativeness, and above
communicativeness there is knowingness, and
above knowingness there is postulatingness,
II-183
be, do, have triangle used to establish goals real to
pc, II-379
be, have and do, relationship to space, time and
energy, I-295
being, having, doing—triangular interrelationship,
I-296
beiness, doiness and havingness must be bal-
anced; each must be flexible in pc for a stable
gain, IV-207
beiness increased by ~ without having, I-296
categories of doiness (thought, emotion and
effort), I-296
energy becomes doiness in life experience,
II-13
energy can be summed into do, I-296
Goals Processing finds beiness and mind’s doin-
ness toward it (Prehav Scale) and results in
havingness, IV-207
Haviness Scale consists of the doinesses with
regard to mass, III-141
how all doiness becomes bad, IV-24
importance of willingness to do, III-80
optimum speed of, I-296
Prehav Scale gives degrees of doiness, V-173
problem is not a condition or a terminal, it is a
“how” or “whether”; it is a doiness not a
person, III-315
rehabilitation of willingness to do, IV-25
second condition of existence, II-410
unwillingness to do, IV-24
withholding of, IV-24
Doctorate, road to, I-429
doctor (medical), role of, II-35, 37
Doctor of Divinity, why, II-72
Doctor of Scientology (D.Scn.) or Hubbard Graduate
Auditor (HGA) Course, II-339; IV-262
Doctor(s) of Scientology, III-102
degree of, I-312
how to become a, I-343
D of P, abbreviation for Director of Processing, III
334; see Director of Processing
D of T; see Director of Training
D of T/S; see Director of Tech Services
dog case; see preclear, dog
dog pc; see preclear, dog
doll, use of a doll in coachless training, V-103
domination, processing as, I-153
“dones”, get “dones” not thoughts or natter on with
holds, IV-424
“don’t know” version, Sec Checking, IV-425
“don’t know”~ version, withhold pulling, IV-424
dope off,
pcke dope off = lack of sleep or BP F/N = check on
sleep, or rehab F/N, VII-46, 359
R2-12, V-237
dopey or “boil off” preclear, cause and remedy of,
VIII-117
dopiness”, unconsciousness or agitation on the part
of pc, what it means, II-449
double,
acknowledgement; see acknowledgement, double
action cycles, IV-126
actions, X-145
folder, X-45
Int, X-112
major grades, X-112
major rundowns, X-5
Power, X-97
questioning, changing because pc changes, and fol-
lowing pc’s instructions, V-74
double-body; see History of Man
Double Terminal(s), Double Terminaling, I-385; see
also Matched Terminal
and Dianetics, I-352
assist, I-352
excellent assist but limited process, I-329
Matched or, I-407
downgrade, departure from exact processes, X-152
downstat areas, executive must investigate and find
any out-ethics situation and correct it, VIII
100
downstat, when you reward a you not only deprive
upstats, you also cave the ~ in, VIII-80
DR; see dirty read
Dramatization(s), dramatize(s), dramatized, dramatiz-
ing; seealsoDTOT; DMSMH
defn., to act under influence of past incidents
as dictated by those incidents in bank, VIII
336
difference between restimulation being drama-
tized and an origination, III-371
dramatizes = R6EW unflat, VII-70; X-22
dramatizing psychotic, defn, I-57
dramatizing of past experience, inability to re
strain ~ occurs when one has decided he can
do nothing about such an experience; there
after he is the effect of all similar pictures,
III-359
dramatization(s) (cont.)
insane cannot control or withhold their evil purposes and dramatize them at least covertly, VIII-128
of name, I-366
psychiatry is a dramatization, IV-103
preclear, I-20
restrained by Ethics, VI-102
withholds, dramatization of, VIII-336
on vital information lines, VIII-336
remedy for, VIII-337
worry, a dramatization, I-19
follow a sudden loss, VI-18
“dream therapy”, I-181

drug(s) (cont.)
cases, characteristics of, VI-377
case who cannot be gotten through Method 1
Word Clearing due to case, it is usual to give him Drug Rundown first, VIII-137, 163
cause inability to run engrams, IX-129
chains are rehabbed and run out by Dianetics, VII-192
chemically inhibit the creation of mental image pictures and inhibit as well the erasure, VI-444
chief cause of failure in cases, VIII-300
convulsions, it is possible to come off drugs with out convulsions, VI-243
current drug history or connections, handling, VII-34, 328
data on LSD-25, marijuana, hashish, peyote, mescaline, STP and DMT, VI-244
done first, X-157
drive a person out of an unbearable PT or out of consciousness altogether, VI-292
drying out, VII-425
driving out period, VI-322, 444
effects of drugs, I-104; VI-243, 444; VII-319, 327
engrams, VII-320, 328
engrams and alcohol, X-156
“five days” rule need not apply to assists, VIII-192
fog up a student and prevent gains, VIII-137; IX-325
former user, X-156
full auditing rundown, X-157
handling of, VII-3 19, 327, 339; X-156-58; see also
and drugs are not used in processing, II-444
Drug Rundown
effects and handlings same as drug’s, VI-243, 258, 377, 386
included as a drug, X-156, 157, 158
is included as a drug and receives same treat-
ment in auditing, VII-319, 327
or drugs make a resistive case, handle first, VII-320, 328
what it does to body, VIII-207
and pc who cannot run engrams, X-211
and roller-coaster, X-156
and TRs, X-157
are handled first in Dianetics, VII-339, 340
aspirin, VI-443
assist given over –, how to handle later, VIII-192
auditing over, VI-444
bomb formula and administration, VII-426
burns up Vitamin BI in system rapidly, VI-243
caffeine is a drug, VI-243
can cause forceful exteriorization, VI-258
can prevent goingbacktrack, VIII-388
can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-
320, 328
case, a false exteriorization often occurs on an enforced basis and may go into restim, VII-449
case gain, people who have been on drugs do not make case gain until drugs are handled, VII-
319, 327, 425, 427
can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-
320, 328

training data on LSD-25, marijuana, hashish, peyote, mescaline, STP and DMT, VI-244
done first, X-157
—drug(s), VI-243; see also Drug Rundown; Dianetics
Today
—alcohol(s),
—drugs are not used in processing, II-444
—effects and handlings same as drug’s, VI-243, 258, 377, 386
—included as a drug, X-156, 157, 158
—is included as a drug and receives same treat-
tment in auditing, VII-319, 327
—or drugs make a resistive case, handle first, VII-320, 328
—what it does to body, VIII-207
—and pc who cannot run engrams, X-211
—and roller-coaster, X-156
—and TRs, X-157
—are handled first in Dianetics, VII-339, 340
—aspirin, VI-443
—assist given over –, how to handle later, VIII-192
—auditing over, VI-444
—bomb formula and administration, VII-426
—burns up Vitamin BI in system rapidly, VI-243
—caffeine is a drug, VI-243
—can cause forceful exteriorization, VI-258
—can prevent goingbacktrack, VIII-388
—or drugs make a resistive case, handle first, VII-320, 328
drug(s) (cont.)
“releases” are deadly because they give the sensation of release while actually pulling in mass, handling of, VI-258
resistive case, former drug user is a resistive case, VII-319, 320, 327, 328
restimulation of pictures, cycle of, VI-444
somatic shut-off is caused by drugs and alcohol, VI-386
student has been on drugs, must be given a Drug RD, VII-76
takers, some go plowing back into early implants and drug therapies, VII-450
tone arm, drug chain makes high TA if in existence or unflat, VII-189
tone arm under drugs or on drug case can go very high, TA 4.0, TA 5.0; it can also be dropped to “dead thetan” (a false clear read), VI-444
tranquilizers, how they work, VI-314, 443
trippers, VI-258
TRs to get off drugs, VII-65, 319, 328
unhandled drugs and Ethics, VIII-300
use engramrunning on, X-86
users get blown out of their heads and bog, TA up, VII-160
users of drugs cannot as-is, do not get TA, nor do they have cognitions, VI-245
vitamin therapy, VII-423, 426; see also nutrition
why drugs are dangerous, VII-243
withdrawal symptoms, VII-425
how to handle, VIII-354
Drug Rundown, VII-320, 328; VIII-312; see also drugs
and Grade Chart, X-248, 249
and Life Repair, X-248
can fail by asking for interest on items, VIII-169; IX-139
Dianetic Drug RD, VII-321, 329
effects of an omitted or incomplete Drug RD are severe enough to deny a person any lasting casegain, VIII-311
is a must before Ex Dn, VIII-307; IX-255
is a must before Solo, VIII-21
Life Repair is not a prerequisite for Drug RD, VIII-311
needed before Method 1 if student on or has been on drugs, IX-325
student has been on drugs, must be given a Drug RD, VII-76
students who are or have been on drugs need a ~ before tackling Word Clearing Method 1, VIII-137
drunkard, how to handle, I-486
dry and wet hands make false TA, VIII-226, 415
dry hands give high TA, VII-423
IIID.Scn./HGA, IV-262; see also Doctor of Scientology
dual universe, IV-62
dub-in; see also cases, dub-in
defn., is picture of somebody telling story, who is occluded, I-206
dub-in (cont.)
defn., any unknowingly created mental picture that appears to have been a record of physical universe but is in fact only an altered copy of time track, V-274
caused by, I-18
delusory picture is called dub-in (term taken from’ movie world), source of, II-546
is a continuous characteristic of person in a single lifetime and may not be present in the ensuing lifetime, III-398
dull, cause of feeling dull when talking to certain people, VI-26
dummy auditing, auditing procedures are learned by, I-341, 396; VII-252; see also auditing, dummy; TRs
dummy run, HGC line should be, X-85
duplicate, duplicated, duplication, duplicative, II-49;
VIII-109
all operating principles of life may be derived from duplication, II-15
an enforced fixation in a geographical position brings about an unwillingness to duplicate, II-15
auditor must be able to duplicate, III-355
auditor willingness to duplicate, II-121; VIII-109
basic action of existence is ~, II-15
CCHs are “familiarization” processes that permit pc to confront control and duplication, V-43
communication and duplication, VIII-185
communicate so it can be duplicated, II-137
communication depends upon duplication, II-15
communication works in processes due to duplication part of communications formula (Axiom 28), IV-155
duplicative factors of, III-355
deteriorated willingness to duplicate, IV-186
Dummy Auditing—Step Three: Duplication, III-354
expertly or poorly done, results in agreement or disagreement, II-136
haviness is apparently the willingness and ability to duplicate in all senses of the word, IV-155
inability to duplicate is also inability to be cause and inability to be effect, II-172
inability to duplicate on any dynamic is primary degeneration of thetan, II-15
in most universe, single crime is ~, II-15
Mimicry Processes are Duplication Processes and work only because they raise haviness, IV-155
not-is is a mechanism to prevent duplication, 435
Opening Procedure by Duplication; see Opening Procedure by Duplication
Overt/Withhold assists duplication and therefore haviness, IV-145
duplicate, duplicated, duplication, duplicative (cont.)

PC's ability to duplicate, process to rehabilitate, IV-52

Perception depends upon duplication, II-15

Process of duplication itself balances out and makes person easy about his past, VIII-109

(Secondary Scale level), IV-307

SOP 8-C Step VIII: Duplication; see SOP 8-C

Student only fails by not confronting, duplicating, absorbing and using materials before him exactly like it says, VII-237

Tone Scale, position on Tone Scale is determined by willingness and ability to duplicate, IV-155 to really as-is you have to make a perfect duplicate, II-299

Training and duplication, VIII-110

TR 3, Duplicative Question; see TRs, TR 3

Willingness of thetan to duplicate, how rehabilitated, II-15

Deduction [process], I-329, 396

Deduction (Step E, Short 8), I-424, 425; see also SOP 8-C, Step VIII

Deduction, Opening Procedure by; see Opening Procedure by Deduction

Deduction Processes, III-7

Deduction Processes, characteristic, purpose, stable datum, III-479

Deduction Straight Wire, “What would you permit to happen again?”; IV-52; see also Straightwire

Deductive Question; see TRs, TR 3

Duration and date of incident found in engram run-permits an incident to be run with visio, VI-158, 386

Dwelling to keep chaos from exploding, III-212

Dwindling spiral, the idea of “worse than” is the whole of ~, III-178

Dying, auditing used by pc to assist dying, I-490; see also death

dynamic(s); see also survival; DTOT; DMSMH;

NOTE:
defn., motives or motivations, urges (drives, impulses) in life, II-411
definitions of; see also dynamic 1st, 2nd, etc. ability of individual to assume beingness, doingness and havingness of each dynamic is an index to his ability to live, II-412

Are not of equal importance, II-412 as combination of teams to have a game, II-422

Audit along the lines of the wellness in the dynamic, II-109

Central dynamic of individual is urge toward survival, I-157

Conditions by Dynamics, VIII-81
1st dynamic (cont.)
when seventh dynamic is reached in its entirety one will only then discover the true first dynamic, II-412
3rd dynamic is stronger than, VII-366
1st and 2nd dynamics, Scientologists handle, only to achieve better function on third and fourth, IV-112
2nd dynamic; see also children; family; husband and wife; marriage; sex
defn., I-84, 158, 380

defn., urge of individual toward survival through procreation and includes both sex act and raising of progeny, II-107
defn., urge toward existence as a sexual or bisexual activity; has two divisions—second dynamic (a) is the sexual act itself and second dynamic (b) is the family unit including the rearing of children, II-411
ability to have and raise and train children, II-109
atomic burn hits mainly at ~, II-378
3rd dynamic,
defn., I-84, 158, 380
defn., urge of individual toward survival through a group, II-107
defn., urge toward existence in groups of individuals; any group or part of an entire class could be considered to be a part of third dynamic; school, society, town, nation are each part of third dynamic and each one is a third dynamic, II-411
ability on third dynamic is to have the ability to develop social, industrial or agrarian skills so as to be an asset to the third dynamic, II-109
examples of Scientology applied to third dynamic, IV-114
for Scientology, IV-2
highest level of and earliest instant of 3rd dynamic activity is and was communication, III-518
how it violates the communication formula, III-336
how we work on the third dynamic, III-251 is stronger than first dynamic, VII-366; IX-6
riot is simply a psychosomatic momentary injury or traumatic condition on the third dynamic, III-261
sanity, hattedness is basic of, VIII-38
two-way communication is a third-dynamic operation, II-138
what our third dynamic organization should do, IV-113
3rd and 4th dynamics, aberrated, IV-45
3rd and 4th dynamics, Scientologists are “doctors” on 3rd and 4th dynamics, IV-113
4th dynamic,
defn., I-84, 158, 380
4th dynamic (cont.)
defn., urge of individual toward survival as mankind, II-107
defn., urge toward existence as mankind, II-411
ability depends on ability on first three dynamics, II-109
problems solved with communication, II-292
responsibility, II-292, 400
5th dynamic,
defn., I-84, 158, 380

defn., urge toward existence of animal kingdom; including all living things whether vegetable or animal; fish in sea, beasts of field, or of forest, grass, trees, flowers or any thing directly and intimately motivated by life, II-411
and eating, IV-126
application of Scientology to the 5th dynamic, III-522
6th dynamic; see also mest
defn., I-84, 158, 380

defn., urge toward existence as physical universe, which is composed of matter, energy, space and time; in Scientology first letter of each of these words is taken and a word MEST is coined, II-411
mest clear to theta clear requires an address to sixth dynamic with Help Processes, IV-174
6th and 7th dynamics, cases (and banks) are an inversion of 8 dynamics into sixth dynamic and they then invert into seventh dynamic, IV-166
Presession Two is based on theory that one is taking the sixth dynamic off the seventh dynamic, IV-141
taking the sixth dynamic off the seventh, IV-166
theta clear is attained by handling sixth dynamic to attain a straight seventh, IV-166
7th dynamic,
defn., I-84, 158, 380

defn., urge toward existence as or of spirits; anything spiritual, with or without identity, would come under seventh dynamic, II-412
when reached in its entirety one will only then discover the true first dynamic, II-412
8th dynamic,
defn., I-158, 380

defn., urge toward existence as Infinity; also identified as Supreme Being; carefully observed here that science of Scientology does not intrude into dynamic of Supreme Being; called eighth dynamic because symbol of infinity stood upright makes numeral “8”, II-412
Scientology does not invade, II-412, 432
Dynamic Assessment, III-407
by Rock Slam, V-131, 135, 138, 142
demonstration of, V-137
on pc, IV-17, 49
dynamic clears, IV-416
Dynamic Straight Wire, III-402, 414, 433; see also
Straightwire
analysis for, III-433
Chart, I-160
cleverly done, takes a case apart; starts almost any
case, III-453
commands and how to run, III-402, 407, 408
how to do a diagnosis on , III-438
how to run, I-162
looking for terminals pc gives you which don’t
belong on that dynamic at all, III-433
never run a terminal that is sensible, III-438
trying to undo identification, III-434

E

earlier beginning, VI-401
earlier similar engram, I-17
earliest engrams, reduce the, I-20
early erasure, I-18
earphones and tape player must be high fidelity,
VII-435; IX-365, 437
Earth, before; see History of Man
Earth, peace on, IV-28
eating, II-484
and fifth dynamic, IV-126
goal of, I-482
is a matter of absorbing death, II-361, 374; VIII-
125
eaten, being; see History of Man
eccentric genius is a problem in communication,
I-130
echo invalidation; see invalidation, echo
economic strangulation of individual in western
society, IV-24
educating illiterate or semiliterate populations, VIII-
170, 171; 1X-470
education(al), 1470; III-28; see also knowledge;
training
defn., conveyance of ideas, patterns and creations
from one person to another for knowing reten-
tion and conscious use by second person, VII-
232
aberration and education closely associated, III-
29
aberration in education, III-18
aMnity, reality and communication together
make up understanding and so play their role
in education, VII-232
and self-created data, a balance, II-439
and the auditor, I-124
and utilization, III-30
approaches, I-149
basic science of education, III-17
education(al) (cont.)
can show a person he can be at effect without
liability, III-160, 180
child education, III-30
Dianetic education of parents, I-46
errors, I-76
forbidden, paralyzes a nation, II-439
how it can become burdensome, II-440
importance of misunderstood words, VII-295; IX-
395; see also misunderstood; Word Clearing
isn’t auditor’s task; preclears should be processed,
I-304
is process of placing data in recalls of another,
III-28
logics of education, III-345
memory is of the essence in field of education,
II-238
more esoteric and difficult subject is made, less
student will be able to handle subject, III-114
must not skip gradients in culture or in training,
IX-471
necessary to have a civilization, II-439
necessities of education, III-29
offbrand and peculiar schools, successes of, III-31
of the child, I-47
Sientology and ~, difference between, III-22
Sientology as an educational subject, II-405
secret of, I-453
simplicity vs. complication, I-148
sloppy education can work, III-31
suicide or illness in field of, cause of, IX-393
super-literacy and education, VIII-314; IX-483
two lines of, I-149
Educational Dianetics, I-27
effect(s); see also cause
a potential receipt of flow, II-14
auditing is teaching pc that he can be at cause
without having to be because he doesn’t dare
be at effect, III-160, 180
awareness change is the indication of, I-359
basic impulse is to produce an effect, 1482
basic law, I-394, 398
bridge between cause point and effect point on
any subject, III-359
cause and; see cause and effect
communication and, VIII-185
desire for, I-209
don’t process pc at effect point, III-518
high games condition is no effect on self, total
effect on others, III-136
inability to duplicate is also inability to be cause
and inability to be effect, II-172
LieaboutEffect [process], III-10
mest is full effect, I-208
neurotic and psychotic, relationship to effect, III
169
of individual on group rises in proportion to his
altitude in group, I-177
on group of energy volume at high tone level,
I-93
person at effect is confronted by life, he does not confront it, VIII-231
prevailing anxiety of thetan is to be an effect, not to be a cause, II-438
psychology is a body of practice devoted to creation of any effect on living forms, III-499
rehabilitation of ability to produce an effect, IV-131
true overt act is unintended bad effect; not deserved by recipient, III-465
unwillingness to be effect is monitored by unwillingness to duplicate, II-15
when person is running at effect he Qs and As, VIII-231
occluded case will run efforts and counter-efforts, and correction lists, X-209
E-Meter except to indicate an F/N, IX-84
auditing session by LRH, I-196
to handle serious illness, II-331
Einstein’s theory of relativity, IV-102
eight (VIII); see Class VIII
eight dynamics; see dynamics, eight
eighth dynamic; see dynamic, 8th
eighty-eight; see Technique 88
electrical, field, monitors physical structure of the body, II-432
efficiency, secret of; see Problemsof Work
effort(s), II-483; see also AP&A
defn., is force with direction, motion with purpose, I-214
counter-effort; see counter-effort
imagination involved in estimation of, I-243
law concerning effort and organisms, I-214
Tone Scale is scale of “relative success in estimating effort”, I-243
E-Meter Processing, I-214; see also AP&A and eyesight, III-36
auditing session by LRH, I-196
how to run, I-169
Self-Determined, I-167
to handle serious illness, II-331
“ego”, no such thing in mind, only mental image pictures, VI-340
eight (VIII); see Class VIII
eight dynamics; see dynamics, eight
eighth dynamic; see dynamic, 8th
eighty-eight; see Technique 88
E-Meter(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
can(s) (cont.)
never let pc off, while clearing commands, VI-284
one-hand electrode sometimes obscures an F/N and gives false TA, VI-275
Solo cans, VII-106
squeeze, setting correct sensitivity on E-Meter, IV-32; see EMD (EM Drill 5)
use soup cans, not aluminium, IV-459, 460
case that is very bad off does not register on E-Meter, why, VI-405
charged, how to keep E-Meter charged, VII-422
charge, how it reacts on needle and TA, V-290
charge is electrical impulse on case that activates E-Meter, VII-50
check, defn., action of checking reaction of student to subject matter, words or other things, isolating blocks to study, interpersonal relations or life, done with E-Meter, VII-140; IX-311
at Success, VIII-31
checking needle in rudiments checks, V-84
check it before session, VI-419

cleaning cleans, V-335, 415; IX-268
asking pc for something that isn’t there develops a withhold of nothing, V-102, 104, 335, 415
how to prevent, V-439; VIII-370; IX-268
never clean a clean needle, never miss a read, V-105
overt acts, commonest cause of failure in running, is cleaning cleans, V-438; IX-268
clean needle; see clean needle compartmenting the question, IV-322-23
conflict between pc and meter, take pc’s data, reason why, V-335
cramming actions must be done on ~, VIII-397
criminal and the E-Meter, IX-275
dangerous to audit without a meter, V-22
date, never feed to pc, VIII-289, 384
dating; see dating; EMD
dead bodies read between 2.0 and 3.0, VIII-24
dependence, how it is created, V-334, 416
minimize dependence, V-293, 415
dirty needle; see dirty needle
dirty read; see dirty read
discharged or off trim reads wrongly, VI-398, 419
does not operate on an ARC broken pc, V-96, 102, 362
don’t use E-Meter distractingly, VII-230
drill(s), VI-12, 94; see also EMD
coaching, VII-10
don’t use a pen to represent the needle, VI-94
list of E-Meter drill outnesses and what they mean, VI-122
must stress only meaningful and significant instant reads coming at end of full question, V-79
E-Meter(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
drill(s) (cont.)
21 is E-Meter drill tobe drilled on Word Clearing Method 4, VIII-28, 301
dropping needles tell you charge and shifting tone arms tell you increased or decreased responsibility, IV-42
echo E-Metering, V-285, 415
electrodes; see E-Meter cans
Electropsychometric Auditing, Operator’s Manual, I-22
end words reading by themselves, cause of, V-102
errors in reading E-Meter, IV-331, 432
everything reading, V-402-03
fall; see fall
false E-Meter reactions, IV-321
floating needle; see floating needle
frailities; see E-Meter Essentials
free needle; see floating needle
future E-Meters; see E-Meter Essentials
goes null on a gradient scale of misses by auditor; the more misses the less the meter reads, V-105
gross auditing errors regarding metering, VII-177
help pc by guiding his attention against needle, VIII-180; IX-283
historyof, IV-459
how it works; see also BIEM
how to read an E-Meter on a silent subject, IV-59
how to set up and use E-Meter, IV-32
how to smooth out needles, V-93
if pc is in session E-Meter will read, V-96
inability to clean up needle is biggest hole in student auditing, V-214
inability to read ~ is state of case, remedy of, V-214
insane’s harmful acts often don’t register, why, VII-I 55
instant reads; see instant reads
invalidation, V-73, 335, 415
is used to verify pc’s gain and register when each separate auditing action is ended, VI-321
latent reads; see latent reads
lists, needle gets stiffer on wrong way oppose; needle looks looser on right way oppose, V-233
locates charged areas below awareness of pc, verifies that charge has been removed, V-290, 334, 416
lower level processes are run at sensitivity 16, VI-I10
measures relative density of body, I-225
measures the awareness depth of the pc, VI-357, 358
mechanics of operation, I-225
mental responses only register on specially built meters, body reaction registers on all, IV 459
meter just falls flat when you’ve got a complete list; needle goes clean, VI-129
missed withholds, fruitful source is poor metering, V-105
misuse, VI-66
mock-ups, meter action, I-487
needle; see each needle characteristic by name;
E-MeterEssentials
needle action detects not so much significance as
where force is, VII-78
needle actions; see EMD (EM Drill 12); BIEL
needle characteristics, VI-201
needle, how to read, I-227
needle manifestations on SOP Goals, IV-271
needle reactions start to occur a fraction of a
second after you utter button, IV-332
needle reactions, types of, I-228-30, 232, 270
needle response is reactive, IV-332
needle rising steadily is symptom of an abandoned
terminal, III-504
needle that is stuck will run to loose if proper
flow direction is selected, III-220
never ask E-Meter after pc volunteers button,
V-285, 415
never feed E-Meter data to pc, VII-226, 259, 316
never lies, but you can ask a sloppy question,
IV-323
null needle; see E-Meter Essentials
oddities; see E-Meter Essentials
O-Meter, II-229, 236
only safe way to audit is with, I-435
only the meter knows, IV-332
out rudiments, meter can go gradely dull in
presence of—V, 73, 96, 361
overt acts and E-Meter, IV-18, 323
pc “beating the meter”, IV-421
pc, most often pc does not know what it is that
reacts as only unknowns react, VIII-180; IX-
283
pc must not be able to see TA position on
E-Meter, VI-271
pc’s attention must be on his own case in session,
on not meter, VIII-27, 410
perfection means only accurate reading of needle
on instant reads, V-104, 105
pinch test, I-225
position, VII-316, 464
principle on which it works, I-226
prior reads; see prior reads
purpose of, I-228
putting pc’s attention on E-Meter violates in ses-
sion definition, VII-259; IX-84
reaction, defn., rise, fall, speeded rise, speeded
fall, double tick (dirty needle), theta bop or
any other action, V-264
reactions, IV-333
reactions above Grade IV, VI-220
reactions, types of, I-228-30, 232, 270
E-Meter(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
reading items, X-79-80
reading items, data unknown can cost case fail
ures, X-80
reads; see reads
reads degree of mental mass surrounding thetan in
a body, IV-18
reads on reality, IX-275
reality-spotting by; see Scn 0-8
records what force is being discharged in every
slash, fall and blowdown, VII-77
registers shifts in thought, I-225
responsible instantly, IV-332
RIs and use of E-Meter, V-334
rising needle: see rising needle
rocket reads; see rocket reads
rock slam, real R/S also has a crazy meter, VIII
344; see also rock slam
rudiments and meter reactions, IV-363
rudiments are run at sensitivity 16, VI-110
Security Check, use of E-Meter in, IV-97
sensitivity knob; see E-MeterEssentials; BIEL
sensitivity setting, IV-110, 144, 147
for individual cases, VIII-271
free needle and sensitivity, VI-144, 147
how to set correctly, VII-316
how to set correctly by pc can squeeze, IV-32,
273
isvitalgetrudimentsin, V-91
rudiments and lower level processes are run at
sensitivity 16, VI-110
See Check and W/H pulling, increase sensitivi-
ty, IV-273
setting up; see BIEL
slowed fall—rise; see E-Meter Essentials
speeded fall—rise; see E-Meter Essentials
stage four needle; see stage four needle
standards, V-91
steering, V-60, 63, 78; see also EMD (EM Drill 21)
stop; see stop
stuck needle; see stuck needle
students must know E-Meter Essentials, IV-264
tells with accuracy where stress is located, I-227
theory; see E-Meter Essentials
time of operation, I-224
tick; see tick
tone arm; see tone arm
trim check procedure, VI-168, 369
trimmed improperly gives a false TA position,
V-421
trim set incorrectly gives wrong read, VI-398; see
also E-Meter, sensitivity setting
TR 20—Reach and Withdraw on E-Meter, V-264
TR 21—Reading E-Meter accurately, V-265
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E-Meter(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
two-way comm is done on E-Meter, VII-41
untrained people using, results of, VIII-97
used to detect stress, VII-68
use in Qual of, IX-121
use of, I-221; III-504
valences, E-Meters don’t register well on, III-284
when meter needle is not floating, TA is registering mental mass, V1418
where there is charge (motion) the needle is in motion, and where pc is stuck the needle will freeze, II-528
Why finding on, IX-124
Word Clearing on meter, all words must be F/Ned, VIII-303
works only when there is a correct electrical contact, VIII-226, 415
E-Metering the preclear, I-230
emotions(s), II-483; see also misemotion; SOS;
AP&A; HFP
and misemotion are closely allied to motion, being only a finer particle action, VI-192
and misemotion include all levels of complete
Tone Scale except pain, V-175
and the dynamics; see DMSMH
are a set band of reactions, I-436
cause of frozen emotions or “unemotional” people, VI-232
Dianetic breakthrough came in assessing only somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, VII-9
most fixative are resentment, antagonism and anger, I-267
of insanity, I-448, 451
overt, I-244
painful, I-18
Emotional Assessment List, LX2, VI-427
emotional curve, I-204, 205; see also AP&A
emotional impact in art, VIII-198, 199; IX-495, 496
Emotional Scale is part of Know to Mystery Scale, II-136, 173
emotional (Secondary Scale level), IV-288
emotional shock, handled with assist, VIII-189
emotional shock, insane by reason of, handling, VI-319
Emotional Tone Scale, VI-200; see also Tone Scale;
expanded, III-459
emotional tones, Mest Processing and, I-192
emotional tones, Tone Scale of, I-243; II-413
Emotion and Affinity Scale; see Scn ~8
emotionlessness, pc has to come up to emotion on Tone Scale, V-286
emotionlist, FearOfPeopleRD, VIII-219
employee, without known restrictions, is a slave, II-422
End of Cycle Processing, II-184; VIII-118
end phenomena, VII-451; VIII-272; see also Dianetics Today
defn., those indicators in pc and meter which show that a chain or process is ended, VII-20;
end phenomena (cont.)
cognition, II-328
correct way to check to see if a pc has made an EP, VII-451
Dianetics end phenomena, VII-20, 53, 117; VIII 272
effects, VIII-272
feeding the pc the EP of a process or action is illegal and very out-tech, VII-451
floating needles and end phenomena, VII-20
floating needles (F/Ns) are ~ for any process or action with pc on two cans; it coincides with other ~, but is senior, VI-275
if you go past EP the F/N will pack up (cease) and TA will rise, VII-20
Interiorization Rundown end phenomena, VIII 280
Introspection Rundown, end phenomena of,
VIII-241, 256, 353
of a process, X-30
of LX Lists, VI-426
of 37R Process, X-131
OTs and EPs, VIII-273
pc attaining EP before all processes run, what to do, VII-48, 361
pc exteriorizes in session, it is EP for that process or action, VII-225, 457
Primary Correction Rundown, end phenomena of,
VIII-159
program EP, VII-261
proper EP for a process is F/N cognition VGIs, VII-78
PTS Rundown end phenomena, VIII-331, 340
attained when the person is well and stable, VIII-92
repair, EP of, VII-62, 278; X-17
Scientology Grade 0 to IV end phenomena, VII-21
TA Handling Rundown, end phenomena of, VII 270; X-129
types of EPs, VIII-272
end rudiments; see rudiments, end
durable (Secondary Scale level), IV-304
end words of rudiments questions; see rudiments, end
words
enemy, enemies,
game doesn’t only consist of motion, but of enemies and individualities to fight those enemies with, II-530
of the pc, run Help on, III-268
old tactic to knock him flat, II-398
energy, I-375
affinity is type of energy, I-350
analytical mind capable of developing its own energy, I-382
analytical mind, characteristic actions of energy produced by, I-384
and forms necessary to action, II-245
atomic, always a tragedy, II-378
basic unit of any universe in terms of energy is two, I-382
energy (cont.)
becomes doingness in life experience, II-13
body, improperly fed, absorbs energy put out by
thetan, II-97
can be summed into Do, I-296
charge is redirection of energy, I-296
charge is stored energy or stored or recreatable
potentials of energy, V-289, 290, 416
chewing energy, I-241
creation and control of mental images utilizes and
disciplines energy, I-324
derived from mass by fixing two terminals in
proximity in space, II-14
derives from imposition of space between termi-
nals and a reduction and expansion of that
space, II-13
energy-do, time-have, space-be triangle, II-16
energy-space production unit, thetan, II-10
flow(s), I-382
in processing, I-311
is progress of energy between two points, V-16
Step 5 case is anyone capable of using energy
flows, I-311
hate coheses and hardens energy, I-437
interacting triangle: space, time and energy, I-293
manifestations of; see also Scn 8-80
matter is apparently cohesion & adhesion of, I-293
mental, difference to physical, II-228, 431
motion is matter with energy in space, V-330
postulate made by awareness of awareness unit is
a higher manifestation than any energy-space
manifestation, II-215
production; see also Scn 8-80
reactive mind, source of its energy, I-382
reduced, relation to unhappiness, II-38
reducing processes at length “starve” thetan for
energy, VIII-105
Remedy of Havingness, effect of, on pc’s energy,
VIII-108
Remedy of Havingness, give pc enough energy
masses to permit his starved condition to let go
of energy masses he is holding to him, II-120
space, time and energy have their parallels in start,
stop and change, I-293
space, time and energy in experience become be,
have and do, I-295
starvation for, keynote of case which maintains
facsimiles in restimulation, II-38; VIII-105
sugar in abundance by-passes basic energy produc-
ing mechanisms of body, VIII-207
symbol is an idea fixed in energy and mobile in space,
II-15
TA motion is caused by ~ contained in confusions
blowing off case, V-375
thetan’s relation to energy, VIII-105
three actions of, I-293
Tone Scale, relation of energy to, I-92
totality of, is assumptions or considerations, II-435
“value” of energy, VIII-109
will not flow in absence of time, V-330
enforce (Secondary Scale level), IV-306
enforce, DEI Scale, III-533
Enforced Nothingness, CCH 88, increases havingness,
III-246
enforcement and inhibition suppress a dynamic on
Tone Scale, I-159
enforcement, valence shifting is ~ of viewpoint, I-369
engram(s), VI-279; see also lock; secondary; R3R;
DTOT; DMSMH; SOS; Dt Today
def., break between dynamic seven and dynamic
six, theta hitting too hard, I-161
def., simply collision of body with rest of universe
with sufficient impact to produce confusion of
attention known as “unconsciousness”, I-403
def., an energy-space picture representing a mo-
pment of pain and unconsciousness and contain-
ing perceptics, II-398
def., moment of pain and/or unconsciousness in
an exact moment of time (or a mental image
picture containing moments of pain and/or
unconsciousness), II-529
def., those parts of time track that contain mo-
pments of pain and unconsciousness, V-274
def., mental image pictures of pain and uncon-
sciousness person has experienced, VI-61, 87,
142
def., mental image picture which is a recording
of a time of physical pain and unconscious
ness; it must by definition have impact or
injury as part of its content, VI-340
aberration is due to engrams, I-60
action of and restimulation, II-172
assessment, development of, V-301
auditing without attaining EP on engram chains
causes high TA, VII-123
auditor must reduce every ~ contacted or basic
gram on chain before stopping session, I-19
bank, exteriorize from, II-243
bank, reason for “it mustn’t happen again”, II
172
basic area engrams, I-17
behavior, VII-27, 169
blowing by inspection, VI-400, 407
by keying them out one becomes free of them,
III-446
cannot find first ~ then use Technique 80, I
305
cannot run, and drugs, X-211
carrying chronic somatics, sympathy predomina-
tes as emotional aspect of, I-25
chains; see chains
character of; see also DTOT; DMSMH
comanome, earlier term for engram, I-7
commands, I-31; [1959] III-453
contain, more important than pain and uncon-
sciousness, the moment of shock, which is that
period of realization by body and thetan that
an overwhelming has occurred, II-398
contains heaviest ARC break with a thetan’s envi-
ronment and other beings, V-291
contains moments when it was necessary to have moved and degrading to hold a position in space, V-291
contains pain and unconsciousness; its basic would be a physical duress not a symptom resulting from that duress, VI-352
conversation in, relation to valences, I-17
cycle of a group receiving an engram, I-85
defintenified, I-16
delivery engram should be run out soon after, VI-348
Dianetics, never precheck while doing, this mushesupengrams, VIII-291, 385
Dianetics pc often is unable to confront actual engram at once, VI-341
difference between engrams and incidents, III-453; see also incidents
discovery of, entirely the property of Dianetics, II-466
drug engrams, X-157; see also drugs
drugs, people who have been on drugs are sometimes afraid of running engrams, VII-320, 328; X-157
early similar, I-17
erasing or reducing, I-17
erasure; see erasure
exploration engram, I-445
floating needle, it is possible to get F/N and VGIs while simply spotting or dating an engram, VI-407
grief; see grief engrams
grinding out, VI-360
group; see group engram
have mass in them even when they are pictures, VI-397, 418
ill, it takes more than one chain of engrams to make a body ill, V1416, 435
impact, I-445
impact engrams, why people hang on to, III-230
inhibits analytical action, I-31
in restimulation only because they represent energy which pc or body pulls in, II-42
keying in the; see DMSMH
key out in three to eight days, I-106
list, X-89, 91-92
locating the engram [1958], III-352
main point of tension in, I-183
manifestations of, VI-192; see also SOS
most clear is freedom from keyed-in engrams, III-375
methods of erasure owned entirely by Dianetics, II-466
mid ruds will mush an engram, V-296
missed withholds, do not pull until chain is flat or engram will mush, V-296
motivator and overt engrams, III-414, 453; VI-231
necessary to resolve the case, III-352
non extant engrams, VI-232
of Mustn’t Go Away are pictures, II-498
engram(s) (cont.)
running (cont.)

- once you have found an incident stay on it until it is flat, III-403
- perceptions aren’t there—wrong time or wrong duration or both or it’s a GPM or it’s false track, V-329
- prime source of ARC break in engram running sessions is by-passing charge by time mishandling by auditor, V-287
- purpose, to release charge, V-290, 291
- Reality Scale and engram running, III-405
- reasons pc can’t run engrams, V-329
- reasons why some cannot run engrams on pcs, V-273, 287

- reassessing on meter when charge on first item dissipated, results of, III-410
- run out force and words drop into insignificance, VII-76
- R3R, don’t mix with earlier data on engram running, V-294; see also R3R
- simplicity of, VI-159
- simplified, V-273
- thing that keeps individuals from running engrams adequately is R-factor, III-404
- three flows, VI-279
- using “unknown” [1961], IV-372
- why engram running by chains is designated Routine 3-R, V-294

- with emphasis on effort instead of perceptsics, I-170
- running out all perceptsics, I-18
- secondaries and engrams, VI-61, 86, 141; X-29
- gather around subject of study, VI-451
- running, give spectacular results, VI-159
- running, unlimited action, VI-278
- secondary derives all its power from an underlying engram, VI-163
- slow recovery after an engram has been run, cause of, VIII-237
- source of engrams, IV-116
- Straight Wire keys out, II-227; see also recall subject of, is the subject of “can’t have”, II-497
- theta clear has no obsessive engrams; can put back at will his reactive bank or any engram in it and blow it off again at a glance, III-376
- thetan has engrams being automatically (involuntarily) created by him, V-301
- timelessness or no change in an engram, II-143
- tone arm behavior on engram chains, VI-356
- unburdening; see also SOS
- unconsciousness common to all engrams, I-17
- unflat ~ chains and high TA, VII-18, 76, 122, 123; X-28, 56
- vanish, erase rapidly when pc regains ability to have the idea that he has won and that he has lost, II-399
- whole area of randomness stored in full in engram, I-284
- words and phrases, X-28

- enough and not enough, III-211
- enrollment, VII-446
- enter (Secondary Scale level), IV-307
- entheta, I-175
- and attacks in press, IV-148
- attacking entheta with too much entheta enturbulates, I-163
- can threaten word-of-mouth, II-93
- enmest and entheta, I-175
- line, II-93
- cutting is safe, I-139
- monger in an org, II-387
- relative entheta on case; see SOS
- entities, I-341
defn., I-359

- entity, genetic; see genetic entity entrapment
- main method of causing aberration and ~is found in actions which create or confuse time track, V-277
- resistance is the one step necessary to, II-147
- vs. freedom; see NSOL
- enturbulation, explanation of, VI-292
- process times when effort caused ~, I-167
- enturbulence, area of, ceases to exist as soon as communication is leveled into it, II-292
- environment, adjusted by the organism, I-153
- “adjustment to the environment”, a false theory, I-152
- all that processing requires is that you obtain a better reality on your ~ and all its drills are aimed at this, III-514
- attitudes to, I-152
- being dangerous toward environment, III-146
- command over; see also SOS
- current environment of the preclear, I-18
- dangerous environment, anyone forced into, tends to either go fully into PT or retreat from PT, VI-293
- handling, IV-194
- most difficult thing thetan does is handle the environment, II-448
- safe and dangerous, difference between, VI-137
- session environment, IV-41
- auditor is responsible for session environment, VIII-409
- influences pc’s ability to confront, VI-359
- shifting environment during auditing, I-48
- shifting environment in Child Processing, I-46
- success of organism determinable by degree it can change to control new ~, I-183
- suppressive person (SP) was one that wove a dangerous ~ around pc, VI-136
- EP; see end phenomena
- epicenters, what they are, I-360; see also HOM
- “epidemics”, sympathy is responsible for many, I-213
- equal, men are not, III-274
erasure(s), erase, erased, VI-376
defn., action of erasing, rubbing out, locks, secondaries or engrams, VI-340
auditing theory of charge erasure, V-291
chains, erased chains can be overrun; what happens is that pcs try to cooperate and put something there, VII-228; VIII-291; see also chains
cognitions usually occur immediately after erasure, VI-354, 373
depends in some measure on pc getting to beginning of incident, VI-376
Dianetic errors preventing erasure, VII-208
Dianetics, EP of chain is erasure, accompanied by F/N, cognition and good indicators, VIII-272
“Did that incident erase” is not asked now, VII-53
drugs chemically inhibit creation of mental image pictures but inhibit aswell the erasure, VI-444
dependence, VI-376
Est O and Product Officers, disagreement amongst,
Est O, standard Est O action to survey hats, VIII-37
Est O, and HAS Specialist Auditing Program (revised), VIII-50
ethic(s), ethical, VIII-78; IX-94; see also morals; ISE; OEC Vol.1
defn., study of general nature of morals (morals [plural] [noun]: principles of right and wrong conduct) and specific moral choices to be made by individual in his relationship with others; rules or standards governing conduct of members of a profession, VIII-102
defn., study of general nature of morals and of specific moral choices to be made by individual in his relationship with others, VIII-172
defn., principles of right and wrong conduct and the specific moral choices to be made by the individual in his relationship with others, IX-261
action after PTS Interview, X-222
auditing, III-391, 392
auditor to Ethics, VI-50
auditing theory of charge erasure, V-291
auditing, III-391, 392
auditor to Ethics, VI-50
cases undergoing~ actions should not be audited until~ matter is cleared up and complete, VIII-31, 96
Case Supervisor should watch for Ethics record of pcs who have been C/Sed, VI-251; VII-96
code(s); see also ISE
is not enforceable, II-105
code(s); see also ISE
is not enforceable, II-105
the Code of Honor, II-104
conditions; see also ISE; OEC Vol. 1
conduct,
most important zone of, in an organization is at or near the top, VIII-100
regulated by sense of ethics, I-294
correction usually cannot be accomplished with out Ethics back-up, VIII-66
cycles, details of, should be entered by auditor in Folder Summary, IX-22, 54
determination, when one is ethical it is by own determination, VIII-172
Director of Processing handling pc’s Ethics cycles, IX-54
distinction from morals, I-91, 119
dramatization restrained by Ethics, VI-102
effect of fractured auditing comm cycle on Ethics type case, VI-49
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- ethic(s), ethical (cont.)
  enters after quickie tech, X-219
  ethics presence checks criminality, VIII-78
  ethics-tech-admin sequence, VIII-78
  executives and ethics, VIII-100, 101, 102
  go hand in hand with PTS RDs so 3 May PL
  comes before or after it, IX-160
  hat, Ron wearing, IV-99
  inspections of High Crime log book, IX-100
  is not merely a legal action, it handles whole
  phenomena of case worsening (roller-coaster)
  after processing, VI-113
  justice and ethics, VIII-172
  level; see also Science of Survival
  group ethics level, I-91
  measurement of by ARC factors, I-91
  must be in to get tech in, VIII-172
  non-compliance as Liability, and false report as
  Doubt, VIII-79
  offenses, examples of, VIII-101
  organization and ethics, VIII-100
  out-ethics,
  defn., an action or situation in which an individ-
  ual is involved contrary to ideals and best
  interests of his group; an act or situation or
  relationship contrary to ethics standards,
  codes or ideals of the group or other mem-
  bers of group; an act of omission or com-
  mission by an individual that could or has
  reduced the general effectiveness of a group
  or its other members; an individual act of
  omission or commission which impedes the
  general well-being of a group or impedes it
  in achieving its goals, VIII-102
  acts of out-ethics person in a group, VIII-101
  auditing errors can come from, VIII-164
  exchange flows and out-ethics, VIII-78
  executives, responsibility of, to handle out-
  ethics, VIII-100
  handling steps (Danger RD), VIII-102
  ill, people who are ill are PTS and are out-
  ethics toward the person or thing they are
  PTS to, VIII-101
  interpretation of HCO Bs or PLs is out-ethics,
  VII-115
  Overt/Withhold and Help can handle out-
  ethics, IV-99
  people, out-ethics people go rapidly into Treason
  against the group, VIII-101
  perception is affected by out-ethics, VIII-101
  quickie tech is a symptom of out-ethics, VIII-
  94
  valence, person whose ethics have been out
  over a long period goes “out of valence”,
  VIII-101
  pc is not sent to Ethics because of withholds
  gotten off in session, VI-50
  pc’s getting off another person’s offenses, report
  alleged offenses to Ethics for investigation,
  VI-50

- ethic(s), ethical (cont.)
  personal ethics, VIII-100
  policies are leveled primarily at making auditing
  and training honest and flawless, VI-28
  practiced on self-determined basis, II-104-05
  PTSes and SPs must be sent to Ethics, VI-77
  purpose of; see also ISE; OEC Vol. I
  record, C/S should watch for, X-46
  relation to morals and height on Tone Scale, I-294
  reports, IX-53
  situation lies below administrative Whys, VIII-100
  situation noted on auditor’s C/S form, IX-30
  suppressive person dominates an area, only Ethics
  actions can handle, VI-146
  tech, interpretation of, is an Ethics matter, VI-209
  upstat, C/Ses to make an Ethics upstat, VII-33
  ethics, C/S must watch ethics (customs) oddities
  and changing fashions, VI-253
  euphoria caused by some external stimuli must be
  rehábbed, VI-253
  euthanasia, defn., right to kill people considered to be
  a burden on society, II-432
  evaluation; see also AP&A
  defn., shifting of viewpoints or effort to do so,
  I-441
  defn., action of shaking stable data, II-476
  aberrative ~, method of running, I-454
  and the C/S, X-189
  auditor evaluation, VIII-160; X-25
  accidental evaluation may occur when auditor
  repeats what pc said, V-161, 414
  adds confusion to the case, II-475; see also
  psychoanalysis
  and acknowledgement, difference between, II
  255
  auditor never says what overt is, V-464
  consists of telling pc what to think about his
  case, IV-129; X-10
  dissemination of information to pc is com-
  pletely forbidden by Auditor’s Code, 1954;
  this is evaluation, II-161
  do not evaluate for the preclear, II-96
  in two-way comm is a deadly sin, VII-40
  invalidation and evaluation is just plain vil-
  lainy, VII-230
  makes OCA/APA drop in responsibility, III
  334
  or even chatter after session can upset pc that
  ended session on F/N VGIs, VII-138
  repeating what pc says, VII-250, 428; IX-75
  self-determinism of pc reduced markedly by
  evaluating for pc, II-475
  can place the patient in a hospital, II-476
  Chart of Human Evaluation, VII-85, 86, 148;
  X-35-36; see also SOS; SA
  child is dependent on exterior evaluation, III-166
  data is your data only so long as you have evalu-
  ated it, III-422
  depends upon, I-494
evaluation (cont.)

Dianetic; see Science of Survival

forceful evaluation, I-441

identification is inability to evaluate differences in
time, location, form, composition or impor-
tance, III-393

importances, bring about the ability to evaluate
importances by Not-Is Straight Wire, III-489

intelligence and judgment are measured by ability
to evaluate relative importances, III-393

is reactive mind’s conception of viewpoint, I-384

knowledge, person who accepts it without ques-
tioning it and evaluating it is demonstrating
himself to be in apathy toward that sphere of
knowledge, III-424

law, person takes the viewpoint of that person
who has most evaluated for him, 1406

least certainty, perception most certain certainty,
I-349

length of time to, VIII-145

logic, ability to evaluate importances and unim-
portances is the highest faculty of logic, III-
393

necessity to have evaluation by others, III-166

of data, I-125; III-421, 422

of importance of data in philosophy, III-346

of importance of datum is often more important
than the datum itself, III-345

of importances, VI-90

on a cause basis, III-166

prime importance, see HTLTAE

processes, evaluation of, 1432

real crime of, to tell the patient he is wrong,
II-475

real, relationship to abstract evaluation, I-74

that which changes pc in space can evaluate for
him, II-13

evidence, rumors not acceptable as, II-160

evil; see also good

actions, V1405; see also bad actions

making an individual guilty for committing,
only increases tendency to laziness, IX-268

man attempts to restrain himself from evil
actions and caves in, VIII-78

man is basically good, but reactive mind tends
to force him into evil actions, V-439; VIII-
370

may not be evil people, but there are people
currently devoted to doing evil actions,
V-408

and good, II-464; III-166

basic thing man can’t or won’t confront is evil,
IX-310

being at different lifetimes is good and evil,
V-408

impulse(s),

checking, X-73

man seeks to check his evil impulses by inhibit-
ing his own skill and strength, VII-156

inability to confront evil, result of, VIII-78

evil (cont.)

insane are insane because they have evil inten-
tions, VIII-230

not taking responsibility for, III-167

old religious beliefs that man is basically
IV-12

purposes, VIII-277; IX-252; see also Expanded

Dianetics

attitudes are after the fact of an evil purpose in

a psycho case, IX-149

Expanded Dianetics running of, don’t ask for

interest, VIII-161

have to be verified as to wording and checked

for read before running, but not interest,
VIII-277; IX-252

individual with, has to withhold himself be
cause he may do destructive things, VIII
127

insane cannot control or withhold evil pur
poses and dramatize them at least covertly,
VIII-128

marking of evil purposes and R/Ses, IX-28

rock slams, where a pc R/Ses he will have evil
purposes, VIII-345

R/S pc is trying to die (evil purpose), IX-150

running of, don’t ask for interest, IX-138

R3R all Ev Purps culled from folder is done as

first action in Ex Dn, VIII-277: IX-252

R3Ring Ev Purps, common error on, VIII-296

withholds are cause of continued evil, IV-12

Evil Purpose Rundown, Multiple-Flow, VIII-277; IX
252

“evil” valence, IV-105

Exam; see Examiner

examination, correct, is done by asking for meanings

of words and demonstrating how the data is

used, V-481

examination, instruction and ~, raising the standard
of, V-478

Examiner(s),

actions of, VII-181

and meter checks, X-207

assists, pc taken to ~ afterwards, VII-167, 191

attestcheck, VIII-30

C/S “Examiner! Ask pc what auditor did in ses-

sion”, VII-274

declare? procedure, VIII-218

Dianetic pc pattern at Examiner, VII-123; X-57

Exam F/Ns after flubs, VII-274; X-143

F/N—no F/N at Examiner ratio, what it tells,
VII-366, 367; IX-6, 7

getting the F/N to Examiner, VII-122; X-56

high TA at Exam after F/N in session, causes and
solutions for, VII-122

informationline to Director of Training, I-66

low TA at Exam, VII-124

pc F/Ns at ~, then reports sick, reason for, VII
218

Q & A with Exam statement of pc, VII-82

Report; see Exam Report
Examiner(s) (cont.)

session, flubbed session is visible at ~, VII-138
trust placed in Examiner, I-66
unchanging natter at, X-114
when the Examiner does not declare a pc and does
not send pc to Certs and Awards, he sends pc
to Review (he can also, instead, send pc to
Exams) [1965], VI-75

24 hour rule, VII-138, 174

Exam (Examiner) Report (Form), IX-21, 31
defn, report made out by Qual Examiner when pc
goes to Exams after session or goes on his own
volition; contains meter details, pc’s indicators and
pc’s statement, IX-10, 31
bad reports, X-96
causes of contrary Exam Report, VII-205

anecdote at, X-114
unchanging natter at, X-114
exhausted pc equals missed withhold, V-59

when the Examiner does not declare a pc and does
exhaustion; see also Problems of Work

Examining, coaching is not ~, V-489

Excalibur, an unpublished book written late
by L. Ron Hubbard, VIII-276, 311; see also Ex Dn

Exchange by Dynamics, IX-247

procedure, VIII-80

exchange valence; see valence, exchanged

executive(s)’s (cont.)
tendency to transfer or who fails to hat others,
how to handle, VIII-50

exhausted pc equals missed withhold, V-59

exhaustion; see also Problems of Work

ethics and executives; see ethics

good, gets his own communication lines running
smoothly and then spends his time going
around not giving orders but smoothing out
people’s jobs, II-387

or staff members who show signs of obsessive
transfer of staff, handling of, VII-354, 439

study, executives who will not, handling of, VIII-
158

executives’ (cont.)
tendency to transfer or who fails to hat others,
how to handle, VIII-50

basic action of existence is duplication, II-15

basic dynamic principle of existence is: survive!
I-167

conditions of, II-410; see also NSOL; PXL

dynamics of; see Science of Survival

mock-ups get unreal because thetan is not-ising
existence. remedy for, VIII-118

reason for, II-421

role that communication plays in game called ex

pace, I-350

survival, only an apparency and only one facet of
existence, III-87

total significance to existence is the significance
that the being puts there, II-470

after Grade IV Expanded, VIII-311

and the Grade Chart, X-248, 250

auditor prerequisite for, VIII-69, 88; IX-128
case histories, IX-140-248

charges for, VIII-69, 88
does not replace Standard Dianetics or any other
class, IX-128

Exchange, something for something, VIII-79

criminality and exchange, VIII-79

flows and out-ethics, VII-88

maintains inflow and outflow that gives a person
Drug Rundown is a must before, VIII-307; IX-255

around him and keeps the bank off of evil purposes,
VIII-79

him, VIII-79

out, illness and overwhelm can result from, VIII-
79

production, morale and exchange factor, VIII-80

Ex Dn, that branch of Dianetics which uses Dia-
netics in special ways for specific purposes,
VIII-68, 87; IX-127

after Grade IV Expanded, VIII-311

1930s, and the Grade Chart, X-248, 250

auditor prerequisite for, VIII-69, 88; IX-128

case histories, IX-140-248

charges for, VIII-69, 88
does not replace Standard Dianetics or any other
class, IX-128

attitudes are after the fact of an evil purpose in
a psycho case, IX-149

have to be verified as to wording and checked
for read before running, but not interest,
VIII-277; IX-252

individual with, has to withhold himself be
cause he may do destructive things, VIII
127

insane cannot control or withhold evil pur-
poses and dramatize them at least covertly, VIII
128

marking of evil purposes and R/Ses, VIII-277;
IX-28

Multiple-Flow E. Purp Rundown, VIII-277;
IX-252

rock slams, where a pc R/Ses he will have evil
purposes, VIII-345

R/S pc is trying to die (evil purpose), IX-150

running of, don’t ask for interest, VIII-161;
IX-138

R3 all Ev Purps culled from folder is done as
first action in Ex Dn, VIII-277; IX-252

R3Ring Ev Purps, common error on, VIII
296

388
Expanded Dianetics (cont.)

FFT is only done if it comes up or bogs running Triples, IX-174

folders are marked with red colored tape, IX-13

intentions,

don’t ask for interest, VIII-161; IX-138

good intentions are never run, IX-252

handling, IX-256

in AEI Treble Assessment, IX-252

must only be run on terminals, never a significance, IX-153, 158, 252

terminals, run intentions only on terminals, IX-153, 158

Int-Ext reading on a list is handled by 2wc if TA is innormalrange, IX-165

is very specifically adjusted to the pc, VIII-68, 87; IX-127

L3 EXD RB—Expanded Dianetics Repair List, VIII-70; IX-131

Metais Rundown, IX-171, 199

OCA/APA must be taken prior to pc attesting Ex Dn, IX-214

OCA right-hand side handling, Vital Info RD belongs on, VIII-328

pcs who R/S are given Ex Dn, VIII-76, 345

prerequisites, X-248, 250

program is written on green sheet, IX-27

programming, VIII-276; IX-251

PT Environment, IX-256

PTS Rundown; see PTS Rundown purpose is to cure people or handle insanity, IX-159

Quad Ex Dn, IX-256

repair list, VIII-70; IX-131

requisites, VIII-297, 372; IX-254

R/S handling, also called Responsibility RD, IX-252

rundowns, IX-251 running of evil purposes or intentions, don’t ask for interest, VIII-161

Sanderson RD, Wants Handled RD was originally called Sanderson RD, IX-142

service facsimile theory, VIII-257; IX-249

set-ups, VIII-276; IX-251, 254

checklist, VIII-297, 372; IX-254

significances, you must combine significances with terminals, not with significances, IX-187

Standard Dianetics vs. Expanded Dianetics, VIII-68, 69, 87; IX-127

thoughts, why one doesn’t run thoughts about thoughts, IX-187

training, VIII-68, 87; IX-127

Treble Assessment, AEI, VIII-277; IX-252, 256

two-way comm, certain Ex Dn procedures that were TWC became L&N, IX-256

uses Dianetics to change OCA/APA, VIII-68, 87; IX-142

Wants Handled RD, IX-252

was originally called Sanderson RD, IX-142 who needs it, VIII-68, 87; IX-127

Expanded CF 40 RB; see Green Form 40

Expanded Gita, I-332, 437, 487, 495; VIII-115, 120

Acceptance Level Processing is version of ~, I-485

audited with benefit only a short time, I-329

example of, I-451

remedy for somatic and illness, if turned on by ~, I-388

resolves scarcities, I-439

run without creating mock-ups, IV-65

SOP 8 Step IV, I-390

Step IV, I-333

Expanded Know to Mystery Scale, VI-201

 Expanded Lower Grades; see grades experience, experienced, and internships, X-163

bad acts are those acts which cannot be easily experienced at the target end, III-432

case; see case, experience
desire to experience is central aspect of case, I-184

experience-scarcity, IV-155
goal of experience, II-2
good conduct—do only those things which others can experience, III-432

idea is not to prove one can experience but to regain the ability to experience which is only done in processing, III-432

inability to restrain dramatization of past experience occurs when one has decided he can do nothing about such an experience; thereafter he is the effect of all similar pictures, III-359

no reason to withhold own actions or regret them if one’s own actions are easily experienced by others, III-431

Past and Future Experience [process], III-403, 408, 409

Re experience and Experience Process, III-488

teaches you never to do anything the second time, III-356

what it is, III-408

experimental auditing and standard techniques, III 282

explode, ridges often, II-31

explosion, causes change of position all over space, I-444

cycle of, I-467-69

audited in brackets, I-468

run on circuit cases, I-469

engram, I-445

fear of things exploding, II-1

similarities to theta, I-467

Extension Course, III-331, 357

exterior, exteriorize(s), exteriorized, exteriorization, Ill-118, 149; VI-61, 62, 293, 431; VII-172, 420; see also interior; Dianetics ’55’
defn, the phenomenon of being in a position of space dependent on only one’s consideration, able to view from that space, bodies and the room, as it is, III-149
defn., act of moving out of body with or without full perception, VII-27, 168, 420
exterior, exteriorize(s), exteriorized (cont.)
ability to, what it depends on, III-149
accomplishing ~ in low step cases, I-386
approximation of death, I-434
auditing after ~, symptoms of, VII-27, 36, 168, 218
handling; see Interiorization Rundown
auditing is a game of ~ versus havingness, II-367
Positive and Negative Exteriorizing [process], I-289
process, III-149
proves man is a spiritual being, timeless and death
less, VII-27, 168, 420
refusal to, I-303
Remedy of Havingness [process], exteriorization
by, VIII-116
Remedy of Havingness for Exteriorization [pro-
cess], II-181
run twice, X-112
SLP, Level Six [1956], exercises their exterioriza-
tion and stabilizes, II-326
SLP, Level Three [1956], this is what exteriorizes
them, II-324
somatics after exteriorization, VII-42
techniques are not now used because person (a)
still being aberrated and not Clear, soon re-
turns to his body and (b) when audited there
after has trouble, VII-27, 168
test for, II-50
theory of Exteriorization Remedy, VIII-287
thetan exterior is described fully in second chap-
ter of DMSMH, II-120
thinking thoughts exterior to head and bank, II-325
tone arm, high TA and exteriorization, VII-19, 27,
36, 168
type of, which is most aberrative of all traumatic
actions, II-430
under duress, II-430
when somebody goes exterior he is liable to key in
having gone interior in first place, VII-28, 169
why not very acceptable to the public, II-79
Exteriorization by Scenery [process], I-392, 396;
VIII-121
Exteriorization Rundown, changed to Interiorization
Rundown, VII-459; see Interiorization Run-
down
extraversion-introversion process, Locational, Body
and Room, III-394
test for, II-50
theory of Exteriorization Remedy, VIII-287
thetan exterior is described fully in second chap-
ter of DMSMH, II-120
thinking thoughts exterior to head and bank, II-325
tone arm, high TA and exteriorization, VII-19, 27,
36, 168
type of, which is most aberrative of all traumatic
actions, II-430
under duress, II-430
when somebody goes exterior he is liable to key in
having gone interior in first place, VII-28, 169
why not very acceptable to the public, II-79
Exteriorization by Scenery [process], I-392, 396;
VIII-121
Exteriorization Rundown, changed to Interiorization
Rundown, VII-459; see Interiorization Run-
down
eyes, eyesight, III-118, 121; VII-178; see also glasses;
vision
and occluded cases, I-434
bad eyesight, III-89
eyes, eyesight (cont.)
confronting and eyesight, III-37
Effort Processing and eyesight, III-36
eye pouches, used as an indicator in R2-12, V-235
eyeight, astigmatism, a distortion of image, is only an anxiety to alter the image, III-39
eyesight should be tested, X-80
glasses and eyesight, III-36
gold discs, III-36
Havingness and eyesight, III-37, 38
how eyes function, III-36
improvement of, I-196
Rising Scale [process] will sometimes restore fertility or change eyesight, VII-90
thetan doesn’t look through his eyeballs, III-36
thetan’s ability to see, III-209
what a person does with his eyes shows his tone, VII-149

F

F: see fall
facsimile(s), I-224; see also mental image picture; Scn 8-80
defn., a mental image picture of the physical universe sometime in the past, II-429
defn., energy picture made by thetan or body’s machinery of physical universe environment; it is like a photograph; it is made of mental energy; it means copy of the physical universe, II-546
defn., any mental picture that is unknowingly created and part of time track, whether an engram, secondary, lock or pleasure moment, V-274
ability and action of, I-225
and entities, I-360
and solids, II-546
are control mechanisms, III-231
automaticity of making pictures, II-231
degrees of pc reality on, III-390
drugs can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-320
effect of on thetan, II-229
genus of, I-369
have no weight or wavelength, space or time [1952], I-225
imposes itself on body anchor points, III-151
interchange of, III-231
making pictures solid, II-454
mass of the energy picture is energy, II-431
most clear is clear in terms of facsimiles, III-175
necessity for pictures, III-230
pc made facsimile to restrain himself from ever doing it again, IV-38
pc’s not-is of picture squeezes it into invisibility, VII-208
pc, when you improve ability of pc to make and see a picture you also inadvertently improve every picture in the bank including engrams, III-539
pc who only gets death pictures or bad pictures is somewhere late on cycle of action or late on an inversion cycle, IV-35
phenomenon of, I-406
pictures bridge the language barrier, IV-54
pictures, how they are made, 1415
picture, stuck, I-302
run W/H on terminal in picture, IV-48
service; see service facsimile
starvation for energy, keynote of case which main tains facsimiles in restimulation, II-38
Straight Wire and pictures, II-228
stuck picture, how to handle, IV-9, 16
thetan accumulates mental mass, pictures, ridges, circuits, etc., to degree that he misassigns responsibility, IV-18
thetan uses to assist memory, II-230
“unknown” used on, IV-374
use of, II-548
were first designed to have an effect upon some body else, II-548
“What part of that picture could you be responsible for?” [process], IV-66
why they float, II-528
Facsimile One; see History of Man
Factors, The, I-358, 375; see also Scn 8-8008; COHA; Scn 0-8
fact, reasons always follow the fact, II-47
Factual Havingness, III-307, 486
commands, III-318
trio form, IV-36
“fade-away” question has no possible answer, II-129
fads in areas where tech is bad, X-69
failed, case; see case, failed
posts and duties trace back to misunderstood words, VII-381
purpose or stuck in something = tired, dopey, IX-213
sessions, most common reason for and remedy, X-244
sessions remedy, X-246
failed communication (Secondary Scale level), IV-288
failed control (Secondary Scale level), IV-289
failed endure (Secondary Scale level), IV-304
failed havingness (Secondary Scale level), IV-286
failed help; see also Failed Help [process]
aberrated self-determinism is end product of fail ures to help, IV-191
action of help is not aberrative, failure to help is, IV-119
failures to help can bring about confusion of iden tities, IV-191
over/withhold mechanism stems from failures to help, IV-186
O/W running discloses failed helps, IV-187
(Secondary Scale level), IV-291
whatever pc thinks is wrong he has failed to help, IV-210
Failed Help [process]; see also failed help
how and when to run, IV-167, 168, 170
lowest verbal entrance point, IV-168
run Failed Help as the Confront Process [Formula 13], IV-171
failed importance (Secondary Scale level), IV-299
failed interest (Secondary Scale level), IV-287
failed leave (Secondary Scale level), IV-301
failed overt (Secondary Scale level), IV-294
failed protect (Secondary Scale level), IV-302
failed to abandon (Secondary Scale level), IV-303
failed to arrive (Secondary Scale level), IV-299
“failed to reveal” [R3GA], V-119
failed waste (Secondary Scale level), IV-303
failed withhold (Secondary Scale level), IV-297
failure,
\textit{defn.}, derives from failing to do what one intended to do, II-462
\textit{defn.}, something else happening rather than the intention, II-464
anatomy of, II-462
postulates are reversed in action, II-447
and win, difference between, II-462
death, ultimate failure, II-3
most marked when one intends to do something bad and doesn’t accomplish it, II-463
of postulate or intention, II-462
to understand pc, high percentage of ARC breaks occur because of, IX-76
faith (Secondary Scale level), IV-316
faith, orderly faith promotes religion, I-38
faith, Scientology demands no belief or faith and thus is not in conflict with faith, III-514
fall(s),
\textit{defn.}, ofSF, F, LF, LFBD, VI-357
and BD in 2-way comm, X-20
difference between needle fall and change of needle pattern, IV-363
dropping needles tell you charge, and shifting tone arms tell you increased or decreased responsibility, IV-42
E-Meter falls on things pc is interested in and will talk about, IV-175
E-Meter fall, what it means, IV-132, 175
needle drops only on those terminals pc still feels some responsibility for, IV-38
no fall = meter response for “no” or negative or don’t know, IV-59
shows thing wrong with case that can be remedied at this time, IV-38
show where pc’s mind is fixed, IV-43, 54
slight fall = meter response for “maybe” “you’re getting close”, IV-59
steep fall = meter response for “yes”, IV-59
false,\n\textit{defn.}, contrary to fact or truth; without grounds; incorrect; without meaning or sincerity; deceiving; not keeping faith; treacherous; resembling and being identified as a similar or related entity, VIII-102
false (cont.)
auditor’s reports, VI-50, 450; VIII-292, 386
how to ask False question, VI-434
PTS, VIII-236
reads on W/Hs and asking for some W/Hs more than once will ARC break the pc, VIII-409
reports, VIII-129; X-233
means Doubt, VIII-79
robot gives many, VIII-129
TA; see tone arm, false
use suppress and false to fly ruds, VI-281, 433;
VII-45, 357
falsely gotten to R6EW, X-22
familiarity or familiarization permits intelligence to manifest, III-428
family; see also dynamic, 2nd
don’t listen to, about a case, X-171
insanity, run out narrative secondaries R3R, VII-340; X-169
life, I-120
relationships, I-59
fantastic new HGC line, X-81
fascism, socialism, communism, are bad management, I-143
fast checking on ruds; see rudiments, fast checking
fast flow basis, C/Sing on, VII-205
fast flow, C/S handles post, X-96
fast flow training, VIII-162, 163
father’s universe, being in, example, II-436, 438
fear of being nothing, I-388
fear of change, I-355
Fear of People List, VIII-219, 220
feeling, chains are held together by one similar feeling, not by narratives or personnel or locations, VI-352
fertility, Rising Scale [process] will sometimes restore fertility or change eyesight, VII-90
FES; see Folder Error Summary
fever, handling with auditing, VII-335
FFD; see Dianetics, Full Flow
FFT; see Dianetics, Full Flow Table
field(s),
\textit{defn.}, any thing interposing between pc (thetan) and something he wishes to see, whether most or mock-up, III-209
are black, grey, purple, any substance, or invisible, III-209
auditor; see field auditor
black, III-91, 256; VIII-124; see also black
black field case, IV-9
clean-up of, III-205
clearing of, III-209, 210
clear pc’s field with Responsibility, IV-16, 49
invisible, III-70, 191, 256
is a self-protective or destructive device, III-209
is one or more incidents, III-210
mysterious, IV-66
pc has a field, somatics, malformity or aberration, how to clean up, IV-7
Field(s) (cont.)
process to ~anquish, III-246
rules of fields, III-209
Step 6, totally clear up a field before running, III-207
testing for fields, III-209
Field auditor,
and central organization, II-458
rights of, III-41
shouldn’t depend on central org for pcs, II-351
targets, central org and, V-432
vs. central org, II-369
fifteen acts; see AP&A; HFP
fifth dynamic; see dynamic, 5th
Fifth Stage Release, VI-71, 87
fight(ing),
it takes a third party to develop, VI-288
to have a 115
Fighting Process, II-85
Fight the Wall, commands and how to run, III-9
to run, III-209
figure-figure case; see case, figure-figure
file clerk(s); see also DMSMH; SOS
and somatic strip, I-16
faith in auditor, I-18
finance, how financial security is obtained, II-319
finance of the organization, early days, II-459
Find a Pc [process], II-250
Find a Spot, commands and how to run, III-8
finding the bug on a case, X-113
“Find something in this room that is comfortably real and
word clearing, X-247
to you” [process], II-207
Find the Auditor is part of Control, III-204
firefight(s),
defn, quarrel between auditor and pc, VII-228;
VIII-291, 385
and unrun or overrun chains, X-120, 124
first aid always precedes an assist, III-262; VII-417
first book case is stuck in prenatal engram, I-301
first-book case, I-303
first dynamic; see dynamic, 1st
defn, fire correction is Int, X-115
first postulate; see postulate, first
First Stage Release, VI-56, 61, 62, 70, 71
First Stage Released OT, defn, the person has not
only come out of his bank but also out of his
body, VI-62
five-way bracket, IV-240
five-way Concept Help commands, IV-121
fixation, fixated, fixed,
attention; see also attention
fixated attention case, anatomy and remedy of,
VIII-262
fixed beliefs, how to lead a person out of, II-
tracting pc from cognition by calling attention
fixed ideas and phobias, processes for [1956],
II-454
how to locate and unfix, III-428
in space, I-453
one life, X-73
flash answer, I-16
Flat Check by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form
CT5, IV-232
flat question or process, defn, when the communica-
tion lag has been similar for three successive
questions, II-234, 449; see also end the
omena
flatten(ing),
defn., to do something until it no longer produces
a reaction, VII-265; IX-315
and unflattening, phenomena of a process, II-328
chain, failed to flatten, X-69
cognition and flattening of a process, VII-242;
IX-67
flip-flopping, defn., a process by which the pc’s ex-
cess motion is taken off, III-184
flitter, I-406; II-181
five acts; see AP&A; HFP
fifth dynamic, 5th flip-flopping,
defn., a process by which the pc’s ex-
cess motion is taken off, III-184
floating needle(s), F/N(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
electrode, one-hand electrode sometimes obscures an F/N and gives false TA, VI-275
end phenomena and floating needles, VII-20, 78, 117
if you go past EP the F/N will pack up (cease) and TA will rise, VII-20
end phenomena for any process or action is F/N, VI-68, 275
erasure and F/N, VI-354, 373; VII-117
Exam F/Ns after flubs, VII-274
Examiner and floating needles; see also Examiner Examiner ratio, F/N—no F/N, what it tells, VII-367; IX-6, 7
false TA and F/N, VIII-227, 416
“floating needle” with TA above 3.0 or below 2.0 means pc is ARC broken, VI-275, 373
flogging F/N (floating F/N, TA F/N), defn, can’t get needle on dial, just falls over, IX-32
F/N everything, VII-196, 316
frequent errors in F/Ning everything, VII-197
F/Ning auditors, VII-412
footplates obscure F/Ns, VIII-414
getting the F/N to Examiner, VII-422
good indicators, what determines a real F/N is GI, VI-275, 373
gradual widening of F/N, VII-144; X-67-68
“high TA F/Ns” during rehab, VI-251
how to get them on a pc, VI-147
if process is overrun F/N vanishes with just one extra command, VI-144
indicating floating needle, VI-275, 362
when to, VII-21, 197
Integrity Processing questions must be F/Ned, VIII-175; IX-274
is not a stage 4 needle or an inverted stage 4; it is floating and free, V-112
is valid only between 2.0 and 3.0 TA position, VI-373
listing and nulling item must BD and F/N, VIII-96
lock, Dianetic pc F/Ns on a lock, ask for an earlier stopped moving down, VI-69
lock, F/N on a lock can be by-passed on R3R, what you ask or program, VIII-222; X-243
major action, don’t begin without getting F/N first, IX-239
miss an F/N and TA will go up, VI-275
non-F/N cases, VII-217
obtain an F/N before starting next C/S, VII-260
occurs just before pc is aware of it, VI-275, 362
occur when a key-out occurs or when an engram is erased, VI-342
OT is particularly subject to F/N abuse as he can blow things quite rapidly, VII-273
overrunning free needle, VI-143
overrun of any action past F/N will cause TA to rise, VI-275, 277
pcs “getting an F/N at will” are not in session, VII-438
pc’s who resent F/N indications, cause of, VII-78
floating needle(s), F/N(s)(ed)(ing) (cont.)
persistent F/N, VII-144, 145; X-67
and ending session, VIII-397
Power can be done quickie simply by not hanging on for EP and only going to F/N, VIII-93
prepared list either reads or F/Ns, VIII-213
reading items not F/Ned leave pc with BPC, VII-196
read on an item from ruds or prepared list must be carried to F/N, VII-196
rehab or release, don’t continue past F/N, VI-66
relaxation, F/N does not last very long in releasing, VI-275
rudiment, don’t fly, when pc comes into session with F/N, VI-280
R3R, floating needle on, VII-20
same F/N, X-67
Scientology auditor never would audit past an F/N, VI-373
Search and Discovery, do not continue to do S&Ds beyond an F/N, VI-218
sensitivity setting and free needle, VI-144, 147
getting the F/N to Examiner, VII-21
auto indicators, what determines a real F/N is win, VII-144
GI, VI-275, 373
sizes, IX-32
normal, 2” to 3”, IX-32
small, 1” to 2”, IX-32
wide, 3” to 4”, IX-32
students, F/Ning, IX-402, 448
students who are interrupted too often when F/Ning may also blow, on a “withhold of nothingness”, VIII-193
students who study well are said to be F/Ning students, VII-412
supervising at a below F/N level, IX-402
TA must be between 2 and 3 for a correct F/N, VII-55, 117, 421
to Examiner, X-56
to see if a needle is floating the TA must have stopped moving down, VI-69
what it looks like, VI-67
what you ask or program, VIII-222; X-243
wide persistent with TA too high or low means false TA, VIII-227, 416
Word Clearing, all words must be F/Ned, VIII-303, 304; IX-482
Zero Flow in Dianetics may F/N very suddenly, VIII-288, 382
floating TA, VII-424
flow(s); see also Flow Process
defn, a progress of energy between two points, V-16
defn, an impulse or direction of energy particles or thought or masses between terminals, VI-307
defn, directional thought, energy or action, VI-438
defn, an electronic flow in a direction, VII-270
actual electrical flow occurs in response to directional command, VII-270
additional, when doing additional flows one must also check or rehab flows run to F/N, VIII-287, 381

auditing additional flows while earlier items re-maint Single or Triple restimulates missing flows and stacks them up as mass, VIII-377

auditor’s lack of knowledge of flows, doing F0s on a Triple pc; handling of, VIII-410

basic, V-14, 16

basic aberration is withheld flow, V-16

by-passed, X-105, 108

and repair, X-105, 108

by-passed flows and mass, VII-210, 212, 213; VIII-286, 380

cause, a potential source of flow, II-14

clearing flows, VII-282; X-131

confusion is the antithesis of a flow, II-154

Dianetic remedies and Triple Flows, VIII-285

dub-in case has a wholly one-sided flow and is trying to run the other side, VI-279

effect, a potential receipt of flow, II-14

E-Meter needle that is stuck will run to loose if proper flow direction is selected, III-220

flow H [C/S 37R], X-134

Full Flow Dianetics; see Dianetics, Full Flow

Full Flow Table; see Dianetics, Full Flow Table

F0; see Flow 0

F1; see Flow 1

F2; see Flow 2

F3; see Flow 3

getting in all flows, VIII-287, 288, 381; X-106

help follows laws of flows, not terminals, III-220; see also Scn 8-80

high TAs are caused by two or more flows opposing thus making a mass or ridge, VII-270

high TAs, heavy pressure and even illness can come from by-passed flows, VII-212; VIII-286, 380

it is type of process rather than the type of pc which regulates the flows, VI-307

low TAs are caused by overwhelm by flows, VII-270

mass occurs when flows of items are by-passed and then later restimulated by auditing them, VII-210, 212, 213; VIII-287, 381

missing, and mass, X-100, 102

missing flows are still potential mass, VIII-274, 377

note, X-129-30, 133

old pcs run Triple, let them remain Triple unless you have to do Int RD or some Quad RD, VIII-373

overrun, X-107, 110

patterns of; see also Scn 8-80

pc who feels dopey or “boils off” has either run too long on flow in one direction, in which case reverse flow, or he has reduced havingness down to a point where he feels tired or sleepy, II-182

rehab or run, X-107

“ridges” and mass come about from a conflict of flows opposing or being pulled back as in withholds, VII-270

running previously unrun flows, VII-I, 213

running too long on one flow is conducive to withholds developing, V-66

run previously unrun one or ones first to get charge off, then verify or run ones listed as run already, VIII-287, 381

too long in one direction gives an unconsciousness, IV-121

safe course is to use Triples (Quad only) on new, never audited before pcs; those begun on Triples, use then only Triples, VIII-291(386)

self-determined flows can be aberrative, V-14

table; see Dianetics, Flow Table
tone arm, high TA and Quad Flows, VIII-381
tone arm, high TA and Triple Flows, VIII-287

Triple reruns, VIII-286; X-105

and Quad reruns, VIII-380; X-108

tripling earlier Dianetics, VIII-274, 377

unconsciousness caused by a flow which has flowed too long in one direction, II-450

unrun, X-105, 106, 108, 109

unrun, law: when one or more of four flows of an item or grade are left unrun, when used in later processes the earlier unrun ones restimulate and make mass, VII-212

unrun, law: when one or more of the three flows of an item or grade are left unrun, when used in later processes the earlier unrun ones restimulate and make mass, VIII-286, 380

used in triple Grades, VI-307

tone to triple or quad narrative items or multiple somatic items, VIII-275, 378

which runs too long in one direction can “stick”, V1438

withhold ~, reverse of is “afraid to find out”, V-33

wrongness in terms of flow is inflow, II-14

Zero Flow; see Flow 0

FlowProcess, command of, V-15; seealsoflow

Flow 0, X-100, 102, 103, 107, 110
defn, self doing something to self, VII-211; VIII-274, 378

and Int-Ext RD, X-92

auditor doing F0s on a Triple pc, handling of, VIII-410

command, VIII-378; X-103, 109

command for Introspection RD, X-101

getting in Zero Flows—rehab or run, VIII-382

in Dianetics, may F/N very suddenly, VIII-288, 382

Int RD, one mustn’t suddenly introduce 4th flow (F Zero), VII-210; VIII-377

Introspection RDhas as its dominant flow, VIII-295

Quad Dianetics, already flat Zero Flows are not uncommon, VII-228
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Flow 0 (cont.)
Quad Dianetics, unrun F0 is checked for read before running, VIII-374
Quad Dianetics, when catching up unrun Flow Zeros only run those that read, VIII-373 running Zero Flows, VII-214; VIII-288, 382
Triple pc, doing F0s on, VIII-410
Flow 1, defn, something happening to self, VII-211; VIII-274, 378; X-100, 103
Flow 2, defn., doing something to another, VII-211; VIII-274, 378; X-100, 103
Dianetics F2 command, VII-43
perception, lack of, comes from overts and improves when Flow 2 is done, VII-340
Flow 3, defn., others doing things to others, VII-211; VIII-274, 378; X-100, 103
Dianetics F3 command, VII-43
Flow 1, 2, 3: (1) inflow, (2) outflow, (3) cross flow, VIII-238, 438
flubbed,
cases and “no interest” items, X-236
chains, VII-227
R3R, X-76
sessions, redtagging, VII-138
flubby auditors, X-163
Flub Catch System, VII-142; X-65
flubless,
auditors, X-176
CISing, X-233
CSing in missions, X-235
flubs,
auditing flubs; see auditing errors
best answer is no flubs, X-63
cramming, X-69
Exam F/Ns after, X-143
flunk(s), VII-128
defn., to make a mistake, fail to apply materials learned; opposite of pass, VII-287
and retain, X-60
session grading, when given, VII-128; X-60
TA producing action left incomplete is a ~, X-2
tech not by the book is a flunk, X-152
flying ruds; see rudiments, flying
F/N; see floating needle
F/Ning auditors, X-193
folder(s), IX-13
defn., folded sheet, foolscap size, of cardboard which encloses all session reports and other items, IX-9
admin, VII-182; see also Auditor Admin Series, IX-1
all auditing reports of whatever type of action are simply filed chronologically in current HGC folder, IX-14
analyzing and reviewing, VI-268; VII-95; X-45
case folder, almost only way to completely bar door on pc is to lose his case folder or fail to put all lists and reports in it, V-220
folder(s) (cont.)
contents, IX-9, 10
C/S folder line, VII-181
C/S, how he can tell if he has all the folders, IX-14
C/S only with all folders to hand, VII-95
Expanded Dianetic folders are marked with red colored tape, IX-13
front cover items, IX-9
“mail slip” system, to ensure that folders are not lost in transit, IX-15
Solo folders, only separate category of folders, IX-14
study, VIII-157; IX-326
study folder to find auditing error, how to, VII-218, 278
tape color flashes, IX-13
Why finding worksheets must go into pc folder, VIII-96, 303; IX-482
Word Clearing Method No.1 can be done with no folder, VII-315
Word Clearing worksheets must be placed in pc folders, VIII-96, 304; IX-482
Folder Error Summary (FES), VII-142; IX-56; X-4, 65, 81, 85
defn., summary of auditing errors in folder and on pc’s case not corrected at time summary is done, IX-11
and admin time, X-85
auditor does not let a C/S C/S hopefully; he refuses C/Ses until an FES is done and bug found, VII-358
cost borne by pc, X-65
cost of, VII-142
counts on C/S’s and auditor’s stat, VIII-150
credits on auditor’s stat, VII-147
C/S failing to call for an FES when he doesn’t know after a failed rundown, handling of, VIII-413
current, X-97
necessity for FES must not halt delivery, VII-142 results, examples, VII-206
who does it, VII-142
Folder Page, actions of, VII-182
Folder Summary (FS), X-5, 173
defn., adequate summary of actions taken on pc in consecutive order written on sheets located inside front cover of folder, IX-10, 21
Ethics cycles, details of, should be entered by auditor in, IX-54
form, IX-24, 25
inside front cover must exist and must be kept up, VII-276, 359
sample, IX-23
food; see nutrition
foot pedal, tape players used must be equipped with, IX-349
footplates, VII-438; VIII-27, 414
forbidden words, V-439
force(s), body responds badly to forces, VII-86
force(s) (cont.)
cancels admiration, admiration dissolves force, 1-416
chronic somatics, almost all, have their root in force, VII-76
cognitions show that thought is releasing from force, VII-77
E-Meter records what force is being discharged in every slash, fall and blowdown, VII-77
engrams, run out the force and the words drop into insignificance, VII-76
field; see History of Man
force opposing force with resultant mass, IV-426
force by thetian, how one becomes a, VII-86
invalidation is symbolic manifestation of ~, II-96
made up of time, matter, energy, flows, particles, masses, solids, liquids, gasses, space and location, VII-79; X-31
mest is complete force, I-173
pc ability to confront force, VII-79
pc will change in ideas when he changes his relationship to forces, VII-86
relationship of responsibility and irresponsibility to use and tolerance of force, I-293
run out the force, X-28
significance vs. force, VII-77, 85
somatics passing through in session are a definite clue to force change, VII-86
thetans find counter-forces objectionable, VII-76
tone arm action shows that force is coming off case, VII-77
“What force would it be all right to use?” [process], III-545
forcing a pc, don’t, VI-341, 369, 442; VII-414
foreign language, foreign language cases need GF items cleared, X-86
persons or semiliterates, use Word Clearing Method 7, IX-463
persons use translated tests, IX-420
WordClearingon, IX-477
words—get a dictionary of that language, IX-432
forget, forgetting(ness), III-245
defn., process of not-knowing the past, II-440
death is a forgettingness, III-223
forget and remember, II-316
greatest automaticity in which anyone was engaged, II-221
relationship to each other, II-298
how one mechanically forgets, III-11
mechanism, III-228
Objective Forgettingness [learning process], III-31
spiritual being, forgettingness of, III-224
forgetfulness, defn., rapidity of change, unpredictable, IV-54
auditForgetter List; see SelfAnalysis
Forgetting, 6-way bracket [process], III-245
bad memory, specific process for, Forgetting run brackets, III-245
forgiveness, VI-154, 155
formal auditing; see auditing, formal
Former Release check, VI-73
Formula H, the effort to reach and withdraw, I-447
Formula is a method of getting a case started, IV-179
Formulas and Regimens were never for co-audits, IV-176
Formula10, addition to, III-478
Formula10, an approach to OT, III-472, 474
Formula13, IV-171, 179
cases that do not move on Formula13, IV-178
how to run, IV-171
Formula14, IV-179
Formula15, IV-179
Formula16, cases that don’t respond well on O/W use ~, IV-180
Formula17, IV-181
Formula19, theory and commands, IV-205
Formula19, “Who have you failed to help?”, IV-194
Formula 20, theory and commands, IV-213
Foundations are not organizations, II-460
Four (IV) Rundown, OT, X-52
fourth dynamic; see dynamic, 4th
fourth postulate; see postulate, fourth
Fourth Stage Release, VI-70, 71, 87
franchise(s),
exchanging types of franchises, III-506
HCO HAS Co-Audit Franchise, III-506
HCO Processing Franchise, III-506
holders, III-512
should send10% to HCO WW, III-507
special information for, III-492
interim franchise, III-492
may adopt “okay to audit” system, VII-233
or field might not have divisions but they have all the functions, VII-153
permanent franchise, III-500
free association, I-269
freedom,
and barriers, auditing is that process of bringing a balance between, II-366
and barriers, workable balance between, II-418, 422, 423
end product of Scientology is spiritual ~, VI-325
entrapment vs. freedom; see also NSOL
exists amongst barriers, II-422
feeling of freedom and expansion on a subject is expressed in normal TA and loose needle, VII-78
for the individual, goal of Scientology, II-215
freedom-monger, the agitator, achieves a no-game, II-399
from, a perfect trap, II-422
GradeIII Release, Freedom Release, VI-98
of speech does not mean freedom to harm by lies, IV-27
reached by going up through the grades of ing, VI-322
religion of Scientistist is freedom for all things spiritual on all dynamics which means ade in quate discipline and knowledge to keep that freedom guaranteed, III-281
freedom (cont.)
route to freedom lies through knowledge, VI-2
thetan will not let himself go free unless he can
operate without danger to others, IV-19
what freedom means, IV-27
Freedom Congress, III-76
freeing action of auditing, what it depends upon,
IV-53
free needle; see floating needle
free service = free fall, IX-59
free theta, defn., is attention units free enough to be
directed of own volition, I-418
free track, defn., that part of time track that is free of
pain and misadventure; pc doesn’t freeze up on it,
V-274
“free wheeling”, 141
Freud, Sigmund(‘s), I-30, 269, 344, 416; II-465-70
accidental cures explained, VI-137
libido theory, IV-103
psychoanalysis developed by Freud in1894 in
Vienna, Austria, III-477
“From where could you communicate to a body?”
[process], III-472
“From where could you communicate to a general
form of terminal)?”, run for PTPs, III-497
Full Body Mimicry, III-6
11Full Flow Dianetics; see Dianetics, Full Flow
Full Flow Table; see Dianetics, Full Flow Table
fundamentals, how to be sure of, III-424
comFuneral Service, Church of Scientology, II-363
future,
ability to confront without restimulation, III-488
future, past and present; see NSOL
not-knowing the future is being without goals,
II-440
of Scientology, II-450
the sane are concerned with the future, II-1, 2
Future Processing, II-1; III-125

G

GAEs; see auditing, gross auditing errors
gain; see case gain
Galen, III-421
Game(s), II-272; see also Games Processing; FOT
defn., composed of freedom, problems, and
havingness, awareness and interest, II-367
defn., contests in overwhelments, II-397
defn., consists of freedom, barriers, and purposes,
II-421
defn, contest of person against person, or team
against team, II-421
ability to play a game consists of tolerance for
freedom and barriers and insight into purposes,
with power of choice over participation, II-424
all games are aberrative, II-503
auditing is not a game between auditor and pc on
an opposing basis but on a team basis, II-100,
367
game(s) (cont.)
being forced to play, II-424
called physical universe, II-11, 15
capability in playing, II-440
conditions, II-177, 273, 471, 556; III-104; VIII
113; see also game, no-game conditions
all unlimited and highly workable processes are
games conditions, II-504, 557
auditors and pcs get into, how, VIII-180
best processes are those which fastest convert
unknowing games conditions to knowing
games conditions, III-9
boredom and game conditions, VIII-113
boredom described in terms of game condi
II-177
evolves from separateness, IV-54
good case condition is knowing games condi
II-558
havingness drops when compulsive game condi
tioncomesin, II-489
havingness is “gimmick” or “weenie” for
whichthe gameis played, VIII-118
how to maintain games condition in pc, II-486
individual in high games condition is in
motion, II-528
list of most processable games conditions,
503, 556
one is in an obsessive games condition when
one obsessively cuts everyone else’s
communication, III-104
processing rule, never process a no-game condi
tion, only a game condition, II-471
violation of game condition brings about re
stimulation, II-505
withhold is a games condition on communica
tion, III-201
death isn’t a game anymore, III-518
degrees of responsibility for, V-8
desire for a game, II-485
difference in games, II-485
difficulties of a thetan are staying in the game and
keeping it going, II-434
doesn’t only consist of motion, but of enemies
and individualities to fight those enemies with,
II-530
dwindling spiral in terms of knowingness, energy,
space and games, II-176
elements of games applied to life, II-421
fighting, to have a game, II-115
goal of Scientology is rehabilitation of the game,
II-366
hidden game, pc is compulsively playing, III-196
how one loses ability to have games, II-446
how rehabilitated, II-367, 446
is an optimum randomness, II-540
life is a game, II-366, 498; see also POW
mood of game (the Tone Scale), II-367
need for additional element “the power of
choice”, II-424
no freedom without barriers, II-418
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Game(s) (cont.)

No-game condition(s), II-176, 471; III-15; VIII-112; seealso game conditions
defn., a totality of barriers or a totality of freedom, II-422
freedom-monger, agitator, achieves a no-game, II-399
is a summary of native state of thetan, II-557
limited and unworkable processes are no-game conditions, II-504, 557
list of most to be avoided no-game conditions, II-503, 556
preclear is usually close to, II-367
processing rule, never process a no-game condition, only a game condition, II-471, 504
winning and losing, II-463
of life, III-102
of life demands one assume a beingness in order to accomplish a doingness in the direction of havingness, II-410
playing the game; see also NSOL defn., ability to think combined with ability to observe directly, I-433
purposes become counter-posed, II-423
remedy of having no game, II-273
requisite to playing is ability to control, II-446
tan creates most to have a game, II-176
cuts down knowingness to have a game, II-176; VIII-112, 113
traps are part of games, II-485
willingness to win and willingness to lose, II-447
Games Congress, Shoreham Hotel, II-503
Games Processing, II-366, 417; see also game; Scn 8-8008
definitions and elements, II-367
demand that all can’t haves be run on something else than the pc, II-516
tan entity of, II-516
SLP, Level Five [1956], makes them able to play games, II-325
Gautama Siddhartha, III-217; see also Buddha
GE; see genetic entity
General Check-up on a Session by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT3, IV-230
General Help bracket [process], III-321
Overt/Withhold before session, V-101
generality, generalities,
ARC break occurs on a ~ or a not there, VI-16
“everybody” makes a dispersal which the pc can’t see through, VI-17
formula to handle ARC break caused by •, VI-17
generality, generalities (cont.)
inIntegrity Processing, IX-279
not there is also a generality because it can be anywhere, VI-18
pc who answers with systematized generality, II-256
person who speaks only in very broad ~, VI-177
reactive bank is full of generalities which explains the hard ARC breaks of Level VI, VI-18
won’t do in Integrity Processing, VIII-176
won’t do—Sec Checking, IV-424
General O/W, co-audit teams run ~, IV-25
Genetic entity, I-300, 301, 303, 369; II-361, 547; see also HOM; Scn 8-8008
defn., something that mocks up bodies, III-226
and sacrifices, VIII-125
method of clearing, 1485
runs on being sacrificed to, II-374
scale, I-302
genetic line; see also track map; HOM
defn., a series of mocked-up automaticities which produce according to a certain blueprint from the earliest times of life on this planet through until now, III-224
atomicradiationdoesreverseit, ill-108
genius,
defn., ability to think combined with ability to observe directly, I-433
eccentric genius is a problem in communication, I-130
in C/Sing, X-10
of a C/S, X-19
geographical areas, role in aberration and resolution, I-448
generational position, an enforced fixation in a geographical position brings about an unwillingness to duplicate, II-15
Geographical Processing, 1449
germ and virus, VIII-403
gettings pc in session, II-217
getting the F/N to the Examiner, X-56
GF; see Green Form
ghosts and spirits, don’t invalidate, III-226
ghosts, how they come about, III-530
Gita,
defn. Give and Take Processing, VIII-115
Expanded Gita; see Expanded Gita
Modified Gita, II-277, 285
give, he who would give must be willing to receive, II-440
“Give me an unknown datum” [process], II-257
“Give me some things you could say to “”Give me some things could say to you”” [proGeneral cess], II-241; see also Routine 0-A
“Give me something you wouldn’t mind forgetting” [process], II-219
“Give me something you wouldn’t mind remembering” [process], II-219
“Give me that hand”, Tone 40; see CCH1
“Give me your hand”, Tone 40; see CCH1
glasses, VII-178; see also eyes; vision
chronic somatic of wearing, I-196
eyesight and glasses, III-36
obstructing seeing the meter, X-80, 209
persons wear, because of a theta facsimile for
which they refuse to take responsibility, I-210
running regret, blame, sympathy, etc. on chronic
somatic of wearing glasses, I-196
wearing of glasses and sympathy, I-203
whole problem of glasses is the problem of con-
fronting, III-37
glee of insanity, I-363
glee, what it indicates, and remedy of, VI-257
glib student(s), VII-264; IX-314, 345
can confront the words and ideas; he cannot con-
front the physical universe or people around
him and so cannot apply, IX-345
can’t demonstrate, V-488
characteristics of and handling, VIII-99
handling, IX-345
make glib student demonstrate application, VI-
205
glosary of C/S terms, X-48
ametic acid and Vitamin Bl chemical assist, 140
goal(s); see also Goals Processing; SOP Goals
defn., something pc wanted to be, to do or to
have, whether pc abandoned it, failed in it or
not, IV-419
defn., an actual desire, IV-420
abandoned item or ~ makes everything read, V-
403
accidental goal finding, V-154
allow no self listing of goals [R2-12], V-238
altered goal wording prevents clearing, V-150
as escape, IV-368
assessing for goals and terminals by elimination
[SOP Goals], IV-239
assessment, IV-239, 267, 417
assessment by goals [Help], IV-124
auditor accepts and acknowledges goals pc has for
two comm, III-279
life is a series of attained goals, IV-58
line plot, rule: put any item ever found on pc by
any process on line plot; every one will add up
to a goal, V-250
list(s),
always recheck goals list [SOP Goals], IV-270
assessing goals list by elimination [SOP Goals],
IV-239
complete goals list [SOP Goals], IV-270
do full list of goals on pc [SOP Goals], IV-265
how to assessgoals list [SOPGoals], IV-236
how to do [3GA], V-118
length of [3GA], V-92
lost, how to reconstruct [3G], V-55
pc must be warned not to read list back to
himself [3GA], V-118
things which cause “everything to read” on,
V-402
listing a bum goal results in a pc’ getting sickand
dizzy [3GA], V-92
listing, using Create CDEI Scale, V-143
main goal of a child, 147
management puts goals into effect, I-134
goal(s) (cont.) modifier [R3D], IV-418
modifier is that consideration which opposes the attainment of a goal and tends to suspend it in time [R3A], IV-413
modifier is unseen modification pc has placed before or after his goal to insist upon winning or threaten with if he does not win, or to keep the goal in a games condition unknown even to himself [R3D], IV-419
modifier on goals [R3A], IV-412
necessity to clear, III-183
never set a goal for a pc, V-463
non-survival, I-135
nulling by mid rudiments [3GA], V-118
of man; see Handbook for Preclears
opposition goal, IV-417
defn., idea that is interlocked against pc’s goal, making it a postulate-counter-postulate situation of long duration; it is not actually the goal of the opposition terminal as the opposition terminal would see it, but only what pc believes it was as it effects him, IV-419
opposition goal or wrong goal can get pc in real trouble [3GA], V-118
opposition terminal; see terminal, opposition out rudiments bury goal, IV-374, 423
pc in bad condition is more likely to have succumbed [rudiment] goals than survive goals, IV-458
pc’s actual goals and GPMs are more aberrative and important than implants, V-366
preliminary goal [SOP Goals], IV-236
Precheck Form [3GA], V-106
Precheckign and goals, V-201
presented in Problems Intensive, how to handle, V-201
principal goal [SOP Goals], IV-237
problem mass; see Goals Problem Mass prove up the goal, how to, [SOP Goals], IV-267
PTPs expressed as session goals, IV-210
put pc more in session with goals, III-314
reliable items and goals found on students, staff or HGC pcs must be checked out, V-246
reliable items, too many found without finding common denominator of GPM is “no responsibility”, V-8
rock, just below the rock lies pc’s goal [R2-10, R2-12, 3GAXX], V-213
rock slam channel, what it is, V-213
rudiments and goals, III-122
session goals, IV-41, 56, 210
simplest process to clear pc on direction [goal] is a Problem Process, IV-57
SOP Goals; see SOP Goals source of, I-134
survival goal, I-135
goal(s) (cont.)
symptoms of a right goal listed wrongly, V-167
symptoms of a right goal unlisted, V-169
terminal, IV-418
defn., that valence into which pc has interiorized and which carries the goal, modifier and aberration which pc attributes to self, IV-419
assessing goals terminal with Primary Prehawk Scale, IV-283
for pc’s goal + modifier [R3D], IV-418
when a goals terminal is flat [SOP Goals], IV-209
terminals and goals searches require a repeat over and over of goal or terminal on list in order to get them to go null [SOP Goals], IV-273
test [R3D], IV-419
things that hide a goal or make one read falsely [3GA], V-119
“to be” goals line listing [3GA], V-139
to stay fixed, goal must have a counter-postulate, IV-413
two minds, goals of the, I-380
which is an overt against Scientology, V-140
wrong goals, V-404
importance of repair of, V-167
symptoms of a wrong goal listed, V-168
wrong wording of item or goal [R2, R3], V-257
“X” and “/” signs, use of in goals assessment, IV-266
24 line listing for a beingness type goal, V-139
Goals Problem Mass(es) (GPM); see also implants defn., made up of past selves or “valences”, V-8
defn., black masses of the reactive mind, V-175
defn., consists of items (valences) in opposition to one another; any pair of these items, in opposition to each other, constitute a specific problem, V-185
application of Twenty-Ten Procedure to ~, V-7
at Level VI GPMs are run out, but before that can be achieved, one is thrust into the GPMs by effort to accomplish, V-456
best locator of ~ is from goals, V-53
charge in GPM, what it consists of, V-349
common denominator of GPM is “no responsibility”, V-8
core of the reactive bank, IV-443
could come back in, reason Clears went unclear, IV-443
curved out of shape, cause of, V-7, 213
described, IV-426
failing to fully clear each GPM, result of, V-260
getting cases to RR on GPMs, V-332
GPM item in present time constitutes a PTP, V-185
how a real goal reads, V-396
how to restore ability of pc to RR or R/S, V-250
implant GPM, how its goal reads, V-402
is full of pairs of terms and oppertems, V-179
Goals Problem Mass(es) (GPM) (cont.)

item from another GPM, V-258

test R/Ses was part of GPM and has another

item in opposition to it, V-212

left uncleaned gives liabilities, V-261

List L4, V-308-09

lock valences are appended to a real GPM 3-D

item, V-7

lock words found and destimulated, effect on ~, V-493

more advanced the GPM, more careful you have
to be of the body, V-256

non-gain or slow-gain cases have a GPM item in
their present time environment, V-185

one does have wishes-to-do of his own having
nothing to do with ~ but only being blocked
by them, V-456

partial anatomy of ~, identification in auditing
and behavior of E-Meter towards it, V-178

pc’s actual goals and ~ are more aberrative and
important than implants, V-366

pc who rock slams on a PT ~ item in his or her
immediate environment is a rock slammer, V-186

postulates, treat them like ~ items whether in a ~
or an engram [R3N, R3R], V-349

reactive mind, basis of, is actual GPMs, V-493
realization of actions done will key out a ~, V-435

rock and opposition rock, basic items of ~, V-182
rock slam channel is pathway through pairs of
items that compose a cycle of ~ and lead to
rock and goal, V-212, 213

R4MTA process withdrawn, V-376

terminals are identities in ~ producing pain,
V-175

will key back in by finding modifier to a goal, V-4
Goals Process, III-123, 279, 326; see also goals

Goals Processing finds beingness and mind’s doingness

and evil, II-464; III-166; VIII-78, 370 one is studying its data, VI-20

awareness of good and evil, V1405 Lower Grade processes, X-53

indicators; see indicators, good

intending to do something good and doing some-
thing bad, II-463

intentions are never run, IX-252

is man good or evil, V-407

man is basically good and is damaged by punish-
ment, IV-104

goofed session must be repaired within 24 hours,
VII-138

goofs, always find and handle auditor goofs by Cram-
ming, VII-206

goofs are few in type, X-42
government,
defn., that body created by the aggregate irrespon-
sibility of a people, III-252

attack and press, II-312
corrects mistakes by adding rules and terminals,
II-387
degree of sanity in government, II-272
deterioration of government, III-182

Group Dianetics is a new ~ form, I-143

handling, III-106

insanity of government, III-251

propaganda, II-313

Scientology and civil government, II-168

Scientology, belief of, that no government should
be interfered with, II-292
tone scale of governments or companies or groups,
I-137

what made governments persevere, III-211

GPM: see Goals Problem Mass

GP’s I—15, III-72-73

GP-3: see Connectedness

Gradation Chart; see Classification Gradation and

Awareness Chart

grade(s); see also level
defn., series of processes culminating in an exact
ability attained, examined and attested to by
pc, VII-47, 360; X-6

Advance Programs should contain Expanded Low-
er Grades, Triple, VII-432

cease to call Release by stages and call them by
grades, VI-95

Class VI (SHSBC) tapes and bulletins are all valid

and vital to lower ~ auditing and C/Sing, VII

103

end phenomena of grades, VII-21, 78

Expanded Lower Grades, VII-98, 432; X-48

flows, any later grade run with more flows than

used in earlier actions can throw earlier unflat
flows into restim, VII-212

full list of grades showing where various RDs fit,

VIII-312

harmonic into OT levels, VII-98

incomplete cycle of the, X-62

indicators of completed grade, VII-78

“level” and “grade” are the same but when one is

a pc one has a grade and when one has a level
one is studying its data, VI-20

Lower Grade processes, X-53

major grade process is definitely not enough to

make pc make a lower grade, VII-103

no one grade solves the whole case; that’s why
there are grades, VI-252

pc demanding next grade, VII-83

pc, grade he can’t seem to make is not the ~, V-

70

pc may only attest one grade at atime, VII-80

case is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower
grades, VII-59

Quickie Grades; see Quickie Grades
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

grade(s) (cont.)
rehabbing grades, VI-143, 203, 241
release on a grade, what may be audited, VI-141
repairs of grades not made or failed, VII-466
run additional processes of a grade until pc releases at that grade, VI-96
Triple Grades; see Triple Grades
use all processes, X-13
violations, VII-47, 361; X-7
Grade Chart; see Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart

Grade 0 Release—Communication Release, VI-96, 98
Communication Processes, VI-95

Grade I Release—Problems Release, VI-96, 98
Problems, Grade I, is usual ordinary reason for no case advance, VII-101
Problems Processes, VI-95

Grade II Release, VII-30; VIII-311; X-24849
and the Grade Chart, X-251-52
O/W Processes, VI-95
Relief Release [Overt Release], VI-96, 98

Grade III Release—Freedom Release [ARC Release], VI-96, 98
ARC Break Processes (old R4-H renamed R-3-H), VI-95

Grade IV Release—Ability Release [Habit Release], VI-96, 98
Service Facsimiles, VI-95

Grade V Release—Power Release, VI-96, 98
Power Processes, VI-95
sensitivity is run at 5 above Grade V, VI-110

Grade VA Release—Power Plus Release, VI-98
defn., VI-142
Grade Vs and VAs, what they can be audited on, VI-38

Grade VI Release—Whole Track Release, VI-96, 98
defn., VI-142
run on new pcs means failure, VI-100
R6EW, VI-95

Grade VII—Clear, VI-95, 98, 142

gradient, gradient scale, VII-89; X-39
defn., a proceeding from simplicity toward greater difficulty, giving pc always no more than he can do but giving him as much as he can do until he can handle a great deal, II-443
defn., a scale of conditions ranging from zero to infinity, II-530
defn., steepening or increasing from slight to heavy, VI-162
defn., gradual increasing condition of, or a little more of little by little, VII-265; IX-315
auditing, gradient of processes, II-247, 250
C/S, don’t look for the process to handle, use a gradient scale, VII-89
education mustn’t skip, VIII-171
is inherent in auditing itself, VIII-116
mind and body are part of a ~ of creation, 1419
of cases, I-435
of certainties, I-356, 378
of confronting study, IX-315

gradient, gradient scale (cont.)
pc can be brought to control a mass of energy as heavy as an engram by the gradient scale of controlling lighter masses, II-227
pcs gain on a smooth ~ and do not suddenly become something, III-155, 175
processes consist of utilizing the principle of the gradient scale to the end of placing pc in better control of himself, his mind, people and universe around him, II-443
skipped gradient means taking on a higher degree of amount before a lesser degree of it has been handled, IX-315
thoroughness of training is achieved on a gradient scale, III-345
too steep a study gradient, VII-293, 294
too steep, is most recognizable and most applicable in field of doingness, VII-294; IX-394
use of gradient scale, II-181
grading, session, X-59-60
grammar(s), grammatical, VIII-143; IX-459
defn., a systematic description of the ways in which words are used in a particular language, VIII-167; IX-468

Grammar Course before Word Clearing, VIII-143; IX-459
rules of, VIII-167
textbooks, VIII-143; IX-459
types of grammars, VIII-168; IX-469
words and small words should be looked up in a simple grammar textbook, VIII-143; IX-459

Grand Tour [process], II-43, 44
an example, II-189
is the Route I or exteriorized version of Spotting Spots, II-188
granting of beingness; see beingness, granting of graphic representation of aberration, I-159, 160
graph of logic, I-72
graphs, OCA, X-162; see also OCA/APA

graphs, psychometric, II-337
gravitic attraction, mst has a, I-361
gravity, 1415
greatness, what is greatness, VI-154
Greek therapy, two divisions—shock treatments and "dream therapy" or "narcosynthesis" or "drug hypnosis", I-181

“all black” reads on GF, probable cause, VI-258
assessed Method 5 (once through for reads, then the reads handled), VII-280, 318
case trouble, “might be anything” use GF, VII 388
each item is independent of the rest, VI-250
foreign language cases, GF on, VII-185
handling items, VI-210, 250, 260
hidden standard handling, VII-185
how and when to use, VI-74, 92, 395
in HGC [1965], VI-76
Green Form (cont.)
Method 3 and GF, VII-318
misuse of, not handling pc, VI-14
nature of its “unlimited” use, VI-280
overlist, how to handle, VII-273
ruds won’t fly, assess GF and handle, VII-45, 46, 357, 360
sequence of handling, VII-185
“seriously physically ill”, how to handle, VI-421
symptoms of too steep a gradient, IX-394
to F/N, VII-31, 196
“to free needle”, not necessarily correct; it may or may not, VI-250
Green Form 40,
all reading items on GF 40 are handled, VII-35
assessment methods, VII-185, 465
“audited over out ruds” reads on GF 40, handle first, VII-35
Expanded GF 40 RB, VII-304
for resistive cases, X-51-52
is not restricted only to sick pcs, V1430
is “7 resistive cases”, V1410
no case gain then it’s GF 40X, VII-388
OT IV Rundown and Green Form 40, VII-102
“out of valence” reads on GF 40, handle last, VII-35
problem shows up as an out rud in GF 40 and is handling of, I-353
simply put in as a rud not as a grade, VII-101
seven resistive cases are found in GF 40X, VII-388
when to use GF 40, VII-101
XRR, X-231
grief charge, release of, important single improvement in preclear, I-296
grief charges, relation to chronic somatics, I-25
grief engrams; see also secondaries; SOS
removing all ~ from case produces a release, I-25
result from losses of position, allies or things, I-16
running of, I-16
grief is always loss, VI-232
etirely and only concerned with loss or threatened loss, I-296
grind case is an afraid to find out case, V-37
grinding.
defn., going over and over and over and over a
lock, secondary or engram without obtaining
an actual erasure, VI-360
cau...
group(s) (cont.)

three spheres of interest and action, I-135
tone scale of governments or companies or groups,
I-137
true group, defn., I-87, 136
will dream up bad technology to destroy good
technology, VI-5

Group Auditing (Group Processing), I-346; III-23; see
also auditing; PXL; COHA

and Grade Chart, X-249
and special auditing to reach above the group
high, I-347
assistant group auditor, III-24
children; see Child Processing
Church meeting, use group auditing, II-262
coauditing in groups, IV-64
free group auditing, relation to professional audit-
ing, I-347
group auditor, defn., one who works to create a
new state of beingness in a group of people by
the administration of Group Processing, I-322
Group C [process], II-16
is done from tone 40.0, III-24
model processes, III-23
plan, I-339
reason group auditors vary commands is they’re
afraid interest will flag, III-24
results in better individuals but not better individ-
uals for Scientology, II-369
session begins with group auditor explaining what
he means to do and why, IV-177
sessions, II-70
Short Eight can be done on a group no matter
how large, I-396
without training doesn’t work, II-382
Group Auditor’s Course, I-312
Group Dianetics, 143, 84; see also NOTL

a new government form, I-143
Grouper, defn., anything which pulls the time track
into a bunch at one or more points, V-275

Groupers, Bouncers and Denyers are Material (matter,
energy, space and time in the form of effort,
force, mass, delusion, etc.) or Command
Phrases (statements that group, bounce or
deny), V-276

guarantee, reason for no ~ in processing, I-39

guiding secondary style; see auditing, guiding second-
ary style

guiding style auditing; see auditing, guiding style
guilt, guilty,
chronic somatics, find out who pc is making guilty
by having them, IV-7

justification is tantamount to a confession of
guilt, IV-12

making an individual guilty for committing evil
actions only increases tendency to laziness,
VIII-370

overts include making another person guilty, IV-6

pc makes others guilty = Level IV unflat, VII-70
guilt, guilty (cont.)

uneasy lies the head that wears a guilty con
science, IV-27

“Guk Bomb” and Vitamin E, VI-123

“guk”, slang term for chemical assist, I-41

H

HAA (Hubbard Advanced Auditor) (B.Scn.) [1956],
II-345, 510
Class IV Auditor [per Classification Gradation and
Awareness Chart 1975]

Ilhabit Release, Grade IV Release [1965], VI-96

half-acknowledgement, defn., ways of keeping pc
talking by giving pc feeling he is being heard
and yet not chopping with overdone TR2,
V-501

hand(s),

anti-perspirants applied to too wet hands, VIII
227, 416

auditor applying hand cream during a session is
wrong, handling of, VIII-411, 415

auditor must not call a pc’s attention to hands
during a session, VIII-410, 414

rings on pc’s hands must be removed, VIII-364
tone arm depends on normally moist hands, VIII
226, 415
tone arm low, don’t get pc to wipe hands every
minute, VIII-27
tone arm low, wet sweaty hands can cause, VIII-24

vanishing cream, why one doesn’t use, VIII-414

Hand Contact Mimicry, III-5, 136, 140; see also
CCH 3

handle, handling,
defn., finish off, complete, end cycle on, VII-4

ability to communicate precedes ability to handle,
VII-264

Int first on the case, X-149

others, methods used; see SOS

service and handling are the same thing, VII-4

Hand Mimicry; see also CCH 3; TRs, TR 5

gradient scale of spaces, III-6

Training 5, III-63

Hand Space Mimicry; see CCH 3

handwriting,
C/S insists on legible, X-196

illegible auditor handwriting, how to handle, VII
433; VIII-412; IX-44

order to practice, X-186

happiness; see also happy
defn., the overcoming of not unknowable obstac-
les toward a known goal or the contemplation
of attained or envisioned goals, I-78

defn., is a state of admiration of things, 1437

and unhappiness, difference between, 1454

is only attained by those who are honest with
themselves and others, VIII-101

only happiness you will ever find lies within you,
II-111
happiness (cont.)

pc’s sanity and happiness absolutely depend upon his ability to create new facts, VIII-114

what it is, II-111

happy; see also happiness

how to be, III-431

is it possible to be; see NSOL

trait, why it moves, II-337

Harvey, III-421

HAS (Hubbard Apprentice Scientologist) [1958], III-288; see also Co-Audit, HAS; Communication Course; HQS certificates [1960], IV-1, 71

what it is, 1413

HAS Co-Audit; see co-audit, HAS

HAS Comm Course; see Communication Course

having HAS Course curriculum and requirements [1964], V-514

HAS Processes III—VIII, IV-192

HAS Kundown, VIII-50

Specialist Auditing Program, VII-354, 439

hashish, description of, VI-244; see also drugs

HASI, Hubbard Association of Scientists International, III-470, 471

functions of, [1955], II-164

purpose of, II-151, 171

hat(s), hatting; see also training

basic hatting step, VII-342

basic of 3rd dynamic sanity, hattedness is, VIII-38

Dianetic group, essential hats, VI-402

esential part of, is Post Purpose Clearing, VIII-363

failing to hat others, how to handle, VIII-50

for product, VIII-38

survey for orders, VIII-37

hate coheses and hardens energy, I-437

hate, love—attitudes, not emotions, 1436

hatred toward auditor, reason for, 1438

have, having, havingness, II-38, 42, 491, 516; VIII-105, 123, 181; see also Havingness Processes; presession

defn., mass or objects, II-180; VIII-115

defn., the “gimmick” or “weenie” for which the game is played, II-183; VIII-118

defn., owning, possessing, being capable of commanding, positioning, taking charge of objects, energies or spaces, II-410

defn., to be able to touch or permeate or to direct the disposition of, II-410; III-278

defn., reward of a game, II-446

defn., postulate that one must communicate versus postulate that one must communicate to something, II-491

defn., willingness and ability to duplicate in all senses of the word, IV-155

defn., concept of being able to reach, V-6

defn., the concept of being able to reach; no-havingness is the concept of not being able to reach, VIII-181; IX-284

earliest findings on, II-349

have, having, havingness (cont.)

above havingness there is doingness, and above doingness there is beingness, and above beingness there is communicatingness, and above communicatingness there is knowingness, and above knowingness there is postulatingness, II-183

anaten is demonstration of loss of havingness, VIII-123

and barriers, II-499, 500

and traps, II-485

anxiety about, II-499

ARC, loss of, is more important than loss of havingness, III-157

ARC, repair of, restores havingness, III-157, 177

auditing is a game of exteriorization versus beingness, II-367

auditor’s havingness of pcs, II-501

bad ARC, inaccurate or clumsy auditing, running thinking processes and ignoring real pt prob HAS

lems reduce havingness, II-489

be, do, have triangle used to establish goals real to pc, III-279

be, have and do, relationship to space, time and energy, I-295

being, doing, having—triangular interrelationship, I-296

being, increasing by doing without ~, I-296

beingness, doingness and havingness must be balanced; each must be flexible in pc for a stable gain, IV-207

beingness is more involved with havingness than with confront, IV-122

can squeeze test, VI-46

can’t have, II-486

cases do not improve when ~ neglected, II-396

cases who do not resolve easily have to have addressed in them the problem of havingness, II-52, 303

changes pc position on Tone Scale, II-337

cognition and havingness, II-334, 336, 372; VIII-123

commands, III-307

Comm Process increases havingness by damping out excessive individuation, III-531

communication runs down havingness, III-138

Connectedness used to bolster havingness, III-317

conservation of havingness in the pc, II-414
death and havingness, III-225

do not force a person to communicate who is low on havingness, II-480

don’t neglect, II-336, 373

don’t force, II-336, 373

don’t overemphasize, II-336, 373

drop on critical on OCA/APA means ~ drop, III-334

dropped havingness and ARC breaks, how to distinguish between, III-157, 177

dropped havingness equals missed withhold, V-59

drops when compulsive game condition comes in, II-489
have, having, havingness (cont.)
Enforced Nothingness, CCH 88, increases ~, III-246
entering wedge for any and all cases, II-414
extended to space, II-183
failed havingness (Secondary Scale level), IV-286
familiarity, predictability, is strongly connected with ability to have or own, IV-54
Goals Processing finds beingness and mind’s doing-ness toward it (Prehav Scale) and results in havingness, IV-207
importance of, II-371
inability to have, key to all cases, IV-I-50
individual has to have, reason for, II-47
introduction of too much space reduces havingness, II-414
is proportional to pc’s ability to confront in session, V-225
knowingness, cutting down knowingness and Remedy of Havingness have opposite vectors, VIII-113
loss is always identified with, I-296
loss of havingness, pc will agitate orgo anaten and tend to be upset, III-187
lowest rung of responsibility, IV-36
matter becomes havingness, in life experience, II-V-44
Model Session is designed to retain havingness by retaining pattern, IV-54
must be run to get the benefit of having pulled most withholds, VIII-181; IX-284
must be up when running “thetan”, IV-195
necessity for, IV-53
objective havingness, II-415
objective and somewhat obscure method of confronting, IV-122
objective havingness, ability to remedy, determines entrance point of case, IV-155
high and low TA cases have low objective havingness, IV-144
one is trapped by those things to which he will not grant havingness, II-485
one-way communication as-ises havingness, two-way doesn’t and actually raises tone of pc, III-195
Overt/Withhold assists duplication and therefore havingness, IV-145
pc’s cognitions are valuable; don’t run thought out faster than ~ and solids run in, II-489
pc talking obsessively reduces havingness, II-443, 449
perception, relationship to havingness, III-18, 37, 38
postulate which underlies ~ is “enough”, III-88
precise mechanics of, IV-155
problems and havingness, II-304
problems, havingness is the clue to, III-117
processes that reduce havingness, II-489, 490
processes, processing; see Havingness Processes
PPT, threat to havingness, how to handle, III-195, 196
purpose of, II-179
radiation burns can be cured with havingness, II-379
reason for dropped havingness, II-182; VIII-I-17
remedy of; see Havingness Processes, Remedy of Havingness
repair of; see Havingness Processes, Repair of Havingness
restrained havingness, II-415
result of withheld communication, II-145
rough auditing reduces havingness, V-225
rudiment, V-101
rudiments and havingness; see also Dn Today (Secondary Scale level), IV-286
smoother the auditing, the better pc’s ~, IV-54
SPs are SPs because they deny hav and enforce unwanted hav, VIII-141
subjective, II-545, 553
difficulty with running, VIII-141
TA action, havingness and overts are keys to, IV-144
TA action on ~ indicates CCHs will move case, V-44
takes edge off bank, IV-116
taking an inventory in opening a case reduces havingness, II-414
two-way comm and havingness, III-157
two-way communication applied to a mass will as-is mass without particularly depleting ~ of pc, II-196
unhappiness, relation to reduced energy (havingness), II-38; VIII-105
unpredicted change lessens havingness, IV-54
use of in 3DXX, V-10
vacuum and havingness, problems of, II-473
valences are all “can’t-haves” so when valence is off havingness of pc comes up, IV-110
waste and have, III-141, 275
way not to have is to ignore, combat or withdraw from, V-8
when to run, II-344
why it depletes, II-494
withholds cut havingness down, V-6; VIII-181; IX-284
Havingness Processes, III-7; VIII-124; see also havingness
defn., one that returns tone arm to clear read and frees needle [1960], IV-152
can be run on any presession type session, IV go
can help on out ruds, IV-450
Can’t Have Rundown, VIII-141
check for, IV-167, 174
Havingness Processes (cont.)
commands, a dozen is enough to show if Havingness Process is going to work or not, IV-151 commands, list of, IV-142, 152, 154
Confront Processes and-, finding; see EME
Factual Havingness, III-307, 318, 486
Factual Havingness, trio form, IV-36
Help used in conjunction with Alternate Confront and Havingness, IV-108, 110
if it can’t be found use O/W, if still not, use Failed Help, IV-167, 170
in presence of ARC breaks, Havingness is a must on Responsibility Process, IV-36
is a Confront Process and straightens out the create factor, IV-35
“Look around here and find something you could have”, IV-118, 139, 154
“Look around here and find something you would permit to appear”, basic Havingness Process using suppressor mechanism, V-37
Model Session [1964]; V-422, 450; see also Model Session
Objective Havingness established and used often is necessary for stable gains, IV-I 67
O/W Was a Havingness Process, IV-171
O/W Was needed to make ~ work, IV-167
“point out”, IV-143
Remedy of Havingness, II-38, 68, 119, 123, 176;
VIII-105, 108, 112, 115; see also PXL
defn., remedy of preclear’s native ability to acquire things at will and reject them at will, II-180; VIII-115
defn., getting pc to mock up and shove into body enough masses to bring him to point where he can eventually throw one away, VIII-124
above 3.1, II-252
as an Exteriorization Process, II-181
avalanches, outflowing and inflowing, II-39
body disappears while remedying havingness,
how to handle, II-335, 373; VIII-124
commands for, VIII-114
done at any time during any of the processes as long as the pc is in communication with auditor, II-183
effect on auditing results, II-348
End of Cycle Processing, II-184
End of Cycle Processing is a cousin process to Remedy of Havingness, VIII-118
EP, II-356
Expanded Gita related to Remedy of Havingness, VIII-115
Exteriorization by Remedy of Havingness [process], VIII-116
give pc enough energy masses to permit his starved condition to let go of energy masses he is holding to him, II-120
“have” is only run on the pc himself; “can’t have” is run on all other subjects, objects, valences, and activities, II-516

Havingness Processes (cont.)
Remedy of Havingness (cont.)

have pc shove or push things into his body, never pull, VIII-116
how to run Remedy of Havingness, VIII-116
if pc boils or gets dull, II-44
in the light of stops, II-496
is accomplished by creating an abundance of all things, II-14
is distinct from repairing havingness, II-358
done and can be done at any time during any of the Six Basic Processes as long as pc is even vaguely in communication with auditor, VIII-118
necessary to all processes, II-358
problems, how to remedy havingness of problems, II-323
processes, II-119, 177, 180, 308, 335, 373, 454; VIII-115
remedy havingness objectively, III-486
use of “push” instead of “pull”, II-181, 182
what it addresses, II-177; VIII-115
when in doubt, remedy havingness, II-180, 333
will actually give pc enough energy masses to permit his starved condition to let go of energy masses he is holding to him, VIII-108
you can remedy anybody’s havingness, II-334, 372
Repair of Havingness,
defn., having pc mock up anything he can mock up, and in any way it can be done get him to shove (never pull) that mock-up into the body, and by similar means to get rid of the residue which went along with mock-up, VIII-124
is a one-way flow; it is an inflow, VIII-124
vs. Remedy of Havingness, II-335, 372; VIII-124
run as process that stabilizes case, IV-168
running Havingness restores pc at cause over matter, IV-53
R-3 Havingness, V-280, 383
Security Checking and Havingness, 20-10 Theory, V-6
SOP 8-C Step IV: Havingness, II-14
Spotting Spots in Space, II-278, 285, 291, 326; see also COHA; PXL
suppression is overcome when you run Havingness on a pc, V-37
Tactile Havingness is a CCH type of process, V-43
Terrible Trio, a super-gold process, II-396
Trio, Havingness Process; see also Trio
Havingness of an objective variety, III-190
how to run, II-444
Waterloo Station, difficulties with, due to pc inability to remedy havingness, II-336, 373; VIII-125
withholds, Havingness must be run to get benefit of pulling most withholds, V-6
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CUMULATIVE INDEX — 1950/1975

Havingness Scale, II-498, 559; VI-201; see also Scn

0-8 defin., consists of the doingsnesses with regard to mass, III-141
auditing the Havingness Scale, II-499
H-bomb, II-402; III-45; see also radiation; AAR
defin., [1953] I-344
certificate, II-135
Class II Auditor [per Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart 1975]
indoctrination week, II-343
HCA, HGA, B.Scn., D.Scn., the four auditor ratings [1953] , I-372
HCA/HPA Course, III-54
contents and coverage of [1958] , III-291
curriculum [1957] , III-26, 55
examination [1958], III-306
processes [1957] , I-111
purpose of, III-25
training, III-40
1959 HCA Course becomes a Clearing Course, III-376
HCO (Hubbard Communications Office),
and case gain, IX-275
Board of Review, traveling, III-102
Dept 1 is recruiting point for auditors, VIII-12
HCO Bs and tapes are stable data that form agreement between auditor and C/S, VII-279
HCO Bs or PLs, interpretation of is out-ethics, VII-115
HCO WW Form Check Types [SOP Goals], IV-228-33, 254
HCO WW Form G3, R3GA, Fast Goals Check, V-115, 165
HCS (Hubbard Clearing Scientologist) [1958] , III-288
Course, III-287
grade of, III-286
HCS/B.Scn. Courses, OT procedures for, IV-6
HDC (Hubbard Dianetic Counselor),
HDC, Cl IV auditors can repair a messed-up Int, X-115
pcs after going Ext train to, X-117
headache(s),
points and pain in the head, III-98
and Int-Ext, VIII-307; IX-225
and Int Rundown, VII-401
Int RD Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches, how to handle, VII-457
is common with out-Int, IX-150
is usually after the engram of injury, IX-149
Heads, Wearing, [process] , 1463
healing,
defin., consists of getting at what is wrong and making it right, II-478
Dianetics changes and improves the rate of healing, VI-320, 423

healing (cont.)
don’t force auditing into physical healing, VI 313
mental, III-476
on whole track, how to handle, IV-195
methods, failing to convert people in older “heal ing” methods, II-476
profession and Dianetics, no conflict of interest, VI-320
reduce time of healing by auditing, VI-312
restoration of awareness often necessary before healing can occur, VI-318
Scientology’s relation to, VIII-191, 203
health, food can vastly affect, VIII-401; see also nutrition
Health Form(s), VI-329, 332, 430
after Pc Assessment Form, X-169
do not begin Dianetics with a Health Form, VII 339
get somatics (not incidents) that can be assessed and run, VI-381
part of chronic somatic program, X-57
procedure, VI-330, 379
run the feeling not the physical disability, VI 352
second form done later gives an indication of actual improvement, VI-378
symptoms are pains, emotional feelings, tiredness, aches, pressures, sensations, unwanted states of body, etc., VI-330
things to be run before, VII-340
HE & R, X-170
defin., human emotion and reaction, VIII-194
out list produces most fantastic HE&R, VIII 194
hearing, Streptomycin can cause pregnant mothers to give birth to children who have impaired hearing, VIII-404
heavy hussar cramming handling steps, IX-116
“held down fives”, jammed thinking because of mis understood or misapplied datums, V-507
hell, a total myth and vicious lie, III-226
hells, man-made, III-133
Hello and Okay [process], II-188, 189, 192, 230, 250; III-136, 137
commands, III-235
run on terminal to improve reality on it, III anchor 243
toothache, Hello and Okay Process on, III-136
to Pictures, II-230, 250
help, III-239, 320; IV-85; see also CCH Ob; Clear the Auditor; Help Processing; presession aberration on help is a barrier to Scientology, IV-95
aberration on help would be a fear of dependency, IV-85
aberration, sequence of breakdown is interest, communication, control, help, IV-120
antisocial person can’t tolerate, VI-179
ARC breaks and help, IV-85
help (cont.)
as assistance, is an identification of mutual interest in survival; thus we have (1) possible confusion of beingness and (2) continuation, IV-1 23
auditors and pcs get into a games condition only when auditor refuses help to pc, VIII-1 80
below 2.0 on Tone Scale help is betrayal, IV-89
betrayal, help-betrayal identification, IV-85, 86, 88
betrayal is help turned to destruction, III-219
button the world spun in on, IV-94
children aberrated on help, IV-85
clearing help, IV-86
cojoining of vectors of life, IV-186
common denominator world can understand, IV-92
criminal is one who thinks help cannot be on any dynamic or uses help on anyone to injure and destroy, IV-101
degradation of, IV-86
destroy and help are opposite ends of the same string, III-252
deterioration of, IV-88
dynamics and help, IV-1 19
failed help; see failed help
follows laws of flows not terminals, III-220; see also Scn 8-80
goals and help, III-125
handles problems of beingness, IV-I 19
help check as a security check, IV-98
“help-is-injury” mechanism, IV-94
how help became betrayal, IV-94
insane, why they won’t be helped, IV-88
is key button which admits auditing, IV-85
is rejected, why, IV-94
judge people from what they think of help, IV-101
make-break point between sanity and insanity, IV-85
on an item, III-298
on enemies of pc, III-268
on terminals reduces a heavy or thick bank, IV-116
pc apparently will not be helped, don’t think he is evil and cannot be helped, IV-88
pc protests which denote a breakdown of help button, IV-85
primary reason for the Clears in 1957-58, IV-89
Processing; see Help Processing
psychiatrist thinks destroy is the same as help, III-252
psychiatry as betrayed help, IV-86
psychotics and help, VI-I 33
psychotic will not receive the orders that bring real help, IV-136
punishment doesn’t make man work, he works as long as he can help, IV-148
resolves cases because it is the basis of all association, IV-1 19
help (cont.)
run on pan-determined basis, IV-191
(Secondary Scale level), IV-290
session depends on pc willing to be helped by auditor, IV-66
terminals, IV-124, 125
valence, help as valence problem, IV-109
valences and identification stem from help, IV-119
wrong-way help brings about aberration, IV-122
Help Process, defn., one that moves tone arm at least 3 tones per hour and brings reading always a bit closer to clear read [1960], IV-152
Help Processing, IV-86, 92; see also help; presession Alternate Confront, Havingness and ~, IV-108, 110
any Help run is better than no Help run, IV-I 19
assessment for Help terminals, IV-128
assessment of Help, IV-I 19
bracket(s),
general Help bracket, III-321
on the rock, III-320
Two-way Help bracket, III-301, 468, 497
5- or 9-way bracket, IV-294; IV-87; VI-106
9-way bracket, III-219, 297
Concept Help; see Concept Help
does not flatten very easily on a late specific terminal, IV-I 19
Failed Help; see Failed Help
five-way bracket, IV-87; VI-106
general Help and Step 6, III-302
general processes which assist Help, IV-110
Help O/W, commands, IV-93, 108
hints on running cases with Help, IV-109
is a restimulative process on auditor, how to handle, IV-I 19
is necessary on a case that is hung up, III-239
mest clear, Help is flat, IV-I 16
most clear to theta clear requires an address to sixth dynamic with Help Processes, IV-174
motion, Help run on, commands, IV-I 33
Overt/Withhold and Help can handle out-ethics, IV-99
O/W data applies to running Help, IV-92
pcs readily get idea that Help on some terminal “will never flatten” even though it is flattening nicely, why and handling, IV-123
PT problem, if it doesn’t free on Help it is underpinned by a similar earlier problem, III-268
Regimen 8, never change Help terminal, IV-174
scouting and running Help, III-297
Step 6 and Help do not work on low level cases, III-322
Step 6 made bank toughen up if Help was unflat, IV-I 16
Training 13, III-122
two-way bracket, IV-87
two-way comm on help, IV-87
valences, Help sheds, IV-I 10
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Help Processing (cont.)

valence splitting is most reliably done by running Help in brackets on the valence, III-285, 292

Waste Help [process] violates rule of terminals—run terminals, not conditions, III-285, 292 ways Help could be run, IV-92

“What help could you confront?” “What help would you rather not confront?”, IV-87

HGA (Hubbard Graduate Auditor) (D.Scn.) [1956], II-510

Class VII Auditor [per Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart 1975]

HGC,


auditing should convert earlier auditing losses to wins, IV-108

check sheet, [1960], IV-68

clearing [1962], V-152

course graduation does not give an HGC okay to audit, VII-234

disintegration, X-225
dummy run the new HGC line, VII-184

fantastic new HGC line, VII-180, 181; X-81-85, 96

gains, what they depend on, V-324

how to get results in an HGC, VII-365; IX-5;

X-1 72

maximum of 600 well done auditing hours, VII-183

okay to audit requirements, VII-233

preclear assessment, IV-108


quality of HGC, who monitors, VII-377

splendid sessions, X-146

statistic, VI-425

two chief seniors, C/S (for tech) and Director of Processing (for auditors and bodies), VII-1 83

whole new HGC, X-84

HGC Auditor’s Sec Check, IV-356

HGC Pre-Processing Security Check, IV-403

HGS (Hubbard Graduate Scientologist) [1958], III-288

hidden data line(s),

defn., pretend that certain data exists outside of

HCO Bs, books and tapes, VII-378
decay of tech, X-148

stamping it out, X-179-80
technology, decay of tech begins with hidden data lines that are not true, VII-279

there is no hidden data line, VII-1 15

trouble can wreck an HGC (and org and field), VII-378

hidden standard,  
defn., problem a person thinks must be resolved before auditing can be seen to have worked, VI-109; VIII-262
defn. not just a physical or mental difficulty but one by which pc measures his case gains, IX-I 59

hidden standard (cont.)

fixated attention shows up as a problem but is usually a hidden standard, VIII-262

handling on Green Form, VII-185

is always an old problem of long duration; it is a postulate-counter-postulate situation, source of which was suppressive to pc, VI-1 09

mechanism, V-456

person with hidden standard won’t go clear, V-185

problems being hidden standards by which all auditing progress is judged, IV-354

process for, X-86

result of prior confusion, IV-409

suppressives and hidden standards, VI-109

hindering a thing produces power, I-212

High Crime(s), VI-156

checkouts, IX-99, 100

course, VIII-41

C/S not writing C/S instructions is a High Crime, VII-94; X-44

for a C/S not to write in a pc’s folder what the case supervised instructions are, and for auditor to accept verbal C/S instruction, VI-245

four Dianetic High Crimes, VI-396

new issues log book, IX-99

of technical degrades, VII-80

policy and Word Clearing, IX-454

regarding 24 hour rule, VII-174

study tech, VIII-42

word clearing words on test is, VIII-32

higher levels, assessing pcs to, X-144

higher levels do not solve lower level failures, VII-275

high scale manifestation or activity, every ~ has a low scale mockery, IV-26

High School Indoctrination; see indoctrination, High School

high TA; see tone arm, high

Hi-Lo TA Assessment, VIII-1; see also tone arm and Int RD, X-4

rules, X-131

Short, X-165-67

Hitler, VI-133

holders, groupers, deniers are embryonic barriers, II-498

Holding Corners of the Room [process], 1472

Holding Mest Points [process], I-329

“Hold it still” [process], II-489; III-255

commands and how to run, III-7

Keep it from going away—Hold it still—Make it more solid—on two objects, III-187

persistent temperature can be brought down by running pc on Objective “Hold it still”, how to run, VII-335

solves motion and no motion, III-233

homeopathy, VI-371

homesickness, 1449

homo novis, II-473  
defn., I-403

homo sapiens, state of, VI-33
honest, honesty,
and test lines, X-208
auditor, honesty of, determines his results, VIII-26
happiness is only attained by those who are, VIII-101
is road to sanity, VIII-79
most successful student is honest student, VIII-172, 174
of an auditor, X-226
of Scientology, X-153
people are impeded by disciplinary laws aimed at
the dishonest, IV-27
people, freedom is for honest people, IV-27
people have rights too; see also NSOL
road to truth is begun with honesty, VIII-150
sanity is basically honesty and truth, VIII-31
Honor, Code of, an ethical code, II-104

hormones ineffective on patient, can be made effective
by Dianetics, VI-371, 423
horticulture discoveries at Saint Hill, IV-29
hospital officials, how to handle, II-156
hours,
not counted on a salvage red tag session, X-227
successfully audited, X-59
HPA (Hubbard Professional Auditor),
Class III Auditor [per Classification Gradation and
Awareness Chart 1975]
Course change proposal to London [1960], IV-40
HPA/HCA; see HCA/HPA
HQS; see also Communication Course; HAS
Co-Audit, folder admin for, VII-215
Course, purpose of, [1964], V-461
HSDC, Hubbard Standard Dianetics Course; see
Dianetics
Hubbard Apprentice Scientologist; see HAS
Hubbard Association of Scientologists International; see
HASI
Hubbard Certified Auditor; see HCA
Hubbard Chart of Attitudes and Concept Running,
I-275
Hubbard Chart of Human Evaluation, VII-85, 86,
148; X-35; see also Self Analysis
and Dianetic processing; see SOS
Hubbard Clearing Scientologist; see HCS
Hubbard Consultant,
defn., VII-141, 287; IX-312
Outpoint-Pluspoint List procedure, VII-116, 132
Study Stress Analysis No. 1, 2, 3 & 4, VII-66-67
training, VII-66
Hubbard Dianetic Research Foundation in New
Jersey, II-458
Hubbard Electrometer; see E-Meter
Hubbard Foundation, 1413; see also Foundation
Hubbard Graduate Auditor; see HGA
Hubbard Graduate Dianetic Specialist, VIII-69, 88;
IX-128; see also Expanded Dianetics
Hubbard Graduate Scientologist; see HGS
Hubbard Guidance Center; see HGC
Hubbard(s), L. Ron,
activities in Britain, 1955, II-301
auditors who couldn't audit him, V-36
by-line on his books, II-453
career of, III-470
comments about Ireland, II-377
eyearly investigations, 1411
education in the field of the mind, from Com-
mander Thompson, II-465
enfranchisement by the Freudians, II-465
financial support from orgs for research, IV-31
hat of finder of lost tech worn by, VIII-202
his travels, VI-2
in Dublin [1956], II-384
lecturing on writing, III-80
LRH session, Clearing by Valences, III-276
medical career in past life, III-448
personally C/Sed sessions; see Dianetics Today
purpose, III-252
research, II-198
research, a difficult search, I-374
“Ron’s Journals” were staff briefing tapes, IX
366, 438
secret of how LRH as a C/S makes star auditors,
VII-284
way Ron works, II-175
wearing Ethics hat, IV-99
what LRH thinks of auditors, II-393
writer in New York, III-96
written issues from, II-350
human,
behavior, 1473
odd, underlying facts in, VI-292
character, I-482; see also NSOL
emotion and reaction; see HE & R
Evaluation Chart; see Chart of Human Evaluation
mind; see mind
spirit; see thetan
humanitarians, Scientologists are ~, not revolution-
aires, IV-II-4
humanities of the past were full of opinions, II-407
hunners and cravings, how to resolve, 1492
husband and wife; see also dynamic, 2nd
auditing team, why unsuccessful, I-309
co-auditor team, unlucky as a general rule, V-37
why they quarrel, III-212, 364
hydrogenbomb, II-402; III-45; seealsoradiation; AAR
hypnosis, hypnotism, hypnotic, I-100, 280, 377, II-
478
defn., amnesia trance for the purpose of planting
suggestions, I-8
defn., is an enforced communication channel,
I-206
defn., a monotony and a central fixation on some
one object, VIII-109
defn., is the reduction and absorption of mental
power of the person; in hypnotism one takes
over the person; the subject has no control,
IX-505
and mesmerism, difference between, IX-504
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hypnosis, hypnotism, hypnotic (cont.)
authoritarianism is little more than a form of hypnosis, III-424
basic technique of, I-281
command leads to slaves, II-478
drugs, alcohol, hypnotism not used in processing, II-444
how to run out, II-120
level; see Science of Sun~i~al
useful at all, I-107
Opening Procedure by Duplication runs out ~, II-172; VIII-109
post-hypnotic suggestion, mechanism of, II-1
process of, is monotony and central fixation on some one object, II-120
psychoanalysis, early dependence upon ~, II-478
“psycho”analysis, “psychiatry”, hypnotism and other implant type therapies often key in and jam track, VII-449
run before contacting prior events, I-59
trance condition, II-430; see also reactive mind

hysteria, hysterical, rock is a basic shift of identity, III-411
and radiation, III-44
more hysterical pc is about getting advanced processes or case gain, less strenuous process administered must be, V-516

idea(s),
ideas and misunderstood words, IX-421
ideas follow a period of confusion, VIII-237
from an idea flows the energy and forms necessary to action, II-245
pc will change in ideas when he changes his relationship to forces, VII-86
Rising Scale Processing is run when the pc can change ideas, III-144
there is not also misunderstood ideas; there is only misunderstood word which breeds wrong ideas; VII-373

versus war, II-245
words symbolize ideas, VIII-3 16
“id”, “ego”, no such things in the mind, onlymental

identification, III-418; IV-122; see also identity; Scn 8-80098
defn., is inability to evaluate differences in time, location, form, composition or importance, III-393
(A=A=A), most easily present when time sense is awry, V-330
affinity is never identification, I-98
ARC as complete identification, 1486
association leads to, IV-I 19
basis of all mental upsets, IV-I 19
differentiation and, I-244; II-272
ill(ness) (cont.)
cures tend to become a new illness, IV-103
cycle, pc beginning to go through, VI-372
deserve to be handled with thorough and complete assists, VIII-189
don’t force a pc who is ill, VI-249
Effort Processing, to handle serious illness, II-331
exchange, ~ can result from ~ut exchange, VIII-79
flows, illness can come from by-passed flows, 286, 287, 380, 381
formula for creating, III-147
handling of illness or sickness, VI-319, 435; VII-89
handling of physically ill pcs, VI-347, 421, 429
healing, two sides to, spiritual and structural or physical, VIII-189, 191
high TA and, X-58
“insanity” is most often suppressed agony of actual physical illness and injury, VI-318, 411
composite, VI-319, 329, 378, 415
is a result of engram chains in restimulation, VI-435
it takes more than one chain of engrams to make a body ill, VI-416
loss, person who has just experienced a loss may become ill, VIII-237
mental/physical, VI-312, 415
multiple illness means pc is physically uncomfortable or ill from several engrams of different types all restimulated, VI-342
must be reported to C/S before new session, VII-191
or misemotional before session beginning, handling of, V-101
overts or M/W/Hs which don’t read on ill pc though pc is nattering are not available to be run right then, VI-249
pc doesn’t get gains, check for physical injury or illness, VI-315, 316
pc F/Ns at Exam, then reports sick, reason for, VII-218
pc gets ill after auditing but sessions look alright, how to handle, VI-430
pc has felt massy, sometimes even ill, cause of and handling, VII-213
pc ill before next session needs a new C/S, X-94
pc illness during grade auditing, VIII-192
pc is ill because he is restraining himself from doing wrong, IV-69
pc is often ill because his ruds in life are out, VII-364
pc needs Dianetics, not Scientology, VI-328 339, 341
pc not-ising reality, II-208
pcs becoming ill, cause of, X-16
person always know they’re ill, VI-316
pcs get a medical examination, VI-313, 315, 411
pcs hiding general illness may show up as no case gain, VII-191
ill(ness) (cont.)
pcs require light auditing, VI-249, 421; VIII-238
pcs should not be run on PTS RD as a standard practice, VII-453; VIII-331, 339
pcs with high TAs feel ill and get ill, VII-124
pc, what to run, III-468, 497
people are PTS to someone or a group or some thing somewhere, IX-136, 137
people who are ill are PTS and are out-ethics toward person or thing they are PTS to, VIII-101
person becomes ill if prevented from outflowing, III-146
person doesn’t get sick or injured unless he’s cast himself in role of victim by reason of the game and his overt acts, III-520
person goes into overwhelm easily, VII-89
person who doesn’t produce becomes mentally or physically ill, VIII-80
person who is chronically ill always is PTS, VIII is a 19
physical ailments can resist spiritual improvement, VIII-205
physical facts of injuries, ~ and stresses, VIII-190
physical illness caused by the mind, II-431
physical ~, cure before engram running, 1420
physically ill person is a mentally ill person, 1420
predisposition, precipitation and prolongation of illness, VIII-189, 210
process with Communication Processes if illness is in the way of session, III-505
programming errors and delay in repair can cause pc illness, VII-61
prolonged, can cause chronic low tone, anxiety, insecurity, 1420
psychosomatic illness, Dianetic auditor can handle the bulk of, VI-326
psychosomatic ills, cause of, VI-340
PTS = illness, VI-165
PTS results in illness and roller-coaster and is the cause of illness and roller-coaster, VIII-91, 92
Q and A and illness, VIII-224, 225, 232
release of, I-24
researches as a dissemination method, II-351, 353
“running out” after session, VI-371
run out narrative R3R, VII-339; X-168
S&D, a bad S&D can make a person sick, VI-165, 208
Scientology places a person above any further illness or suffering, but he has to be made well first, VI-349
sessions which are left unrepaired for more than 24 hours occasionally find pc physically ill, VII-139
sickly and feeble cases, X-87
sickness is absence of control, II-213
sick pc, an indicator of wild program, C/S and auditing error, X-1 13
sick pcs, a lot of things to do for, X-39
sick person is PTS, X-222
ill ness (cont.)

silence in presence of, I-II-4

stressed is basic cause in physical illness, VIII-206

student is ill, handled by Dianetics, VIII-76

suicide or illness in the field of study or education, cause of, VII-293

symptoms, source of, VI-337

temperature, when illness is accompanied by, antibiotics is usually the first thought, VIII-403

thetan, while a thetan can produce illness, it is the body that is ill, VI-338

illegal patch-ups, X-95

illiteracy and work, VIII-1 70; IX-470

ills, social ills of man are a composite of his personal difficulties, IV-45

imaginary incidents as past life remedy, VIII-330, 339, 388; see also SOS

imagination, I-30

creative, I-323

delivers answers, I-323

discipline of, essential in any learning process, I-324

involved in estimation of effort, I-243

is vital to computation, I-76

List; see Self Analysis

out of control is delusion, I-324

prediction, relation to, I-323

imaginative quality of mind, I-155

impact, certainty of, I-379

impact engram, 1445

why people hang on to, III-230

impact seeks to fix a person in space, 1444

impediment, I-5

impingement,

auditor has to have ~ on pc to assess, IX-224

auditor with out TRs and no ~ gets no reads, V-82

needed to make a list read, VIII-234

implants; see also Goals Problem Mass; item, reliable between-life, III-389; V-333

pc’s actual goals and GPMs are more aberrative, V-366

when Word Clearing too heavy or doesn’t clear up, suspect implants, handling of, VIII-96

importance (Secondary Scale level), IV-298

importances, evaluation of, VI-90; see also evaluation in, defn., things which should be there and are or should be done and are, VII-141, 287; IX-312

inaction and indecision, cause of, II-3

inactive and lazy, how a person becomes, V-439; IX-268

inactivity, how it comes about, VIII-127, 130, 370, 371

incident(s); see also chain; R3R

defn., recording of experience, simple or complex, related by same subject, location or people, understood to take place in a short and finite

incident(s) (cont.)

defn. (cont.)

time period such as minutes or hours or days, V-274

confront, “What part of that incident can you confront?” [process], III-410

dating incidents with E-Meter, I-233; III-389

difference between engrams and incidents, III-453

difference between flattening Dianetically and Scientology, IV-65

engram running, once you have found an incident to stay on it until it is flat, III-403

failure to handle time in incidents, V-273

field is one or more incidents, III-210

General Incidents List; see Self Analysis

“grinding out”, V-290

imaginary incidents as past life remedy, VIII-330, 339, 388; see also SOS

mind is a mechanism for overcoming the lack of ~, lack of experience in present time, III-151

most scarce tend to stick hardest, III-151

over-motivator incidents, I-232

overt, if you can get somebody to take the overts out of any ~ the ~ will tend to vanish, III-551

pc’s postulates made at time of incident contained charge, V-349

pc stuck in upsetting incidents from movies or books, how to handle, VIII-389

prenatal, VI-163, 379; see also DTOT; DMSMH; HOM

running incidents, III-419

sexual incident is a bounce from a death, III-411

source of engrams is pc who creates a picture of incident below his level of knowingness and recreates it into a “key-in”, IV-116

thetan has to be at earliest end of incidents to erase them, VIII-286, 381

thetan is incident hungry, VIII-286, 381

types of; see also HOM

unknown incident pins chains, V-41

income tax; see tax, income

incomplete, basic Why for being, VIII-130

incomplete cases, VII-130; X-62

incomplete handling, IX-119

independence, what undermines child’s, 148

indication, wrong, can cause a psychotic break, VIII-239, 241, 249, 346, 353

indicator(s),

bad, always accompany ARC break needle, VI-275
don’t look for bad indicator until you see vanishment of good indicator, IX-83
every bad indicator is precise, easily observed and has an exact counter-action, V-391

moves in when good indicator moves out, VII-258; IX-83

untruths and, X-186

good, determine a real F/N, VI-275, 373

F/N carries with it cognitions and VGIs, VII-117

415
indicator(s) (cont.)
good (cont.)
go on with routine actions as long as GIs are present, V-391
in auditors or students are made with truth, VII-398
list of good indicators at lower levels, V-445 on R6, V-390
pc's ability to as-is or erase in a session is directly proportional to the number of
good indicators present in the session, IX-83
reliable indicators of completed grade, VII-78
reliable indicators are TA and cognitions while level still charged, X-30
vs. bad pc indicators, VII-258
scale of pc indicators, IX-32
individual’s; see also being; thetan
defn., a spirit controlling a body via a mind,
II-432
basic individual; see DTOT
basic building block of a society is ~, VI-392
building unit of a great society is the ~, IV-45
constructive ideas are individual and seldom get
broad agreement in a human group, VI-6
effect on group rises in proportion to altitude in
group, I-177
is cause on all dynamics, and when he is no longer
able to be cause, he fails, I-210
is representative of cause on all eight dynamics,
I-208
potential value of individual, examples, I-78
power of the individual, defn., is his ability to
initiate the resolution of problems and execute
the solutions, I-77
responsibility of individual for his creation, IV-147
rights, not originated to protect criminals, IV-27
social aberration is only a composite of individual
aberrations, IV-45
train individuals, not a class, IV-329
vs. group, VI-6
western society, economic strangulation of individual,
IV-24
individualism and personality, an inherent factor, I-30
individualities, game doesn’t only consist of motion,
but of enemies and individualities to fight
those enemies with, II-530
Individuality [process], III-10
individuation and O/W, IV-191
individuation, how it comes about, IV-191
individuation, obsessive, III-531
Course, goal of, III-16
Indoc Instructor, purpose of, III-25
indoctrination,
Five Levels of, III-26, 384
and Procedure CCH, III-128
High School Indoctrination, what it is, how to run
it, II-524; see also TRs, TR 7
organizational, II-519

indoctrination (cont.)
Upper Indoc attitude makes CCHs grim, V-47
Upper Indoc, control by Tone 40 is taught in,
III-242
Upper Indoctrination Course [1957], III-58
Upper Indoc TRs; see TRs, Upper Indoc
week, II-340
industrial accident prevention, I-115
industrial technology vs. mental technology, III-221
inertia, physical, and robotism, VIII-129
inexperienced auditor, X-191
infant engrams; see DTOT
infections, germ and virus infections, VIII-403
infections, Vitamin C is excellent for helping colds
and infections, VIII-407
inflow; see also flow
and restrained inflow can be self-determined ac-
tions, V-14
"Keep it from going away" solves both outflow
and inflow, III-233
outflow and inflow,
accelerated and restrained, V-1 6
CDEI Scale on, V-16
prevention of, III-146
repair of havingness is, VIII-124
thetan tends to maintain position on Tone Scale
where inflows are comfortable, IV-131
wrongness in terms of flow is inflow, II-14
influences, hidden, I-383
information; see also datum; knowledge
causing information to come into existence, II-
439
forbidding any self-created information creates a
puppet, II-439
valuable to the degree that you can use it, II-440
vital; see vitalinformation
inhibit,
DEI Scale, III-533
(Secondary Scale level), IV-307
inhibited, aberration comes from being inhibited or
enforced, I-191
inhibition and enforcement suppress a dynamic on
Tone Scale, I-159
injured, injury, injuries; see also illness
acute and severe, assist only by lightest possible
address to mental factors, VIII-206
assist does not attempt to cure injuries requiring
medical aid, III-264
auditing of injured people, keep light, VIII-238
basic postulate of, is best summed up by “victim”,
III-518
causes of predisposition, precipitation and prolIndoc
longation of, VIII-189
children, what to run, III-526
Dianetic auditing speeds healing, VI-320
don’t confine handling to touch assist, VIII-190
“insanity” is most often suppressed agony of
actual physical illness and injury, VI-3 18
occur in presence of suppression, VIII-237
person is out of present time, VIII-237
injured, injury, injuries (cont.)
physical facts of, VIII-190
preclear doesn’t get gains, check for physical injury or illness, VI-315
Injury Rundown, VII-335
injustice, defn., failure to administer existing law, II-514
insane, insanity,
defn., overt or covert but always complex and continuous determination to harm or destroy, VII-156
about 15% to 20% of the human race apparently is insane, VII-155
actions of insane are not “unconscious”, VII-155 I-68
and must reach-can’t reach, I-388
and seriousness, direct connection between, I-212
are insane because they have evil intentions; but they can’t even make these stick, VIII-230
as a defense, II-61
atomic radiation, basic ingredient in insanity, II-379
auditors, don’t work with the severely ill or insane, II-268
behavior, apparent pattern of, X-74
behavior, insane or psychotic, VII-157, 158
by reason of emotional shock, handling of, VI-319
can be grouped and classified, detected and remedied by study of creation and destruction, I-293
chronic, I-68
criminal is in fact insane, IV-83
cure of insanity is light handling, no violence, IV-83
definition of, I-244; X-73
denial of reality causes a person to become insane, I-102
E-Meter, why insane’s harmful acts often don’t register on, VII-155
emotion of, 1448, 451
Expanded Dianetics’ purpose is to cure people or handle insanity, IX-159
family insanity, run out narrative secondaries R3R, VII-340
glee is a kind of insanity, VI-257
glee of, I-363
handling of insane, VI-115, 116, 314, 411; VII-157; see also Expanded Dianetics
having physical causes, VI-313, 411
help and insanity, IV-85, 86, 88
“hereditary insanity” is an apparent, VI-3 15 higher percent of, X-72
how to set up insane person for auditing, VI-314
infinity of types of insanity, VI-292
insanity eradicator, V-37
is a being who has been overwhelmed by an actual SP until too many persons are apparent SPs, VI-115
is mostly an inability to stop, II-433
insane, insanity (cont.)
keynote of, is destructive efforts on various dynamics, IV-82
legal definition, llbl, 62
malnutrition and anxiety can produce all the symptoms of insanity, IV-82
manifestation of, I-129
man is basically “good”, also manifested in insane, VII-156
“maybe” leads to insanity, I-208
mental hospitals, don’t send insane to, VI-1 16
most often suppressed agony of actual physical illness and injury, VI-314, 318
nearly always have a fixed emotional tone, VII- acute, 155
of criminal, II-63
of governments, III-251
“omitted time” is a basic insanity, VII-90
pain, misemotion, unconsciousness, insanity all result from causing things others could not experience easily, III-432
physical illness can cause, 1420
present time, relationship to insane and drug taker, VI-292
product of post duties is destructive but is excused as ignorance or errors, VII-155
psychiatrist sees in every ability an insanity, III-170
psychiatry is making insane people, VII-1 13
psychoanalysis says all insanity derives from love, III-170
“reach” is basic center of insanity, I-358, 361
recover from their symptoms when given proper medical treatment, rest, no harassment and then good mild Dianetic processing, VI-348
road from insanity to sanity, VI-405
roller-coaster continually as cases in normal progressing, VII-155
sanity and insanity, I-68
scientific treatment of, IV-82
should get rest and then exercise before auditing, IV-88
South Africa insanityrate, IV-82
“stop everything” is entrance point of ~, VII-268
“suppressive person” characteristics are those of insane person, VII-1 55
there is no “insanity virus”, VI-315
thetan holding himself in state of insanity, handling, IV-38
time track of drug takers and insane, VI-291
treatment of, today far worse than two centuries ago, II-466
truly insane cannot control or withhold their evil purposes and dramatize them, VIII-128
truly insane do not necessarily act insane visibly, VII-1 55
types of insanity are just different symptoms of same cause, VII-156
used to escape punishment, II-63
ways for a C/S to detect insane, VII-1 55
insecurity, Advanced Course material, X-95
insecurity, chronic low tone and anxiety can stem from prolonged physical illness, 1420
insecurity, jealousy comes about because of the insecurity of the jealous person, I-310

in session; see training

instant read(s), defn., needle falls within a tenth of a second after question is asked, IV-355

defn., any change of characteristic providing it occurs instantly, V-77

defn., that reaction of the needle which occurs at the precise end of any major thought voiced by the auditor, V-77

defn., read that occurs instantly after last syllable of the major thought without lag, V-78

anticipated on rudiments, V-113

clean needle is responsive to ~ only, V-84

drills must be used which stress only meaningful and significant instant reads coming at end of full question, V-79

if you miss an instant read, you hang pc with a missed withhold, V-104

instant rudiment read, defn., V-264

institutional cases, X-26

instruct by reference to HCO B, X-233

instructor(s); see also Course Supervisor

defn., one who has regular classes and who is assigned to places at specific times, III-42, 462

attitude, I-367

may refuse to train or release a student, III-51

softness, error of, III-90

stable data for, III-50, 112

Int; see interiorization

Integrity, defn., IX-261

is hallmark of Dianetics and Scientology, VII-362

personal, IV-203

Integrity Processing (IP); see also Confessional; Security Checking

defn., processing that enables a person, within reality of his own moral codes and those of the group, to reveal his overts so he no longer requires to withhold and so enhances his own integrity and that of the group, IX-261

aspects of, IX-285

basic procedure for, IX-264

buttons, IX-274

C/S clearance, IP requires, IX-275

C/Sed as auditing, IX-289

E-Meter, auditor who cannot read a meter is dangerous, why, IX-282

E-Meter, use of, IX-285

end phenomena of an Integrity question, IX-272

form, compiling an Integrity Processing Form to suit the situation, IX-291

forms, use of, IX-273

generality, best way to “miss” Integrity Processing question is to let pc indulge in, VIII-176

Integrity Processing (IP) (cont.)

“Have I missed a withhold on you?” can be used if pc gets upset or critical, VIII-179; IX-282

help the pc, IX-283

how to prevent ~ being left unflat, VIII-175

key points C/S looks for on ~, IX-289

lists,

Auditor Integrity List, IX-300

Basic Integrity List, IX-294

General Staff Integrity List, IX-297

Integrity Processing and O/Ws Repair List—LIRA, IX-266

Student Integrity List, IX-305

Supervisor Integrity List, IX-303

Model Session, IP must be done in, IX-277

new auditors routinely believe that an IP pc knows the answer and won’t give it; this is an error, VIII-180; IX-283

ordering personnel to, IX-293

can security check, how to handle, IX-282

can withholdly, insert “Have I missed an Integrity Processing question on you?” while doing processing, VIII-177; IX-280

if you miss an instant read, you hang pc with a withheld question, V-104

point to keep in during, IX-287

questions, formulating, IX-291

questions must be F/Ned, VIII-175; IX-274, 278

what happens when they are left unflat, IX-278

R/S means crimes that must be pulled, IX-287

specialist who cannot read a meter is dangerous, why, VIII-179

tech and ethics of, IX-274

unflat, how to prevent, IX-278

intelligence, II-441

defn., ability to pose and resolve problems relating to survival, II-224

decreased with each new year of school, why, VII-383; IX-427

decreases when attention is fixed, III-428

D of P goes on idea of more auditing when he wants to raise graph or IQ, VII-462

familiarity or familiarization permits intelligence to manifest, III-428

increasing ability to reach and withdraw increases intelligence, III-428

intelligence gain, defn., loss of restimulation of stupidity by reason of attempts to confront or experience problems of life; intelligence appears when stupidity is keyed out or erased; intelligence is a confronting ability, III-428

intelligence times dynamic to a power equals potential value, I-179

judgment and intelligence are measured by ability to evaluate relative importances, III-393

memory has very little to do with ~, II-224

psychology taught that ~ never changed, II-405

quotient (IQ), VI-420

defn., ability to withhold or give out a datum on a self-determined basis, III-118
intelligence (cont.)

quotient (cont.)

ability to withhold communication advances IQ, III-201
changes produced by BookMimicry, III-247
change, theory behind, III-201
confessions and IQ, III-201
difference between personality and IQ, III-200
factors behind the handling of IQ, III-199
handling of low IQ test scores, VII-34
high in child, yet low quality work, I-328
(or relative brightness of individual) can be rocketed out of sight with HGC use of a clay table, V-454, 456
profiles and IQ gain, II-489
primary reason for, II-490
raised by increasing mental mass, experiment, II-349
raising, II-209
“Recall a mystery”, method of raising IQ, III-536
student IQ, effect of processing on, II-340
test for IQ and personality, II-392
test, taken several times, aspect of, III-199
training gives bigger IQ rises than group processing, II-391

intensive(s),

assessment for clearing intensive, V-166
auditing sold by intensives, V-153
clean up M/W/H before ending, V-60
definition of, IV-219
intensive processing, procedure and basic definition of, I-39
Problems Intensive, V-116
reason for auditing intensives, VII-261, 419
standard 121h hour intensive programs, VII-419; X-139
TA amount per intensive, V-367

Intensive Procedure, II-69

intention(s), (cont.)

problem is an intention-counter-intention that worries pc, IV-210
problem is intention vs. intention or “two or more opposing and conflicting views on the same subject”, IV-61
you can only list and run ~ connected with termi nal or mass or somatic, never significance, VIII-277
interaction, law of physics of, IV-186
interest; see also precession
and the 4th flow, VIII-373, 377, 386
as an item, can’t run on R3R, as positive don’t run, IX-168
assessment and, VI-357
DEI Scale, III-533
Dianetic “no interest” items, VIII-161, 169
drug items that have read are run R3R without asking for interest, VIII-161, 169; IX-138
Drug Rundown can fail by asking for interest on items, IX-139
Expanded Dianetics running of evil purposes or intentions, don’t ask for interest, VIII-161
interest, communication, control, help, sequence of breakdown in aberration, IV-120
is absorbed attention and desire to talk about it [R3R], V-301
is keynote of attachment, II-243
is not first step in dissemination, IV-74
no interest items, effects of, IX-1 54
on drug items, X-229
on drugs, X-236
on evil purposes or intentions, X-229, 236
pc interest as a method of assessment, V-325
pc interest in R3R, VI-354
pc no interest = no interest in first place or out ruds = check for interest or put in ruds, VII 46, 360
repair of “no interest” items, VIII-169
(Secondary Scale level), IV-286
interesting, being interesting in auditing, III-355
Interim Franchise, III-492
interior, interiorize, interiorization; see also exterior;

Interiorization Rundown
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, II-38
exact mechanisms of, II-418
exteriorization-interiorization, II-42
mechanisms of, II-49
handled first, X-149
Int-Ext reading on a list is handled by 2wc if TA is in normal range, IX-165; see also Interiorization Rundown
Int—lists—ruds is the only handling sequence, IX 157
whole problem of, is problem of coinciding spots which do not actually coincide, II-196

Interiorization Rundown, VII-27, 36, 42, 52, 125, 159, 168, 172, 224, 271, 400, 420, 429, 456, 459; VIII-291; X-109; see also interior
Interiorization Rundown (cont.)
a Dianetic action, X-120, 125
and Flow Zero, X-92, 102
auditor auditing pc over Int-Ext misunderstoods,
handling of, VII-410
auditor must know Standard Dianetics, VII-161
auditor requirements, VII-234, 456
to do Int RD correction, VII-401
can be limited to 3-way recall, when, VII-93
can be unnecessary, VII-400, 459
clearing commands, importance of, VII-172
cognition on Int RD, VII-52
correct Int RD error as a first action, VII-224, 456
Correction List, VII-429; X-1 16, 230
correction of Int-Ext is hard since until it is com-
plete other auditing is inadvisable, VII-160, 460
C/Sing Int RD, VII-229, 460; VIII-280
date to blow—locate to blow procedure, to repair
failed Int-Ext RD, VII-401
Dianetic auditors can repair Int RDs, VII-224
disability of auditor in running Int RD, VIII-281
don’t overrun EP, VII-48, 362
end phenomena, VII-160, 460; VIII-280
ersors, VII-172, 224, 400, 456; X-1 15
evally a Dianetic, not a Scientology, action, doing FESes, X-65
exteriorization is late in incident, it began with
interiorization, VII-28, 170
Exteriorization Rundown changed to Interioriza-
tion Rundown, VII-459
Flow Zero, one mustn’t suddenly introduce 4th
flow (F Zero), VII-210
Full Flow Table and Int RD, VII-1 89 ; VIII-285,
375
HCO Bs covering Int RD, VII-159, 459; VIII-279
headaches and Int Rundown, VII-401, 457; VIII-
307; IX-255
is a remedy, VII-400, 460; VIII-280; X-77
designed to permit pc to be further audited
after he has gone exterior, VII-160, 461
musts: run in one session; be flubless; follow with
2-way comm, VII-125
not meant to be sold or passed off as a method of introspection,
defn., (L. from
introspicere, to look
within) a looking into one’s own mind, feel-
ings, reactions, etc.; observation and analysis
of oneself, VIII-240, 250, 347
out Int RD, handle first of all, VII-280
out Int trouble is worse than list trouble isworse
than out ruds, VII-396
out, source of high TA, VIII-24
out, you will get a soaring TA, VII-281
overrun, it usually happens that an ~ is, VII-460;
VIII-280
pc goes exterior in auditing, later his TA goes
high, then you do an Int RD, VII-400, 460
procedure, X-130
purpose of, VIII-281, 381
repair of Int RD, VII-457, 460; VIII-280; X-185
L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List, VIII-
265
Interiorization Rundown (cont.)
requires flawless auditing and C/Sing, VIII-292,
386
roller-coaster can also be caused by a bad ~,
VII-453; VIII-339
stabilizes exteriorization and makes it possible to
audit pc further, VII-160, 461
summary, X-76-78
tory of, VII-213; VIII-381; X-109
to keep from auditing over an out Int RD there is
C/S 53, VII-388
two-way comm session must follow Int RD, VII
36, 52, 126, 159, 460; VIII-280
unnecessary, when is Int RD unnecessary, VIII
279
“went in” and “go in” must read in order to run
Int Rundown, VII-400
when to run, VII-400, 459, 460; VIII-279, 280;
X-90, 92, 151
why it must be done in one session, VII-125, 172
interne(s), X-163
defn., an advanced graduate or a recent graduate
in professional field who is getting practical
experience under supervision of an experi-
cenced worker, VII-33 1; X-1 63
doing FESes, X-65
program, Cramming Section issues okay to audit
after rigorously following essentially interne
program, VII-233
section in Qual, VII-332
internship(s), VIII-12
defn., serving a period as an interne, or an activity
offered by an org by which experience can be
gained, VII-33 1
auditors must take ~ after each course, VII-332
organizational, II-510
nterrogation, IV-59
nterpersonal relations, I-189
nterruptedmotoraction, I-156
nterruptions List; see Self Analysis
ntestinal bacteria, VIII-408
nt RD; see Interiorization Rundown
nteriorizingpc, VII-160, 461
out Int RD, handle first of all, VII-280
out Int trouble is worse than list trouble isworse
than out ruds, VII-396
out, source of high TA, VIII-24
out, you will get a soaring TA, VII-281
overrun, it usually happens that an ~ is, VII-460;
VIII-280
pc goes exterior in auditing, later his TA goes
high, then you do an Int RD, VII-400, 460
procedure, X-130
purpose of, VIII-281, 381
repair of Int RD, VII-457, 460; VIII-280; X-185
L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List, VIII-
265
introversion,
defn., (from intro + L. vertere, to turn) a tendency to direct one's interest upon oneself rather than upon external objects or events, VIII-240, 250, 347
tension and introversion, VIII-262
evidence of, VIII-262

invalidate(s), invalidating, invalidation (inval), II-476; V-414; X-147, 148
defn., symbolic manifestation of force, II-96
defn., overtly and consciously, knocking the props out from under him, II-476
defn., saying he is really not supposed to be there, II-476
defn., saying that a person has no validity, II-476
defn., a refuting or degrading or discrediting or denying something someone else considers to be a fact, VII-265; IX-315

auditor, by C/S, X-147

auditor does not invalidate, criticize or evaluate for pc, VI-321

auditor invalidation of pc is just plain villainy, VII-230

auditors, invalidation of, VII-128, 278, 379

auditors, invalidated auditors, VI-306

avoid use of “you” to pc, V-161; VII-250, 428; IX-75

button on lists, VIII-213

button, suppress and invalidate, VII-11, 50

button, use of, X-3

can make wrong goal read or steal read from right goal, V-119

cases that self-invalidates between sessions, how to handle, III-504

Class VIII can crash stats by invalidation of junior auditors, VII-23

continuing ARC break assessment after pc has cognited invalidates pc’s cognition, V-418

correcting the wrong Why, IX-91

do not invalidate or correct pc’s data, II-96

echo invalidation, V-415

E-Meter dependence is created by invalidation by auditor, V-334

E-Meter invalidation, V-335, 415
greatest — to be struck when one does not expect to be struck, to be criticized when one does not think he merits criticism, II-476

“I’ll repeat the auditing command” has been used to invalidate pc, III-441

is basically non-attention, 1443

kills auditors, X-180

List; see Self Analysis

of past life, reason for, I-295

of pc can drive TA low, VII-423

past lives, don’t invalidate, VII-192, 452; VIII-330, 338

pc being made to go on past a win acts as, VIII-194

pc suppresses or invalidates something, read transfers to suppress or invalidate, VII-12

invalidate(s), invalidating, invalidation (inval) (cont.)

remarks by C/S, X-60

remedy for invalidation of past lives, VIII-388

repairing handled repairs makes case feel, X-62

invented answers by pc, handling of, IV-1 10

“Invent a lie about (indicated object)” [process], . . . II-327

“Invent a problem” [process], II-354; III-383

run only on a terminal, not condition, II-332

“Invent a problem of comparable magnitude” [process], II-447

“Invent a problem that person (weak universe) could be to you” [process], VIII-125

Invention Processing, II-277, 284

inventories, do not take, II-417

defn., saying he is really not supposed to be there, II-476
item(s) (It) (cont.)
defn. (cont.)
appearing on a list derived from pc, V-176
always test an item for read before Prepchecking or running recall or engrams, VII-50
blow up F/N, X-141
characteristics of terminal, opposition terminal, combination terminal, V-177
charge piled up on pc, pc ceases to be capable of clear thought and will reject even right items, V-400
check the item, how to, [3DXX], V-12
complete list, in theory, just fades away and leaves an item [3G], V-55
confusions on “reading item”, VII-178; X-80
Dianetic item; see also Dianetics
already run may give a protest read, VI-357
ask for pcinterest, VI-357
doesn’t read, pc still has symptoms, use suppress and invalidate, VII-1 I
how they first are null and later read, VI-358
lists, note read as pc gives the item, VI-389
must be made plural on Flow 3 when one is running Triples, VI-442
must never be run twice, VII-359; X-5
“no interest” items, VIII-161; IX-138
on a right item meter reads well when pc says it, good indicators come in, pc is very interested in running it, VI-389
on a wrong item pc has bad indicators, meter doesn’t read, there is no pcinterest, VI-388
that an item reads guarantees that pc will be able to confront and erase chain, VI-357
that doesn’t read will produce no chain, no basic and pc will jump around track trying but just jamming up his bank, VII-49
dirty needle in listing 3D Criss Cross means an earlier item is wrong, V-57
flows of, X-129-30
found out of session, X-220
found out of session or by a non-auditor is suspect of being a listing and nulling error even though no list was made, VIII-96
from another GPM [R2, R3], V-258
giving the pc the, X-133
if the right item or goal on a list has been read and abandoned, all its locks will begin to read like real items or goals [Level VI], V-403
is unburdened by making represent list [R2-10, R2-12, 3GAXX], V-210
line plot, rule: put any item ever found on pc by metering reading, X-79-80
narrative items can give you trouble in R3R, VII-9
narrative vs. somatic items, VI-376

item(s) (It) (cont.)

once having read need not read again to be valid, VII-177
opposition items, each line is an independent zig zag of opposition items [3DXX], V-10
reading but not F/Ned leave pc with BPC, VII-196
reading items, X-149-50
reading item, what it is, VII-177
reliable item(s),
defn., any item that rock slams well on being found and at session end and which was last item still in after assessing list; can be terminal, opposition terminal, combination terminal or significance, provided only that it was the item found on a list and rock slammed, V-176; see also terminals
always in pairs [R3-21 ], V-182
dead horse is a list which even with good auditing, failed for any other reason to produce a reliable item, V-203
E-Meter and Rls, V-334
goals and Rls found on students, staff or HGC pcs must be checked out, V-246
GPMs, three types of charge existed in GPMs: charge as an engram, charge as Rls, charge as postulates, V-349
implant Rls, V-394
never audit an Rl in any way but listing for another RI [R2-12A], V-237
Oppose Rls [R2-10, R2-12], V-221
potential miscalling a reliable item [R2], V-230
stray RI is an RI from a GPM of another goal than the one being worked [R2-12A], V-258
too many found without finding pc’s goal turns off a pc’s RR or R/S [R2-10, R2-12, R2-12A], V-249
rock slamming items [R3-21 ], V-172
running an unreading item and Int, X-76
Search and Discovery, if item turns out to be a group, how to handle, VI-114
somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, R3R items, VII-9
suppress and invalidate on an, X-3
that R/Ses, what it is [R2-12], V-212
unreading, X-3, 56, 58, 80
unreading items—checking for reads, VII-45, 49, 357
when listing items auditor must have an eye on meter, VII-177
which does not read on meter when assessed is beyond pc’s level of awareness, VI-357
“Whys have been found” but person is not doing well; this is case of wrong item, VIII 157
you only run items in pc’s wording, IX-245
wrong, and upset case, X-221
wrong, from Search and Discovery can make a person sort of PTS, IX-169
itsa, dirty needle and itsa; see dirty needle
is action of pc saying, “It’s a this” or “It’s a that”, V-374, 375, 498
isn’t a comm line; it’s what travels on a comm line from pc to auditor, if that which travels is saying with certainty “It IS”, V-370
itsa earlier itsa is unlimited, VI-280
letting a pc itsa, VI-138; VII-253; IX-78
line, don’t use metering, ARC break assessments, dating, or incomprehensible or new commands to cut itsa line, V-338
line—pc’s line to the auditor—is a report on what has been as-ised, VII-243; IX-68
line, when cut, auditing ceases to work, V-337
maker line—the pc’s line to his bank—is invisible, don’t cut it, VII-243; IX-68
pcs itsa on and on with no gain, cause of, VI-26; VII-252
Project 80—itsa line and tone arm, V-351
silent auditor invites itsa, V-370
slow assessment means letting pc itsa while assessing, V-373
TA action slows down when pc goes into more charge than he can itsa easily, V-374, 413
what itsa is, VI-138, 139; VII-253, 254; IX-78, 79
what’s it and itsa, relation to TA, V-334, 370, 378
Ivory Tower, X-1 70
Case Supervisor lives in, VI-145
rule, VII-344

J

jail, being in, and being king in a castle, II-436
jealousy, why it comes about, and relationship to communication, I-310
job, creating it, II-409; see also POW
Johannesburg Confessional List, VIII-419
Johannesburg Processing Check, IV-325, 327
Johannesburg (Joburg) Security Check; see Security Check
Johnson Temperament Analysis Profile, psychometry, I-40; see also tests
justice, VI-155; see also AP&A; NSOL
defn., impartial administration of laws of land in accordance with extant level of severity-mercy ratio of people, II-514
defn., 1. moral rightness, equity; 2. honor, fairness; 3. good reason; 4. fair handling, due reward or treatment; 5. administration and procedure of law, VIII-102
defn., action of group against individual when he has failed to get his own ethics in, VIII-172 causing withholds, results of, VIII-172
contains hidden error regarding rightness, V-322
executive’s Ethics and Justice hats, VIII-100
group justice, VIII-100, 128
savage justice aberrates because it prevents getting off withholds, VIII-172

K

“keeping things from going away” is a basic mechanism which guards against loss, III-230
“keeping things from going away” is ability which gradually cultivates ability of thetan to remain where he is, III-232
keeping Scientology working, VI-4
“Keep it from going away” [process], II-545, 553; III-255
as assist, III-263, 264
commands and how to run, III-7
solves both outflow and inflow, III-233
Keep it from going away—Hold it still—Make it more solid—on two objects, III-187
key in,
defn, those parts of time track which contain first moment an earlier engram is restimulated, V-274
defn., action of recording a lock on a secondary or engram, VI-342
it is pc who mostly keys his bank back in, V-354
of sympathy, I-203
prevented by keeping things very calm, I-113
source of engrams is pc who creates a picture of incident below his level of knowingness and recreates it into a “key-in”, IV-1 16
keynote of processing, I-341
key out, keyed-out,
defn., action of engram or secondary dropping away without being erased, VI-342
Clear vs. keyed-out Clear, VI-19, 20, 51
Dianetic auditor who specializes in keying out locks, what happens, VI-372
engrams or secondaries or locks, X-56
erasure vs. key-out, II-227; VI-342, 407
keyed-out Clear, state of high cause is also keyed out Clear, V-435
of circuits, 1426
of engram in three to eight days, I-106
only auditing keys out bank, VI-107
OT vs. keyed-out OT, VI-51, 56
rehab, all recovery must be by key-out, not erasure; key-outs are done by finding key ins; it is de-stimulation, not re-stimulation, VI-57
Key Word Clearing; see Word Clearing Method 6
kindness, mercy, charity are the highest and kingliest qualities there are, II-237
know; see also not-know
ability to, II-440
and not-know, II-297, 316, 408, 440, 483
how to know answers, Scientology is the science of, II-67
know before you go, VII-405, 407; X-188
second postulate: know, II-297
knowing causability, degree of, III-160, 180
knowing in the fullest sense of the word, Scientology is, III-281
knowingness, II-279; VIII-118
awareness of awareness unit builds space to cut down knowingness, II-176; VIII-112
circuits key out with knowingness, VIII-181; IX-284
cutting down of knowingness and Remedy of Havingness have opposite vectors, VIII-113
higher than ARC, II-136
is being certainness, I-351
mission of Scientology is to raise ~ of spirit to degree that it knows what it is and what it is doing, II-153
random knowingness and not knowingness create when unaligned a confusion, II-282
Scale of Knowingness, VI-200; see also Scn 0-8
space is first barrier of knowingness, II-11
Straight Wire on Secrets, Knowingness, II-251
theta, basic qualities of, knowingness and understanding, II-143
theta cuts down knowingness to have a game, II-176; VIII-1 12, 113
knowledge; see also datum; information
defn., assured belief, that which is known, information, instruction; enlightenment, learning; practical skill, II-437
defn., data, factors and whatever can be thought about or perceived, II-437
defn., that which is perceived or learned or taken from another source, II-437
as a circle, I-209
application of knowledge requires evaluation of importances, examples, VI-90
basically an impact, 1470
basic knowledge of man is essential to any improvement in any area of human race, VIII-171; IX-471
can be conceived to be ideas, patterns and creations and can include any concept or understanding, VII-232
certainty is knowledge, datum is secondary knowledge, I-349, 356, 376
C/S’s knowledge, X-183
depends upon perception, I-356
field containing the most authorities contains the least codified knowledge, VI-83
history of, II-72
isn’t recalling, III-30
only half the answer, II-437
organization, main product of, is knowledge and results obtained with it, VIII-337
knowledge (cont.)
person who accepts it without questioning it and evaluating it is demonstrating himself to be in apathy toward that sphere of knowledge, III-424
philosophic knowledge is only valuable if it is true or if it works, VI-1
route to freedom lies through knowledge, VI-2
Scientologists and public, knowledge bridge must be in, VIII-202
Scientology means knowledge; scio means knowing in the fullest sense of the word; studying how to know in the fullest sense of the word; this is the same word as Dharma, which means knowledge; Tao, which means the way to knowledge; Buddhism, which means the way to spiritual knowledge, II-214
technology means methods of application of an art or science as opposed to mere ~ of science or art itself, VI-89
thirst for, would be the thirst for other thetan’s postulates, II-438
to obtain knowledge and certainty, it is necessary to be able to observe, I-376
to the average person is only this: a knowledge of what is knowledge; see also NSOL
Know Mystery Recall Processes, III-536
Know—Sex Scale, II-42
Know to Mystery and Dynamic Scouting, III-484
Know to Mystery Processing Check, V-1
Know to Mystery Scale, II-136, 176; VII-404; see also PXL; Scn 0-8
and Op Pro by Dup, II-173
assessment, IV-109
assessments of a case on lower rungs of processing using, III-460
described, VIII-1 12
Emotional Tone Scale is part of ~, II-173
everything above pc finds pc at effect, V-286
expanded, III-460; VI-201
processes on, II-483
Know to Mystery Straight Wire for extreme cases, III-460
Kraepelin’s psychotic classification, 1473

L

lag, communication; see communication lag
L and N (L&N); see listing and nulling language(s),
defn., a symbol for most reality or most imaginary objects offered as reality, I-190
barrier, pictures bridge, IV-54
Dianetics and Scientology in other languages, VII 443; IX-351
first ~ encountered is handled first in Word Clear ing, IX-477
in the child’s reactive bank, 144; see also CDN
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

Language(s) (cont.)
- Learning Drill, IX-90
- Learning Processes, five, III-31
- Leave (Secondary Scale level), IV-300

- Leave of absence, defn., authorized period of absence from a course granted in writing by Course Supervisor and entered in student’s study folder, VII-141, 286; IX-312
- Lectures by Ron are needed to give student flavor and idea of Scientology, IV-329
- Lecturing, don’t close terminals, II-159
- Word Clearing on foreign language persons, IX-477

Lao-tse, I-425

Lassitude, cause of feeling of lassitude, V-261

Latent reads,
- defn., reads which occur later than completion of major thought, V-264
- caused by too high sensitivity, VIII-271
don’t take up, IV-355
- prior reads and ~ are ignored, V-77

Steering the pc is only use of latent or random reads, V-60, 78

Laudatory withholds, V-1

Law(s),
- defn., codified agreements of people crystallizing their customs and representing their believed-in necessities of conduct, II-514
- criminal will not receive orders called law, IV-136
derived from custom, II-514, 515
- honest people are impeded by disciplinary laws aimed at the dishonest, IV-27
- of listing and nulling: see listing and nulling processes of, suspended moment individual is declared to be insane, I-282

Laziness and dishonesty, source of out tech, VIII-426

Leadership, component parts, I-92
- Leadership test scores, low, handling of, VII-34

Learn (ing), of cases, I-490
- by observing or experiencing, I-190
- isn’t memorizing, III-424
- lagandprocesslag, III-18
- process, discipline of imagination essential, I-324
- rate, III-17, 20
- defn., the rate one will permit ideas to inflow, III-28
- aberration and learning rate, relationship between, III-15
- consequences, III-20
- dissemination, use of “learning rate” in, III-20, 21
- governs reading time, III-22
- increasing learning rate by drill usually only increases familiarity and automaticity, III-22
- learning lag and learning rate, III-19, 20
- through mimicry, I-31
- when one learns he is being an effect, II-437
- willingness to, III-79

Learning Drill, IX-90
Learning Processes, five, III-31
Leave (Secondary Scale level), IV-300

Leave of absence, defn., authorized period of absence from a course granted in writing by Course Supervisor and entered in student’s study folder, VII-141, 286; IX-312
Lectures by Ron are needed to give student flavor and idea of Scientology, IV-329
Lecturing, don’t close terminals, II-159
Legal attack on an auditor, how to handle, II-156
Legal control of organization, II-319
Legal definition for insanity: the inability to differentiate right from wrong, II-62
Legal problems, best defense is attack, II-157
Lesson, learning the wrong, III-18
Leukemia, I-337

Level(s); see also grade
- any level is itself capable of stable case gain,
- VI-310
- ARC breaks, VI-18
- are designed for all cases, VI-27
- auditing, levels of using overts [1964], V-438
- auditing skills by levels [1964], V-411
- consists of several processes; the preclear (still a preclear) has to be able to audit to make it; it can’t be done for him [Level VI], VI-19
- each level of auditing controls pc’s attention a little more than last, V-371
- failing to use all processes for ~ is a High Crime, VII-80
- “grade” and “level” are the same but when one is a pc one has a grade and when one has a level one is studying its data, VI-20
- higher levels do not solve lower level failures, VII-275
- how to apply Level Processing, VI-27
- of auditors and levels of processes [1957], III-84
- of awareness, I-356
- of cases, I-490
- pc will feel accused if run above his level, V-441
- reads are bigger on higher levels, V-396
- requires several months to audit through even with expert training, VI-19
- still charged, reliable indicators are TA action and cognitions, VII-78
- to chase a pc on up the levels to cure an outness on earlier levels is idiocy, VI-310
- tone arm action is higher on higher levels, V-397
- I to 8, state of case, V-289

Level 0,
- common errors on, VI-169-70
- curriculum for, [1964], V-514
- purpose and end phenomena, V-516, 517
- Triple, VI-300

Level 1,
- an experimental arrangement [1956], II-327
- common errors on, VI-169-70
- is what gets the case moving [1956], II-322
- is out, means no change, VII-70
Level I (cont.)  
Rudiments, II-289  
Triple, VI-301  
[1955], II-275, 283  

Level II  
common errors on, VI-169-70  
is out, means lots of ARC breaks, VII-70  
is what changes the Scientometric tests [1956], II-324  
Locational and Not-Know Processes, II-289  
Triple, VI-301  
[1955], II-276, 284, 289  

Level III  
clay table work is, V-466  
common errors on, VI-169-70  
Triple, VI-301  
[1955], Decisional Processing, II-290  
[1955] is a subjective level, II-276, 284, 289  
[1956] is what exteriorizes pcs, II-324  

Level IV  
builds back their willingness to live [1956], II-325  
common errors on, VI-169-70  
Opening Procedure by Duplication [1955], II-290  
Opening Procedure 8-C [1955], II-277, 285  
Triple, VI-303  
unflat, preclear makes others guilty, VII-70  

Level V  
makes pcs able to play games [1956], II-325  
Opening Procedure by Duplication by First Postulate [1955], II-277, 285  
Remedy of Communication Scarcity [1955], II-291  

Level VI, VI-19  
drawbacks of, V-433  
exercises pcs’ exteriorization and stabilizes [1956], II-326  
reads on ~, difference between, V-403  
Remedy of Havingness and Spotting Spots in Space [1955], II-278, 285, 291  
LFBD—long fall followed by “blowdown” or TA motion downward, VI-357  
LF—long fall (2 to 3 inches), VI-357  
liability, non-compliance as Liability, VII-83  
libido theory, Freud’s, IV-103  
library, VII-207, 279, 397; IX-435  
Cramming must have a, X-148  
vast library of Scientology, II-457  
lie detector, I-222  
does not detect a lie, it merely detects the misownership of the picture of the incident, II-236  
lie reaction, if pc reads high on tone arm, gets inconsistent lie reaction, use “What have you had to be responsible for?”, III-297  
lie reaction questions, purpose of, IV-275  
lies, freedom of speech, does not mean freedom to harm by lies, IV-27  
life, I-375; see also life; NSOL  
defn., a series of attained goals, IV-58  
a contest of “overwhelmings”, II-397  
life (cont.)  
all operating principles of life may be derived from duplication, II-15  
auditing skill is a discipline in living and a knowhow of the parts of life, III-236  
becomes difficult when rhythmic prediction can not occur, IX-501  
becomes purposeless lacking restrictions, II-423  
becomes serious when man becomes less cause and greater effect, I-212  
continuum, I-363  
cycle of action of life is cycle of action of an explosion, I-467  
cycle of life forms, I-293  
eight main divisions, II-411; see also dynamics  
elements of games applied to life, II-421  
exists in presence of understanding, VII-291  
force, life force and emotion; see DMSMH  
fundamentals of; see also Dianetics ‘55  
game of life, III-102  
help is a cojoining of vectors of life, IV-186  
interjections, reasons for intensives, X-137  
in the body, thetan puts it there, II-362, 374; VIII-126  
in the womb, II-466  
is a game, II-366, 421  
is a repeating pulse and ebb and surge of motion, VIII-299  
life vs. life, no liability; life via mest vs. life, some liability; life vs. mest, total liability, III-174  
one can intend to change life for the better and succeed, II-464  
past life; see past life  
reaction of life to pain perceptsics, I-154  
Repair; see Life Repair  
right intention toward, II-464  
ruds, VI-435  
running away from, III-115  
Scientology in direct application to life, V-491  
source of, II-153; see also thetan  
survive is dynamic principle which motivates most biological life, IV-126  
understood by likening it to a game, II-421  
we know more about life now than life does, II-473  
which he has just lived, thetan does not care to remember, II-432  
why Scientology is senior to life, III-237  
Life Repair(s), VII-278  
and Drug Rundown, VIII-311; X-248  
and Grade Chart, X-249  
is not a prerequisite for Drug RD, VIII-311  
ned of Life Repair before Dianetics, VII-74  
pcs, if not audited before, get Life Repair, X-147  
lifetime, next, affected by what we create in this lifetime, II-433  
light and deep processing, I-187  
light lock, repetition of material in PT constitutes a, I-111
light, thus there is, I-375
like (Secondary Scale level), IV-292
limited process, any process which makes pc create, IV-35
line(s),
  defn., a list of found 3D items each in opposition to the last item on that line [3DXX], V-12 and terminals, III-140
  and terminals, Reality Scale, III-139
  charges, I-301
communication; see communication lines each line is an independent zig-zag of opposition items [3DXX], V-10
  enttheta and theta lines, I-139
  establish line so pc can become aware of auditor, III-140
plot, V-25
defn, V-12
  described, V-178
  put every item ever found on pc by any process on line plot; every one will add up to a goal, V-250
3GA line wording; see Routine 3GA list(s); see also listing; listing and nulling assessment list, you don’t begin it until you get an F/N, IX-224
Auditing by Lists; see Auditing by Lists
  auditor failure to get a list to respond or note it then defeats C/S completely, VIII-234
  auditor must clear each and every word on, VIII-94
  auditors who can’t assess lists, results of, VIII-426
  correction lists; see prepared lists, correction lists
  Dianetic assessment list; see Dianetic assessment list
Dianetic lists; see Dianetic lists
failed sessions, most common reason for, is inability of auditor to get reads on lists, VIII-233
  F/N everything found on ruds and lists, VII-197
  Green Form, overlist, how to handle, VII-273
  isn’t null; it is suppressed or invalidated, VII-11
  it takes correct metering and impingement to make a list read, VIII-234
  LX lists; see LX lists
L1, General ARC Break Assessment, V-307, 423
  how to use, VI-41
L1A, do an L1A if pc was not fully satisfied that all has been handled, VII-3 I
L1B, VI-206; VII-38, 39, 118
  never try to fly ruds or do L1B on a high or low TA, VII-197
L1C, VII-203; X-230
  bad auditing, previous, can be cured by, VII-281
  best done Method 3, VII-280, 318
  handles ARC broken, sad, hopeless or nattery pcs, VII-203
  never C/S to take TA down with ARC break rud or L1C, VII-281
list(s) (cont.)
L1C (cont.)
  not used on high or very low TAs to get them down or up, VII-318
  won’t handle out lists, VII-281
L1RA, Integrity Processing and O/Ws Repair List, IX-266
I-2, assessment sessions, listing sessions, preliminary step R3R, the ARC break for assessments list, V-307
L2B, VI-207
L-3,
  add “Have we by-passed any postulates?”, V-349
  R3R—engram running by chains, V-308
L3B, VI-207; VII-227
  Dianetics and Ext RD Repair List, VII-220
done Method 3, VII-280
how to use, VII-227
L3 EXD RB—Expanded Dianetics Repair List, VIII-70; IX-1 31
  list of words in, IX-129
L3RD—Dianetics and Ext RD Repair List, VIII-245
L3RD—Dianetics and Int RD Repair List, VIII-265; X-119, 123-24, 231
  how to use, VIII-290, 384
L4, V-309, 423
  used on Routine 3N—GPMs, all goals sessions, V-308
L4A, VI-213, 285
L4B,
  assessment method, VII-280, 318
  list errors are corrected by, VII-45, 119, 200, 357, 360, 392
  out list can make ARC break that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
L4BR, X-231
for assessment of all listing errors, VIII-138
  is used at first sign of trouble on L&N, VIII-142
L IX Hi-Lo TA list, VII-179, 194; X-230
  revised, VIII-1
L9S [L11], using L9S, VII-298; X-135
  works on all cases, X-133
  37R is best done as part of L9S, VII-296
L10, VII-467
  actions, VII-316
  prerequisites, VII-392
  when done between R6EW and OT III-, will fail, VIII-20
no-case-gain, slow-case-gain, sickie and “failed cases”. handled by basic lists, VIII-426
numbers of, X-210
of auditor’s efforts, emotions, and thoughts related to processing which must be run, I-216
prepared lists; see prepared lists
list(s) (cont.)
remedy for an auditor who can't get reads on lists, VIII-233, 234
Self Analysis next-to-the-last list, I-386, 388, 396, 425
listing; see also assessment; list; listing and nulling
defn., auditor's action in writing down items said by pc in response to a question by auditor, V-203
allow no self listing of goals [R2-12], V-238
appearances [R2-12], V-207
auditor has no business with significances of items [R2-12A], V-235
beyond last rock slam [R2-12A], V-233
by Prehay [3GA], V-163, 164
by Tiger buttons [3GA], V-147, 148
complete list, defn., any list listed for assessment that does not produce a dirty needle while nulling or
Tiger Drilling [1962], V-181
discovery of, [3G], V-53, 55
in 3DXX, V-17
rules of a complete list for R2 or R3, V-241, 242
dead horse is list which even with good auditing, failed for any other reason to produce a reliable item [R2-10, R2-12, 3GAXX, R3-21], V-203
differentiate the list [3DXX], V-11
difficulties [R2, R3], V-255
cause of poor list [3DXX], V-17
getting pc to answer the auditing question [R2-12], V-238
handling of pc who gets dopey or drowsy during listing and nulling [3DXX], V-10
how listing a wrong item can happen [R2, R3], V-256
if right item or goal on a list has been read and abandoned, all its locks will begin to read like real items or goals [Level VI], V-403
list that won’t complete [R2-10, R2-12], V-223
long lists [R2-10, R2-12], V-223
lost list, how to reconstruct, V-55
overlisting, danger sign of, [R2-12, 3GAXX], V-204
pc who "can’t quite say exactly what it is" [3DXX], V-10, 17
right goal listed wrongly, symptoms of, V-167
wrong goal listed, symptoms of, V-168
wrong way to symptoms [R2, R3], V-255
don't Tone 40 ack items or goals a pc gives you [3G], V-56
goals; see goals
handling of mid ruds [3GAXX], V-180
incomplete lists [R2-12], V-221
dirty needles stem from incomplete lists or missed items [3GAXX], V-180
is always derived from pc [3DXX], V-10
is auditing [3G], V-53
listing (cont.)
is not Prechecking [3GA], V-159
is slightly contrary to early auditing philosophy [3GA], V-160
List One—the Scientology List [R2-12], V-191, 195, 202, 215, 220
L-2, assessment sessions, listing sessions, preliminary step R3R, the ARC break for assessments list, V-307
never force pc to list [R2-12, R3M], V-255
nulling; see listing and nulling; nulling
oppose, opposition list, done on R/Sing items [R2-12], V-221
minimize goal oppose lists [R2, R3], V-258
minimize goal oppose lists [R2, R3], V-258
right and wrong oppose [R2-12], V-230
right way and wrong way oppose indications [R2], V-231, 233
what a dirty needle means [R2], V-232
random listing [R3N], V-394
represent list, if you find a group on an S&D do a represent list, VI-164
item is unburdened by making represent list [R2-10, R2-12, 3GAXX], V-210
run all TA action out of listing; list at least 50 items beyond point tone arm became motion-,
less [R2-12A], V-233
session [3GA], V-130
source list, V-239
test list both ways [R2], V-233
to a still tone arm, what it takes [R2, R3], V-241
wording [3GA], V-114
writing the list [R2-10, R2-12, 3GAXX, R3-21], V-204
wrongwaylist [R2-12A], V-234, 236
listing and nulling (L&N), VI-306; see also assessment; list; listing; nulling [up to 1968 L&N in some bulletins is called assessment]
defn. means the pc lists, VI-266
all of more violent or bad reactions on part of pc come from out lists, VIII-97
ARC breaks, most violent session ARC breaks occur because of list errors under meaning of listing and nulling, VII-392
assessing and listing, weakest applied point in our tech, VI-212
assessment vs. listing and nulling, VI-266
auditor must grab the actual sense of answer, VIII-395
case upset, wrong list item or a wrong list, VIII-97
complete list, defn., complete list is list which has only one reading item on list, VI-236
“dead horse list”, V-203, VII-49
don’t list a pc on a Repair Program, use two-way comm, VII-93
errors, VII-49
errors are handled by: L4A, VI-213; L4B, VII-200, L4, 392; L4BR, VIII-138
listing and nulling (L&N) (cont.)

errors are handled first if Int isn’t out, VII-280; X-149, 151
errors, withholds and list errors can cause high TA, VII-281

eventual item listed must F/N, VII-196

extreme upsets and deep apathies are almost always L&N errors, VII-392
F/N during listings means no charge left and no item, VI-172
goes wrong = BPC = handle or do L4B or any L4 at once, VII-46, 360
if a pc lists to a question the rules of L&N apply, VIII-419
Int RD trouble is worse than list trouble is worse than out ruds, VII-396
item must BD and F/N, VII-96; X-220
laws of listing and nulling, VI-236, 266
S&Ds, Remedy Bs, etc., are listed by pc and follow laws of listing and nulling, VI-266
list, defn, list of items given by pc in response to listing question and written down by auditor in exact sequence that they are given to him by pc; each list is done on a separate sheet, IX-10, 49

lists can be extended beyond F/N, VII-278
list that is getting no item, don’t extend, use L4, VI-1149
List L4A—for assessment of all listing errors, VI-213, 285
L4BR—for assessment of all listing errors, VIII-138
L4BR is used at first sign of trouble on L&N, VIII-142
note down reads as you list, VI-256
old lists are not to be copied, VI-256
out list(s), VII-281; VIII-157; IX-157, 326; X-221
out list (meaning overlist or wrong items) produces most fantastic H E & R, VIII-194
out lists are handled before ruds, VII-273
out lists can make an ARC break that can’t be handled by ARC break but only by L4B, VII-273
out of session, cause of, VIII-96; X-220
overlisting, danger sign of, V-204
overlist shows up on Green Form, how to handle, VII-273
pc upset, look into two-way comm processes in folder and treat them as L&N processes where pc has answered with items, VIII-270
points a C/S must be alert to regarding listing, VII-392

PTS Rundown, L&N for places and planets should be restricted to planets only on VA pcs and an L4BR used at first sign of trouble, VIII-142

PTS Rundown two-way comm question converted to listing and nulling, VIII-142
question, always test a listing question before listing pc list, VII-49; X-3

listing and nulling (L&N) (cont.)

question (cont.)
don’t use in two-way comm, why, VIII-270
governed by rules of listing and nulling, VIII-270

in clearing a listing question auditor watches meter, not necessarily pc and notes any read while clearing question, VII-177
must read to be listed, VII-45, 49, 357
used accidentally in two-way comm can give out list symptoms, VIII-270
reconstructing the list, VIII-96; X-220
Remedy A is done only by listing, VI-206
Remedy B is done by listing, VI-199, 206, 266
repair, X-185
roller-coaster can be caused by out lists, VII-453;

Sangontology listing and nulling actions have nothing to do with Dianetics, VI-389
Search and Discovery list error can make a person out of session, cause of, VIII-96
Search and Discovery lists; see Search and Discovery self-auditing, commonest reason for, is a wrong or unfound L&N item, VIII-96
symptoms of out lists, VIII-97
trouble, handling, X-3
trouble, use a prepared list like L4B to locate trouble and handle it, without further C/S instructions, VII-45, 357
Why finding, purpose or product, suspect listing errors when repairing, VIII-96
wrong item handling, IX-326
wrong lists or upset people, what can cause, VIII-97
you don’t fly ruds over an out list, IX-157
listen style auditing; see auditing, listen style listenstyleco-audit; seeeco-audit, listenstyle Listing Prepcheck, HCO WW R-3GA Form 1, V-109
List Mock-ups [process], I-329
literacy, defn., ability to read and write, VIII-314; IX-483
literality, statements received with; see SOS
live, living, livingness; see also life
ability to live depends to a marked degree upon ability to shift consideration of what is confusion, what is motionlessness, II-540
and Scientology; see also PXL
as automatic as machinery, II-402
co-existence, superior to ARC triangle and mechanics of living, II-136
itself can be an art, IX-491, 496
Level Four [1956] builds back willingness to live, II-325
live communication, postulates, will always create change, II-258
lives, past; see past lives
training, essential to give people tools to live better, II-369
live, living, livingness (cont.)
two conditions of, ARC broken, not ARC broken, V-347
two rules for happy living, III-431; see also NSOL
location(s), as a restimulator, I-449; III-227
in time and space promotes a feeling of reality, I-245
of mock-up, I-326
one of three methods to handle time track, V-288
PTS to, VIII-98
TA change requires two locations—location of pc and location of mass, V-49
to communicate one must be able to hold to a location, VII-264
Locational, Body and Room, an extraversion introversion process, commands of, III-394
Locational, commands and how to run, III-6
Locational Communication [process], III-466
relieves face pressures and terror stomachs, III-466
Locational, if ~ turns on a somatic it must be run until ~ no longer turns on somatics, III-192
Locational Processing, II-275, 283; III-394; VII-418; see also TR10
as an assist, III-260; VII-415
attention process, commands of, III-394
establish the existence of a session by, II-250
Problems of Comparable or Incomparable Magnitude and ~, which to run, III-325
purpose of, II-275
to bring the pc up to present time, III-239
to handle problems, III-122
Location by Contact, CCH 5, III-67
Location-Control Processes, III-6
lock(s), VI-61, 86, 107, 141; see also engram
secondary
defn., those parts of time track which contain moments pc associates with key-1ns, V-274
defn., mental image pictures of non-painful but disturbing experiences the person has experienced; they depend for their force on secondaries and engrams, VI-61, 86, 141
defn., mental image picture of an incident where one was knowingly or unknowingly reminded of a secondary or engram; it does not itself contain a blow or a burn or impact and is not any major cause of misemotion; it does not contain unconsciousness; it may contain a feeling of pain or illness, etc., but is not itself the source of it, VI-340
chains, reduction of, I-110
chronically tired pc who is not eating won’t get TA for there’s no asis of locks, V-434
Dianetic auditor who specializes in keying out locks, what happens, VI-372
finding and handling lock words of GPMs, V-493
F/N on a lock can be by-passed on R3R, VI-407
manifestations of; see also SOS
reducing locks, what it does, VI-61, 141
running of, I-19
lock(s) (cont.)
R3R, if a lock F/Ns you can get earlier incidents on same chain until pc actually runs engram or chain of engrams, VI-354, 373
scanning, I-107, 241; see also Science of Survival and Effort Processing on wearing glasses:
auditing session by LRH, I-196
basic use of, I-109
can perform duties of canceller and run out past auditing, I-111
in chronological scanning through each day, I-112
valences; see valences, lock
logics; see also NOTL; AP&A; HFP; A&L; Scn 0-8
ability to evaluate importances and unimportances is the highest faculty of logic, III-393
evolution of, I-70
graph of, I-72
of education, III-345
one-valued, I-69
Prelogics, I-433
process of logic, what it consists of, I-71
spectrum of; see NOTL
three-valued, I-69
two-valued, I-69
look (perceive), II-483
“Look around here and tell me something you could do” [test process], III-182
“Look at me. Who am I?” [process], II-444; III-5, 188
is used in a repair session when pc goes too wild to audit, VII-65
“Look at my fingers” [assist process], III-260
lose, losing, lost,
defn., when one intends to do one thing and accomplishes something else, II-462
engrams vanish, erase rapidly when pc regains ability to have idea that he has won and that he has lost, II-399
folder, X-66
or winning, anatomy of, is anatomy of postulate and reverse-postulate, II-462
loss(es), III-120
defn., withdrawing of something without consent, I-385
always identified with have, I-296
common response to sudden loss is to feel every thing is gone or going, VI-18
darkness is the result of too much loss, I-385
dreams follow a sudden loss, VI-18
exteriorization and loss, III-280, 324
grief engrams result from losses of position, allies, or things, I-16
grief is always loss, VI-232
grief is entirely and only concerned with loss or threatened loss, I-296
“keeping things from going away” is a basic me chanism which guards against loss, III-230
losses to wins, HGC auditing should convert earlier auditing losses to wins, IV-108
loss(es) (cont.)
of control takes place with the loss of pan-determinism, II-433
of havingness; see havingness
pain defined in terms of loss, I-296, 385
past life and memory of it is buried under terrific loss of possessions and body, VI-163
person who has just experienced a loss may become ill, VIII-237
prevents pc from conceiving a static; he associates a static with loss, III-324
"Recall a moment of loss" [process], III-120, 325
secondary in its original use meant "a moment of loss", VI-163
single aberrative factor in living, I-296
sudden loss of sexual partner, I-437
sudden loss of a partner, I-437
stuck in a loss, II-462
sudden loss of sexual partner, I-437
time is the basic on, I-416
why it is held on to, III-137
love,
defn., is the human manifestation of admiration, I-437
characteristics of, I-483
hate and love are attitudes, not emotions, I-436
is road to strength; to love in spite of all is secret machines which reverse communications, handling of,
of greatness, VI-155
love-hate universe, I-483
lovers’ quarrel, I-102
"love thy neighbor", II-211
when it is no longer a willingness, is enforced by theory of O/W, IV-186
psychoanalysis says all insanity derives from love, III-170
low-havingness person withholds communication, II-415; see also havingness
scale mockery, every high scale manifestation or activity has a ~, IV-26
low step cases, remediating occlusion or accomplishing exteriorization in, I-386
low TA; see tone arm, low
low-toned case; see case, low-toned
LRH; see Hubbard, L. Ron
LRH Model Auditing Tapes, use of, VIII-33
LSD-25,
description of, VI-244
drugs, particularly LSD, can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-328
produces insanity, II-268
luck,
defn., an x factor by which an individual or group obtains most with minimal effort, I-91
anatomy of, I-91
Luminal, I-104; see also drugs
LX Lists, VI-426
end phenomena, VI-426
LX1 (Conditions), VI-432
looking up an assessed item from an LXI acts as an invalidation, VI-267
LX1, LX2, LX3 out of valence lists, VII-330
LX2 (Emotional Assessment List), VI-427
LX Lists (cont.)
LX3 (Attitudes), VI-446
run each flow chain to an F/N, VII-196
serve to isolate reasons being is charged up to such an extent that he is out of valence, VI-426
use of, VI-426, 430
words of, are cleared before assessing it and reads taken as they appear, IX-175
Lying,
defn., lowest order of creativeness, II-447
pc’s sanity and continued happiness depend upon ability to create new facts, II-178; VIII-114
Route 2—29; “Start lying”, VIII-114
Lying about the Problem [process], II-447
Lying Processes and Orders, omit, II-417
L (number); see lists
M
machinery, I-435; II-230
auditor running the pc’s machinery when pc’s reality on question is low, II-218
pc operating on, III-150, 182
machines which reverse communications, handling of, II-53
madness is compounded of disarranged abilities, III-170
magnesium, nervous reactions are diminished by, VIII-354, 369
magnetic field; see History of Man
major action(s), VII-467; X-211
defn., any, but any, action designed to change case or general considerations or handle con
inual illness or improve ability; means a pro
cess or even a series of processes like 3 flows; it
doesn’t mean a grade; it is any process case hasn’t had, VII-47, 360; X-6
and case set-ups, X-6
and No-Interference Area, X-212
don’t begin without getting first an F/N, IX-224,
239
don’t use to repair a case, VII-360
mixing, X-136
pc application for, VII-14, 16
R6 to OT III-, closed band to other ~, VII-467
set up case before starting, VII-14, 46, 360
what they are, VII-14
won’t run, suspect it may have been done before,
VII-276
major grade process is definitely not enough to make pc make a lower grade, VII-103
major processes done to improve case, VII-57
major processes, don’t use to repair a case, VII-47, 64
major processes, subject of, keep out of two-way
comm, VII-105
major step, prepare a case for, VII-15
major thought; see also minor thought
defn., complete thought being expressed in words by auditor, V-77, 264
major thought (cont.)

E-Meter can operate on last word (thought minor) only of a question, whereas the question (thought major) is actually null, V-102, 362 how to groove in, V-78

“Make it a little more solid” [process], III-255 “make it”, avoid using, because it’s a GPM word [Clay Table Processing], V-455

“Make it more solid” [process], II-455

Keep it from going away—Hold it still—Make it more solid—on two objects, III-187

make nothing out of everything, students who, II-345

malnutrition; see also nutrition
defn., general breakdown of body functions due to lack of adequate nourishment, VIII-207

anxiety and malnutrition can produce all symptoms of insanity, IV-82

man(s); see also theten

beingness of; see also Scn 8-8008

body and spirit, I-485

contest with the machine age, III-221
evolution of; see AP&AA; HOM

inhumanity to man; see All About Radiation

is a human spirit which is enwrapped, more or less, in a mind, which is in a body, III-223

is an added-to being, result of, IX-82

is as sane as he is undense, I-230

is basically good, IV-12; VI-346

and is damaged by punishment, IV-104

is basically good, but reactive mind tends to force him into evil actions, V-407, 439; VIII-78, 370; IX-268

is his own immortal soul, II-6, 7

is his own universe, I-294

nature of man, VII-156

parts of man: thetan, mind, body, II-428; III-129, 149, 480

realenemies; see All About Radiation

search for his soul; see NSOL

state and goals of; see also HFP
two dominant behavior patterns of, V-407

willfulness to destroy man, source of, II-402

management; see also HTLTAE; Management Series; OEC Volumes
defn., the planning of means to attain goals and their assignment for execution to staff and the proper coordination of activities within the group to attain maximal efficiency with minimal effort to attain determined goals, I-133

a specialty, I-133

bad: fascism, socialism, communism, I-143

essay on, I-133

good finder vs., I-134
group goals and, I-133

keeping wide open all communication lines, I-139

loses power, I-141

operational data and, I-138

problems and optimum performances, I-133

puts goals into effect, I-134

management (cont.)

research vs., I-335

Scientology organizations, management and activi ties of, II-318; see also organization

manager,
credo of a good and skilled, I-96; see also HTLTAE; Scn O-8

responsibility, II-423

mandatory C/Sing checklist, X-200-02

manic, behavior of, I-36

manic motion, cure for pc who is in, III-248

mannerism,
additives, VII-256

changes, C/S request for, X-36

changes in pc, VII-86

manners, stage manners, IX-498

manual, defn., booklet of instruction for a certain object or procedure or practice, VII-141, 287;

IX-312

margin of error allowable for a problem, I-73

marijuana, description of, VI-244

marital counseling, correct approach, VI-289

evolution of; see AP&AA; HOM

marital quarrels, cause of, III-364

inhumanity to man;

see All About Radiation

mass(es), massy,

aberration is caused by cut communication with the mass, remedy of, I-147

absence of, VII-293

and ability to tolerate mass, the bases of good therapy, II-467

and overrun, X-127

are masses, they are not particles, III-164

are more important than perceptions, II-39; VIII-106

are released off body and out of thetan’s bank in auditing, V-256

are something that are shed from a theten by mock-up, and particles are something that are shed from masses, III-165

as-Iness of, V-49

auditor + pc as two pole system to as-Is ~, VII-238

“bank is beefing up”, sensation of increasing solidity of masses in the mind, V-175

body discolors when mass from bank is brought in on it, V-255

body is a mass, a solid terminal, III-240

by “havingness” one means mass or objects, II-180

changing masses with anything less than life or memory or communication or postulate brings us into a condition of persistence of a condi tion, II-235
mass(es), massy (cont.)
clay table, mass parts are done by clay, significance or thought parts by label, VII-163
communication has the power of eradicating spaces and masses, II-197, 467
communication tends to as-1s mass, III-138
condition is a circumstance regarding a mass or terminal, III-164
could be said to be memory, II-217
degradation begins when thetan is interiorized into unwanted mass, VIII-105
depends on misownership for its persistence, II-236
ergy is derived from mass by fixing two terminals in proximity in space, II-14
engrams have mass in them even when they are pictures, VI-397, 418
engrams, if you run too far back you get a pc into masses he can’t easily handle, VI-227
fear of seeing is fear of mass, III-209
flows, missing flows are still potential mass, VIII-274, 286, 287, 377, 380, 381
Goals Problem Mass; see Goals Problem Mass
havingness is mass or objects, VIII-115
Haviness, Remedy of, what it is, VIII-124
Haviness Scale consists of doingsnesses with regard to mass, III-141
how a being is hung with persistent masses, V-8
is created by the command that it be created, not by the communication itself, II-467
mental image pictures, actually composed of energy; they have mass, they exist in space, II-229
mental mass; see mental mass
mind, whole answer to mind is mental pictures and mass created by thetan, VI-160
missing flows are still potential mass, VII-210, 213
overrun is full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268
pc can be brought to control a mass of energy as heavy as an engram by the gradient scale of controlling lighter masses, II-227
pc has felt massy, sometimes even ill, cause of, VIII-287, 381, 382
pc is continually searching for significance of mass or force, what is it, why is it, VII-77
problem is force opposing force with resultant
ridge, and mass comes about from a conflict of flows opposing or being pulled back as in withholds, VII-270
self-auditing is manifestation of being overwhelmed by masses, etc. and pulling only think out of bank, VII-85
seriousness, the more mass the more seriousness, II-179
significance vs. mass or force, VII-77
studying without mass, symptoms of, VII-293; IX-393
thetan can increase body mass by mocking up pictures, II-431
thetan’s loss of mass, VIII-105
thetans, massy, VII-212, 213; VIII-286, 380; X-105, 106, 109
tone arm(s); see also tone arm
high, are caused by two or more flows opposing thus making a mass or ridge, VII-270
high, equals mental energy mass, VI-356, 397, 418
moves because mass is changing, V-48, 49
records mass, VII-28, 169
two types of mass, II-197
vanishment of mass, III-139
massless terminal, do not run ~, IV-50
master process, defn., one which ran out all other processes and processing, V-67
Matched Terminal(s), Matched Terminaling, Matching Terminals, I-341, 367, 385; see also Double Terminal
defn., a mock-up facing a mock-up, I-360
description, I-393, 397
or Double Terminals, I-407
Material Clearing; see Word Clearing Method 5 materials,
C/S mustn’t tolerate missing materials, VII-378
scarcity of, VI-406
mathematics, function of, I-74
mathematics, the mind is the servo-mechanism of, I-323
matter.

ARC triangle very spacious at level of serenity and completely condensed at level of matter, II-413
becomes havingness, in life experience, II-14
classes of, I-375
difficult exteriorization is all caused by a person’s considerations of thought being matter, self being matter, IV-53
is apparently cohesion and adhesion of energy, I-293
motion is matter with energy in space, V-330
running Havingness restores pc at cause over matter, IV-53

“Think about matter”, pretty steep for most cases and would not be real to many, IV-54
maybe.
anatomy of, I-362
and resolution of, I-393, 397
how it comes about, I-244
leads to insanity, I-208
source of, I-379
meaning of things plays a secondary role in processing to forces, VII-76; X-28

433
meanings, conceptualization of, VIII-316
mechanics and consideration; see also PXL, Scn 0-8
mechanics of space, energy and time, considerations
take rank over, II-67
mechanics vs. significance of mental image picture,
III-32
medical; see also medicine
attention, assist is not a substitute for, III-264
care, after medical care people should be audited,
VII-2
doctors, VI-309
addressing any group of medical doctors, keep
it in field of Dianetics, VI-309
cause of antipathy toward MD’s diagnosis,
V-463
Dianetic group should have liaison with a com-
petent MD or clinic, VI-402
indispensable in society, II-432
minister and medical doctor, no conflict be-
tween, VIII-192
psychosomatics, not the province of the
medical doctor, II-36
role of, II-35, 37, 155
Scientologists and medical doctors, conflicts
between, how to handle, II-156
send pc to, if chronic illness suspected, I-421
ethics, A.M.A.’s proposed principles of, III-2
examination, VI-313, 421
examination and treatment and assists, VIII-189
illnesses can be physical; if so, medical action is
firstaction, VI-415; see also illness
medical data goes in Folder Summary, IX-22
“mental blocks” can obstruct ~ treatment, VI-
371
operations, medical or dental treatment, deliver-
ies, should be audited out as soon as possible
by R3R, VI-422
patients who do not respond to treatment, VI-348
range; see Science of Survival
Scientology sends sick to medical doctor, VIII-
203
treatment, VII-14
Medical Exam Reports, IX-33
Medical Officer Reports, IX-53
medicine; see also medical
can turn on whole track pictures violently, VII-
328
considering man a body is a sort of betrayal,
IV-86
does not contain a definition for “mind”, II-432
is treated like any other drug, VII-339
madeeffectivebyDianetics, VI-371, 372, 423
operational shock, cause of, V-464
pc ~ “stuck” in time can make medicine ineffective,
VII-371
role of, II-153
treat medicine like drugs, X-168
Melbourne1, 2, 3, 4, 5 [processes], III-547
membership cards and membership pins, II-368,
381
memberships, reason for, II-367
memory, memories, memorizing, defn., automaticity which is not under the control
of the pc, II-217
defn., mechanically, the tracking of positions, II
222
banks, standard; see DMSMH
creation of time and creation of memory were
concurrent incidents, II-222
failure in ~ causes thetan to be very frantic,
II-223
has very little to do with intelligence, II-224
improvement of, II-220
in terms of particles and space, II-222
in the field of education ~ is of the essence,
II-238
learning isn’t memorizing, III-424
mass could be said to be memory, II-217
of past existences, restoration of, III-224; see also
past lives
past life and memory of it is buried under terrific
loss of possessions and body, VI-163
pictures, thetan uses to assist memory, II-230
processing, I-193
processing is reconditioning ability of individual
to handle his own memory, I-210
responsibilityforone’s, I-210
shut-off of memory actually occurs with pick-up
of new body, III-226
specific process for a bad ~ is Forgetting run in
brackets, III-245
straight line memory, I-15, 19, 63
straight memory, case scouting and reverie, I-24;
see also SOS
theory of memory, II-222, 223
why it is shut off, III-224
why people can’t remember, II-221
men and women,
battle of the sexes, I-151
communication between, I-406
mental,
aberration, generalcauses of, I-242
derangement, shock treatment worsens and con
firms, I-432
energy, difference to physical energy, II-228, 431
energy, thetan by mocking up pictures can in
crease body mass, II-431
healing, III-476
brutality practiced under the name of, II-466
Dianetic auditor is natural inheritor of all
mental healing, VI-325
on whole track, how to handle, IV-195
health, real program of mental health is vital,
IV-82
mental (cont.)

hospitals, don’t send insane to, VI-116
identification is basis of all mental upsets, IV-119
illness vs. physical illness, VI-312
image picture; see mental image picture
machinery is made, why, III-230
man has used mental knowledge in the past
mainly for control, politics and propaganda, VI-391
mass; see mental mass
mass, pictures, ridges, circuits, etc., thetan accu-
mulates, to degree that he misassigns responsi-
bility, IV-18
research, Russian, III-537
responses will only register on specially built
meters, body reaction registers on all meters, IV-459
technology vs. industrial technology, III-221
treatment,
crimes and mental treatment, VI-404
run out, X-168
run out narrative R3R, VII-339
mental health organizations, Scientology organiza-
tions contain more members than all other, II-406
mental image picture(s), II-228, 229; see also
casimile
defn, are only de-solidified present times, III-34
actually composed of energy; they have mass,
they exist in space, II-229
are all there is in pc’s mind; this is totality of
aberration, VI-340
auditor always has more control over pc’s mental
image pictures than pc does, VI-342
bank means ~ collection of pc, VI-359
case, how it behaves as we raise confrontingness
on, III-447
confronting and, III-114
creation and control of, utilizes and disciplines
energy, I-324
drugs chemically inhibit creation of mental image
pictures and inhibit erasure, VI-444
erasure of mental image picture removes com-
pulsion to create it, VI-444
if you don’t run ~ from past lives when they
come up on a chain, pc will not recover, VI-
345
illness symptoms are out of ~, VI-337
is called a facsimile when it is a “photograph” of
the physical universe sometime in past, II-429; see also facsimile
is memory on a via, III-375
is source of continued pain, somatics, bad percep-
tion or illness, VI-339
may be the mind’s or the body’s; body carries
around ~ and thetan does the same and these
two combine to form the mind, III-224
mind is that structure of ~ and machinery on
which the pc is depending for his opinions and
ideas, III-150
mental image picture(s) (cont.)

pc is creating any he sees, III-210
protest is basically responsible for making
V-301
put pc at cause with regard to, III-487
reactive mind’s anatomy is concerned with ~ ordi-
narily unseen by person which nevertheless
dictate his illnesses and responses, III-269
significance vs. mechanics of, III-32
stuck, reasons for, VI-227
theta clears were made by gradually raising their
confrontingness of ~, III-445
whole answer to the mind is mental pictures and
masses created by thetan, VI-160
why they make TA go high, VI-356
mentally ill person is a physically ill person, I-420
“mentally retarded” children, VI-348
mental mass(es), II-196; see also mass
accumulates in vast complexity solely because one
would not confront something, IX-310
are what C/S handles, VII-77
E-Meter reads degree of mental mass surrounding
thetan in a body, IV-18
gradient scale of confronting ~, II-227
IQ raised by increasing ~, experiment, II-349
masses—forces-energy, X-29
merchants of fear, I-473, 474; see also aberrative
personality; suppressive person
how to locate, I-477
will not work, I-476
mercy,
defn, lessening away from public’s acceptance of
discipline necessary to guarantee their mutual
security, II-514
charity, kindness and mercy are the highest and
kingliest qualities there are, II-237
mescaline, VI-244; see also drugs
mesmerism,
defn., is animal magnetism; it’s a physiological
rapport; it is no relation to hypnotism at all,
IX-504
and hypnotism, difference between, IX-504
mest, mest universe; see also dynamic, 6th; universe
defn, full effect, I-208
defn, motioninsuperapathy, I-418
actions, law of mest, I-486
anchor points are points which are anchored in a
space different to physical universe space
around a body, II-432
and body vs. analytical mind, I-420
and thetans, I-342
group is composed of, I-87
and time track, V-276, 291
as entire unreason and theta as pure reason,
gradient scale between, I-173
basic freeing action of auditing depends up
on separation of thought from matter,
energy, space and time and other life,
IV-53
bodies and theta beings, I-404
mest, mest universe (cont.)

Body is an identifying form or non-identifiable form to facilitate control, communication and havingness for thetan in existence in ~, III-480

Body, liabilities of, I-403

Child should own his own, I-190

Clear, method of making, I-485; see also Clear, mest

Complete force, I-173

Connectedness is the basic process on association of thetawith mest, III-163

Conquest of mest, primary mission of theta, I-88, 173

Could be called love-hate universe, I-483

Creation of mest, III-189

Dependency and mest universe, I-394, 398

Dwindling spiral of mest universe, I-444; II-38; VIII-105

Exteriorization is stable when thetan is used to mest, IV-166

Failed case is a case in which thought can always be overpowered by mest, III-118

Game called physical universe, II-11

Gravitic attraction, I-361

Has six parts—matter, energy, space, time, form and location, IV-166

Holding Mest Points [process], I-329

Incomprehensible to a thetan, II-137

Intention of, V-277, 417

Is a game consisting of barriers, II-15

Is a two-terminal universe, I-337

Is composed of motion, I-224

Life vs. life, no liability; life via mest vs. life, some liability; life vs. mest, total liability, III-174

Mestclear; see Clear, mest

Most aberrative thing on case is association with mest, III-189

Motion is common to everything in ~, I-214

Organism can’t be owned like mest, II-288

Overt act, basic, is making somebody else want mest, IV-53

PC versus mest, Step Five of Clear Procedure, III-189

People go out of present time because they can’t have mest of present time, IV-155

Persons below 2.0 regard the organisms in their vicinity as mest, I-189

Processing, goal of, is to bring individual into such thorough communication with physical universe that he can regain power and ability of his own postulates, II-67

Reaching and withdrawing from mest, II-22

Reason pc is stuck in mest universe is the overt act phenomenon, II-9

Remedy, II-502

Self-determinism established in direct ratio to increased ability to handle mest, I-193

Self-determinism is proportionate to handling of mest, II-287

Single crime in mest universe is duplication, II-15

Target of Theory 67 is mest, IV-166

Mest, mest universe (cont.)

Theta engaged upon cycle of conquest of mest, I-188

Theta-mest theory, II-47; see also SOS

Theta, behaving like mest, II-137

Theta creates mest to have a game, II-176; VIII-112

Theta is capable of making space, energy, mass and time, II-432

Theta receives impressions of physical universe and past activities, II-429

Theta trapped in, III-530

Theta vs. mest, I-154, 174

Undercuts the body, III-129

What it is, I-375

Mestclear; see Clear, mest

Mest Processing, I-188; II-287

Metalosis Rundown, IX-171, 199

Metaphysics, I-75

Meter(ing); see E-Meter

Methods of assessment; see assessment methods

Methods of Word Clearing; see Word Clearing middle rudiments; see rudiments, middle

Mimicry, II-140, 553; see also COHA, R2—69

Entering wedge of communication, II-138

Learning through, I-31

Mimicry and repair, X-20

Mimicry, Full Body, [process], III-6

Mimicry, Hand Contact; see Hand Contact Mimicry

Mimicry, Mirror Image Hand Mimicry, III-6

Mimicry Processes are Duplication Processes and work only because they raise havingness, IV-155

Mind(s)’(s), III-530; see also analytical mind; re- active mind; somatic mind

defn., network of communications and pictures, energies and masses, II-429

defn., that structure of mental image pictures and machinery on which pc is depending for his opinions and ideas, III-150

defn., accumulation of recorded knowns and unknowns and their interaction, III-480

Analogy of; see DTOT; EOS

Analytical; see analytical mind

And body are part of a gradient scale of creation, and body, interaction of, I-209

As computer, I-70

Association—differentiation are the two principles of the mind, III-150

Audit pc where pc’s mind is, IV-43

Basic conflicts of, II-282

Body, can’t change without changing mind, III-151

Can compute in any terms, real or abstract, I-72

Can function independently of the body, I-419

Classes of, I-76, 79, 379

Command post of an organism, I-51

Communications system, II-429

Control of, III-267
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mind(s)’(s) (cont.)
desires about new or different states of mind, clearing up, III-302
Dianetics is a very exact analytical approach to problems of the mind, II-209
Dianometry—your ability and state of mind, I-68
DMSMH handles public arguments concerning the mind, IV-81
efforts to influence and prevail over the minds of individuals, groups, and nations, I-281
errorsto which the mind is liable, I-76
to examples of the types of minds, I-80
eye, mind’s eye, I-30
files first by time, I-231
fjxated upon the body, I-419
function and structure, I-75, 151
function is prediction of a future state of beingness, I-323
function of mind is to pose and resolve problems relating to survival, II-295
goals of the two minds, I-380
handles problems in terms of loose symbolisms, I-76
imaginative quality of, I-155
important to degree it can observe, pose, resolve and execute problems, I-27
is a bridge between spirit and body, III-224
is a mechanism for overcoming the lack of incidents, lack of experience in present time, III-151
is cause and human body is effect, I-209
knows how the mind works, I-33
level of alertness; see Science of Survival
man is divisible into three parts: thetan, mind, body, II-428
man is a human spirit which is enwrapped, more or less, in a mind, which is in a body, III-223
monitors structure, VIII-205
nature of, I-168
operation of the mind, I-155
partial death of, III-224
parts of man: thetan, mind, body, II-428; III-129, 223
physical illness caused by the mind, II-431
protection; see DMSMH
reactive; see reactive mind
records of mind are permanent, I-284
“researchers” in the field of, VI-391
Scientology is only full study in field of mind developed in Twentieth Century, III-477
separation of thetan from mind is most therapeutical action when done in Scientology processing, II-430
servo-mechanism of mathematics, I-323
somatic; see somatic mind
structure of, III-150
terra incognita, I-6
there can be a mind without a body, II-274
thetan, easiest thing he does is change his mind, II-448
mind(s)’(s) (cont.)
thesan is misowning the mind in which he is trapped, III-530
thesan is senior to mind and body, II-432
three main divisions—analytical, reactive and somatic mind, II-429
uses abstractions, I-74
minister(s).
actions and tools of, VIII-191
assists the spirit to confront physical difficulties which can then be cared for by a medical doctor as needful, III-259
auditor as, II-262, 355
personal counseling for, III-200
society of consulting, II-353
minor thought; see also major thought
defn., subsidiary thoughts expressed by words within the major thought; they are caused by reactivity of individual words within the full words; they are ignored, V-77, 264
E-Meter can operate on last word (thought minor) only of a question, whereas question (thought major) is actually null, V-102, 362
minus randomness; see randomness, minus
minus scale Releases: ARC Straight Wire and Dianetic, VI-175
Mirror Image Hand Mimicry, III-6
misacknowledgement is only and always a failure to end the cycle of a command, III-543; see also acknowledgement
misacknowledgement of pc, III-308
miscellaneous report, defn., report such as an MO Report, D of P Interview, an Ethics Report, success story, etc., which is put in pc’s folder and gives C/S more information about case, IX-11, 52
mis-declare, IX-52
mis-definition on vital words, how to handle, III-301; see also definition
misemotion; see also emotion
defn., anything that is unpleasant emotion such as antagonism, anger, fear, grief, apathy or a death feeling, VI-341
emotion and misemotion are closely allied to motion, being only a finer particle action, VI-192
emotion and misemotion include all levels of complete Tone Scale except pain, V-175; VI-192
pain, misemotion, unconsciousness, insanity, all result from causing things others could not experience easily, III-432
mis-emotional (Secondary Scale level), IV-296
misidentification, identity is a, IV-7
misowned thing, persistence of, II-220, 236
misprogramming and programming, VII-209; X-99; see also programming
mis-responsibility, defn., the miscalling of authorship, III-98
missed beginning of an exteriorization, VII-28, 169
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missed withhold(s) (M/W/H), V-20, 42, 285; VI-434; see also rudiments; withhold defn., a withhold that existed, could have been picked up and was missed, V-20 defn., is a should have known, V-27 defn., an undisclosed contra-survival act which has been restimulated by another but not disclosed, V-58; X-261 ARC breaks and missed withholds; see ARC breaks, missed withholds and ARC broken pc, how to ask for ~, VIII-179 asking for ~ does not upset dictum of using no O/W processes in rudiments, V-60 asking for ~ is a totally acceptable control factor, V-59 auditing rundown-missed withholds, V-318, 328 auditor can ask if another auditor has missed a withhold, V-42 auditor who won’t hear what pc is saying has made him have a withhold and it responds as a missed withhold, VI-22 bottom of ARC breaks is a missed withhold, VI-22 by-passed charge is explanation for violence of missed withholds, V-285 by-passed charge is in some degree a ~, missed by both auditor and pc, V-306, 417 cause blows, VI-22 cleaning up, don’t ask for withholds, V-61 clean up at once if auditor doesn’t ask leading questions, V-60 continuous missed withhold, VIII-235, 236 is often falsely labeled PTS, VIII-236 co-audit and missed withholds, V-25 command, use “done” not “missed a withhold” in all ~ questions, V-71 commonest questions to get ~, V-60 dangerous auditor is afraid to find out, thus causing ~ on pc, V-33 dirty needle is caused by ~, V-57, 59, 129 don’t be reasonable about pc’s complaints, withholds have been missed, V-61 don’t have to clean up all withholds if missed withholds kept cleaned up, V-61 engrams, M/W/Hs, unless found as part of ARC break assessment, may move pc violently about through recently found engrams, V-296 help pc by guiding his attention against needle, V-63 how to audit missed withholds, V-21, 23 indicators of, V-59, 66 Integrity Processing and ~, VIII-179 intensives, do not conclude without cleaning up missed withholds, V-60 is a should have known, VIII-179; IX-281, 282 missing a withhold or not getting all of it is sole source of ARC break, V-23 motivator response to missed withhold question, how to avoid, V-71 MI/W/H pc, why they are hardest to handle, VI-22 missed withhold(s) (M/W/H) (cont.) natterings, upsets, ARC breaks, critical tirades, are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, V-26 natterings, upsets, ARC breaks, critical tirades, lost students, ineffective motions are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, VIII-178 nattery pc, don’t run ARC break, run ~, VI-265 never ask pc if you’ve missed a withhold on him or her with pc off a meter, V-67 of nothingness, V-102, 104, 362 partial and missed withholds, V-26 pc dissatisfied, caused by ~, V-20 pcs go groggy, lose interest and refuse to list only when session withholds are missed, V-66 poor E-Metering is most fruitful source of missed withholds, V-104, 105 precheck system, don’t ask for missed withholds while prechecking, V-63 Precheck, pulled clean up sessions, V-21 pulling ~ [Model Session], V-279, 382, 421, 449 rough, angry ARC breaky session, auditor has failed to pick up ~, V-20, 58 rudiment, V-101 rudiment, commands for, VI-259 R3R, don’t use mid ruds or ask for ~, V-296 sessions go wrong, ask for ~, V-58, 61 source of allupset is ~, V-105 symptoms of, IX-281 which don’t read on ill pc though pc is nattering are not available to be run, VI-249 “Who nearly found out” is unlimited for ~, VI-280 wild animal reaction, V-26 mistake been made is a combination of auditor or pc asserting and other denying that it is or is not the goal; it is a conflict of positive-negative opinion and forms a ridge impossible to dispel unless auditor asks for “mistake”, V-119 mistakes, anatomy of, VI-219 mistakes or accidents or injuries occur in presence of suppression, VIII-237 misunderstood(s); see also Word Clearing action, VII-301 alteration of meaning or action, at bottom of, is misunderstood word, VII-382 are cleaned up with Word Clearing, IX-472 auditing pc over, references to handle, VIII-410 blow can be caused by misunderstood data or overts, VII-141, 162, 198, 286, 294, 390; IX-312 chain of misunderstood words, VI-153 confusion, ~ exists at the bottom of, VII-373; VIII-29; IX-421 C/S misunderstands from worksheets, VII-433 definitions, relation to aptitude, VII-294 doingsness and misunderstood word, VII-295; IX-395
misunderstood(s) (cont.)

earliest misunderstood word in a subject is a key
to later words in that subject, VII-383; IX-427
effects of misunderstood word, VII-294, 300, 301, 383; IX-394, 397, 398
exists at the bottom of a confusion, VII-373;
VIII-29; IX-421
genius of overts is misunderstood, VI-97
“held down fives”, jammed thinking because of ~
or misapplied datums, V-507
ideas, there is not also ~ ideas; there is only
misunderstood word which breeds, then, huge
towering wrong ideas, VII-373
looked up can yet remain troublesome, cause of,
VI-14
one goes dull after passing over a word one does
not understand and brightens up the moment
he spots the word that wasn’t grasped, VI-14
only reasons pc is critical are a withhold or mis-
understood word, VI-91
overts and misunderstood words, VI-153
person with technical query has misunderstoods,
how to handle, VIII-424
post, failed, and duties trace back to misunder-
stood words, VII-381; IX-423
Remedy A locates misunderstoods person has in
Scientology, VI-206
Remedy B, don’t ask for misunderstood words in
found subject, VI-199
simple words are misunderstood, VII-383; IX-427
student’s stat down, check for ~ word, VII-302;
IX-399
student with, will pour out a torrent of queries,
VIII-42
stupidity is the effect of ~ words, IX-427
Supervisor two-way comm and ~ word, VII-299
tape recorded materials, handling ~ on, IX-370
tech, misunderstood word tech is sole course tech
when course admin is in and materials are
available, VII-303; IX-400
tests, misunderstoodson, VIII-32; IX-420
use Method 4 Word Clearing when fishing for,
VIII-301
words and overts, V-471
mixing major actions, TRs Course and auditing, X-136
mockery, every high scale manifestation or activity
has a low scale mockery, IV-26
mocking up terminals, Creative Processing, II-277
mock-up(s),
defn., a picture, preferably in full color, with
three dimensions and in motion, I-326
defn., not a mental image but an additional
beingness, I-326
defn., self-created object, I-326
defn., self-created image pc can see, II-16
defn., mental image picture when it is created by
thetan or for thetan and does not consist of a
photograph of physical universe, II-429
mock-up(s) (cont.)
defn., any knowingly created mental picture that
is not part of a time track, V-274
automatic, II-546
derived from, I-326
earlier in bank the “power” of the thetan’s mock
upsisgreater, V-256
get unreal because thetan is not-1sing existence,
how to handle, II-184
how it differs from a delusion, I-326
if a mock-up disappears or flies out of control,
don’t red herring after it, just have him mock
up the same item again, III-209
in processing, I-311
invisible case cannot see ~, how to crack, III-400
List Mock-ups [process], I-329
location of, I-326
masses are something that are shed from a thetan
by mock-up, III-165
meter action, I-487
pc’s ability to get mock-ups indicates distance
from present time, I-326
peristence of, is dependent upon a pc’s willing
ness to let one survive, III-209
processing from prepared lists, I-323
Self Analysis list, I-329, 349
thetan’s own, I-461
when child has high IQ, but no creative ~, I-328
“Mock up a picture for which you can be totally
responsible” [process], III-487
Mock-up Processes, III-174, 191; see also Creative
Processing
model performance tapes, VII-437; IX-367, 439
Model Session, IV-41, 137, 271; VI-60, 78, 259; see
also rudiments, session
defn., exact form and sequence of a session and
exact wording of one, IV-41
assist not done in Model Session, V-505
changes [1962], V-72, 85, 101
Class II Model Session, V-398, 428; VI-44
goal finder’s Model Session, V-157
is a Model Session because of its “patter”, not
because of specific processes, IV-220
is designed to avoid unpredictable changes, to
retain haviness by retaining pattern, retain
ing predictability by pc, IV-54
Levels III to VI [1964], V-420, 448
presession is run without a Model Session, IV-180
R2 and R3 Model Session, V-243
R3 Model Session, V-278, 381
script of a Model Session, [1960] IV-163, [1961]
220
change, IV-172, 204
revised [1961], IV-453
Modified Gita [process], II-277, 285
modifier, IV-418; see also goals
defn., that consideration which opposes the
attainment of a goal and tends to suspend it in
time, IV-413
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modifier (cont.)
defn., unseen modification pc has placed before or after his goal to insist upon winning or threaten with if he does not win, or to keep the goal in a games condition unknown even to himself, IV-419
is part of oppterm so its use is dropped, V-57 to a goal, GPM will always key back in by finding the modifier, V-4
moist hands give low TA, VII-422
money,
button we want flat on everybody in Scientology, III-508
is attention unit of social group, I-371
more interesting than delivery of service is self-defective, VII-5
not accepting from pc you cannot help, II-442
Scientologists who can’t stand the sight of, or who can’t seem to get pcs are just being a victim, III-517
small amount needed to start a center, II-377
Money Process, command of and how to run, VI-215
Money Process, “From where could you communicate to money?”, III-508
morale,
and case gain, IX-275
apparent bad morale, V-285
auditor, what it depends on, VII-380
production is basis of morale, VIII-38, 80, 129
production, morale and exchange factor, VIII-80
robot goes into morale declines easily, VIII-129
morals; see also ethics
defn., arbitrary code of conduct not necessarily related to reason, I-294
defn., principles of right and wrong conduct, VIII-102
distinction from ethics, I-91, 119
moral code is enforceable, II-105
relation to ethics and height on Tone Scale, I-295
“more” “less” occur in bank, use in dating forbidden, VI-191
mores,
defn., heavily agreed-upon policed codes of conduct of society, II-105; see also ethics [for differentiation]
changing fashions and mores, C/S must know, VI-253
each valence has its own social mores, V-40
of a group, transgressions against, IX-270, 291
transgressions against mores of one’s race, group and family cause unhappiness, IV-387
mother, processing a new ~, III-361
motion(s),
defn., change of location inspace, I-293
and no motion, solved by “Hold it still”, III-233
body motion; see body motion
characteristic of; see also Scn 8-80
communication is the handling of particles, of motion, I-351
motion (cont.)
flip-flopping is a process by which the pc’s excess motion is taken off, III-184
fundamentals of, I-375
how Help can be run on motion, IV-133
individual in high games condition is in motion, II-528
ineffective, are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, VIII-178
is common to everything in physical universe, I-214
is matter with energy in space, V-330
manic motion, cure for pc who is in, III-248
mutual motion is all right—until we act in cruelty to the rest, IV-387
occluded case is doing all possible to stop or absorb, I-435
overt is forward motion, withhold coming after it is inward motion, V-14
physical universe is composed of motion, I-224
run Responsibility on matter, energy, space, time, motion and thought, IV-50
Scale of Motion; see Scn 0-8
(Secondary Scale level), IV-294
sensation of, a feeling of being in motion when one is not; motion includes the “winds of space”, a feeling of being blown upon, especially from in front of the face, V-175; VI-192
slowness, VIII-236
time is measured by motion, V-330
tone scale of, I-167
motionlessness,
ability to live depends to a marked degree upon ability to shift consideration of what is confusion, what is ~, II-540
death is state of organism ~, I-214
how to run, II-516
is a “static”, I-224
motion sensation, defn., feeling of being in motion when one is not; it includes “winds of space”, feeling of being blown upon especially from in front of the face, V-175; VI-192
motivator(s); see also overt-motivator sequence;
HOM
defn., something that happened to pc to justify an overt act, I-229
defn., an aggressive or destructive act received by person or one of dynamics, VI-231
ARC Break Straightwire cannot be run on a case that is motivator hungry, III-397
body hungry for, II-333
how to handle when pulling withholds, IX-285
missed withhold question, ~ response to, V-71
overt and motivator, magnitude of, III-416
pc will not recover fully if only motivator run, X-74
persons looking for overt to explain motivators, V-440; VIII-371; IX-269
thetan is at obsessive cause while trying to do overts or get motivators, IV-191
motivator(s) (cont.)
wrong definitions cause stupidity or circuits, followed by overt and motivators, V-489
motor action, interrupted, I-156
motor strip, I-8
MU; see misunderstood
Muhammad, II-211
multiple declare, defn., declaring 0 to IV to Examiner all at one time mostly without any mention of EP of grade, VII-102
Multiple-Flow E. Purp Rundown, VIII-277; IX-252
multiple somatic items, when to triple or quad narrative items or multiple somatic items, VII-211
“murder routine” in withhold pulling, IX-143
muscles, nervous muscles can be cured with calcium-magnesium, VIII-369
muscular spasms are caused by lack of calcium, VIII-354
musician and stage manners, VIII-293
music, six distinct types of rhythm, VIII-298; IX-500
must reach-can’t reach, insanity and, I-388
mutual action is key to all our overt acts, IV-387
mutual out ruds; see rudiments, mutual out
Mutter TR; see TRs, Mutter
muzzled auditing; see auditing, muzzled
M/W/H; see missed withhold
mystery, II-484
confusion at length becomes a mystery, II-154
Knowito Mystery; see Know to Mystery
principle of, II-299
thetan could be called a “mystery sandwich” in that he tends to stick in on mysteries, VIII-237
what is the basic mystery; see NSOL
M(number); see Word Clearing Method

N
name, dramatization of, I-366
Napoleon, VI-133
narcosynthesis, I-8, 104
narrative; see also chain
chains are held together by one similar feeling, not by narratives or personnel or locations, VI-352
chains are often very long, VI-394
chains of excessive length, VII-9
items can give you trouble in R3R, VII-9, 208
run chains only by somatic, not by narrative, VI-344, 352
run out narrative R3R: accidents, illness, mental treatment, operations, VII-339
run out narrative secondaries R3R: deaths of relatives, family insanity, VII-33940
somatics vs. narrative chains, VI-352, 376, 394; VII-9
when running a narrative chain, ask for “earlier similar incident”, VI-394
when to triple or quad narrative items or multiple somatic items, VII-211; VIII-275, 378

nations, original goal finders of, I-142
“native ability” and “talent”, related to ability to confront, VII-264; IX-314
natives and children, retrograded state of, III-109
native state, II-282
auditor can assume that pc is in a ~, II-281
of a thetan, insisted on all the way down scale, II-279
natter, natterings, cause of, IX-281
is handled by ARC break assessments, V-332
is “other people’s overts”; getting these off does not help the pc; getting pc’s off does, VII-13
means there are missed withholds, VIII-178
recognize by pc’s natter, or lack of previous gain that pc has overts, V-468
upsets, ARC breaks, natterings, critical tirades, are restimulated but missed or partially missed withholds, V-26

nattery pc, don’t run ARC break, run M/W/H, VI-265
has withholds, VII-1 3, 58
natural auditor and dangerous auditor described, V-32
natural selection, theory of, I-152
nature of man, basically good, X-73
navigation, subject of, IX-309
necessity artificially or naturally raised, I-33
necessity level, I-24, 34
defn, sudden increase of randomness to a sufficiency that individual makes a momentary adjustment to it, momentarily increases his tolerance for unexpected motion, II-540
defn., a sudden heightened willingness which un taps a tremendous amount of ability, III-214
and auditing, I-42
emergency is something that requires a ~, III-214
pulling of attention units up to present time on a necessity level, I-113
necessity value, group, I-93
needle; see E-Meter, needle characteristics by name negative-gain process, defn, I-357
can be audited with benefit only a short time, I-329
negative-gain techniques, I-393
Nembutal, I-104; see also drugs
time system of the body, IX-502
time-depressedOCA/APA, III-118
time is toughest point to raise on a graph, how it is done, III-334	nervous reactions are diminished by magnesium, VIII-354
nervous system, sympathetic, and pain, VII-110, III-neurosis; see also neurotic
defn, a habit which, worsening, flies entirely out of control, II-433
defn, unknowing and unwilling effect, III-169
and psychosis, break between established by, I-245
and psychosis, dividing line between, I-57
neurosis (cont.)
cause of increasing incidence of, I-389
cellularity of belief that neurosis is responsible for
ability, I-81
in the families of the rich, II-424
psychosis and ~, difference between, III-169
neurosurgeonal operations, reasons these methods con-
tinue, I-29
neurotic, I-239, 420; see also neurosis
defn., I-57

defn., barely able to keep up with the present, I-1
defn., the subject of one or more unknown causes
to which he is unwilling effect, but he can still
function to some degree, III-169
ARC Straight Wire can crack neurotic cases, VI-
175, 261
run on Opening Procedure of 8-C, II-81

new data doesn’t invalidate early, proven techniques,
I-300, 303
newness, urge for, is a deadly disease, V-432
newspaper ads, where to place, II-353
next-to-last list, Self Analysis, I-386, 388, 396, 425
niacin, chemical assist, I-41;
see also nutrition
Nixon, Richard M., IV-76-77
“no auditing”, ARC breaks are all under the heading
of ~, I-421
“no auditor” case, IV-325
no case gain; see case gain and no case gain
no change = Level I is out, VII-70
no change, pc trying to prove himself right and audi-
tor wrong gets no-change sessions, V-323
no-confidence induces a sort of auto-control in ses-
ion which induces a dirty needle, V-93
no-confront leads to aberration, IX-310
no creation, defn., an absence of any creation; no
creative activity, II-408
no effect (Secondary Scale level), IV-316
no-game condition; see game, no-game condition
no-havingness, defn., concept of not being able to
reach, V-6; see also havingness
no interest, and Exp Dn on evil purposes or intentions, X-229,
236
items, IX-138, 139, 154
items and Drug Rundowns, X-229, 236
No-Interference Area, VII-466; IX-325; X-211
exception to No-Interference Area, VII-466
R6EW—OT III-, VIII-20
no materials on tech courses, X-178-79
nomenclature, establish communication by teaching
language of subject, III-464
nomenclature of Scientology, how formulated, II-535
no mention, session grading, X-59-60
no motion (Secondary Scale level), IV-304
non-compliance, alteration of orders and tech is
worsethannon-compliance, VI-193
non-compliance as Liability, and false report as
Doubt, in Ethics, VIII-79
non-confront, reason for, VI-291, 292
non-confront and the C/S, X-154
non-cyclical process, defn., repetitive process which
does not cause pc to cycle on time track,
VI-101
non-F/N at Exam, flagrant out tech, X-112
non-F/N cases, X-112
non-persistence case, II-22
non-survival goals, I-135
no read auditors, X-142
no report, refusing to give a withhold in session is a
no report, VI-23
no-somatic pc is either high as an angel or being run
too high, VII-86
nothing, making nothing out of something, II-223
nothingness which is a total effect, I-378
Nothing, Ten Minutes of, [process], I-424, 425
nothing, thanet afraid of being, I-388, 406
not-is, not-ised, not-ising, not-isness, II-205, 208, 235
see also PXL
case ~ by figure-figure, results of handling, III-405
cure of not-isness, III-435
cycle of not-isness of any perception, II-300
how to bring under pc’s knowing control and to
reduce the ~ in pc’s bank (Axiom 11), III-489
is a mechanism to prevent duplication, III-435
not-ised and suppress used to get item to read,
V-447
not-ising body, II-208
on case, indicators of, III-485
pc’s not-is of picture squeezes it into invisibility,
VII-208
remedy extreme conditions of not-isness, III-486
when a person can confront something, he no
longer has to not-is it, III-413
Not-is Straight Wire, commands of and how to run,
III-390, 403, 412, 435, 489
not-know, II-299; see also know
defn., ability to erase by self-command the past
and Exp Dn on evil purposes or intentions, X-229,
236
items, IX-138, 139, 154
items and Drug Rundowns, X-229, 236
No-Interference Area, VII-466; IX-325; X-211
exception to No-Interference Area, VII-466
R6EW—OT III-, VIII-20
no materials on tech courses, X-178-79
nomenclature, establish communication by teaching
language of subject, III-464
nomenclature of Scientology, how formulated, II-535
no mention, session grading, X-59-60
no motion (Secondary Scale level), IV-304
non-compliance, alteration of orders and tech is
worsethannon-compliance, VI-193
non-compliance as Liability, and false report as
Doubt, in Ethics, VIII-79
non-confront, reason for, VI-291, 292
non-confront and the C/S, X-154
non-cyclical process, defn., repetitive process which
does not cause pc to cycle on time track,
VI-101
non-F/N at Exam, flagrant out tech, X-112
non-F/N cases, X-112
non-persistence case, II-22
non-survival goals, I-135
no read auditors, X-142
no report, refusing to give a withhold in session is a
no report, VI-23
no-somatic pc is either high as an angel or being run
too high, VII-86
nothing, making nothing out of something, II-223
nothingness which is a total effect, I-378
Nothing, Ten Minutes of, [process], I-424, 425
nothing, thanet afraid of being, I-388, 406
not-is, not-ised, not-ising, not-isness, II-205, 208, 235
see also PXL
case ~ by figure-figure, results of handling, III-405
cure of not-isness, III-435
cycle of not-isness of any perception, II-300
how to bring under pc’s knowing control and to
reduce the ~ in pc’s bank (Axiom 11), III-489
is a mechanism to prevent duplication, III-435
not-ised and suppress used to get item to read,
V-447
not-ising body, II-208
on case, indicators of, III-485
pc’s not-is of picture squeezes it into invisibility,
VII-208
remedy extreme conditions of not-isness, III-486
when a person can confront something, he no
longer has to not-is it, III-413
Not-is Straight Wire, commands of and how to run,
III-390, 403, 412, 435, 489
not-know, II-299; see also know
defn., ability to erase by self-command the past
and Exp Dn on evil purposes or intentions, X-229,
236
items, IX-138, 139, 154
items and Drug Rundowns, X-229, 236
No-Interference Area, VII-466; IX-325; X-211
exception to No-Interference Area, VII-466
R6EW—OT III-, VIII-20
no materials on tech courses, X-178-79
nomenclature, establish communication by teaching
language of subject, III-464
nomenclature of Scientology, how formulated, II-535
no mention, session grading, X-59-60
no motion (Secondary Scale level), IV-304
non-compliance, alteration of orders and tech is
worsethannon-compliance, VI-193
non-compliance as Liability, and false report as
Doubt, in Ethics, VIII-79
non-confront, reason for, VI-291, 292
non-confront and the C/S, X-154
non-cyclical process, defn., repetitive process which
does not cause pc to cycle on time track,
nulling (cont.)
done in a brisk, business-like, staccato fashion
[3DXX assessment], V-11
drill on new nulling procedure, [3GA Tiger Drill]
V-122, [R2-12, R3-21, 3GAXX]196
goals and terminals searches require a repeat over
and over of goal or terminal on list in order to
get them to go null [SOP Goals], IV-273
list isn’t null; it is suppressed or invalidated, VII-11
never null lists taken from wrong sources, just
abandon [R2-12], V-232
nullable is a condition a list must be in in order to
have an item found on it [R2-10, R2-12,
3GAXX, R3-21], V-203
null each list [R3, 3G], V-66
nulling and F/Ning prepared lists, VIII-213; X-240
null prepared list, auditor outnesses causing, VIII-
213; X-240
null the list [3DXX], V-1 I
pc is expected to be silent during ~ [3DXX],
V-11
Routine 2-12, V-206
Routine 2-12A, V-235
to get a list to differentiate and null rapidly, the
list must be complete [3DXX], V-17
nuclear physicists, I-101
nutrition, VIII-203, 401, 408; see also body; diet;
stomach
antibiotics and vitamins, VIII-405, 407, 408
baby, how to feed, III-361
biochemistry and nutrition, VIII-204, 205
body improperly fed, absorbs energy put out by
thetan, II-97
calcium, lack of, VIII-354
Calc-Mag, formula and effect of, VIII-354, 355,
369
carbohydrates, VIII-207
cholesterol, VIII-204
diet, high protein and auditing, I-42
eating, II-484
eating and 5th dynamic, IV-471
eating, goal of, I-482
eating, matter of absorbing death, II-361, 374;
VIII-125
“Guk Bomb” and Vitamin E, VI-123
“guk”, slang term for chemical assist, I-41
intestinal bacteria, VIII-408
magnesium, effect of, VIII-369
malnutrition, defn., VIII-207
malnutrition and anxiety can produce all symp-
toms of insanity, IV-82
niacin, chemical assist, I-41
overweight, defn., VIII-401
pep, VIII-207
sugar vs. protein, VIII-207
underweight, defn., VIII-402
Vitamin B1 and glutamic acid, chemical assist,
I-40
Vitamin B1 and restimulation, I-421

nutrition (cont.)
Vitamin B1, B complex and C, VI-422
Vitamin B1, C and B2 are vital to help clear up
stomach and bowel complaints, along with
antibiotics, VIII-408
Vitamin B1, drug or alcohol burns up Vitamin B1
in system rapidly, VI-243
Vitamin B1 should be given when giving anti
biotics, VIII-407
Vitamin B2 is vital to give anyone with stomach
and bowel complaints whether he is on anti
biotics or not, VIII-407
Vitamin C, I-422
Vitamin C is excellent for helping colds and in
fections, VIII-407
Vitamin C, teeth or gums get sore, push in lots of,
VIII-407
Vitamin E data, VI-123, 124
vitamin therapy, VII-425

O

objection to force by thetans, X-28
objective process(es),
defn., exercises which directly approach other
people or physical universe, II-448
defn., pc is processed between himself and his
environment, II-449
defn., of or having to do with a material object as
distinguished from a mental concept, idea or
belief; means here and now objects in PT as
opposed to “subjective”, VIII-393
anyone can be brought more into present time
with, VIII-393
characteristic, purpose, stable datum of, III-480
cure for Q and A with body, VIII-232
vs. subjective processes, II-448; VIII-393
objective rundown, VIII-207
Objective Forgettingness [learning process], III-31
Objective Havingness, III-7
Objective Not Know, III-8
ability to remedy it, determines entrance point of
case, IV-155
Objective Show Me, commands and how to run, III-
43, 395
Objective Solids, commands, III-8
objects,
by “havingness” one means mass or objects, II
180
observation of, II-516
theft of, is really an effort to steal a self, III-257,
271
theta creates space and time and objects to locate
in them, II-13
obnosis, defn., observing the obvious, III-88; VII-148,
248; IX-73
observe, observation, observational,
ability to, necessary to obtain knowledge and cer
tainty, I-376

443
observe, observation, observational (cont.)
  auditor’s observation of pc, V-360
  being who is something, cannot observe it, V-50
  certainty is clarity of observation, I-377
  direct observation, infinitely superior to thought, I-433
  errors, I-76
  observe for yourself that presented data exist and are true, III-425
  part of scientific method, II-477
  obsessions and compulsions, assessment of, I-294
  obsession, thetan’s primary ~, II-223
  obsessive can’t have (Secondary Scale level), IV-314
  obsessive change, high-critical cases in an org, II-387

OCA/APA
  defn., OCA/APA graph, specially prepared graph
  which plots 10 traits of pc’s personality from a
  Personality Test taken by pc, IX-10, 26
  any low point on left side of graph means pc out of
  valence, VII-462
  any low point on right side of graph means pc
  crazy, VII-462
  appreciative drop means lowered reality level, III-
  334
  ARC break is only thing that will depress a, III-
  437
  ARC breaks worsen the graph, IV-217
  comm level drop means double acknowledgement
  by auditor, III-334
  composed drop means loss of auditor, poor CCH 0
  in Find the Auditor, III-334
  critical, III-118
  critical drop means havingness drop, III-334
  critical, low critical may be influenced by Op Pro
  dropped, cause and handling of, III-285, 292, 334
  evaluation of, with regard to auditing, III-118
  Expanded Dianetics uses Dianetics to change
  OCA, VIII-68, 87, 328; IX-127
  D of P operates by OCAs, VII-462, 463; X-205
  does not measure OT band of abilities, VIII-22
  drop after auditing, pc was out of valence, VII-
  330
  dropped, cause and handling of, III-285, 292, 334
  evaluation of, with regard to auditing, III-118
  Extended Dianetics uses Dianetics to change
  OCA, VIII-68, 87, 328; IX-127
  graph, dropped, X-162
  graph drops explained, VII-330
  graph, out of valence, X-162
  how to read profiles on OCA: comparing current
  profile with previous one, III-334
  is a graph which shows desirable and undesirable
  characteristics in a case, VIII-22
  is a picture of a self, III-257
  is a picture of a valence, III-257, 274; IV-102
  must be taken prior to pc attesting Ex Dn, IX-214
  nervous-depressed, III-118
  nervous is toughest point to raise on a graph, how
  it is done, III-334
  present time problem sticks the graph, makes it
  register no change, IV-61
  processes to run on pcs with high or low
  OCA/APA, III-117, 381

OCA/APA (cont.)
  profiles and IQ gain, II-489
  PTP can hold a graph unchanging and only an
  ARC break can lower one, VI-16
  reduced, cause of, III-397
  responsibility drop from former week means audi-
  tor evaluation, III-334
  reviewing week’s profiles, III-207
  to change an OCA/APA it is necessary to shift
  selves, III-257
  unchanged after auditing, cause and handling of,
  III-276, 285, 292, 334
  word clearing OCAs, X-207-08
  occluded, case; see case, occluded
  data, straightwire can pick up, I-144
  pc is as ~ as he has lost allies, I-363
  remediing in low step cases, I-386
  occlusion, defn., is the loss of viewpoint of effects,
  I-406
  okay to audit system, VII-233, 332; X-163-64
  Cramming Section issues okay to audit, VII-233
  franchises may adopt okay to audit system, VII
  233
  OK to Word Clear system, IX-446, 454
  technical OKs and High Crime checkouts, IX-99
  old age, a consideration, II-407
  omission, refusing to communicate is a crime of ~,
  II-165
  “omitted time” is a basic insanity, VII-90
  one-hand electrode, VII-106, 422; see also E-Meter
  cans
  one-shot clear; see also Clear; Dn 55!
  belief/disbelief in cycle that something would
  solve everything, I-456
  is impossible, VII-9
  one-valued logic, I-69
  one-way communication is a first-dynamic operation,
  II-138
  “only one”(s), I-439, 457; II-348
  defn., an individual only playing on first dynamic,
  II-422
  arrant personal cowards, II-439
  Opening Procedure by Duplication (Book and
  Bottle), II-68, 119, 172, 325, 545; III-245,
  254, 399; VIII-108, 109; IX-90; see also
  COHA; PXL
  above 2.6, II-251
  and Know to Mystery Scale, II-173
  brings a person upscale to a point where he is
  actually able to follow and duplicate processes,
  II-82
  by First Postulate, II-277, 285
  CCHs confused with Op Pro by Dup, V-45
  commands and how to run, III-7, 188, 399
  effects of, VIII-108, 109,
  exteriorization, III-395
  how to run, II-173
  importance of two-way comm during; see PXL
Opening Procedure by Duplication (cont.)
interrupting process is fatal, III-396
is test of endurance in duplication, V-68
low critical on OCA/APA may be influenced by,
III-245
old style commands, III-111
prerequisites to, II-172
runsworth hypnotism, II-172
R2—17, minimum time to run, II-172
Tone 40 Book and Bottle is not ~, III-395
unflat, remedy for, V-68
used to develop ability to do repetitive processes,
V-316
Opening Procedure by Rock Slam, R2-12, an
HPA/HCA skill, V-185
Opening Procedure of 8-C; see 8-C, Opening Proce-
dure of
opening the case and running engrams, I-15
“open-minded” people, turn them into dedicated
ones, VI-8
Operation Phoenix, defn., II-87
Operating Thetan(s) (OT), III-375; VI-62
defn., theta clear plus ability to operate func-
tionally against or with most and other life
forms, III-155, 175
defn., can be at cause knowingly and at will over
life, matter, energy, space and time, subjective-
ly and objectively, III-156, 162, 176, 191, 518
defn., an educated basic personality, III-284
defn., cause over matter, energy, space, time, life
and form, III-447
defn., is knowing and willing cause over all
dynamics, III-555
defn., a Case Level complete with skills rehabili-
tated, V-317
defn., a Clear who has been familiarized with his
equipment to a point of total cause over
matter, energy, space, time and thought, VI-56
defn., one who is cause over matter, energy, space
and time and is not in a body, VI-61, 86, 141
defn., being who has once more recovered his full
abilities and freedom, VI-87, 142
abilities, II-247
OCA/APA does not measure, VIII-22
ability to handle time, III-98
behavior, VIII-206
Clear and OT, VI-51, 56
degraded being and OT, difference between, VIII-
230
Dianetics and OTs, VI-360
don’t try to make an OT before you make a Clear,
V-260
EPs, OTs and, VIII-273
exterior, VI-276
“false III-”, VI-395
Formula 10 is first formula for, III-474
giving trouble being audited on Dianetics, turn
him over to a Class VIII- for routine handling
on Scientology, VI-395
goal of all processing, III-161, 181

Operating Thetan(s) (cont.)
grades harmonic into OT levels, VII-98
handling the OT case, VI-395
is particularly subject to F/N abuse as he can blow
things quite rapidly, VIII-273
keyed-out Operating Thetan and Operating The-
tan, difference between, VI-51, 56
levels, there are perhaps 15 levels above OTVII
fully developed, VIII-202
materials, why they are confidential, VIII-23
never order TRs after Solo materials study or
before OT III- is attested, VII-466
only goal worthy of auditor’s attention, III-156
176
OT TR 0; see TRs, OT TR 0
OT III- Course, handles degraded beings, VI-193
OT III-, OT VII, OT III-X, explanation of sequence
of, VIII-23
OT-3 Procedure; see OT-3 Procedure
OT IV Rundown, purpose and validity of, VII-102
OT VI with problems is just an unflat
Grade I, VII-59
our actual goal, III-155
pre-OT; see pre-OT
reactive bank, OT has no reactive bank, is cause
over matter, energy, space, time and thought
and is completely free, VI-62
Release—Clear—OT, VI-86, 141
responsibility must go hand in hand with making
an Operating Thetan, III-555
R6EW—OT III- No Interference Area, VIII-20
somatics and OTs, VI-339
state of Operating Thetan is higher than theta
clear and means person does not need a body
to communicate or work, II-11
theta clear and Operating Thetan, road to, V-213
to make an ~ one has to clear time track, V-329
then a Clear has been refamiliarized with his
capabilities, you have an OT, VI-86
when doing Triple on Clears and OTs, chains may
be missing or just copies, VIII-275
who has somatics is auditable on Dianetics which
he should have had in first place, VI-395
Operating Thetan Confronting; see TRs, OT TR 0
operational data and management, I-138
operational shock, cause of, V-464
operations,
handled with assists, VIII-189
neurosurgical, I-29
pain from, handled by Dianetics, VII-110
run out if reads, X-168
run out narrative R3R, VII-339
should be audited out as soon as possible by R3R,
VI-320, 348, 422
operator, I-5
opinion about particles and sensation is affinity, I
351
opinion of auditor is not important to C/S, X-171
Opponents [process], commands, III-10
oppose (opposition) list; see listing, oppose list
Opposite Pole Processing, I-424, 427
opposition assessment [R3D], IV-417
opposition goal; see goal, opposition
opposition item; see item, opposition
opposition rock and rock, two basic items of GPM, V-182
opposition terminal; see terminal, opposition
Op Pro by Dup; see Opening Procedure by Duplication
organizaoppterm; see terminal, opposition
opinion item; see item, opposition
opinion goal; see goal, opposition
terminal, opposition item;
see item, opposition
opposition rock and rock, two basic items of GPM, X-36
oral penicillin is worthless, it has to be shot with a needle, VIII-407

order, bringing ~ is keynote of handling any area, III-378
“Bring Order”—the motto of HCO, III-391
confusion blows off when order is put in, III-378

keynote of a thetan is order, III-262
on bringing; see also NSOL
when you start to introduce order into anything of Dianetics and Scientology, II-318, 456

disorder shows up as the second postulate and operational stabilities, II-305
blows off, III-507, 541

order(s),
auditor giving orders that are not part of any process is very bad, VIII-160

basic Why for needing, VIII-37, 127, 130
C/S standing order, X-213
Orders and Lying Processes, omit, II-417
orders and postulates, idea they will always be obeyed, II-464
persons who refuse orders, IV-136
running pc on, II-323

org; see organization
organism, cannot be owned like mest, II-288
organisms, law concerning effort and, I-214
organism’s success determinable by degree it can change to control new environment, I-183

organization(s)’(s)(al), I-408; see also central organization; OEC Volumes
defn., something which has its own spirit; composed of people or living beings who are governed by certain rules and purposes and who know how to do their jobs, II-459
against organization, defn., against organization or posts and protesting at org behavior or existence, V-347
and ethics, VIII-78, 100; see also ethics and victim button, III-517
auditing is organization action, VI-145
blows from Scientology orgs, IV-11
board, purposes posted on, III-25
central organization; see central organization crime of omission, refusing to communicate, II-165
criticism of, II-199
essential functions, II-315
failures in training will cause trouble for orgs and Scientology, VI-8
organization(s)’(s)(al) (cont.)
field or orgs do badly if they are not doing one technical thing well and not keeping people’s attention directed at it and nothing else, V-432
finance, early days, II-459
financial security, how it is obtained, II-319
finest organizations in history have been tough, dedicated organizations, VI-8
focal point is upon Scientology not its actions or auditors or personalities, II-132
form to handle attacks, I-412
history of, II-458
how operational smoothness is obtained, II-319
indoctrination, II-519
internship [1956], II-510
is being paid to handle pcs, VII-4
is composed of people, II-459
legal control of, II-319
main product, VIII-337
management and activities of Scientology organizations [1955], II-318
of Dianetics and Scientology, II-318, 456
operational stabilities, II-305
organizational goals of Scientology [1959], III-548
Org C/S responsible for all cases, X-96
originating letters, II-315
outpoint corrections, IX-97
particles must be handled speedily, II-386
personnel an organization would be better off without, II-387
power of organization lies in that person who holds its communication lines and who is a crossroad of the communications, I-193

principle: statistics and results count, II-359
product of an org is well taught students and thoroughly audited pcs, VII-81
purposes of, II-151
reports, purpose of, II-314
results, organize to improve, VII-366
routine basis of getting auditing into an org, VII-209
rumors break up an, I-313
security, how to obtain org security, II-387
should be selling more training than processing, VII-368
smooth organization, defn., consists of having a terminal for each type of activity in which the organization is engaged, II-386
stability, how to obtain, II-387

staff should know what’s going on in the org, II-315
strategy and tactics, I-412
success stories, real state of an org, VII-88
supervision of organization consists of keeping terminals in place and keeping correct traffic (particles and messages) flowing to right terminals and planning to adjust communication flow either from outside in or from inside out, II-386
organization(s) (cont.)
- if you have one, follow it, II-387
- tenets of an, I-143
- terminals must also originate, not just reply or report, II-386
- terminals must keep command position informed, II-386
- what our third dynamic ~ should do, IV-113
- why Ron decided in1950 to concentrate on re-
- search, IV-111
- why the early Scientology organizations failed, VI-6
- why they act psychotic; see HILTAE
- wins and stats, VII-367; IX-7
- with organization, defn. interested in org or post and willing to communicate with or about org, V-347
- organize to improve results, IX-6
- organize, way to get out of cope, VII-380
- org board, purposes posted on, III-25
- orientation, lack of, is being surrounded by things you cannot understand, III-109
- originality, why much originality can be a liability in art, VI-83
- originate, real auditor’s pcs don’t overtalk or under-
- talk but answer auditing question and happily now and then originate, VI-139
- origination(s), III-370; VIII-183, 395; see also TRs, TR 4
- arguments caused by failure to handle ~, III-371; VIII-183
- cycle, VII-247; IX-72
- difference between an origination and restimula-
- tion being dramatized, III-371
- handling of pc origination, VII-246
- origin or clearing question, to miss seeing read on, is a gross auditing error, VII-177
- pc originates by throwing down cans; that’s still an origination, VII-246; IX-71
- Tone 40 processes do not handle pc’s ~, III-370
- Origins(Originations) [process], III-321
- OT; see Operating Thetan
- other-determined, auditing is the reversing of~flows by gradient scales, putting pc at cause again, III-465
- other-determinism, no responsibility for other side of game, V-8
- others can get gains when oneself is processed, IV-45
- OT Procedure [1960], IV-15
- for HCS/B.Scn. Courses [1960], IV-6
- OT-3 Procedure—HGC allowed processes [1960], IV-6
- OT-3A Procedure—HGC allowed processes [1960], IV-48
- expansion of OT-3A Procedure, Step Two—HGC allowed processes, IV-51
- out, defn. things whichshould be there and aren’t or should be done and aren’t, VII-141, 287; IX-312
- out basics and how to get them in, VIII-409
- out-created, manifestations of being, II-434
- out-ethics; see ethics, out-ethics
- outflow(ing), VI-238
- and exchange, VIII-79
- CDEI Scale on inflow and outflow, V-16
- compulsive ~ and obsessive withhold are alike aberrated, V-14
- how to stop a compulsive outflow, III-350
- “Keep it from going away” solves both inflow and ~, III-233
- overt act is outflow; withhold is restrained out flow, V-14
- person becomes ill if prevented from, III-146
- thetan’s reality on a terminal depends upon degree of outflow he can tolerate from that class of terminals, IV-131
- out lists; see listing and nulling, out lists
- out of ARC, X-128
- out of session; see session, out of
- valence; see valence
- valence, out of valence case; see case, out of valence
- out-point list, VII-133
- out-points, a C/S should spot, X-199
- out-points, case is collection of, VII-69; X-21
- out program plays havoc with pcs, X-16
- out rudiments; see rudiments, out
- out tech; see technology, out
- Over and Under on the Bank [process], II-545
- Over and Under Solids [process], II-554
- out-correction, when ~ has been present you straight en out the blunders in folder, VI-241
- overlisting; see listing and nulling, overlisting
- overload, what it is, VIII-319; X-253
- overrepair, VII-278; X-147
- and thorough C/Ses, X-88
- over-restimulation, V-371
- overrun(s), overrunning, II-328; VI-66, 373
- defn, doing something too long that has engrams
- connected with it which means an engram
- chain with too many engrams on it being re
- stimulated by life or auditing, VII-76
- defn, accumulating protests and upsets about
- something until it is just a mass of stops;
- anyone can do anything forever unless he
- begins to stop it, VII-265; IX-315
- and Full Flow Dianetics, X-119-20, 124
- and Int, X-77
- are demonstrated by a rising TA, VIII-290, 385
- assessment of flows, VII-269
- auditor overrunning due to false TA, handling of, VIII-411
- auditing actions, VI-241
- cause of overrun and underrun, VIII-273
- chains can be overrun, how, VIII-291, 385
- chains, erased chains can be overrun; what hap-
- pens is that pcs try to cooperate and put
- something there, VII-228
- continue is the reverse action to overrun, VII-269
overrun(s), overrunning (cont.)
C/S Series 37 and C/S Series 37 Addition handling of overrun cancelled, VII-267
Dianetic auditor is not concerned with “rehabilita-
ation” of overrun, VI-373
Dianetic overrun, VII-18, 117
don’t overrun, stop when result is attained, VI-51
flagrant, X-113
flow jams up when run too long on an average
human because his mind has “overruns” in it
already, VII-270
free needle and overrun, VI-143
Full Flow Dianetics, if pc’s TA begins to average
higher, overrun is occurring, VIII-290, 385
full of mass and ARC breaks, VII-268
if process is overrun free needle vanishes with just
one extra command, VI-144
life can be an ~ and pc never audited will respond
to rehab of “something overdone”, VI-145
life subjects are subject to overrun, mechanism of,
VI-147
listing for, X-128
listing questions, X-128
lists done on overrun by using the in-ARC
approach, VII-269
past F/N will cause TA to rise, VI-275, 277
pc audited under tension of poor TRs has a hard
time and does not F/N sometimes, inviting
overrun, VII-197
rehabbing several overruns, VI-147
reverse action is continue, X-128
Sciento\text{g} cycle of key-out, overrun, rehab,
VII-18
tone of overrun, VII-242, 268; X-67
tone arm goes up means an overrun in life or on a
process or grade of release, VI-147
tone arm, high, handling by rehabbing overruns,
VI-251[Important: see also High and Low TA
Breakthrough~ VII-268]
tone arm, high, in Scientology high TA is always
an overrun, VI-356, 397, 418
tone arms go high on overrun, X-56
why, VII-18, 122, 227
tone arm, soaring TA = O/R or protest = find
which and handle; such an O/R is usually by
rehab, VII-46, 359
types of overrun that can require repair, VI-176
“What has been overrun”, list of, don’t use, VII-
269
what it is, VII-270
what makes a thetan believe something can be ~
is effort to stop or effort to stop him, VII-
268
when is Int RD overrun, VIII-280
wrong ownership can cause TA to act up in a
peculiar way that looks like an overrun, VI-
280
Zero Flow in Dianetics is easily ~, VIII-288, 382
overshooting, defn, going beyond a completion or
completing a completion, VII-130; X-62
overt(s), II-8; III-551; see also Confessional; Integrity
Processing; overt/withhold; rudiments; Securi-
ty Checking; BCR
defn, something that harms broadly; a beneficial
act is something that helps broadly; it can be a
beneficial act to harm something that would
be harmful to greater number of dynamics,
V-321
defn, an act of omission or commission which
does the least good for the least number of
dynamics or the most harm to the greatest
number of dynamics, V-321
defn, an aggressive or destructive act by individ-
ual against one or another of 8 dynamics,
VI-231
defn., a harmful or contra-survival act; act of
commission or omission that harms the greater
number of dynamics, IX-261
ARC break, problem and overt, IX-275
as a solution to a PTP; find what PTP he’s trying
to solve with these crazy overt acts, VI-23
auditing levels of using overts [1964], V-438
auditor ARC breaks pc by demanding more than
is there or leaving an overt undisclosed that
will later make pc upset with auditor, VIII-
370; IX-268
auditor never says what the overt is for that’s
evaluation, V-464
auditor overts on pcs, VII-277, 289, 362
basic assumptions of Scientology versus overts,
IV-102
basic overt act is making somebody else want
mest, IV-53
blows, overts are a primary cause of, VII-42, 286;
IX-312
cause level is raised by getting off, why, IX-268
“cleaning cleans”, commonest cause of failure in
running overts, V-438; VIII-370; IX-268
cleaning up, ask frequently, “Have I missed any
withhold on you?”, V-60
commands to be used to clean up ~, VI-238
communication becomes a contest of overts in the
ARC breaky case, IV-120
continuing overt act, VI-102
continuing overts hidden from view are cause of
no case gain, VI-91, 102
continuous overt act, VIII-235, 236
continuous overt case, VI-23
continuous PT overts, listing question to handle,
VI-260
criminals would not register on overts, IV-19
critical thought is a symptom of overt, not the
overt itself, V-464, 469
criticism is justification of having done an overt,
IV-12, 13
cycle of an overt, V-471
demanding overt is not confined to just running
O/W, V-465
depend on social mores, V-40
destructive actions are not necessarily overts, V-321
overt(s) (cont.)
don’t ARC break pc in getting overt(s) off or fail to pull the real overt(s), V-468
effectiveness of overt(s) in processing, VIII-370
failed case can’t confront overt(s); IV-5
failure in running, commonest cause is “cleaning cleans”, V-438; VIII-370; IX-268
General Overt(s), commands of, III-435
genus of overt(s) is misunderstood, VI-97
get off by using a gradient of reality, IX-275
give highest gain in raising cause level, why, VIII-370
high or low TA, responsibility is the key to them, not overt(s), IV-17
high TA, overt is a common source of, VIII-24
how to get them recognized by pc, IV-26
how to pull, IX-272
how to work with original incident of, I-204; see also History of Man
if pc can’t conceive of ~, use “didn’t know”, V-21
if you get somebody to take overt(s) out of any incident the incident will tend to vanish, III-551
illness and overt(s), III-413
include making another person guilty, IV-6
is forward motion, withholding come after it is inward motion, V-14
is manifestation of retaliation, II-8
leaving an overt touched on case and calling it clean will cause future ARC break with auditor, V-439
manifestations on a low-toned case, IV-26
mechanism of effort to lessen size and pressure of overt, IV-12, 13
meter reacts on any person or thing on whom subject has committed overt acts, IV-323
methods of handling, II-8
minimizing an overt by degrading those it was non-self-determined, VIII-129
missed withholds or overt(s) which don’t read on an ill pc though pc is nattering are not available to be runright then, VI-249
misunderstood words and overt(s), V-471; VI-153
motivator and overt engrams, III-414
motivator and overt, magnitudes of, III-416
–motivator sequence; see overt-motivator sequence
motivators, overt acts, DEDs and DEDEXes, concepts of, V-438, 517
motivators, looking for overt to explain motivators, V-440
mutual action is the key to all our overt acts, IV-387
natter is “other people’s overt(s)”; getting these off does not help the pc; getting the pc’s off does, VII-13
no gains occur in presence of PTPs or ~, V-468, 470
not knowing the full definition-misunderstanding—overt—motivator cycle, V-476
separation from others by ~ against them, III-555
overt(s) (cont.)
of omission are always preceded by overt(s) of commission, IX-274
on pcs, critical auditor, X-8
order of effectiveness in processing V-438
pc ARC breaks on auditor demanding more than is there or leaving an overt undisclosed, V-439
pc nattering has overt(s), VII-13
pc’s bank becomes solid to degree that he does not take responsibility for his overt(s) and with holds, III-552
pc who dives into past lives when asked for overt(s), V-440; VIII-371; IX-269
people guilty of overt(s) demand punishment, IV-13
people leave because of their own overt(s), III-557
people withhold overt acts because they conceive that telling them would be another overt act, IV-12
perception and overt(s), VII-289, 340
perception decreases in proportion to number of overt(s), VIII-128
persons with heavy overt(s) on Scientology make no case progress, V-185
person who does an overt act to another life form has already abandoned responsibility for that otherlifeform, IV-37
phenomenon is interlocking of incidents so both incidents become more or less obscured, II-8
prediction and overt(s), VI-404
pre-OTs often have plain withholds with no overt connected, VI-280
prechecking; see prechecking proceed from irresponsibility, IV-19
products, VIII-128
PTS handling, person not responding to PTS handling, check continuous overt(s), VIII-236
PTS’s overt(s) on SP person make him blind and non-self-determined, VIII-129
reason pc is stuck in mest universe is overt act phenomenon, II-9
reasons overt(s) are overt(s), V-436
recoils upon one because one is already in a valence similar to that of the being against whom the overt is leveled, IV-105
responsibility and overt(s), III-442, 453, 551
responsibility for overt(s) below Level IV, degree of, V-438, 517
responsibility increases, then new overt(s) are realiz ed, IV-326
responsibility level needed for overt to show on meter, IV-18
responsibility, when responsibility declines, overt acts can occur, IV-19
rock slam is sign of overt, V-129
Routine 2-12 removes unwanted valences that commit overt(s), V-190
running, don’t be snide, IV-44
running ~ raises cause level of pc, V-438, 439 (Secondary Scale level), IV-293
overt(s) (cont.)
slow gain case is committing overt, VI-102
sympathy is preceded by an overt, I-203
TA action, keys to, are havingness and overt, IV-144
thetan is at obsessive cause while trying to do
overt(s) or get motivators, IV-191
to the body, II-323
true overt act is an unintended bad effect not
deserved by recipient, III-465
two special cases of, VIII-235
two types of, II-8
valences, why a being with valences commits
overt(s) or get motivators, IV-105
why overt(s) work, V-439
withdraws coming after confusion of overt hang
up on track and tend to stop pc in time, V-14;
see also overt/withhold
wrong definitions cause stupidity or circuits, fol-
lowed by overt(s) and motivators, V-489
Overt Act Straight Wire, commands of and how to
run, III-389
overt effort, emotion, thought, I-244
overt-motivator sequence, I-244; II-8; III-518;
IV-388; VI-231, 238; see also HOM
Acceptance Level Processing and ~, II-8
auditor must make a statement to pc and assume
initiative in ~, V-464
basic postulate of ~, III-359
if one wins he often regrets it, II-398
incidents, I-232
of engrams, III-453; VI-231
of secondaries, VI-232
pc has creation tangled up with cause and cause
tangled up with the ~, IV-35
principle of ~ will be found to explain and its
techniques remedy the brutality into which
races fall, VI-405
process for pcs who cannot seem to plumb an ~,
III-532
running, X-74
there is a VIIIain and a victim in any , III-518
victim is central button of ~, III-516
when somebody has committed an overt, he has
to claim existence of motivators, V-469
Overt Process: “In this lifetime what overt have you
committed?” “How have you justified it?”, IV-36
Overt Release—Grade II Release [1965]. VI-96
overt/withhold(s) (O/W); see also overt; withhold
ARC breaky pc, look for overt and withholds,
IV-6
are the same as irresponsibility, IV-37
assist, O/W is best repetitive process for, V-99
assists duplication and therefore havingness,
IV-145
by transfer, IV-186
can occur only when help has failed, IV-286
process that does not advance under auditing has
undisclosed overt(s) and withhold(s), IV-5
overt/withhold(s) (cont.)
cases that don’t respond well on O/W use Formula
16, IV-180
cause social aberration, IV-45
checking before leaving org, III-558
co-audit teams, types of O/W; run, IV-21, 25
demanding overt is not confined to just running
O/W, V-465
don’t use O/W to clean rudiments for Prepcheck
session, V-30, 42
dropped on Co-Audit, V-25
expressions of abandoning responsibility already
extant, IV-37
General Overt/Withhold before session, V-101
Happiness Process, if it can’t be found use O/W,
if still not, use Failed Help, IV-168, 170, 171
Help and ~ can handle out-ethics, IV-99
how to run O/W and Responsibility, IV-37
illness and ~, III-413
individuation and ~, IV-191
Integrity Processing and O/W’s Repair List—LIRA,
X-266
is an effort to regain the status of independent
being without taking responsibility for any of
intervening steps, IV-186
is a theory which sets in when aberration sets in; it
is not a high natural law; it is junior to various
laws of communication, control and help, IV-186
is needed to make a Havingness Process work,
IV-167
is not the senior law of the universe, IV-187
Isa Processes for O/W are almost unlimited,
V-441
justifications, running off, is further south process
than any earlier version of O/W, V-436
keep pc interiorized, VII-160
list sent to HCO WW, IV-2
Love thy neighbor”, when it is no longer a
willingness, is enforced by the theory of O/W,
IV-186
mechanism applies only to a strata of existence
and it stems from failures to help, IV-186
missed withholds, asking forl does not upset
dictum of not using ~ Processes in rudiments,
V-436
Model Sessions and O/W, V-244, 279, 382, 398,
420, 428, 448
on a selected terminal, IV-70
on auditor is far too accusative and invalidates pc,
IV-194
on terminal that represents dynamic [process],
IV-22, 26; see also Dynamic Straightwire
overt finding processes, “What could you admit
causing a (terminal real to pc)”? alternated
with “What could you withhold from a (same
terminal)?”, IV-50
pc’s bank becomes solid to the degree that he does
not take responsibility for his overt(s) and with
holds, III-552
overt/withhold(s) (cont.)
  pc with ~ is afraid to talk or talks to cover up,
  IV-62
  phenomena, two extreme stages, VI-231
  problem, one cannot have a problem without
  overts and withholds against people involved in
  it, reason why, IV-414
  processes, VI-95
  PTP, repeatedly on same person, run O/W, IV-39, 61
  Regimen 6 O/W commands, 3 versions of, IV-160
  Responsibility Processes: Havingness, Confront,
  O/W, Responsibility, IV-35
  running ~ discloses failed helps, IV-187
  running O/W [Model Session] [1965], VI-44
  run Responsibility Process after O/W, IV-37
  secret of all ~ mechanisms is valences, IV-102
  session ARC breaks, running O/W to handle
  [1960], IV-43
  stuck picture, handling by dating, Responsibility
  and O/W, IV-16
  symptoms of case with overts and withholds, IV-4
  terminal assessment for, III-484
  theory, IV-92, 186
  theory of, poetically described by Ron, IV-387
  what pc has done to others is aberrative, notwhat
  has been done to him, IV-92
  when O/W sets in, IV-186
  why O/W is run, IV-186
  worry is the most easily dramatized O/W, IV-187
  3D commands whole track O/W, IV-458
  Overt-Withhold Selected Persons Straight Wire; see
  Selected Persons Overt-Without Straight Wire
  Overt-Withhold Straight Wire, III-459
  better than Comm Process on HAS Co-Audit, III-
  550
  clearing a staff member after specific
  terminals are flat with ~, III-525
  overweight, defn., residual elements of food, sub-
  stances or gases which are not totally elimi-
  nated or utilized by body after ingestion,
  VIII-40 I
  Overwhelm(ed)(ings), VII-63
  aberration is mainly overwhelming of teammates
  (wrong target), II-397
  and illness can result from out exchange, VIII-
  79
  assessment is discovering what has ~ pc, III-465
  at Grade IV, X-38
  auditor overwhelming pc, II-399
  bad TRs can cause low TA as auditor is ~ pc,
  VI-388
  being overwhelmed and overwhelming, II-446
  cause of pc overwhelm, VII-64
  chronic, handling of, VIII-224, 225
  consequences of pc being overwhelmed, V-400
  Dianetics, worst crime is pc by telling him
  what’s wrong, not letting him tell you, VI-
  379
  earlier Grades out, X-32

overwhelm(ed)(ings) (cont.)
  engrams contain, more important than pain and
  unconsciousness, the moment of shock, which
  is that period of realization by body and
  thetan that an overwhelming has occurred,
  II-398
  fundamental difficulty is that something has so
  thoroughly overwhelmed pc that he is it;
  other-determinism has become person, III-465
  games, contests in overwhelminagas, II-397
  handling the pc in, X-17, 18-19
  indicates need of Repair and Return, VII-69; X-51
  is an idea, II-398
  it is charge that overwhelms, V-401
  low TA is a symptom of an ~ being, VII-55, 76,
  270
  pc generally right when says he’s overwhelmed or
  upset, X-33
  pc is running badly, he’s in an overwhelming, VII-64
  pc’s behavior, manerisms change if pc is improv-
  ing; if they drop lower on Human Evaluation
  Chart pc is in overwhelming, VII-85
  pc’s intention is to overwhelm, III-183
  person becomes as aberrated as he is overwhelmed
  by other-determinisms, III-466
  person totally overwhelmed by a suppressive
  assumes valence of suppressive, VI-128
  primary overwhelming is to take space, II-397
  programming incorrectly can add up to ~, VII-62
  self-auditing manifestation of being overwhelmed
  by masses, etc. and pulling only think out of
  bank, VII-85
  sick person goes into overwhelm easily, VII-89
  time track overwhelms pc when charge present in
  huge amounts, V-416
  tone arm, low, (below 2) means pc is over
  data on
  overwhelmed and has retreated, VI-388, 397, 419
  too steep a gradient or heavy a process, X-28
  TR1, overwhelming, causes lowTA, VII-270
  valences are the sum of ~ of the pc, III-274
  violations of auditing cycle can bring about~,
  V-400
  “Overwhelming” [process], how to run, II-447
  overwork, caused by bad admin, II-387
  O/W; see overt/withhold
  ownership, I-441; II-198
  ownership of Scientology, II-199
  Ownership Processing, II-45, 236; III-19
  ownership, wrong ownership can cause TA to act up
  in a peculiar way that looks like an overrun,
  VI-280
  own, familiarity, which is to say, predictability, is
  strongly connected with ability to have or
  own, IV-54
  own or be owned, theta’s tendency to, I-189
  own things, antisocial person can’t, VI-179
  own valence; see valence, own
  Oxford Capacity Analysis; see OCA/APA
pain, defn., a randomness of molecules and atoms in the human organism caused by counter-efforts, I-215

Pain explosion, IX-503

pack, defn., collection of written materials which match a checksheet, VII-141, 287; IX-312

package, defn., always consists of two RIs that are terminals and two RIs that are opposite terms [R2-12A], V-234

painkillers (known as aspirin, tranquilizers, hypnotics, soporifics), actions of, VI-443

pan-determined, pan-determined, I-154; see also Dn 55!

defn., ability to regulate two or more identities whether or not opposed, II-179
defn., ability to play any side or as part of any team, being capable of playing any and all levels of any dynamic, II-397
defn., determining activities of two or more sides in a game simultaneously, II-423

beings basically prosper only when they are self determined and can be pan-determined to help in prosperity of all, VIII-130

full responsibility for both sides of game, V-8 Help on pan-determined basis, IV-191 is highest part of Tone Scale, III-465

loss of control takes place with loss of ~, II-433 one is pan-determined about any game to which

he is senior; he is self-determined only in any game to which he is junior, II-423

pan-determined vs. single viewpoint in processing, II-418

thetan can only become disabled by becoming too little pan-determined, II-434

Pan Determinism Scale; see Scn 0-8

paper trick, III-516, 519

para-Scientology, I-340, 356, 376, 449; II-433
defn., that large bin which includes all greater or lesser uncertainties, I-377
defn., all of uncertainties and unknown territories of life which have not been completely explored and explained, II-432

parent as auditor in Child Processing, 144

parent, problem of, I-325; see also CDN

parents, Dianetic education of ~, regarding Child Processing, I-46

paresis, condition of untreated syphilis; it is a lifetime cycle and drives one crazy, VIII-406

participation, III-319; see also session

particle(s), are something that are shed from masses, III-165

communication and, VIII-185

masses are masses, they are not ~, III-164

relation to affinity, communication, reality, I-351

partners, selection of, for marriage, I-121

passive resistance, how to handle, IV-60

past, ability to re-experience, III-488
civilizations have vanished, III-126

cycling action of pc into the past, III-70
deaths of famous historical figures, III-411

existences, restoration of memory of, III-224; see also past lives

forgetting is process of not-knowing ~, II-440

how one mechanically forgets the past, III-11

identifying past with present, II-224

identities, dramatizing, III-555

lives; see past lives
past (cont.)
past, present and future; see NSOL
pc is not product of past, he is product of himself, II-144
pc’s past, how to increase willingness to confront, III-489
present and past time, relationship, II-224
psychotic is concerned with past, II-1, 2
remembering is process of knowing past, II-440
Then and Now Solids makes pc capable not only of contacting and handling present time, but also anysegment of the past, III-34
thetan can escape an unbearable PT by dropping into past, even without drugs, VI-292
time, present and past, II-409
track valences are preferable to run over present life valences, III-284
Past and Future Experience [process], III-403, 408, 409
commands, III-403
past deaths, “Where Are You Buried?” project, IV-55
past life, past lives, VI-345; see also backtrack;
HYLBTL?/MIT
abilities, III-80
amnesia on, reason for, III-225, 555
cases that can’t get into or run past lives, VI-424
don’t invalidate, VII-192, 452; VIII-330, 338
even running them as “imaginary” as in Then and Now Solids, III-266
failures stemming from not running ~, VI-345
identities, IV-7, 17
immediate past lifetime or lifetimes of pc, IV-17, 49
memory is buried under terrific loss of possessions too few and too many, III-149
and body, VI-163
two types of people, V-407
pc is stuck in past life or has recurring facsimiles of past lives during processing, handling of
using Then and Now Solids, III-266
pc refusing to go into any past lives will get into perceiving, if one is aware one can perceive and act,
biological mind combines perceptions of the immediate environment, of past (via pictures) and estimations of future into conclusions which are based upon realities of situations,
and therefore withholds—which person has committed on whole track, VIII-128
why they are forgotten, V-9
Pastoral Counseling Health Form, VI-381; see also Health Form
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

pastoral counseling is completely legal, VI-347
patients not responding to medical treatment, how to handle, VI-348
“patty-cake”, VIII-224
Pavlov, III-172
and his work, VI-391, 404
pc; see preclear
PCRD; see Primary Correction Rundown
PDH, defn., pain-drug-hypnotism, IV-321
PE (Personal Efficiency),
basic course, III-449
becomes a dissertation in Scientology and a
Comm Course, IV-182
Co-Audit process, III-552; IV-70
Course curriculum, III-527
Course, way to run, IV-70, 188
Foundation,
defn., a programmed drill calculated to intro duce people to Scientology and to bring
their cases up to a high level of reality both on Scientology and life, III-527
organization of a, III-527
personnel, III-528
personnel and admin, IV-183
procurement, IV-70
test section, IV-182
Unit, purpose of, III-25
peace on Earth, IV-28
penalties and rewards; see ISE
penicillin, oral penicillin is worthless, it has to be shot with a needle, VIII-407
people’s, Fear of People List—R, VIII-219
honest people have rights too; see NSOL
questions, answer with books, IV-78
too few and too many, III-149
two types of people, V-407
why some people are unwilling to clear people, III-454
pep, VIII-207
perceive, if one is aware one can perceive and act,
VIII-182
perception(s), percepts; see also Scn 0-8
analytical mind combines perceptions of the immediate environment, of past (via pictures) and estimations of future into conclusions which are based upon realities of situations,
and therefore withholds—which person has committed on whole track, VIII-128
and overts, VII-289, 340
change also means communication change, I-351
child’s perception inhibitions, I-322
Creative Processes, motions, stops and ~, II-528
cycle of alter-ness and not-ness of ~, II-300
decreases in proportion to number of overt acts and therefore withholds—which person has committed on whole track, VIII-128
depends upon duplication, II-15
engram, running out all percepts, I-18
howtoturnon, VIII-106
improves on flows, X-169

453
perception(s), perceptics (cont.)
influence of valences on recall and ~, I-15
is affected by out-ethics, VIII-101
is the most certain certainty, evaluation the least certainty, I-349
knowledge depends upon, I-356
list of, VII-25
masses are more important than perceptions, II-39; VIII-106
no perceptions in engram running, cause of, V-329
pc or pre-OT with bad perception trouble needs Dianetics, VI-339
perception posts, I-169
reaction of life to pain perceptics, I-154
reduces in ratio to overts, X-154
relationship to havingness, III-18, 37
run engrams with emphasis on effort instead of perceptics, I-170
when exterior, II-I I
“26” perceptics, I-145
perfection in art, see also
performer purpose is basically communication, IX-498
persistence,
absence of admiration alone permits ~, I-311, 375, 383
and as-isness, II-226
a thing persists only if it is misowned, II-220
changing masses with anything less than life or memory or communication or postulate brings us into a condition of persistence of a condition, II-235
on given course: see ScienceofSurvival
persistent F/N; see floating needle, persistent person,
control of, III-267
the personality, is separable from body and mind at will, II-428; see also exteriorization
personal, counseling for ministers, III-200
difficulties, social ills of man are a composite of his personal difficulties, IV45
efficiency; see PE
ethics, VIII-100; see also ethics
integrity, IV-203; see also integrity
relationships and auditor’s rights, X-225
personality,
aberrative; see aberrative personality
and individualism, an inherent factor, I-30
antisocial; see antisocial personality
basic, III-160
getting in communication with basic personality through affinity, I-60
is capable of all attributes of Clear, III-284
OT is an educated basic personality, III-284
thetan has a basic personality, III-257
confusion of ~ with the reasoning faculty, I-55
difference between personality and IQ, III-200
schizophrenic is split personality; one in another’s valence, III-I I
personality (cont.)
social; see social personality
test; see OCA/APA
test for IQ and personality, II-392
weak vs. strong, I-36
“personal relations”, advertising auditing as, II-261
personnel,
Enhancement, Department of, VIII-65
it takes about 2 admin personnel to keep a tech personnel going, VI-402
pools for auditor trainees, VIII-12
selection of, I-9
persuasion and communication, differences between, III-82
petition; see Introduction to Scientology Ethics
peyote, description of, VI-244; see also drugs
phenobarbital, I-104; see also drugs
philosophy,
defn., the love, study or pursuit of wisdom, or of knowledge of things and their causes, whether theoretical or practical, VI-1
can only be a route to knowledge, VI-1
religious philosophy, what it implies, VI-195
Scnology, how it is undercutting older philosophy, III-345
silence in, VIII-327
that failed, psychiatry, IV-77
phobias and fixed ideas, process for, [1956], II-454
phrases, action, command value of; see SOS
phrases, action, relation to mest action, I-191
physical, physically,
body is a physical object, it is not the being himself, VIII-129
difficulties, pc with physical difficulties needs Dianetics, VI-339, 349
disability, in Dianetics run the feeling not the physical disability, VI-352
gains, preclear may have, “without finding out about it”, VII-74
healing, don’t force auditing into, VI-313
ill; see ill
inertia, VIII-129
treatment of body, nutrition is in field of, VIII-205
physical universe; see mest universe
physics, law of interaction, IV-I 86
Physiological and Behavior Scale; see Scn 0-8
physiological communication lag, II-130
physiology and behavior; see SOS
picture; see facsimile
Piltdown man; see History of Man
pinch test, E-Meter, I-225
pink paper, Progress Program is on, X-88
pink sheet, defn., VII-287
planets, PTS RD step, VIII-142, 343
play, mechanism of, II-421
pleasure,
and pain, relation to survival, I-151
incidents, run in this fashion, I-15

pLEASURE (cont.)

moments, I-50; see also SOS defn., mental image pictures containing pleasure sensations, VI-342

you can’t audit out, IX-168

plus-point list, VII-135

plus-points, the big, X-98

plus randomity; see randomness, plus PN; see pain points,
defn., arbitrary assignment of credit value to a part of study materials, VII-141, 287; IX-312

fixedness of points and their opposition produce phenomena of flows, V-16

point system, defn., system of assigning and counting up points for studies and drills that give progress of student and measure his speed of study, VII-141, 287

policy, counter-policy and counter-tech, IX-89

policy, how to get on with tech organization, VII-367; see also OEC Volumes

polio and arthritis cases, experiment on curing, II-133

political, philosophies placed against Tone Scale, VI-317

Scientologist has no specialized political or religious convictions beyond those dictated by wisdom and his own early training, II-67

Scientology is not political, II-268

slavery, on what it is built, IV-28

opening procedure by duplication; first postulate, II-279, 297, 316

opening procedure by duplication first postulate, II-277, 285

goal of processing is to bring individual into such a state that he can regain the power and be in space, ability to maintain, is power, III-232; see also Scn 8-80

positive-gain process(es), I-329, 352 defn., positive gain of certainty, I-357

positive-gain techniques, I-393

possessions, basis on which derived, II-47

possessions absorb and enforce time, I-296

postpossessions, troublesome, how to handle, II-448

post (s),

compulsive position precedes compulsive thinking, II-13

in space, ability to maintain, is power, III-232; see also Scn 8-80

positive-gain process(es), I-329, 352 defn., positive gain of certainty, I-357

positive-gain techniques, I-393

possessions, basis on which derived, II-47

possessions absorb and enforce time, I-296

postpossessions, troublesome, how to handle, II-448

Post Purpose Clearing; see Post Purpose Clearing trouble remedied by Word Clearing Method 6, VIII-153; IX-462

post-hypnotic suggestion, mechanism of, II-1

postoperative auditing, VI-422

Post Purpose Clearing, VII-342; VIII-363; see also purpose clearing auditor qualifications for ~, VII-342

C/S Form 1R, VII-394

Post Purpose Clearing (cont.)
done after M1 in general and M2 on duties and texts of post, VII-385

hatting, essential part of, is, VII-347

is done after M4 in general and M2 on duties and texts of post, IX-429

reason for post clearing, II-519

section of Dept 13, Div V, Qual Div, VII-342

postulate(s), postulated, postulating, I-174, 183; VI-67; see also AP&A defn., to consider, to say a thing and have it be true, II-424

defn., cause thinkingness, II-435
defn., to cause a thinkingness or consideration, II-435

defn., self-impulsion or creation of thought, II-439

ability of thetan to make postulates is senior to his concerns over space, energy, and objects, II-51

all conditions are postulated conditions, II-240 and orders, the idea they will always be obeyed, II-464

can’t have” postulates, II-416

Clear’s postulates read as a surge, VI-220

considerations and postulates, III-139

failure and postulates, II-447, 462

first postulate, II-279, 282, 297, 316

Opening Procedure by Duplication; First Postulate, II-277, 285

fourth postulate, II-282, 297

goal has anatomy of postulate-counter-postulate, IV416

goal must have a counter-postulate to stay fixed, IV-413

goal of processing is to bring individual into such thorough communication with the physical universe that he can regain the power and ability of his own postulates, II-67

go from simplicities to complexities, III-345

injured, one cannot be injured until he has lated that thetans can be injured, III-518

knowledge, thirst for, would be the thirst for other thetan’s postulates, II-438

lie at root of cause and effect, I-211

live communication, postulates, will always create change, II-258

made by awareness of awareness unit is a higher manifestation than any energy-space manifestation, II-215

most clear can ~, can still key in engrams, III-446

of change is “ought to be—should be”, III-88

opposition goal, a postulate-counter-postulate situation of long duration, IV-419

pc cannot change his own ~ easily, what it means, II-41

pc’s postulates [R3N, R3R], V-349

positive postulating is Tone 40, III-240, 386

postulate-counter-postulate is problem, V-185

prime, I-208
postulate(s), postulated, postulating (cont.)
problem is caused by a balanced postulate-counter-
postulate, IV413, 414
problem is postulate-counter-postulate, VI-109
problem is two or more ~ in opposition, IV-354
Q and A is simply postulate aberration, VIII-230
regret is entirely the study of reversed ~, II-463
running, I-203
Sientology, everything in it has been directly and
actively ~ by person at some point in past,
III-345
second postulate: know, II-282, 297, 316
second postulate, when you start to introduce
order into anything disorder shows up as the
second postulate and blows off, III-507
somatics, aberrations, circuits and problems are
postulate-counter-postulate situations, IV414
succeed, III-315
suppression, person faced with, is facing a counter-
postulate, VI-109
third postulate, II-282, 297
Tone Scale, I-184
why a thetan makes his ~ fail to stick, III-465
winning or losing, anatomy of, is anatomy of
postulate and reverse-postulate, II-462
Postulate Processing, I-183
by Straight Wire, I-186
procedure, I-185
repeater technique in, I-203
postulatingness, VIII-118
potential survival, measurement of, I-9
potential trouble source(‘s) (PTS), VIII-19, 89, 91,
95, 98, 141, 209, 330, 338; see also PTS
Rundown; roller-coaster; Search and Discovery
defn., someone connected to a person or group
opposed to Scientology, VIII-91
defn., person connected to a suppressive person,
VIII-95; IX-136
all sick persons are PTS, IX-136, 137
alternatewordingsfor“PTS”, VIII-97;IX-221
case worsening is caused only by a PTS situation,
VI-114
characteristics of PTS persons, VIII-95; IX-136
condition is actually a problem and a mystery and to
someone or something, VIII-97
a withdrawal, VIII-98; IX-137
to SP people, groups, things or locations, VIII-98;
IX-137
C/S must put a yellow tab marked PTS on a PTS
pc folder, VIII-92
degraded being is so PTS he works for suppressives
only, VI-193
false PTS could be continuous overts or con-
tinuous missed withholds, VIII-236
handling, VI-77, 91, 109, 113, 165; VIII-209,
330, 338; see also PTS Rundown
handling steps, VIII-91, 209, 210, 330, 338
illness and PTS; see illness
Interviews, VIII-98; X-222
past PTS Interviews, VIII-342
questions, VIII-98
to discover PTS condition are done on meter
with all reads marked, VIII-98; IX-137
potential trouble source(‘s) (PTS) (cont.)
is a person or thing, IX-184
is from suppression of some sort, is roller-coaster,
IX-166
is known by “roller-coastering”, VI-75, 162
must be handled in Ethics and given a PTS Run-
down, VIII-76
must be sent to Ethics, VI-77
only PTS = illness, VI-75
overturned SP person make him blind and non-self
determined, VIII-129
pc is always a PTS if he roller-coasters and only
finding right suppressive will clean it up, VI-91
pcs who do not hold their gains are PTS, VII-452;
VIII-95, 330
pc will make trouble for good people, IX-137
person does not respond to PTS handling easily,
check continuous missed withholds and/or
continuous overts, VIII-236
phenomena, VIII-330, 338
psychotic, relation of PTS person to, VIII-209
robots and PTS, VIII-129
robot toward SP person or group or thing, VIII
129
roller-coaster, cause of, is PTS, VIII-19, 92, 330,
338
situation is the reason for illness and loss of gains,
X-2
situation, only PTS situation that is serious and
lasting and can cause a roller-coaster comes
from having known the person before this life,
VIII-330, 339
SP-PTS tech, X-217
staff, X-194
suppressive person, apparent SP only reminds pc
of actual one and so is restimulated into being a
PTS, VI-114
suppressive persons are themselves PTS to them
selves, VIII-95; IX-136
there must have been out-ethics conduct toward
suppressive personality he is connected with
for person to have become PTS in first place,
VIII-101
to someone or something, VIII-97
to SP people, groups, things or locations, VIII-98;
IX-137
Type One,
defn., SP on case is right in present time,
actively suppressing person, VI-113
handling, VI-I 13
Type Two, VI-166
defn., apparent suppressive person in present
time is only a restimulator for the actual
suppressive, VI-113
handling, VI-I-14; see also Searchand Discovery
Type Three,
defn., is beyond facilities of of orgs not equip
ped with hospitals as these are entirely
psychotic, VI-113
handling, VI-115
potential trouble source(s) (PTS) (cont.)

“unburdening”, VIII-211
when someone is suppressed he becomes a ~, VII-452; VIII-330, 338
when you do get person or group or thing or location PTS person will F/N VGI and begin to get well, VII-98; IX-137
who finds the “good hats” suppressive, IX-I 37
why people become PTS, VI-216
withholding himself from a suppressive person or group or thing, VIII-129

potential value equation, I-77, 179
potential value of an individual, examples, I-78
power; see also Power Processes; Scn 8-80
defn., is contained in the ability to maintain a position in space, III-232
depends upon ability to hold a location, VII-264; IX-314
deteriorates with punishment drive, I-140
hiding a thing produces power, I-212
how management loses power, I-141
of an organization, I-139
of the individual, defn., is his ability to initiate the rest(l)uation of problems and execute the solutions, I-77
of the individual and man is the power of the analytical mind, I-37
then that reduces his own power, IV-19
when it cannot be sustained, I-140

power of choice, III-81
additional element needed in games, II-424
is senior to responsibility, IV-24
over data, III-21
then that, how it has been overthrown, VII-257
Power Plus Release—Grade VA Release, VI-98
Power Processes; see also power
auditor waits for specific EP, VIII-272
can be done quickie simply by not hanging on for EP and only going to F/N, VIII-93
confidential, from Pr Pr on up the data is, VI-105
done twice, X-97, 145
do not run on anyone who has run CC materials, VI-203
Grade V Release—Power Processes, VI-95
in SH HGC, okay to audit requirements, VII-234
is available at Saint Hill Orgs, VIII-23
low TA cases and Power Processes, VI-121
on high TA cases [1965], VI-100
repair, X-24-25
requires flawless auditing and C/Sing, VII-229;
VIII-292, 386
what they do, VI-56, 62
will not need repair after pc has gone Clear, VII-143
Power Release—Grade V Release, VI-96, 98
Power Release or Second Stage Release, VI-56
“PR”, defn., putting up a lot of false reports to serve as a smoke screen for idleness or bad actions, VIII-78
practical, VII-447; IX-355; see also training
defn., drills which permit student to associate and coordinate theory with actual items and objects to which the theory applies; practical is application of what one knows to what one is being taught to understand, handle or control, VII-140, 286; IX-311
practical training goes through the simple motions, theory covers why one goes through the motions, V482

practice,
auditing practice, successful way to start, II-261
building a practice, I-345; see also dissemination
private practice, size of, II-355; see also franchise
practitioners working alone, banish that idea, IV-112
PRD; see Primary Rundown
pre-auditing steps, I-421

precision.
defn., the maximal accuracy required for the problem’s solution, I-73
absolute, I-73, 74
preclear(s)’s); see also case
defn., a precise thing, part animal, part pictures and part God, III-161, 181
defn., spiritual being now on the road to becoming Clear, hence preclear, VI-321
ability gain is pc’s recognition that pc can now do things he could not do before, III-428
ability to as-is or erase in a session is directly proportional to number of good indicators present in session, VII-258; IX-83
ability to confront force, VII-79
ability to duplicate, process to rehabilital, IV-52
ability to follow auditing command, IV-1 34
ability to get mock-up indicates distance from present time, I-326
ability to have, II-444
ability to not-know, II-445
ability to play a game, II-446
able to confront to the degree that he or she feels safe, VI-359
absence of barriers is the trouble with a pc when a pc is having trouble, II-499
agreeing with, I-305
ailing from what pc is ailing from, not from what auditor selects, V464
all pc actions have an exact auditor response, V-59
and auditor as group; see also SOS
antarctic = BPC = assess proper list (such as L/C) and handle, VII-46, 359
ARC breaks and preclear; see also ARChreaks
ARC breaks, high percentage of, occur because of failure to understand pc, VII-25 1, 428
“ARC breaky pc”, handling of, VI-22
ARC broken by TRs 0 to 4 will not read properly on a correction list, VII-465
ARC broken pc should be asked “What withhold have I missed on you?” or “What have I failed to find out about you?” or “What should I have known about you?” [IP], VIII-179
preclear(s)'s (cont.)
ARC of the preclear, II-314
assessment, HGC, IV-108
assessment is discovering what has overwhelmed pc, III-465
assessment of, using be, do, have and space, time, energy, I-296
assessment sheet [form]; see Preclear Assessment Sheet
assignment, X-226
assigns body to his case or case to his body, VI-312
assuming aches of another wishes to be that other; he is short on beingness, III-258, 272
as teammate, not opposite player, II-366
attaining end phenomena before all processes run, what to do, VII-361, 362
attempting to leave session equals M/W/H, V-59
attention, don’t put it out of session, IX-67
attention fixed, manifestations of, VIII-262
attention must be on his own case in session, not on meter or his hands, VIII-27
attention not on his bank, doesn’t as-is or cognite, VII-230
attention on chronic somatic, how to handle, II-375; VIII-126
audited a bit below or at his level of awareness gets TA action, case gain and has cognitions, VI-33
auditing above pc’s level gives no gain, VII-85
auditor actions regarding pc; see auditor auditor being audited should be content to be a pc for the term of the session, II-162
auditor’s reality vs. pc’s reality, IV-129
backlog of pcs, what is required to handle, VII-5
backtrack, pcs who won’t go, reasons for, IX-251
bank, auditor plus pc is greater than pc’s bank, VII-230, 366; VIII-86
bank becomes solid to degree that pc does not take responsibility for his overts and withholds, III-552
basic confusion of a preclear, II-143
“beating the meter”, IV-421
beginning intensive, IX-58
being made to go on past a win acts as invalidation, VIII-194
being mass means no TA action, V49
beignesses in pc, general form of Help which data of pc is used to parallel what mind does, discovers, IV-110
blaming the pc, don’t, VII-277
blows, reasons for, IV-217; VIII-193, 194
body, control of, by pc, III-I 84, 240
boiling off equals missed withhold, V-59
can change ideas, then run Rising Scale Processing, III-144
cannot attest a grade ability at any point has to have a Repair Program and Return Program, VII-70
cannot change his own postulates easily, cause of, II-41

preclear(s)'s (cont.)
cannot confront doing Solo Grades, reason will be found to be drugs, VII-466
can say whatever else they please, but must answer auditing question or no auditing occurs, V490, 501
case improving, pc becomes more independent of meter, V416
Case Supervisor actions regarding pc; see case supervising
challenged by an “auditor” who is breaking Auditor’s Code, gets solid reaction in reactive bank, reason why, VI-291
charge piled up on pc, pc ceases to be capable of clear thought and will reject even right items, V400
chilled pc almost always has a high TA until he gets warm, VII-424, 438
critically tired pc who is not eating won’t get TA for there’s no as-is of locks, V434
cognitions are valuable, II-489
cognitions, how pc gets, VII-76
communication is first discoverable ability of a pc, III-5
complaining routinely, means need of Repair Program, VII-62
complains that auditing has no effect on him or who makes very slow gains, what to run, III 468, 497
completed pc, admin handling, IV-219
completions, VII-371; VIII-214
confronting ability being driven down by auditor unconfrontability [R2-10, R2-12], V-225
confront, less a pc can confront two things, more backlog of pcs, what is required to handle, VII-5
consider himself messy or massy so second term is required to discharge energy, IX-63
critical, always a symptom of overts, V464
critical =W/H =pulW/H, VII-46, 359
critical of organizations or people of Scientology equals missed withhold, V-59
critical, only reasons a pc is critical are a withhold or misunderstood word, VI-91
critical, upset, ARC breaky pc, handling, VIII 179; IX-282
current environment of, I-18
cycling action of pc into the past, III-70
data of pc is used to parallel what mind does, VII-84
dating, pc’s contrary data unspoken and untaken can give you a completely wrong date, V-293
demanding next grade, VII-83
demanding redress of wrongs equals M/W/Hs, V-59
desire or complaint, no reason or excuse not to actually handle these with auditing, VII-4
Dianetic pc, defn, one who is being processed toward objective of a well and happy human being, VI-326; see also Dianetics, preclear
Dianetics, preclear
DI1

Cumulative Index— 1950/1975
preclear(s)'(s) (cont.)
difference amongst, III-160, 180
dirty needle, three causes for pc having, VI-375
dissatisfied pc caused by missed withholds, V-20
doesn’t change, means his reality is not being reached, VII-85
doesn’t dare be effect, III-160, 180
doesn’t get gains, check for physical injury or illness, VI-315, 316
doesn’t know what’s wrong with him, II-257
doesn’t want auditing can come from bad L&N list or out Int or out ruds, VII-280, 281
doesn’t want auditing, handling of, VIII-412
dog pcs”, VII-206; VIII-147; X-97, 223, 225
defn., pcs not running well, VII-205
doing well, X-65
do not hold their gains are PTS, VIII-330, 338
droppage on sleep, or rehab F/N, VII-46, 359
dopey or “boil off”, cause and remedy of, VIII-17
dragmatization of, I-20
dragmatizes = R6EW unflat, VII-70
dragmatizing pc may not be a tough pc, V-36
e-Meter falls on things pc is interested in and will talk about, IV-175
e-Metering the pc, I-230
e-Meter, most often pc does not know what it is that react as only unknowns react, IX-283
enemies of, III-268
engrams, pc who cannot run, reasons for, VIII-276; IX-251
environment influences pc’s ability to confront, VI-359
ethics, pc who gets into Ethics trouble should have folder reviewed, VI-251
exhausted pc equals missed withhold, V-59
exteriorization, pc misemotion about, how to handle, II-373; VIII-124; see also Interiorization Rundown
exteriorizes in auditing later his TA goes high, then you do an Int RD, VII-400, 460
exteriorizes in session, TA light at Examiner, rehab exteriorization point, VII-19
exteriorizes, it is EP for that process or action, VII-225, 457

preclear(s)'(s) (cont.)
exteriorizes on good win, how to end session, VIII-397
exterior pc handling body, VII-79
exterior pc who can’t be audited, how to handle, VI-293; see also Interiorization Rundown
exterior, you cease to audit or he will go back in; audit again when he goes back in [19681, VI-276
failing to make progress equals M/W/H, V-59
fast running pc on a light chain can occasionally blow an engram by inspection, VI-400
feels accused if he is run above his level, V441
figure-figures his answers, III-516; see also case, figure-figure
first book pcs, I-303
floating needle occurs just before pc is aware of it; don’t prevent pc from cogniting, VI-362
flubbed pcs, handling, VIII-320
foggy at session end equals missed withhold, V-59
folder
fundamental difficulty is that something has so thoroughly overwhelmed pc that he is it;
other-determinism has become person, III-465
gain on a smooth gradient scale and do not sud denly become something, III-155, 175
gains measured in terms of charge discharged, V-325
get pc trained into what auditing cycle is and get question or command that was asked or given answered, V490
gets ill after auditing but sessions look alright, how to handle, VI-430
“getting an F/N at will” is not in session, VII-438
getting off another person’s offenses, report al leged offenses to Ethics for investigation, VI-50
getting pc handled, III-454
getting pc to run past lives, VII-14
glib pcs, I-208
go goggly, lose interest and refuse to list only when session withholds are missed, V-66
going upscale to boredom, continue the process, IV-175
Grade Chart is basic program of pc, VII-313
grade he can’t seem to make is not the grade, VII-70
preclear(s)'s (cont.)

hard to audit, in propitiation, does obsessive agreement, has hypnotic eyelid flutter, seems unnatural about talking or not talking, how to get into session, III-315

has a field, somatics, malformity or aberration, how to clean up, IV-7

has somatics, Dianetic level unflat, VII-70

has something to hide, wants auditor to find rudiments in, V-82

has to be able to handle Scientology technology to handle his own bank, VI-I9

have service facsimiles so they can be victims, III-519

havingness is proportional to pc’s ability to confront in session, V-225

heavily uses the viewpoint of another when the other has evaluated for him, I-362

hidden game, pc is compulsively playing, III-196 hidden standard is not just a physical or mental difficulty but one by which pc measures his case gains, IX-159

how auditing becomes a problem to pc, III-195

ill or misemotional before session beginning, handling of, IV-368

ill pc; see also ill

“I’ll repeat the auditing command” has been used to invalidate pc, III-441

improve pc, not valence, IV-368

indicators, bad vs. good, IX-83

indicators, scale of, IX-32

in extreme pain, what he can be audited on, II-144

influencing agencies for pc are time track and facts, VIII-114

in good condition can be anything at will, II-53

in grief or apathy, cause and remedy of [R2, R3], makes no gain, is the pc who will not as-is, who will not confront, V-36

in psychotic break, C/S would have to locate last severe wrong indication, indicate fact to pc, and get it corrected as first action, VIII-241, 256, 353

in recent shock of having died won’t go back track, VIII-388

insane pc, handling of, VI-I11

in session, getting pc; see session, in insufficiently cause in their daily lives cannot as-is bank, V433

intention, easy to overwhelm, III-I83

interest and TA action tell programming is right, V-325

interested in own case, III-405; IV43, 66; see also session, in trouble, X-106-07, 110

in situation, getting pc; see also session, in trouble, VIII-287, 382

in trouble and TA high, what your first suspicions should be, VII-457; X-116

invented answers by pc, handling of, IV-I I0

preclear(s)'s (cont.)

is always willing to reveal, VIII-180; IX-283

is as alive as things are real, II-205

is as well as he can originate a communication, III-370; VIII-183

is generally right when he says he’s overwhelmed or upset; he’s almost always wrong when he says what overwhelmed him or what BPC was out when simply saying it does not correct the case or produce F/N VGIs, VII-83

is not the product of the past, he is the product of himself, II-144

is the thetabeing, I-403

is usually close to a no-game-condition, II-367

it is pc who mostly keys his bank back in, V-354

itsa line is pc’s line to the auditor, IX-68

itsa maker line is pc’s line to his bank, IX-68

is on and on with no gain, case of, VI-26; VII-252, 253; IX-77

justifying himself and trying to uphold status is not in comm with auditor, VII-241; IX-66

less pc cognites the more charge is accumulated, V401

liability, there is no real liability to a pc in this universe except one: becoming total subject of jest, III-174

life knocking ruds out faster than they can be audited in, how to handle, VII-I91

line, pc aware of, before terminal, III-140

looking inward still, never jolt or interrupt, VII 21, 22

lower grades raise pc’s cause level, V434

low on havingness, III-303

lying, pc’s sanity and continued happiness absolutely depend upon his ability to create new facts, VIII-114

made facsimile to restrain himself from ever doing it again, IV-38

makes no gain, is the pc who will not as-is, who will not confront, V-36

makes others guilty = Level IV unflat, VII-70

mannerism changes in pc, VII-85, 86

massy, pc considers himself mesty or massy so second terminal is required to discharge energy, VII-238

massy, sometimes even ill, cause of, VIII-287, 381

may be sane analytically and still react violently at times in session, IV-88

may have physical gains “without finding out about it”, VII-74

may have physical gains “without finding out about it”, VII-74

mental image pictures; see mental image pictures

misacknowledgement of pc, III-308

missed withhold pc, why they are hardest to handle, VI-22

more hysterical pc is about getting advanced processes or case gain, less strenuous process administered must be, V-516

must attain full ability on each level of Grade Chart before going on, VII-56
must be audited according to their condition and at own level, I-302, 303
must be kept at cause as much as possible, III-174
must be permitted to find out what is wrong, III-12
must be well to start on Scientology auditing, VI-325
must never be audited while ARC broken, VI-16, 76, 91
nattering pc has overt, VII-13
nattery, don’t run ARC break, run M/W/H, VI-265
nattery pc has withholds, VII-58
needle doesn’t react to auditor, pc may be ARC broken, VI-73, 76
needs but become aware of actual cause of aberration to have it vanish, VI-58
never audited will respond to rehab of “something overdone”, VI-145
never has done anything in thislife that aberrated him, VI-199
new pc(s), VI-217, 321; see also case supervision, Dianetic C/S I; Dianetics Today
auditing, VIII-291, 373
Grade VI run on new pcs means failure, VI-100
handling, VII-47
R-factor to new pcs, V-490
starting to audit, V-491
no interest = no interest in first place or out ruds = check for interest or put in ruds, VII-47, 360
no-somatic pc is either high as an angel or being too high, VII-86
not an opponent in a game, II-100
no TA (or case gain) = problem = locate problem, VII-359
not changing or improving, how to handle, VI-430
not desirous of being audited equals M/W/H, V-59
not getting gains, causes of, VI-76, 315, 324, 429, 435; see also cases, resistive
not getting results means either he or auditor is doing something else, VI-91
not making it in auditing should be checked for a button, IV-85
not running the commands, II-77
OCA/APA and pc; see OCA/APA
often gives a PT problem when asked for goals, IV-210
often is unable to confront actual engram at once, VI-341
on antibiotics should be given Dianetic auditing, VI-422
on drugs must come off them before auditing, VI-322
only thing wrong with pc is his lack of confidence in handling himself without hurting others, IV-67
on Scientology Grades gets ill, revert to Dianetics, VI-341
operating on machinery, III-I 82
originates by throwing down cans, that’s still an origin, VII-246; IX-71
originations; see also originations; TRs, TR4
out lists, all of more violent or bad reactions on part of pc come from, VIII-97
out of session; see session, out of out of valence pc, how to handle, VII-330
ovets, pc who dives into past lives when asked for, IX-269
overwhelmed, cause of, VII-64
overwhelmed, consequences of, V-400
participation in session; see session, in past identities, pc is stuck not just in engrams but in past identities, V-50
past lives; see past lives physically ill; see ill
points pc may have to handle before auditing, VI-283
position on the Tone Scale established by communication lag, II-128
postulates, V-349; see also postulates
Power will not need repair after pc has gone Clear, VII-143
present time problem; see present time problem priorly audited, how to handle, [1961], IV-216
problems tend to snap in on pc, cause of, IV-61
process, above the pc’s level, II-218
processing can resolve all of his difficulties with out going and finding other persons or continuing other universes, II-437
process is as beneficial as it is real and factual to the pc, II-207
protest against a question, how it is demonstrated, doing something else, VI-91
protest against a question, how it shows up, IX-268
protests that denote a breakdown of the help button, IV-85
PTS, pc is always a potential trouble source if he roller-coasters and only finding the right supportive will clean it up, VI-91; see also potential trouble source purposes and postulates, effect of, VI-67
Quickie Grade pc, handling of, VII-98, 131
reaction to auditor’s certainty, I-357
reality and no change, X-35
reality factor, VII-74
reality level of pcs dependent on how much he is not-ising his environment, II-205; III-312
red tabbed must be repaired within 24 hours, VIII-303
preclear(s) (cont.)
refuses to answer or refuses auditing, what to run, IV-175
refuses to recover, using his state as a game, II-446
refusing auditing or not wishing to go on, VI-369, 442
refusing to go into any past lives will get into grinding, why, VI-361
refusing to talk to auditor equals M/W/H, V-59
regularly lose gains, are PTS, IX-136
rehabilitation of, intermsofcontrol, II-518
released at Zero will of course soon begin to have problems; he goes to next grade, not to Review for an assist, VI-252
release, pc who has attained a stage of, may not be run further on processes of that stage or below or he will go back into his reactive mind, VI-86
repair of a Dianetic pc, VII-74
repair, use light handling on pcs who need lots of repair, VII-93
response on meter, analytical vs. reactive, IV-331
responsibility, no reason to expect any great pc responsibility for his own overts below Level IV, V-438
responsibility, raising pc’s, VIII-263
returning self-determinism to the pc, II-237
Review, when to order pc to, VI-256
rings on pc’s hands must be removed as they cause a false rock slam, VII-342, 424; VIII-364
rock slams indicate an area of psychosis which will ruin pc’s life if allowed to go unhandled, VII-345
roller-coaster pcs (regularly lose gains) are PTS, IX-136
roller-coasters after Dianetic auditing, handling of, VI-410
roller-coasters despite an F/N at session end must be handled by Tech or Qual within 24 hours, VII-174
rough pc, V-36
rudiments, establish them more often with touchy pcs, IV-48
ruds must be flown when pc has not had a session for some time, VII-357
ruds won’t fly = some other error = assess GF and handle, VII-360
running a temperature, VII-335
running badly, he’s in an overwhelm, VII-64
running on and on and on caused by premature or late-or-never acks, VII-253
running well, don’t throw into repair, VII-48, 278, 362
run the pc always at cause, IV-44
R6, why pcs can’t run at once, V-493
sad = ARC break = locate and handle, itsa earlier itsa, VII-46, 359
sad effect, pc will go into, if you don’t find ARC breakbutinsteadcontinuetheprocess, VI-16
sanity and continued happiness depend upon ability to create new facts, II-178
preclear(s) (cont.)
says it’s A and it doesn’t blow, it must be some thing else, VI-92
Search and Discovery, a wrong item on an S&D can make pc ill, VI-208
secrets of, II-162
self-auditing, how to detect and handle, VII-91
self-auditing pc, cure for, VIII-242, 256, 353
self-auditing pc due to lack of auditor control, V-74
self-determinism of, proportional to amount of self-direction he is capable of executing, II-17
session, pc in session means pc is interested in own case and willing to talk to auditor, IX-84
session went wrong, ask pc what auditor did, VII-48, 363
should be processed; education isn’t auditor’s task, I-304
sick pcs should not be run on PTS RD as standard practice, VIII-331, 339
significance, pc search for significance, VII-77; X-29
six things that can be wrong with a pc, VI-93
slow gain, poor result, is a physically ill pc, VI-316
static, what keeps a pc from conceiving a, III-120
steering a pc, VII-259
still has somatics, no further items on assessment list read, cause of and handling, VII-11
stuck in a past life or has recurring facsimiles of past lives during processing, handling of, using Then and Now Solids, III-266
stuck in a past session, clean up the W/Hs, V-21
“stuck” in time can make medicine ineffective, VI-371
stuck in upsetting incidents from movies or books, how to handle, VIII-389
subjective reality on gain will not compare to TA action, if charge by-passed, until BPC located, V-368
suddenly relapses onto drugs, symptoms of, VII-192
suffers only from that which has not yet been handled, VI-416
suppressed pcs and PTS tech, VIII-95; IX-136
suppresses or invalidates something, read transfers to suppress or invalidate, VII-12
suppressive person, pc will worsen after auditing if connected to, VI-76
talking obsessively reduces havingness, II-443
telling others auditor is no good equals M/W/H, V-59
telling there are several incidents, take earliest, VI-401
telling what is wrong, VII-82
tends to dive for thought imbedded in force, VII-79
terminals and preclears; see terminals

test if auditing is working, did it increase pc’s ARC, II-246
that quits; see Book of Case Remedies
that which changes the pc in space can evaluate for him, II-13
thinkingness, how to bring under pc’s control, III-255
“thought has no effect on his or her bank”, cause of, V-36
thrown out of session by having responsibility hung on him, V-414
time, attitudes of the pc about, II-1
pc’s regard for or attitude about time can make it difficult to run R3R or R3N, V-330
time track does not obey a preclear (early in auditing), V-274
tired = no sleep or failed purpose = check which it is and handle, VII-46, 359
tone arm conscious body-moving pc, how to cure, V-373
too wild to audit, VII-65; X-20
training, lack of, means more trouble for pc in making his gains stably, VII-70
“transferred” to DofP, how to handle, IV-216
trouble, formula of attack on area where pc is having trouble, IV-25
trying to prove himself right and auditor wrong, gets no-change sessions, V-323
two conditions under which pc violently protests ARC breaks, III-303
Type A and Type B pcs, handling of, V-434
types of preclears and what to run, III-390
unchanging, what to do, IV-219
unconsciousness, “dopiness” or agitation on the part of the pc, cause of, II-449
unconscious pc, how to audit, VII-323
under tension of poor TRs has a hard time and does not F/N sometimes, inviting overrun, VII-197
unflat on Dianetics will have out lower grades, VII-59
unwanted pc condition or aberration, how to handle, IV-44
unwilling to be audited, what to run, III-326, 468, IV-497
upset, look into two-way comm processes in folder and treat them as L-N processes where pc has answered with items, VIII-270
upset pc, assessment method to use, VII-51
valence and preclear; see valence volunteers some answer to unreading question, how to handle, VII-317
what auditor believes has little to do with pc’s reality, VI-345
what can he do, III-183
what is right and wrong with pc, scale of, in order of importance, IV-121
what is wrong with pc is not known to pc; if pc knows all about it, it isn’t wrong with him, IV-331
“What question shouldn’t I ask you?”, if pc evades this, how to handle, IV-180
when he’s made it is sent to attest by C/S, X-153
when pc is talking and no TA, you already have an ARC break or are about to get one, V-336
when pcs don’t recover very fast, they don’t want to, how to handle, IV-58
where pc is on Tone Scale, how to establish, II-518
who always has problems, IV-63
who answers with “anything” or “everything”, II-258
who answers with systematized generality, II-256
who believes that every cause brings about a destruction, IV-35
who demonstrates concern of an aberrated magnitude, I-24
who dives into past lives when asked for overts, V-440; VIII-371; IX-269
who does not cognize, cause of, V-36
who does not get physical reaction as result of processing II-19
who does not go down somatic chain but who skips from one somatic to another could also get into grinding, VI-360
who does not go past lives in Dianetics doesn’t recover, VII-452; VIII-330, 339
who does not participate in process of being processed, II-20
who does not resolve on Standard Dianetics alone, how to handle, VI-395
who do not hold their gains are PTS, VII-452
who has trouble needs training, VII-99
who is difficult to process is not in contact with his own universe, II-52
who isn’t cogniting regularly, reason why and handling of, III-181
who knows what is wrong, X-32
who only gets death pictures or bad pictures is somewhere late on cycle of action or late on an inversion cycle, IV-35
who resent F/N indications, cause of, VII-78
who won’t go backtrack, reasons for, VIII-276, 388
why pugnacious and threatening toward world, II-8
willingness to receive directions, II-17
willing to be helped by auditor, IV-66
will win if run so as to obtain good TA action, V-327
will worsen after auditing if connected to an SP, VI-76
withdrawn or misemotional in life after Prep checking, cause and remedy of, V-67
withhold depends utterly on pc’s idea of what is an overt, V-40
withholds and preclear; see also withholds
withholds, pc giving another’s, VIII-176; IX-279
withholds, pc with withholds will be critical, natter or blow and is out of comm, VI-76
preclear(s) (cont.)

withholdy on IP, insert “Have I missed an Integrity Processing question on you?”, VIII-177
“withholdy” vs. “ARC breaky”, VI-22
with ruds out blows nothing, V-18
won’t run when auditor’s comm cycle is out, and
pc is an Ethics type case, VI-49

Preclear Application Form for any major auditing action, VII-14, 16

Preclear Assessment Sheet [Form] (White Form), X-4, 168
begin Dianetics with, X-168
purpose of, IV-392; X-168
use of, VII-339, 340
why you do one, VI-313
pre-clearing intensive [1962], V-166
predict(ing), prediction(s), II-477
defn., knowing the future, II-440
confidence is ability to predict, V-93
overts and prediction, IV-404
relation to imagination and function of human mind, I-323

predictability is strongly connected with ability to have or own, IV-54

predisposition, precipitation and prolongation of illness, VIII-210

pregnant,
Dianetic auditing on pregnant woman, VII-2
Streptomycin can cause pregnant mothers to give birth to children who have impaired hearing, VII-210
woman and auditing, I-118

Pre-Havingness Scale (Prehav Scale), IV-197; see also Scn 0-8
defn., any scale giving degrees of doingness or not doingness, V-173
amended and revised, IV-282, 335, 375
assessment, IV-197, 207, 225, 273, 282, 324
defn., any method of discovering a level on a scale for a given pc, V-173
flatten a level before reassessing, IV-327
for Prehav level on SOP Goals, how to, IV-268
how to assess Prehav Scale, IV-332
how to do “Roll Your Own” Prehav, V-173, 174

mistakes in, IV-327
not by elimination, IV-273
null all Prehav levels that react on assessment on the first terminal, IV-269
rock slams, handling, IV-283
TA behavior on, IV-238
you get a wrong assessment if pc has suppressed, invalidated or protested a button, V-173

change belongs at “inverted control” on ~, IV-320
command for communication on ~, IV-211
command sheet—Pre-Havingness Scale, IV-199
flat, cases may slump between sessions until Prehav Scale is flat, IV-209

Pre-Havingness Scale (Prehav Scale) (cont.)

“flat” when the TA moves only 1/4 to 1/8 of a division up or down in 20 minutes of auditing, IV-283
general runs on ~, IV-3 17
without terminal, IV-326
level(s), IV-418
defn., any doingness or not doingness scale;
any word in scale itself, V-173
not a picture of analytical thought; it is in order it is in because it is a picture of reactive thought, IV-331
one-time Prehav rule, IV-273
Primary Scale, IV-282, 285
amended, IV-336

PTPs of long duration, run on ~, IV-271, 326
read, defn., any reaction of needle different from its regular action for pc, occurring during or slightly after a level has been called, V-173

Secondary Scale, IV-286
contains nearly all simple verbs in English language, properly placed for level and repeated on other levels, IV-282

use of, IV-198, 282
in SOP Goals Intensive, IV-206
when first terminal is flat, IV-216
3GA—listing by Prehav, V-163, 164

Pre-Have 3D Scale, Auxiliary, IV-434

Pre-Intensive Interview and Pre-Goals Assessment Check by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT1, IV-228, 254

Prelogics, I-433; see also A&L; Scn 0-8

premature acknowledgements; see also acknowledgements
effects of, VI-26, 138; VII-252, 253
result of, IX-77, 78

prenatal(s),
birth or prenatal engrams, do not run, unless they come up naturally, VI-163
birth, prenatails and conception are a bounce from a death, III-411

engram, first-book case is stuck in a, 1-301
engrams; see also DTOT; DMSMH

incidents; see History of Man

public acceptance of the idea, 1411
scanning in ~ area can be dangerous, 1-108

pre-OT(s); see also Operating Thetan
between R6 and OT III, it is possible to repair, so long as you are not trying to handle his whole case but only repairing grade he missed, VII 466
brief dirty needle on ~ means “no”, VI-220

C/S plus pre-OT is greater than bank, VIII-86
do not C/S their own folders, VIII-86; X-214-15
having a Solo and auditing folder, C/S must look at both before C/Sing, VII-95
must not self-audit, VIII-85
often have plain withholds with no overt connected, VI-280
require fast auditors, VI-417
prepared list(s), see also list

defn., is one which is issued in an HCO B and is used to correct cases, VIII-213 and C/S data, X-188 are unlimited so long as the items are varied, VI-280 assessed Method 3 can be ended off on a big win, VII-317 assessment list, you don’t begin it until you get an F/N, IX-224 assessment means the locating on a prepared list, one item, VI-266 assessment of prepared lists, VII-51, 280; X-230; see also assessment auditor eyesight and prepared lists, X-240 auditor failure to get a list to respond or note it then defeats C/S completely, VIII-234 auditor must clear each and every word on ~, VIII-94 auditor outnesses causing a null , VIII-213 auditors who can’t assess lists, results of, VIII-426 clear up “failed cases”, VIII-426 combined action of locating and handling using prepared lists, VII-406; X-189 correction list(s), VII-465; X-209, 230 defn., list designed to find by-passed charge and repair a faulty auditing action or life situation, VII-51 defn., list of prepared questions on a mimeoed use of prepared lists, VII-5 1, 65 sheet which is used by auditor for repair of use of suppress and invalidate buttons and misa particular situation, action, or rundown, understood word tech on prepared list, VIII-45 and red tags, X-227 assessment method, VII-465; X-230 Auditor Correction List, VIII-60 Course Supervisor Correction List, VIII-52 Cramming action when correction list said to be blank, VII1464 Cramming can assess correction lists, VIII-66 drill for, X-231-32 GF, overlist, how to handle, VII-273 numbers of, X-210 Okay to Audit correction lists, X-227 pc is flubbed or red tagged, auditor takes pc back in at once and repairs any error with correction list for that action, VIII-320 primary tool of a C/S is prepared correction lists, VII-387, 465 PTS RD Correction List, VIII-89; X-216 relation to worksheet admin, IX-45 Study Correction List, VIII-16 TRs and correction lists, VII-464, 465 TRs and metering, X-230 two ways to use, VI-210 use of, VII-51, 464; X-209, 230-32 word cleared correction list noted on a Yellow Sheet, IX-10 Word Clearing Correction List, VIII-304 Word Clearing or auditing, commonest error in, is failure to use correction lists, VIII-67 prepared list(s) (cont.) C/Sing prepared lists for new auditors, VII-410 C/S’s main tool for discovery and correction, VIII-234 error in using prepared lists, VII-51 failed sessions, most common reason for, is in ability of auditor to get reads on lists, VIII-233 failure to use, X-209 F/Mng, defn., on calling it whole list item by item is to F/N, VIII-213; X-240 give C/S new data, X-189 it takes correct metering and impingement to make a list read, VIII-234; X-245 method of use, X-209-10. 230-31 missing items on, leaves BPC on pc, VIII-426 misunderstood words and prepared lists, X-240 mock-up processing from prepared lists, I-323 no-case-gain, slow-case-gain, sickie and “failed cases”, handled by basic lists, VIII-426 out TRs and prepared lists, X-240, 244 programming from, VII-280, 405;X-149, 151 remedy for an auditor who can’t get read on lists, VIII-233, 234 sequence of handling reads on, VII-280, 281 take each read to an F/N, or E/S to F/N, VII-196 two-way comming reading items, VII-411 use of prepared lists, VII-51, 65 use of suppress and invalidate buttons and mis understood word tech on prepared list, VIII 213; X-240 word clearing lists for prepared lists, VIII-366 Precheck(ing), V-28, 39, 40, 62, 88, 89 administration of Precheckping, V-29 always test an item for read before Precheckping or running recall or engrams, VII-50 auditor fault in ~ is going too shallow, V-62 buttons, orderof, V-133, 363, 446, 447 CCHs run alternated with ~ [1962], V-51, 127 developed to handle auditor’s difficulty in “vary ing the question” in pulling withholds, V-28 earlier similar, V-29, 39 goals and Precheckping, V-201 Goals Precheck Form—Routine 3GA, V-106 if no TA action shift to CCHs [1962], V-43 limitations of use of ~ and 3D Criss Cross, V-52 listing is not Precheckping, V-159 Listing Precheck, HCO WW R-3GA Form 1, V-109 magic phrase of Precheckping, V-29 mechanics of Precheckping, V-28 middle ruds, V-83, 99 missed withhold cleaning, do not use ; system, V-61 missed withhold, don’t ask for while ~, V-63 never precheck an item that doesn’t read, VII-49 never precheck while doing Dianetics, this pushes up engrams, VII-228 ; VIII-291, 385
Prepclearing, preparatory to clearing, use of, V-24
present, present time (PT) (cont.)
Problems Intensive, V-116
purpose of, is to set up a pc's rudiments so they will stay in during further clearing of bank, V-31
Q and A is a serious thing in Prepchecking, V-40
question, target of, is a chain of withholds, V-28
repair, V-67
Repetitive Prepchecking, V-98, 361
on Problems Intensive, V-116
replaces ~ by the Withhold System, V-98
repetitive rudiments, don't use on end ruds of ~ or R3 session, V-96
rudiments in Prepchecking, V-42, 63
rudiments, you can get nice gains by ~ all rudiments, V-70
rule of, always work specific incidents, V-41
See Checking combined with ~ [1962], V-62
session, when getting rudiments in avoid any O/W questions, one exception, V-42
two-way comm is lighter than I'repcheck, VII-93; X-43
What question(s), asked to expose and clean a chain of overts, V-89
ask for M/W/Hs only after a What question is null, V-63
asking the What question, V-31
ask What question after getting single specific overt to expose and clean a chain of overts, V-39, 89
formulation of the What question, V-89
testing What questions, V-90
when Prepcheck uncovers and handles ARC break planation of, V-276
Withhold System used in Prepchecking, V-28
working with no TA is a profitless chain, V-40
Zero question(s), V-34, 60
list of Prepchecking Zero questions, V-83
Zeros and Zero A questions, how to derive, V-30
Prepclearing, preparatory to clearing, use of, V-24
present life valences, past track valences are preferable to run over ~, III-284
present, past and future; see NSOL
present, present time (PT); see also time; PXL
defn., response to continuous rhythm of physical universe, resulting in a hereness in nowness, V-276
anatomy of, II-81
and past, II-409
and past time, relationship, II-224
anyone can be brought more into present time with objective processes, VIII-393
anyone forced into dangerous environment tends to either go fully into PT or retreat from PT, VI-293
assists for PT location by Comm Process, III-547
children in and out of, I-325
present, present time (PT) (cont.)
Comm Process, avoid pinning the process in ~, III-53
confronting present time, III-96
cyclical aspect of recall type processes, phenomena of pbouncing into PT, IV-51
disconnection from present time, III-97
drug may be taken to drive a person out of an unbearable PT, VI-292
generating a person into, II-80
generating pc into, I-426
identifying past with present, II-224
is only referral point that exists; in its absence all becomes "bank", IV-155
Locational Processing to bring pc up to ~, III-239
mental image pictures are only de-solidified present times, III-34
mind is a mechanism for overcoming the lack of incidents, lack of experience in ~, III-151
neurotic, barely able to keep up with the present, II-1
Opening Procedure of 8-C is putting pc into contract with what is present time, VIII-108
pc stuck on track, even if appears to be in present time, I-16
people go out of present time because they can't have the most of present time, IV-155
reality, avoidance of, is avoidance of present time, II-1
Recall Processes take pc out of PT and put him back in, III-536
relationship to insane and drug taker, VI-292
rhythm is source of present time, V-276
Straight Wire and present time, II-227
thetan's continuous presence in ~, possible ex plantation of, V-276
Then and Now Solids consists exactly of making pc capable not only of contacting and handling ~, but also any segment of the past, III-34
time, by a sequence of de-solidifying present time one evidently achieves time, III-34
time is a process of knowing in the present and not-knowing in the future or the past, II-440
under threat thetan goes out of PT, VI-291
very sane confront the present entirely, II-1
Present Time Differentiation; Exteriorization by Scenery, SOP 8 Step V [process], I-392; VIII-30
run psychotic cases on, VIII-121
present time problem(s) (PTP), III-168, 315, 488;
IV-43, 61, 377; VI-434; X-57; see also Present Time Problem Processes; problem; rudiments defn., is one which has its elements in the material universe in PT, which is going on now, and which would demand pc's attention to such an extent that he would feel he had better be doing something about it rather than be audited, III-168
defn., (problem itself, not just its terminals, must exist in PT) something worrying pc so much
present time problem(s) (PTP) (cont.)
defn. (cont.)
that he will have a difficult time keeping his attention on auditing, III-243, 296
defn., one that exists in PT, in a real universe; any set of circumstances that so engages attention of pc that he feels he should be doing something about it instead of being audited, III-488
defn., basically the inability to confront the dual terminal nature of this universe, IV-61
defn., a special problem that exists in the physical universe now on which pc has his attention fixed, IV-62
defn., problem that exists now in physical universe, IV-210
ARC breaks, PTPs and withholds all keep a session from occurring, VII-178; IX-181
ARC breaks, withholds and PT problems can stop a case, IV-207, 210
as something “worrying” the pc, II-447
auditing over a W/H and PTP = no case gain, VII-123
commands for, VI-259
don’t mistake a PTP or withhold for an ARC break, VI-77
failure to handle the ~, cause of stalled cases, II-449
flat when pc doesn’t have to do anything about it, III-407
goals and PT problem, IV-210
handling by Problem of Comparable Magnitude, III-8
how to handle present time problems [1964], V-468
how to run PTP [1958], III-315
if it doesn’t free on Help it is under-pinned by a similar earlier problem, III-268
is a highly vital point of pc participation, III-158, 177
is exactly and only what pc thinks or says it is, V-463
is not flat if pc still trying to do something about it, II-447
is pain in some member of the body, what to run, III-168
is the only thing which can keep a case from gaining, III-161, 181
left in restim, or not located at all, effect on OCA/APA, handling of, III-276, 285, 334
long duration PTPs, IV-271
prevent good gain and send pc into back track, VI-91
run on Prehav, IV-326
makes it hard for pc to confront session, III-311
must be flat before session is continued, II-447
no gains occur in presence of, V-468, 470
OCA/APA, PTP can hold a graph unchanging and only an ARC break can lower one, VI-16
present time problem(s) (PTP) (cont.)
out of session, caused by W/Hs and PTPs, IV-62
overts, ARC breaks and PTPs, V-468; see also BCR
overts as a solution to a PTP, how to handle, VI-23
pc being audited over PTP won’t be making any gains, VI-76, 429
pc generally doesn’t know he has one which is nagging him, III-158, 177
pc often gives a PTP when asked for goals, IV-210
pc’s case is a composite of ~, II-295
pc who is having lots of PTPs has his attention very fixed on something, IV-61
pc with ~ needs more games, II-446
person in the PTP is often the current clue to the case, IV-61
personnel involved in a ~ must exist right now in the physical universe, III-406
person with PTP will get no graph change, V-185
prevents progress, IV-217
Process(es); see Present Time Problem Processes
psychosomatics may come under head of ~, III-243
run only PTP that reads, III-315
run O/W on constant restimulator of PTPs, IV-39, 61
sick body is a PTP and inhibits attaining spiritual freedom by Scientology, VI-347
stalls cases, III-382
sticks the graph, makes it register no change, IV 61
substituting for the present time problem, II-303
there are many people who cannot tackle a ~ with a process, III-159
thetan, big PTPs a thetan has are his body, VI-339
thetan will dream up ARC breaks to exteriorize his attention from a ~, III-304
things to audit present time problem with, III-168
threat to havingness is present time problem, III-195
to tell pc what his PTP is and then audit what auditor said it was will inevitably ARC break pc, V-463
two-way communication and present time problem; see PXL
what is a PTP, IV-61
when a GPM item exists in PT it constitutes a ~, V-185
whycasedoesn’ tchangeinpresenceof, III-195
Present Time Problem Processes, III-196; IV-61; see also present time problem
“Describe the problem to me” “How does it seem now?”, IV-42
Tone 40 Locational Processing, to run when pc’s communication is too poor to run PTPs with Problems of Comparable/Incomparable Magnitude, III-254
use “From where could you communicate to a (general form of terminal)?”, III-497
Present Time Problem Processes (cont.)
use Selected Persons O/W Straight Wire [1959], III-402
using “worse than” [process], III-158, 177
“What part of that problem could you be re-

sponsible for?”, III-296, 315
present(s), IV-74
additions, IV-134
assist dissemination, IV-72, 73
auditing preession, IV-141
commands for Presessions II-X, Havingness and
Confront, IV-142
handle: help factor, control factor, pc communication
factor, interest factor, IV-72
help is first button, IV-86
is run without a Model Session, IV-180
of the 1st Saint Hill ACC, IV-142
pre-presession stage that’s a confessional, IV-89
Presession Communication, IV-135
Presession Control, IV-134, 135
Presession Help: two-way Help on auditor-pc, IV-
134
Presession Interest: live or die, IV-134
Presession One (Help, Control, Communication,
Interest), IV-175
Presession Two, IV-139
based on theory that one is taking sixth dy-
namic off seventh dynamic, IV-141
steps of, IV-139
Presession 37, IV-I 80
method of getting off withholds, IV-180
use of, IV-204
“What question shouldn’t I ask you?”, IV-154, 194
thirty-six new presessions, IV-156
type session, havingness can be run on any, IV-90
what to do for person after Presession Processes,
IV-79
press, VI-392
and government attack, II-312
enthea and attacks in, IV-148
good press on Scientology, II-311
handling, let case histories do the talking, II-309
how to handle, II-442
interviews, discuss the project not Scientology,
II-353
prints bad news, II-170
Scientologists should never let themselves be inter-
viewed by the press, II-155
stories, written before the interview, II-169
press beam, I-290
pressure(s), ~
bank solidity is a form of ~, V-175; VI-192
high TAs, heavy pressures and even illness can
come from by-passed flows, VII-212; VIII-286,
170
Locational Communication relieves face pressures
and terror stomachs, III-466
pcs are uncomfortable, feel under pressure, when
their TA is high, VII-169
pressure(s) (cont.)
somatic is, in Dianetics, considered to be a
symptom in a lock, secondary or engram,
simply part of content, VI-342
pretense, defn, false reason or excuse; a mere show
without reality, VIII-102
prevention of engram, I-114
Preventive Dianetics, I-47
defn, I-113; see also DMSMH
basis of: prevention of acquisition and stimula-
tion of engram, I-121
formula of, I-113
Preventive Scientology, defn, individual is inhibited
or restrained from assuming states lower than
he has already suffered from, II-441
Primary Correction Rundown (PCRD), VIII-65, 133,
157; IX-320, 326; see also Primary Rundown
checklist, VIII-134; IX-320
end phenomena of a ~, VIII-I 59; IX-328
handling, VIII-157
pre-PCRD steps, VIII-158; IX-327
purpose of the PCRD is to get the person through
the PRD, VIII-137, 157; IX-326
when the PCRD is given, VII-133
Primary Rundown (PRD), VIII-135; IX-322, 323; see
also Primary Correction Rundown
actions in HGC are case handling and Word Clear-
ing Method 1, VIII-76
auditor flubs, X-233
consists of Word Clearing and study tech; it makes
a student superliterate, VIII-135; IX-323
every definition of a word must be looked up,
IX-479
handling of Study Tapes, VIII-75; IX-322
is given in Tech Division, IX-323
keynote of Primary Rundown is honesty, VIII
135; IX-323
non-PRDs, VIII-163
product, VIII-77, 135
steps, VIII-136; IX-324
students who struggle with, are given Primary Cor-
tection Rundown, IX-326
super-lteracy is end product of PRD, VIII-155;
IX-464
Tech DivPrimary Rundown, VIII-76, 135
use of Word Clearing Method 4, VIII-77
Word Clearing Method 8 is an action used in the
Primary Rundown, VIII-155; IX-464
Primary Scale; see Pre-Havingness Scale, Primary
Scale
prime datum, no such thing; there must be two data
since datum is of no use unless it can be
evaluated by datum of similar magnitude, III
422
primitive culture, example of educating a ~, VIII
380
primitives, help = betrayal, IV-86
primitives, insanity rises when veneered by white
customs, IV-82
principles, basic reason—basic principles, I-148
prior assessment, one has to assess what was wrong
before or prior to the cure, VI-377
prior confusion, IV-401, 409; VIII-237; see also con-
fusion
all problems are preceded by ~, IV-409
finding, IV-415
hidden standards are result of ~, IV-409
sec checking area of prior confusion, IV-406, 414
Security Checking includes ability to locate area
of prior confusion, IV-390
stuck points on time track stick because of ~,
IV-414
to self-determined change, V-116
use of in Problems Intensive, IV-414
prior reads,
defn., reads which occur prior to completion of
major thought, V-264
compartmenting the question, exploring ~, V-77
prison and army systems of punishment, III-235
privacy, invasion of, III-496
problem(s); see also present time problem; Problem
Processes
defn., consists of two or more purposes opposed,
II-424, 446
defn., conflict arising from two opposing inten-
tions, III-488
defn., intention vs. intention or “two or more
opposing and conflicting views on same sub-
ject”, IV-61
defn, an intention-counter-intention that worries
pc, IV-210
defn., two or more postulates in opposition to
each other, IV-354
defn., postulate-counter-postulate, IV-413; V-185;
VI-109
all problems are preceded by a prior confusion,
IV-409
anatomy of, is intention vs. intention, II-446
anatomy of, is purpose-counter-purpose, II-424
and chronic somatics, II-322
and games, similarities, II-446
as counter-created barriers to the pc, II-418
auditing, how it becomes a problem to pc, III-195
auditor worried about his pc is working over a
problem, VII-362
basic anatomy of, III-1 I 3
basic problem is postulate-counter-postulate, III-
303
case not advancing has problems, VII-58, 101
confronting problem without doing something
about it, IV-61
consists of two opposed stable data and therefore
two confusions, IV-354
dating the problem in Problems Intensive, what it
does, IV-415
five methods of handling, I-34
fixated attention shows up as a problem but is
usually a hidden standard, VIII-262
force opposing force with resultant mass, IV-426
goal has anatomy of problem, IV-416
problem(s) (cont.)
Grade II or above has a problem, that means
Grade I is out, VII-101
handling and running, III-164
handling of, unless the pc can get idea of problem,
the technique is unworkable, III-165
havingness and problems, II-304
havingness is the clue to problems, III-117
hidden standard is always an old problem of long
duration, VI-109
hidden standard is special problem pc thinks must
be resolved before auditing can be seen to have
worked, VIII-262
hidden standards by which all auditing progress is
judged, IV-354
how to take apart, IX-310
invention of, why not aberrative, III-196
is a game, III-196
is not a condition or a terminal; it is a “how” or
“whether”; it is a doingness, not a person,
III-315
is two-terminated, III-303
Locational Processing to handle, III-122
margin of error allowable for a problem, I-73
mind is important to degree it can observe, pose,
resolve and execute problems, I-27
mis-definitionof, III-303
most stuck point on track is a problem, IV-414
necessary to the playing of a game, II-446
no TA (or case gain ) = problem = locate problem,
VII-59
of barriers, otherlikecrack, howresolved, II-15
of parent, I-325
of sedation, I-104
old solution causing new problems, IV-62
one cannot have a problem without overts and
withholds against people involved in it, for one
cannot be so individuated as to not influence
others unless one has O/Ws on those others,
IV-414
OT VI with ~ is really just an unflat Grade I,
VII-59
overt, ARC break, ~, relation between, IX-275
past; see Advanced Procedure & Axioms
pc in looking over problems falls into realizing
what his actual desires are, IV-57
pc released at Zero will of course soon begin to
have problems; he goes to next grade, not to
Review for an assist, VI-252
pc who always has problems, IV-63
peculiar to psychotics, I-58
penalty of solving problems, III-462
person begins to suffer from problems when he
does not have enough of them, II-424
psychosomatic illness, relation to insufficiency of
problems, II-226
PTS condition is actually a problem and a mystery
and a withdrawal, VIII-98
remedy of problems of havingness is accomplished
by creating an abundance of all things, II-14
problem(s) (cont.)
resolution of all problems is a study in rightness and wrongness, I-69
resolution, relation to ability to predict a future state of beingness, I-323
scale of succumb problems, III-3 15
shows up as an out rud in GF 40 and is simply put in as a rud not as a grade, VII-101
solutions are ordinarily an alter-is of problems, IV-54
solutions, belief that solutions collapse problems on thetan, cause of, III-462
somatics, aberrations, circuits and problems are postulate-counter-postulate situations, IV-414
suppressive, if you find a suppressive on a case you will also find a chronic problem, VI-109
tend to snap in on the pc, IV-61
thetan has no problems of his own, II-434
thetan thinks he needs them to keep his attention exteriorized from rock chain, III-304
unhappiness is due to lack of problems, II-424
unsolved, defn, is an effort which has not been overcome and thereby causes randomness of effort encysted in time, I-168
when is it flat, III-10
why people won’t solve their problems, III-462
why problems hang and float in time, IV-414
winner, problems of, II-398
Problem Process(es), IV-61, 354; see also problem always run the process of Problems on the subject of terminals, never on conditions, II-354
auditing Problems cures alter-isness in a case, IV-354
Invent a Problem, III-383
“Invent a problem that person (weak universe) could be to you”, II-373; VIII-125
“Invent a specific problem (terminal) could be to you”, used to remedy havingness of problems, II-443
Problems and Solutions, II-218, 250; IV-54
Problems of Comparable/Incomparable Magnitude, III-196
incomparable magnitude as alternate to comparable magnitude, III-165
Locational Processing and Problems of Comparable or Incomparable Magnitude, which to run, III-325
Tone 40 Locational Processing run when pc’s communication is too poor to run Problems of Comparable/Incomparable Magnitude, III-254
Problems of Comparable Magnitude, II-447; III-10, 114, 122, 303, 316
handling and running, III-164, 229
how to run, II-295
procedure, III-165
reason it works, III-167
running the creation which is countering the survival of the pc, II-414
R2—20, Problems and Solutions, II-218, 250
Problem Process(es) (cont.)
Sec Check and Problem, ratio between in terms of time [RIA], IV-355
“Tell me your problem.” “How does it seem to you now?”, IV-61
“Tell me your problem.” “What part of that problem could you confront?”, IV-62
“Tell me your problem.” “What part of that problem have you been responsible for?”, IV-62
“What is the problem?” “What solutions have you had for that problem?”, IV-62
“What motion have you been responsible for?”, IV-62
“What part of that problem could you be responsible for?”, III-315
“What problem about a leg could you confront?”, IV-54
“What problem could you confront?”, IV-61
“What problem have you been (or might you have been) responsible for?”, IV-62
“What two things can you confront?”, IV-62
Problems Intensives, IV-401, 414
assessment, example, IV-410
for staff clearing, IV-392
goals presented in ~ should not be given vast importance or suppressed, V-201
pattern for a new Problems Intensive, V-116
Recall a Terminal and ~, alternated with R-2H, V-406
Repetitive Prechecking on, V-116
turning points are simply self-determined changes in pc’s life, IV-401
use of the prior confusion, IV-414
what Problems Intensive does for pc, V-146
Problems Release—Graded Release, VI-95, 96, 98
process(es), III-229; see also specific processes by name
defn., a Scientology exercise, II-405
defn., consist of utilizing the principle of the gradient scale to the end of placing pc in better control of himself, his mind, people and universe around him, II-443
above the pc’s level, II-218
all fail if pc is out of session, IV-175
allowed [1961], IV-325, 385
all unlimited and highly workable processes are games conditions, II-557
and ARC, I-103
approaches, I-150
are as good as they are simple, II-52
are not drills, VI-40
are run as long as they produce tone arm change, IV-218
as distinct from procedures—II-443
as domination, I-153
auditing a process is a simple A to B action, VII-289
auditing discipline, Auditor’s Code, TRs are needed to make processes work, V-263
process(es) (cont.)
auditor has to be skilled on one at least and
know all about it before he can do two, V-432
auditors asking odd non-process questions while
“doing aprocess” and giving odd orders is very
bad, VIII-160
auditors must read materials and check out before
they do the process, VII-378
basic chart of process types [1957], III-131
basic processes, VI-278
best processes are those which fastest convert un-
knowing games conditions to knowing games
conditions, III-9
bullbait that uses actual processes or implants
should be stamped out hard, VII-192

process(es) (cont.)
extra processes, use The Book of Case Remedies
and those Creation of Human Ability processes
not used in standard rundowns, VII-432
failing to use all ~ for level is a High Crime,
VII-80
far better to teach and process a person than only
to process him, II-406
flattening, III-398; see also end phenomena
and unflattening, phenomena of, II-328
before you change, V-76
flows, it is type of process rather than the type of
pc which regulates the flows, VI-307
free needle, if process is overrun free needle van
ishes with just one extra command, VI-144
freeze, III-240
goal of, I-432
good scale of processes [1959], III-397
has not been the correct one or has not been run
correctly or has not been run long enough if
there has not been an increase in pc’s ability to
grant life to others and to his environment,
II-255
how long to run process, IV-42, 218
in the first book designed for wide-open case,
I-301
is flat when question no longer influences com-
munication factors of pc, II-235
is slanted toward reconditioning ability of in
dividual to handle his own memory package,
II-210
jumping, X-14
keynote of any process is the skill of its appli-
cation, II-52
lag,
defn., length of time it requires for pc to
obtain a result from a process, II-129
defn., length of time it takes to reduce all
communication lag from a type of question
or action in auditing, II-130
and learning lag, III-18
level processes must be audited in sequence in the
level itself, VI-27
levels—necessity for training, IV-261
levels of auditors and processes [1957], III-84
limited processes, VI-278
and unworkable processes are no-games condi-
tions, II-504, 557
any process which makes pc create, IV-35
lower level processes are run at sensitivity 16,
VI-110
major processes are done to improve case, VII-57
master process, defn., one which ran out all other
processes and processing, V-67
misprogrammed lower level processes, X-17
never restart a process the moment it is ended,
IV-44
non-cyclical process, defn., repetitive process
which does not cause pc to cycle on time
track, VI-101

CUMULATIVE INDEX— 1950/1975
numerous therapeutic processes can be easily derived from axioms, I-242
objective vs. subjective, II-448
"old" processes are not cancelled by new ones, VII-100
on gradient scale from unconscious pc to theta clear, list [1959], III-436
only assist in processing the pc, III-161, 181
outline of the processes [1953] developed and investigated, I-431
pan-determined vs. single viewpoint, II-418
pc attaining end phenomena before all processes run, what to do, VII-48, 361
purpose of Straight Wire on processing sessions, I-50
real and unreal to pc, difference between, III-182
reason for new, II-79
remedy is an auditing process designed to handle a non-routine situation, V-517
repairing unflat processes, V-67
rewording a process given in the levels can be catastrophic, VI-27
routine is a standard process, designed for best steady gain of pc at that level, V-517
running too many, II-449
running with no apparent gain, reason for, III-195
run on the alternate questions system, II-417
run the process as long as it produces change, II-236
safe processes, IV-406
sequence of, [1960], IV-90
short-cut, X-40
should not be extracted, X-151
six basic process types, III-479
standard process, errors that make it not seem to work, VI-76
star-rated checkouts of processes are required before application, VI-156
Model Session, V-86, 399; VI-45
stopping a process, IV-218; see also end phenomena
survival, all processes have aligned on "survive", III-320
terminals, in the absence or unreality of a terminal the significance in a process will not function, I-235
that reduce havingness, II-490
that turns on a bizarre or unwanted condition will always turn it off, IV-218
that turns something of turns it off, V-126
Think processes are unlimited, VI-282
two-way communication, part of every process, II-138
unflat, repair has priority, X-16
unreality of processes, too high for a pc, III-96
unstable gain means too many processes or processes not flattened, handling of, III-285
"process(es) (cont.)"
use of for each Grade, X-13
use the processes which improve the pc's case, II-97
what process to run on the pc, II-246
what they are, III-161, 181
when to begin processing after sedation, I-105
where they are on the ARC Tone Scale, II-131, 138
which turns on a somatics must be continued until it no longer turns on somatics, III-159, 179
will not function in presence of bad TRs, VII-348
processing; see auditing
Processing Check, Johannesburg, IV-325
Processing Check, Know to Mystery, V-1
Processing Security Check, IV-356
Process July, III-200
Process I—X, VI-25
procurement,
letters, X-85
auditors who have no pcs write procurement letters, VII-184
new pc procurement, X-85
produce, one has to produce to have, VIII-80
produce, person who doesn't produce becomes mentally or physically ill, VIII-80
product(s), defn., always something someone can have, VIII-44
hat on product before doing anything else, VIII-38
orders and products, VIII-37
org's main product, VIII-337
overt products, VIII-128
people not knowing their products require constant orders, VIII-37
purpose and Why and WC error correction, X-220
Product Clearing,
correction, VIII-96
Full Product Clearing Long Form, VIII-44
"quickie" Product Clearing, VIII-39
steps of, VIII-39
TA and Product Clearing, VIII-49
production is the basis of morale, VIII-38, 80
production, morale and exchange factor, VIII-80, 129
production, three actions to increase, IX-472
Product Officers, VIII-40
professional,
auditing, relation to free group auditing, I-347
auditor, III-102
in arts is one who obtains communication with art form at minimum sacrifice of technical quality, VI-84
professional Scientologist is one who expertly uses Scientology on any area or level of society, IV-106
profile; see OCA/APA

472
program, programming, VII-56, 58

defn., consecutive techniques or actions a case should have to get adequate tone arm action and achieve anew plateau of ability, V-386
defn., any series of actions designed by C/S to bring about definite results in pc, VII-47; 360; IX-27; X-6, 10
defn., sequence of actions session by session to be undertaken on case by C/S in his directions to auditor or auditors auditing case, VII-56; IX-27; X-10
defn., overall planning for person of courses, auditing and study he should follow for next extended time period, VII-141, 287; IX-312

Advance Program; see Advance Program and misprogramming, X-99

and the C/S Series, X-250

and the Grade Chart, X-250

A to B action, VII-289

auditors, let them complete programs on pcs even though going to Cramming, VII-380

backwards programming, VII-387; X-182-83
cases [1963], V-325, 331

chronic somatic, program for, X-57

Classification and Gradation Chart and all its processes and steps is basic program of any case, VII-56, 57

correct way to program, VII-57

crash programming training of auditors, X-70

cross programming, VII-261; X-137-38
current, on inside of folder, X-145
cycle, VII-261

is selecting an action to be performed, performing that action and completing it, V-410
data, programming without data is risky, VII-209

Dianetic programming, VII-340; see also Dianetics and drugs, X-158

correct, X-169

dispersal, VII-57, 58; X-11

EP, X-147

errors, VII-61, 206, 209, 387; X-16, 17, 97
evil purposes and R/S items are marked on left-hand edge of topmost program in red with date and worksheet page number, IX-28

Expanded Dianetic programming, IX-25 1; see also Expanded Dianetics

F/N what you ask or program, VIII-222

from prepared lists, X-149-50

from White Form, X-169

for flubless auditing, X-176-81

give priority to recent auditing errors or recent life catastrophes, VII-65

goofed, repair auditor and goofed pc and continue program, VII-276

Grade and Program violations, VII-47, 361

Grade Chart is basic program of pc, VIII-313

hopeful, X-99

incorrect ~ can add up to overwhelm, VII-62

intelligently, X-190

program, programming (cont.)

interjected by pc, X-137

interjected programs, VII-261

Introversion Rundown, program to fit the pc, VIII-260

length of programs, VII-87, 186; X-87

long, saves C/S time, X-37

major errors in, lay case open to goofed sessions, X-16

major Why of falling hours, incomplete programs and other confusions, VIII-149

misprogramming and programming, VII-209

necessity of working on case by case, VII-59; X-13

of cases, X-10

of fat folder cases cover lists, X-65

pc interest and TA action tell you ~ is right, V-325

Preclear Assessment Form, program from, VII-340

prepared lists, programming from, VII-280, 405

principal six errors of, X-99

Progress Program; see Progress Program quality, how to raise, VII-209; X-99

quickie, X-219

Repair Program; see Repair Program

Return Program; see Advance Program sequence of, X-151

Set-up Program; see Repair Program

Sheet, defn., sheet which outlines sequence of actions, session by session, to be run on pc to bring about a definite result, IX-10

short-cut, VII-90; X-40

“stale dated program” means it is too old to be valid, VII-356

standard 121~ hour intensive, X-139

three types, IX-27; X-11

TRs are a major program, VII-261; X-137

types of programs, VII-57

visual idea, X-138

violations, X-7

what it consists of, IX-27

progress board, IX-356

Progress Program, VII-98, 186; X-44, 48, 87, 88, 145, 151; see also Repair Program

defn., to eradicate case mishandling by current life or auditing errors; written on red sheet, IX-27

has reached its EP when pc is running well again, VII-278

may reach EP before written up program is completed, VII-261

Project Clear check sheet [1957], III-143

Project Clear processes, how to run, III-144

Project 80—its line and tone arm, V-351

prompters, V-512

propaganda, Russian, III-45

propitiate (Secondary Scale level), IV-298

Pro-survival/Contra-survival Processing, I-192

pro-survival object and contra-survival object, difference between, I-192

pro-survival valences, never run, III-284

protect (Secondary Scale level), IV-301
psychiatry, psychiatric, psychiatrists (cont.)
“psycho” analysis, hypnotism, “psychiatry” and other implant type therapies often key in and jam track, VII-449
psychology and psychiatry are political subjects aimed at control, VI-404
psychology and psychiatry, primitive though pre tending being advanced, VIII-202
psychosis, neurosis and psychiatrists, III-169 report on two cases that have received psychiatric and Euro-Russian therapy from the govern how ment, III-234
sees in every ability an insanity, III-170
thinks destroy is the same as help, III-252
whyt failed, IV-88
psychoanalysis, II-465, 475; III-537; see also psy chiatry; psychotherapy and Straight Wire, II-232
psychoanalysis costs, VII-112 "psychopolitics", brainwashing manual, II-309, 312, 328
psycho; see psychotics
psychosis, I-55; VI-131; VII-155; see also psychotics and misunderstood words are the only reasons for post failure, VII-381; IX-423
psychos; see psychotics
psychosis, I-25 and neurosis, break between established by, I-245 and neurosis, difference between, III-169 and neurosis, dividing line between, I-57
psychosis (cont.)
description of, X-72-73
equals succumb = rock slams, IX-149
new definition of, IV-136
rock slams indicate an area of psychosis which will
ruin pc’s life if allowed to go unhandled, VIII-345
psychosomatic(s); see also illness; somatic

defn., pains stemming from mind, VI-341
child’s psychosomatic difficulties, I-322
chronic, is an effort to succumb, IV-57
Clay Table Healing used to get rid of physical
discomfort of ~ origin, V-457
clear up, III-302
colds, tiredness and ~, process to cure, III-246
difficulties handled by Withhold, III-118
difficulties, obvious ~ difficulties or sexual parts,
 audit last, I-393
illness(es), I-10; see also illness; somatics;
DMSMH
become chronic, I-190
cause of, VI-340
chronic psychosomatic illness is usually help and psychotics, VI-133
Dianetic auditor can handle the bulk of,
VI-326, 349
how it is caused, II-431
percentage, I-421
processes that handle, II-448
relation to insufficiency of problems, II-226
unhappiness, inability to heal, and psycho-
somatic illness (which include some 70% of
the illnesses of man), are best healed by
immediate address of human spirit, II-153
may come under head of PH problem, III-243
not province of medical doctor, II-36
psychotherapy; see also psychiatry; psychoanalysis
concern and description of, I-320
goals of, to eradicate unsocial or aberrated behav-
or in an individual, II-237
never worked, why, III-201
psychotic(s), I-239; VI-131; see also psychosis

defn., person who does not have enough attention
in present time to be able to act rationally,
I-57
defn., the case which cannot observe but thinks
obsessively, I-433
defn., complete subject of one or more unknown
causes to which he is unwilling effect and any
effort on his part to be cause is interfered with
by thingstowhichheistheeffect, III-169
defn., that person who cannot receive orders of
any kind, who sits unmoving or goes berserk at
the thought of doing anything told him by
another determinism, IV-136
absolute minimum is 21% of population, VI-133
are PTS if only to themselves, VIII-209
attitude of the professional to ~, I-56
attitude to pain, II-2
behavior, VII-157, 158
psychotic(s) (cont.)
being, X-74
Book One addressed the psychotic, I-301
break, I-55, 57, 61
handling a person near a psychotic break, I-62
isolation of person in, VIII-260, 263
pc in, C/S would have to locate last severe
wrong indication, indicate the fact to pc
and get it corrected as first action, VIII
241, 256, 353
what it is, VIII-239, 249, 346
wrong indication can cause, VIII-239, 249,
346
cases, SOP 8 Step VII, I-392
characteristics of a psychotic, VI-133
classification of: computational, dramatizing,
missing-parts, I-57
concerned with the past, II-1
C/Sing and auditing psychos, VIII-264
family relationships, I-59
four types of treatment which will not help, I-60
handling the psychotic, I-55
help and psychotic, VI-133
how they run in processing, I-61
irrational treatment of, I-56
is incomprehensible, II-138
is motivated by intent to harm, VII-157
Kraepelin’s psychotic classification, I-473
motivation of, X-74
Opening Procedure 8-C, for use on ~, II-76, 81
people, I-100
persons unwilling to be audited, what to run,
III-468, 497
problems peculiar to, I-58
processing of, I-56
processing techniques, I-61
proper handling of, V-516
PTS Type Three is beyond facilities of orgs not
equipped with hospitals as these are entirely
psychotic, VI-113
relation of PTS person to psychotic, VIII-209
run psychotic cases on Present Time Differentia-
tion, VIII-121
run psychos on CCH 1, 2, 3, 4, III-502
Search and Discovery handles victims of ~,
VI-134
state,
defn., avoidance of future and present time
and a shift into the past, II-2
difference between psychotic state and sane
state is ability to make things solid, III
188
students, I-51
wide-open case, actually a psychotic who du-
plicates continuously and psychotically, II
19
psychotropic drugs, how they work, VI-3 14
PT; see present time
PTP; see present time problem
PTS; see potential trouble source
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

PTS Rundown, VII-452, 453, 454; VIII-19, 89, 91, 95, 98, 141, 209, 330, 338; see also potential trouble source
administrative tech of PTS Rundown, VIII-95; IX-136
commands of PTS Rundown, VII-454; VIII-332, 340
Correction List, VIII-89; X-231
C/Sing a PTS Rundown, VIII-91
end phenomena, VII-453; VIII-331, 340
end phenomena is attained when person is well and stable, VIII-92
Ethics go hand in hand with PTS RDs so 3 May Pl. comes before or after it, IX-160
flows of PTS Rundown, VIII-332, 340
Flow 0 commands on the PTS RD, IX-257
L&N for places and planetsshould be restricted to planets only on VA pcs and an L4BR used at first sign of trouble, VIII-142
makes a person not PTS, X-216
pointsofbreakdownofthe~, VIII-331, 339
product is a pc no longer PTS, X-218
PTS must be handled in Ethics and given a ~, VIII-76
reasons a PTS RD does not work, VIII-19
references, VIII-340
repair of ~, VIII-19, 340, 343
sick pcs should not be run on ~ as standard practice, VII-453; VIII-331, 339
steps, VIII-141, 331, 340
that does not work has not been done correctly, VIII-19
two-way comm question converted to L&N, VIII-142
valence shifts occur rapidly and frequently in PTS RDs, VII-453; VIII-331, 339
whentorun, VII-453; VIII-339
who does PTS Rundown, VIII-330, 338
whole point of a PTS Rundown is to make a person not PTS any longer, VIII-91
Why of robotism can be added to ~, VIII-130
yellow card is clipped to outside of folder by C/S until pc finishes PTS RD, IX-54
public(s), acceptance level of an audience, II-154
addressing groups, II-159
arguments concerning the mind, DMSMH handles them, IV-81
asking questions, best answer: read DMSMH, IV-79
effect of releasing materials to wrong public, II-86
if they don’t hear same thing being said at least three times, they believe it is impermanent, V-432
incredulity is an accidental protection, V-332
reality, II-265
uninformed and informed on subject of Scientology, DMSMH contains a bridge between them, IV-79
whole track stuff, don’t hand out to public, II-265
public(s) (cont.)
who seek to liken Scientology to something else, how to handle, VI-152
word-of-mouth, the ideal scene, II-155
public lecture tapes, VII-435, 436; IX-365, 437
public schools, Child Processing for use in, I-328
pulling beam, I-290
punishment,
blackmail and punishment are keynotes of all dark operations, IV-28
criminal codes and violent punishment are not needed to regulate social personalities, VI-182
doesn’t cure anything, IV-103
doesn’t make man work, he works as long as he can help, IV-148
drive, power deteriorates with, I-140
earlier assumption to punishment is that some thing can be done to another being, IV-104
is just another worsening of overt sequence and degrades punisher, IV-13
is supposed to bring about inaction, V-439; IX-269
man is basically good and is damaged by punish ment, IV-104
mechanisms of, IV-13
not an answer, III-558
people guilty of overts demand, IV-13
prison and army systemsof, III-235
psychiatric basic assumption that enough punish ment will restore sanity is disproven, IV-104
why it doesn’t cure criminality, VIII-371; IX-269
why it doesn’t work, V-322, 439
purpose(s),
artists, purpose S&D is very magical on, VI-219
auditors or C/Ses who begin to goof, how to handle by two-way comm or earlier ~, VI-306
difference between one thetan’s forward thrust and another’s is purpose, validity of, VI-198
failed purpose or pc stuck in something = dopey, tired, IX-213
pc’s own purposes, VI-67
pc tired = no sleep or failed purpose, check which it is and handle, VI146, 359
purpose clearing, VII-342, 385; see also Post Purpose Clearing
instant, VIII-363
person’s job or situation in life, VII-385; IX-429

Q
Q and A, V-74, 410; VIII-223, 230
defn, auditor changes the process just because pc changed or wandered, III-519
defn., auditor doing whatever pc says, IV-374, 375
defn., asking a question about a pc’s answer, V-74
defn., means that the exact answer to a question is the question, a factual principle; came to mean that auditor did what the pc did, V-74
Q and A (cont.)
defn., a failure to complete a cycle of action on a preclear, V-410
defn., is incorrect two-way comm action of wandering off question by feeding pc what pc said as question; Answer is taken as next auditor’s Question, VII-40, 82
defn., one did not get an answer to his question; not getting compliance with an order but accepting something else, VIII-230
defn., Q and A is simply postulate aberration, VIII-230
administrator Q and A, VIII-223 and F/Ns, X-243
ARC broken pc, never discipline or ~ with, V-286
auditor Q and A, VIII-222, 223
auditor Q and A-ing is giving session control over to the pc, V-74
body Q and A, VIII-231
cure for, is objective processes, VIII-232
cause and Q and A, VIII-225
causes ARC breaks by by-passing charge, V-283, 285, 419
change in pc causes auditor to stop or change process, IV-218
C/Sing a win, X-33
C/S Q and A, VII-75, 82, 83, 406; VIII-222, 223; X-27, 32, 34
C/S Q and A programs, X-243
cure of Q and A, VIII-223, 224, 225, 232
dangerous Q and A is that action of auditor which corresponds to pc’s avoidance of a hot subject or item, V-37
effect and Q and A, VIII-231
examples of, III-371; IV-373; V-74, 292
illness and Q and A, VIII-232
interrupting or changing an auditing comm cycle before it is complete, V-410
is a kind of illness; chronic overwhelm; handling of, VIII-224, 225
is a serious thing in PrepChecking, V-40
next grade please, X-33
origin of term comes from ‘~changing when pc changes’. V-410
pc who stops or alters course of action of auditor is out of session, II-524
reason for Q and A, VIII-224, 230
stateofpersonwhoQsandA, VIII-231
to abruptly C/S everything pc has just said is Q and A; but worse, it can lead to evaluation, VII-406
TR 4 exists so that pc’s origins are accepted and not Qed and Aed with or invalidated, VIII-289, 383
types: double questioning, changing because pc changes, and following pc’s instructions, V-74
with Exam statement of pc, VII-82
with F/Ns, the results of, X-243
with significance, X-34
Q and A (cont.)
with thoughts already in full view and you’ll never really ease up bank, VII-84
Qs (Prelogics); see Prelogics; Axioms & Logics; Scn
Quadruple Dianetics, VII-188, 210, 212, 226, 324, 459; X-91, 102, 108, 122; see also Dianetics, Full Flow; Flow 0
already flat Zero Flows are not uncommon, VII 228; VIII-385; X-124
and Dianetic remedies, X-93
and Int RD, X-102
and OTs, X-104
and upper level auditors, X-93
auditor checkout of, X-92
auditor errors in running Quad Dianetics, VIII 383; X-122
auditor requirements for ~, VIII-375, 383, 386; X-92, 122
cancelled, VIII-279; X-76
checklist, VII-189; X-92
Cleans and OTs, Quad Dianetics on, VIII-379; X-104
C/Sing Quad Dianetics, VIII-374, 376; X-91
dangers of, VII-226; X-122-26
do not audit four flow items until earlier Dianetic items brought into four flows, VII-210; X-102
errors, VII-226; X-122
Ex Dn, IX-256
flows, X-103
getting in all flows, X-102, 103, 109
narrative items or multiple somatic items, when to triple or quad, VIII-378; X-103
pcs, new and old, rules about Triple and Quad, VIII-373
promotion of, X-104
quadrupling earlier Dianetic items, VIII-377; X-102
rehab or run, X-110
reinstated, VIII-373
repair, X-103-04
resultsof, X-93, 110
safe course is to use Quad only on new never audited before pcs; those begun on Triples, use then only Triple Flows, VII-228; X-125
Triple and Quad reruns, VII-212; X-108
unrun F0 is checked for read before running, VIII-373, 374
use of Quadruple Dianetics, VII-188, 210; VIII 374, 377; X-91-93, 102-04
who to run on Quad, VIII-373
Qual,
defn., Qualifications Division (Division V of an org) where student is examined, crammed and awarded completions and certificates and where his qualifications are made a permanent record, VII-141, 287; IX-312
Admin, product of, VIII-188; IX-109
basis of Qual actions [1965], VI-73, 75
Qual (cont.)

chits when SP or PTS is found not sent to Ethics, VI-77
consultant service for pcs and students, VII-176
C/S has to straighten out Qual cramming, VIII-233
C/S makes sure Qual has a Cramming Officer, VIII-164
Cramming and auditor flubs, X-244
does not take orders on what to do to correct, VIII-188; IX-109
function is correction, VIII-188; IX-109
has to have a library, VII-207
highest crime in Tech and Qual is to fail to insist
on star-rated checkouts, VI-156
internes are a section in Qual, VII-332
keynote of Qual is correction, VI-211
library, IX-363; X-98
meter use in Qual, IX-121
pcs, Qual does not correct pcs, Tech does, VIII-320
Post Purpose Clearing, section of Dept 13, Div V, Qual Div, VII-342
senior datum, VI-211
tech and Qual actions, VIII-320
tech and Qual terminals and lines, C/S must co-ordinate, VII-375
technical actions [1965], VI-73
tech vs. Qual, VI-167
tools to handle a bogged or failed student, IX-452
word clearer, use of, IX-410, 411
quality, stats depend on volume and quality of
service, IX-7
Qual Sec, Cramming Officer and Interne Supervisor
are close technical links with C/S, VII-377
Sec is responsible for overall tech quality, how
he achieves this, VII-183
quarrel, lovers’, I-102
queries, technical queries, VIII-42, 424
questions, books answer people’s questions, IV-78
public asking, best answer: read DMSMH, IV-79
reading, X-79-80
TR3, duplication of questions, II-236, 444; see also TRs
unread, X-3
quickie, VIII-93; X-38, 49, 62
defn., brush-off “lick and a promise”, VIII-39
defn., not doing all steps and actions that could be
done to make a perfect whole, VIII-93
defn., omitting actions for whatever reason that
would satisfy all demands or requirements and
doing something less than could be achieved,
VIII-93
defn., something done or made in a hurry; a
hurriedly planned and executed program (as of studies), VIII-93
cultural inclination to “quickie”, VII-87
defined, X-218-19
Drug Rundown, X-229

Quickie Grades, VII-56, 99, 130; X-10, 26, 49, 62;
see also grades
defn., one F/N for each of three flows or 3 F/Ns
per grade, VII-432
crashed whole Scientology network, VII-88; X-38
denied gain to tens of thousands of cases, VI-4
F/N abuse and “quickies”, VII-78
pc who had Quickie Grades, handling of, VII-98, 131
technical bug behind Quickie Grades, VII-144, 145; X-67, 68

R

rabbit, a pre-OT can, X-215
rabbiting druggie, X-211
radiation, II-378, 379, 380; see also atomic bomb; All
About Radiation
aberrative character of, III-52
atomic radiation reverses the genetic line, III-108
creativeness hit by, III-52
danger of, III-45
Diazenzene for radiation prevention, VI-123
effects of, III-108
hysteria and radiation, III-44
in war, see All About Radiation
problems of fallout; see All About Radiation
reaction to radiation is wholly mental, III-46
real threat of; see A11A bout Radiation
resolution of, III-52
surviving radiation, III-48
treatment of radiation disease; see AAR
randomity, II-535, 536, 538
defn., the misalignment, through internal or exQual
terlal efforts by other forms of life or the
material universe, of efforts of organism, I-167
defn., comparable motion, II-536
defn., from viewpoint of individual, something
which has in it too much motion or unex-
pectedness for his tolerance, II-539
also contains the aspect of unexpectedness, II
536
and automaticity, II-533
contains the idea of conditioning, II-536
desire for, II-485
elective, I-209
minus, II-535, 537, 538
defn., from viewpoint of individual, that thing
which has too little motion in it for his
tolerance, II-539
is the opposite of confusion, II-538
optimum, II-537; see also games
game is an optimum randomness, II-540
plus, II-536, 537, 538
random action, toleration of, II-542
stored in full in engrams, I-284
when anyone sets up anything automatically, that
thing becomes his randomness at some future
date, II-142
rapport,
  defn., relationship, especially, one of mutual trust
  or affinity, VIII-298; IX-500
  audience in rapport participates, VIII-298
rational, rationality, I-55, 75
  defn., computational accuracy of the individual
  modified by aberration, education and viewpoint,
  I-69
  defn., ability to recognize and meet the magnitude
  of effort (counter-effort) being applied to
  individual, I-168
differentiation is, I-239
  optimum, what it depends upon, I-69
rationalization, mechanism of, I-211
RD: see rundown
reach,
  havingness is concept of being able to reach, VIII-
  181
  high tone arm shows loss of ability to start or
reac h, IV-38
  “reach”, basic center of insanity, I-358, 361
  withhold makes one feel he cannot, IX-284
  reach and withdraw, I-384, 426; X-20
  auditings, VII-239
  communication is simply a familiarization process
  based on, IX-64
  Formula H: the effort to reach and withdraw,
  I-447
  from mest, II-22
  in auditing, IX-64
  increasing ability to ~ increases intelligence and
  unfixes attention, III-428
  repairs and touching things, VII-65
  key theta actions, I-395, 399, 407
  mechanism, III-201
E-Meter, TR 20, V-264
  way analytical mind perceives, I-384
reach-withhold phenomena, III-432
reaction time, Dianetics and Scientology greatly
  speed up, VI-420
reactive,
  action, essence of, II-359
  all needle response is reactive, IV-332
  engrams, reactive self-restraint is the purpose of
  all, IV-69
  help is almost always betrayal in reactive
  zones and areas, IV-88
response, analytical vs. reactive, IV-331
  Prehav Scale is a picture of reactive thought, IV-
  331
  responsibility is very aberrated in its reactive
definitions, IV-87
  validate the analytical and neglect the reactive,
  I-163
reactive mind(s), I-7; III-269; VI-87, 142; see also
  analytical mind; somatic mind; DMSMH;
  SOS; FOT; Scn ~8
  defn., stimulus-response mechanism, ruggedly
  built, and operable in trying circumstances,
  II-430
reactive mind(s) (cont.)
  all forces in bank contain significance, VII-77
  analytical mind becoming aware of a point in
  reactive mind makes it vanish, VI-57
  analytical mind’s power over, I-33
  assessment is done by auditor between pc’s bank
  and meter, VI-388
  attention, when too much attention is given an
  other terminal, bank reacts to prevent that
  attention, IV-406
  auditor has more control over pc’s reactive
  mind than pc since pc is influenced by reactive mind
  responses and auditor is not so influenced,
  IV-332
  auditor is in absolute control of bank; it always
  does what you tell it to do, V-413
  auditor plus pc is greater than bank, auditor plus
  bank is greater than pc, pc minus auditor is less
  than bank, VI-359
  auditor plus pc is greater than pc’s bank, VII-230
  auditors need subjective reality on bank, IV-374,
  376
  bank-agreement, VI-6
  bank beefing up, defn., sensation of increasing
  solidity of masses in the mind, V-175
  bank belongs to thetan, not to body, V-255
  bank is a demon for exactness; mind is not a
  confusion, V-404
  bank means mental image picture collection of pc;
  it comes from computer technology where all
  data is in a “bank”, VI-359
  bank merely expresses a recording of past attention
  fixations, III-428
  bank of pc becomes solid to degree that he does
  not take responsibility for his overt acts and with
  hold, III-552
  banks don’t read, only thetans impinged upon by
  bank read; therefore TR I must be addressed
  to thetan, VII-10
  bank, types of, II-548
  basis of a reactive mind is creativeness done below
  the level of consciousness, IV-116
  basis of, is actual GPMs, V-493
  beefing up the bank, cause of, IV-35
  before tackling a bank, you have to have a session,
  IV-66
  betterment of analytical mind and control of reac
tive mind, I-346
  bottom point of, III-518
  can hold a fixed command in place, causing a
  derangement in somatic mind, allowing illness
to exist, II-431
  case, bad off, can’t handle the bank, III-160,
  180
  charge blows off bank to degree that it’s con
  fronted and this is represented by itsa line,
  IX-68
  clearing in Dianetics vs. in Scientology, III-270
  clearing is a qualitative return of confidence in self
  not quantitative handling of bank, IV-66
reactive mind(s) (cont.)
Clear, relationship to reactive mind, VI-19, 56, 61, 86, 141
comes from obsessive creating, III-320
common denominators of bank are out of ARC and stop, VII-269
composed of timelessness, unknownness, survival, V-78
concludes and acts entirely on experience, I-380 described, VI-62
description of, its effect and capabilities, II-430 discipline vs. reactive mind, VI-102
dramatize is to act under influence of past incidents as dictated by those incidents in bank, VIII-336
earlier in the bank the “power” of the thetan’s mock-ups is greater, V-256
E-Meter reacts only on the reactive mind, IV-331 E-Meter reacts on, never on analytical mind, I-380
evaluation is reactive mind’s conception of viewpoint, I-384
exchange maintains inflow and outflow that gives a person space around him and keeps the bank off of him, VIII-79
Goals Problem Mass, core of reactive bank, IV-443 GPM, black masses of the reactive mind, V-175
group, common denominator of a group is the reactive bank, VI-6
havingnessstakededgeoffabank, IV-116
Help on terminals reduces a heavy or thick bank, IV-116
“id”, “ego”, no such things in the mind, VI-340
if you start running thoughts about thoughts you’ll pull thoughts out of engrams and restim the devil out of the bank, IX-187
is full of generalities which explain the hard ARC breaks of Level VI, VI-18
liability of the reactive mind, VI-20
man is basically good, but reactive mind tends to force him into evil actions, VIII-370; IX-268
mechanics of the reactive bank, V-493
mental image pictures are all there is in pc’s “mind”, VI-340
mind, three main divisions—analytical, reactive and somatic mind, II-429
mythsofthemind;seeNSOL of group, I-87
Operating Thetan, relationship to ~, VI-62 partners, I-120
pc has as much bank as he has denied cause, IV-19 pc has to be able to handle Scientology technology to handle his own bank, VI-19
pc plus auditor is greater than bank, VIII-86
person out of valence does not easily as-is his bank, VI-426
picking thoughts out of forces in bank brings a no-change, VII-85
present time is only referral point that exists; in its absence all becomes “bank”, IV-155
reactive mind(s) (cont.)
pressure, bank solidity is a form of, VI-192
primary characteristic of, is response to a situation without analytical inspection, III-269
principal difference between analytical and reactive minds, I-381
reaction to psychosis, I-55
records of mind are permanent; see NSOL reduction of, I-315
Release is pulled out of, VI-61, 86, 141 responds instantly, IV-331
Responsibility Processes and Help reduce bank’s heaviness, IV-116
R6 bank, VI-38, 62 the reactive mind, VI-61
Solo auditing: C/S plus pre-OT is greater than bank, VIII-86
source of its energy, I-382 spirit or mind can predispose illness or injury, VI-312
Step Seven, Clear Procedure: Establish pc’s control over his “bank”, III-191
straightens out by as-is-ing its content, VII-230 sudden disappearances stay hung in the bank, III-137
target of the auditor is pc’s reactive mind, IV-428
there are valences, circuits and machinery in ~, as well as reliable items and goals, V-275
there is no time in the reactive mind, IV-332
thetans without banks have different responses, VI-6
things that can pull one back into ~, VI-61, 86
toughened up by creating, how to handle, IV-I 16
two reactive minds, I-3-15
use a gradient approach to bank, IV-65
where it comes from, II-499
whole answer to mind is mental pictures and masses created by thetan, VI-160
reactivity, make-break point of, III-359
read(s)ing), VII-177; see also E-Meter; specific reads by name defn., small falls or falls or long falls or long fall blowdown, VII-49
ARC broken pc may not read, VI-73 Assessment for Longest Read, VI-357
auditor does not tell pc anything about meter or its reads ever, except to indicate F/N, VII-259
auditor eyesight and reads, VII-178
auditors who can’t get reads, how to handle, VII-273
auditor with out TRs and no impingement gets no reads, V-82
bigger on higher levels, V-396
can occur due to charged words in a question with no charge on question itself, IV-323
clear read, false, IV-26
compartmeting the question, IV-322
Dianetic lists, note reads as pc mentions items, VI-377, 389
Dianetics, run what reads not what auditor thinks, VI-358
dirty read; see dirty read
everything reading, V-402, 403
false reads, checking for, VI-249, 250
gotten on clearing commands, X-142
instant reads; see instant reads; EMD (EM Drill 19) item; see item
items, how they first are null and later read, VI-358
item which does not read when assessed is beyond pc’s level of awareness, VI-357
latent reads; see latent reads
listing, note down reads as you list, VI-256
listing question, always test listing question for read before letting pc list, VII-45, 49
metering reading items, VII-177
meter reading; see E-Meter
most stopped read, VII-270
never clean a clean needle and never miss a ~, V-105
non-reading item or question, what it means, VII-45, 49, 178, 357
no read, suspected by C/S, X-80
on Level VI, difference between, V-403
pc suppresses or invalidates something, read transfers to suppress or invalidate, VII-12
pre-OTs, reads on, VI-220
prepared list either reads or F/Ns, VIII-213
prior reads; see prior reads
protest read, VI-357; see also protest
protest reads come from just plain annoyance with having to go on, VIII-10
questions must read to be listed, VII-45, 357
questions to handle missed meter reads, V-73
remedy for an auditor who can’t get reads on lists, VIII-233
requirements for making a list read, VIII-234
rocket reads; see rocket reads
rudiments, if it reads and pc gives one, don’t check the read again, VI-434
Search and Discovery, do not list an S&D button charge shows that pc has possible ~ on area,
if question for list does not read, VI-218
seen during pc origination or clearing question, failing to mark on list or W/S, is gross auditing error, VII-178
shows pc has reality on item, VII-75
somatic, don’t run if it hasn’t read, VI-357
steering pc by needle reads, V-60, 63, 78
stop; see stop
symptoms of reads, VI-357
taken when pc first says it or when question is cleared, VII-177
tell pc what he wants to know about [1961], IV-218
the most stopped read, X-128
things that don’t read won’t run, VII-50, 208
‘tick’; see also tick
‘tick’ or ‘stop’ is not a read, VII-49
two-way comm subject chosen must be tested for read, VII-104, 105
wrong ways to get a pc to read between 2.0 and 3.0 on an E-Meter, VIII-24
reading aloud is Word Clearing Method 7, VIII-154
reading time, learning rate governs ~, III-22
reality, real; see also affinity; ARC; communication; SOS; Scn 8-8008
defn., is dependent on an agreement between in individuals that an object or an idea exists, I-99
defn., is agreement; too much agreement under duress brings about the banishment of one’s entire consciousness, I-350
defn., is the consideration of particles, I-351
defn., depends upon coincidence or non coincidence of flow and is marked mainly by the direction of flow; it is essentially agreement, I-381
defn., depends upon the number of viewpoints which are in agreement upon the pattern of the particle, I-466
defn., similarity or dissimilarity of ideas about something, II-247
defn., the way things appear, II-408, 413
defn., fundamentally agreement; what we agree to be real is real, II-413
defn., mass or agreement, VI-261
defn., solid objects, the real things of life, VII-291
affinity, reality and communication, relationship of ~ I-98, 350
and affinity exist to further communication, I-381 and affinity outranked by communication, 1-334 and existence, II-208
auditors need subjective reality on bank, IV-374, 376
auditor’s reality vs. pc’s reality, IV-129
auditors unable to produce good results, cause and handling of related to auditors’ reality, III-292
avoidance of, isavoidance of present time, II-1 case who runs with no reality, IV-4
charge shows that pc has possible ~ on area, VII-50
child’s reality, I-48
communication and reality; see also Scn 0-8
composed of degree of duplication possible, II 136
Confrontingness Scale of Reality, III-447
is a parallel to Responsibility Scale, III-446
is the scale of disintegrating reality, III-404
consists of, I-100
disagreement makes it weaker, I-101
do not run things that are not real to pc, reason why, IV-17
engram running inhibited by inadequate R-factor, III-404
enhanced by, I-245
establish reality of terminal before you try to clear it with significance, III-235, 433
reality, real (cont.)
factor, VI-74
never order one that takes pc into future or past, VII-273; X-142
Security Check, IV-242, 276
to new pcs, V-490
use of in starting session, IV-453
hellos and okays are run on terminal to improve reality on it, III-243
insanity is caused by denial of reality, I-102
level of preclear, II-205
is dependent on how much he is not-is-ing his environment, II-205
location in time and space promotes a feeling of, I-245
OCA/APA drop in appreciative—lowered reality level, III-334
pc did it all himself and must gradually come to realize that with total subjective reality, IV-38
pc doesn’t change, means his reality is not being reached, VII-85
pc is as alive as things are real, II-205
pc, reality level of, III-312
person will let things be as real as he is willing to let them exist, II-208
pictures, pc’s reality on, III-390
processing requires obtaining a better reality on environment, III-514
proportional to amount of charge off, VI-281
proportional to amount of charge removed, VII-450
read, shows pc has reality on item, VII-75
reality-spotting by E-Meter; see Scn ~8
scale of pc reality on terminals, IV-131
sense of, I-15
suppressed or perverted destroys a group, I-139
what auditor believes has little to do with pc’s reality, VI-345
Reality Scale, III-136, 139, 140, 401
CCH land ~, III-240
engram running and ~, III-405
Havingness Scale, part of ~, III-141
lines and terminals, III-139
old and new ~, III-461; VI-201
reason(s), reasoning,
defn., orderly handling of mest by theta, I-173
always follow the fact, II-47
basic reason—basic principles, I-148
confusion of personality with ~ faculty, I-55
controlled reason, I-153
reasoning with aberration and irrationality, I-59
self-determinism and reason, I-149, 150
survival depends upon ability to, I-149, 156
theta is complete reason, I-173
recall(s)(ing), II-221, 467; VI-279; see also Recall Processes
defn, present time remembering something that happened in past; it is not re-experiencing it, re-living it or re-running it, VI-271
recall(s)(ing) (cont.)
defn, you are in present time, thinking of, remembering, putting your attention on something that happened in the past, all done from present time, VI-271
and perception, influence of valences on, I-15
Cause Elementary Straight Wire turns on recall in the pc, IV-52
cyclic aspect of recall type processes, phenomena of pc bouncing into PT, IV-5 1
education is the process of placing data in recalls of another, III-28
is therapeutic, III-29
knowledge isn’t recalling, III-30
processing memory recalls, I-193
relation to ability, I-9
“think of” command rather than “recall”, III-485
“think” undercuts “recall”, III-435
use recall before running engrams, IV-65
Recall Processes, III-536; see also recall and Confrontingness, II-526
are unlimited, only limitation being the subject, I-312; VI-278
Comm Recall Process, III-536
communication process, basic, “Recall a time you communicated”, III-463
don’t accept only “yes” as an answer, V-95
Know Mystery Recall Processes, III-536
on children, III-554
“Recallmomentofloss”, III-120, 325
“Recall a secret”, III-93
“recall a time” vs. “recall something”, III-415
“Recall being critical” “Recall withholding criticism”, III-532
stop with pc back in PT, III-536
three flows of, VI-279
receipt-point, VIII-185; see also communication receive, he who would ~ must be willing to give, II-440
recognition, communication = energy or ~, VI-261
recover, when pcs don’t recover very fast, they don’t want to, IV-58
recovery of past skills, IV-125
recruiting staff auditors, VIII-12
red-herring, defn, go chasing after facsimiles, II-291
red sheet, Repair Program is on, X-14, 44
red tab; see red tag
red tag, red tagged, red tagging,
and use of correction lists, X-227
auditor is expected to handle, X-237
daily action, X-238
Exam, defn., IX-32
flubbed sessions, VII-138
handling, VIII-320
line, X-237-38
Pc Examiner makes a daily list of all red tags, X-238
pc is flubbed or red tagged, auditor takes pc back in at once and repairs any error with correction list for that action, VIII-320
red tag, red tagged, red tagging (cont.)

pc ~ must be repaired within 24 hours, VIII-303
pc report must lead at once to cramming of auditor, D of P, C/S and Tech Sec, IX-105
penalty for not handling, X-227, 239
second, X-227
when a folder is red tagged, X-237
who takes it off, X-238
Word Clearing errors are red tabbed, VIII-303, 304, X-247
Word Clearing red tags, IX-482
reduction of refunds—C/Ses and overload, X-252-53
Re-experience and Experience Process, III-488
refund, overloaded C/Ses can be reason for huge refund ratio in org’s GI-CGI, VIII-318
refusing to audit pcs, X-1
reason why, X-225
Regimen is workhorse combination of processes that boosts case to Clear after it has been started, IV-179
Regimens and Formulas were never for co-audits, IV-176
Regimen 1, IV-117, 128
is a stop-gap bridge between old style formal auditing and a complete grasp of pre-sessioning and Model Sessions, IV-128
steps of Regimen 1, IV-128
Regimen 2, IV-137
steps of Regimen 2, IV-137
Regimen 3, IV-179
Regimen 3/II and 3/V, IV-142
proRegimen 6 O/W commands, 3 versions of, IV-160
Regimen 8, IV-179
never change the Help terminal, IV-174
Registrar,
auditing ARC breaks on, III-360
sells auditing not “Repair Pgm”, X-26
that promises instant miracles is cutting Tech Sec’s throat and GI as well, VII-6
vital training data, III-250
why he should not be too harsh in forbidding admission to training, II-343
Registrar’s Advice Form, VII-7
regret,
defn., I-418
defn., entirely the study of the reversed postulate, II-463
blame and regret, I-213
run regret, blame and sympathy and preclear will give central computation, I-204
rehab(s), rehabbed, rehabbing, rehabilitation, all recovery must be by key-out, not erasure; key-outs are done by finding key-ins; it is de-stimulation, not re-stimulation, VI-57
chains, VII-227, VIII-289, 384; X-118-19, 123
chains[leunflat], X-113-14
correction action on wins is rehab, not repair, X-33
Dianetic auditor is not concerned with “rehabilitation” of overrun, VI-373
rehab(s), rehabbed, rehabbing, rehabilitation (cont.)
don’t continue past a floating needle, VI-66
don’t use rehabs to over-correct, VI-241
drug chains are ~ and run out by Dianetics, VII-192
drug “releases”, rehab by counting, VI-253, 258
E-Meter dating on rehab, VI-66
erasures, you can’t rehab erasures with “How manytimes?”, VII-227, VIII-290, 384
floating needle, “high TA F/Ns” during ~, VI-251
flows, when doing additional, one must also check rehab flows run to F/N, VIII-287, 381, 382
grades, VI-203
lower grades rehabbing, VI-143
when to rehab grades, VI-241
incorrect rehab procedure, VI-117
keys out mass, VII-18
liability of rehab, VI-58, VII-212, VIII-286, 380
life can be an overrun and pc never audited will respond to ~ of “something overdone”, VI-145
never use a new process to cure an overrun, VI-148
of abilities, II-555, III-79
of communication, III-93
of willingness to do, IV-25
on grade done twice, X-112
past major action, X-105, 108
procedure, VI-57, 63, 66
errors, VI-66, 99, 107
rehab by rehab procedure, don’t use another cess, VI-144
Release rehabilitation, VI-63
Former Release, VI-57, 66, 99
Return Program, rehab of processes on, VII-74
run or rehab, VII-214
Scientology cycle of key-out, overrun, rehab, VII-18
Scientology rehab vs. Dianetic erasure, VI-397, 418
SelfAnalysis, rehabon, VI-188
tone arm, high, handling by ~ overruns, VI-107
when not to rehab, VI-241
Rehabilitation Process, key, III-379
reject, R2—63, Accept-Reject [process], II-182
relationships, table of, see Scn 0-8
relativity, Einstein’s theory of, IV-102
Release(s)(‘s), IV-3 18; see also DMSMH
defn., average a third of graph higher than first test, above 115 IQ [1957], III-156, 176
defn., V-338
defn., a person who has been able to back out of his bank; the bank is still there but the person isn’t sunk into it with all its somatics and depressions, VI-19
auditing past a state of release, what happens, VI-76
auditing, there are no release points prior to, VI-107
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Release(s)(‘s) (cont.)
auditor’s comm cycle, roughness of, can prevent release from occurring, VI-66
can have their problems handled, their withholds pulled, their ARC breaks repaired and any release at any stage can be audited on exact processes of Release Rehabilitation, VI-86
declare for Release, VI-75
degree and relative permanence of being pulled out of reactive mind determines state of ~, VI-86
drug “releases” are deadly, why, VI-258
effected by removing from case all grief engrams, I-25
erasure vs. release of engrams, VI-262
exterior is secondary in importance to the fact of being a Release, VI-62
floating needle, you wreck a Release by running past, VI-66
Former Release check, VI-73
goofs, VI-66
- not getting all releases, VIb7
- grades of release, VI-96, 98, 141
- below Zero, VI-175
- cease to call release by stages and call them by grades, VI-95
- Clear is on other side of reactive bank and above all grades of release, VI-56
- is a person whose case “won’t get any worse”; he begins to gain by living rather than lose, III-444
- is pulled out of his reactive mind, VI-61, 86, 141
- is stable as long as he or she is not pushed into R6 bank, VI-38
-keyed-out Clear vs. Release, VI-19, 20
- pc does not remain in status quo (unchanging state) while a Release, VI-70
-period of release, pc gives pre-Scientology times, X-223-24
-person does not have more Scientology data just because he or she is a Release, VI-39
-postulating going down scale or an attack on something can collapse a state of release, VI-67
-rehabilitation of; see rehabilitation stages, VI-56, 70, 71, 86
- First Stage Release, VI-56, 61, 62, 70, 71, 86
- Second Stage Release, VI-56, 61, 62, 70, 71, 87
- Third Stage Release, VI-56, 61, 62, 70, 71, 87
- Fourth Stage Release, VI-70, 71, 87
- Fifth Stage Release, VI-71, 87
-state, key out a major lock you may today get a release state, VI-107
-suppressive persons go for new Releases, VI-39, 68
-training, Release’s increased abilities regarding, VI-39
-type of process run to attain, VI-95
- “Release” Check Sheet by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT7, IV-233
-releasing, F/N does not last very long in, VI-275

reliable item; see item, reliable
religion, religious, II-212
- beliefs, old religious beliefs that man is basically evil, IV-12
- confession, historical precedence of, IX-262
- Dianetics and, I-38
- discussions, do not engage in, II-158
- is the oldest heritage that man has, II-215
- ministers of other churches, how to handle, II-158-475
- physical sciences and religion, II-211
- where they meet, II-6
- religion of a Scientologist is freedom for all things spiritual on all dynamics, III-55, 281
- religious philosophy and religious practice, VI-195
- role of religion, VIII-192
- Scientologist has no specialized political or religious convictions beyond those dictated by wisdom and his own early training, II-67-68
- Scientology is a religion, why, VI-195; VIII-107; see also Scientology
- used as bad control, II-212
why Scientology does not conflict with other religions, VI-195
- religionist, don’t tell him his beliefs are all wrong, II-475

remedy(ing), remedies, V-506; VI-150; see also BCR
defn., something you do to get pc into condition for routine auditing, V-485
defn., auditing process which is designed to handle non-routine situation, V-5 17
defn., correction of any aberrated condition, VIII-115
- chronic somatics, technique to remedy, I-392
- for auditor who says his pcs are “dogs”, X-223
- forcase problems, III-468
- for C/S who agrees with auditor about “dog pcs”, X-223-24
- for org, X-174-75
- for somatic and illness, if turned on by Expanded Gita, I-388
- for the dangerous auditor, V-34
- Interiorization Rundown is a remedy, VIII-280, 281; X-77-78
-lighter for the worse off case, X-18
- occlusion or accomplishing exteriorization in low step cases, I-386
- scarcity, remedy of, I-493

Remedy A, V-506; VI-150, 206; see also BCR
case remedies, VI-282
done only by listing, VI-206
-guiding secondary style in normal application, VI-151
-handle immediate subject under discussion or study, V-507
- locates misunderstands person has in Scientology, VI-206
-patter, VI-151
-revision of Remedy A, VI-206
Remedy B, VI-150, 199, 206; see also BCR
can remedy glee, VI-257
done by listing, VI-199, 206
don’t ask for misunderstood words in found sub-
ject, VI-199
guiding secondary style in normal application, VI-
151
is a limited process, VI-278
more than one of List 3B can be done if all steps
are done for each one, VI-207
must not be run to limit the pc to this life, VI-199
patter, VI-152
revision of Remedy B, VI-206
seeks out and handles a former subject, conceived
to be similar to immediate subject or condi-
tion, in order to clear up misunderstandings in
immediate subject or condition, V-507
Remedy DDDA 4UOA for chronic high TA, VII-19
Remedy of Communication Scarcity, II-325
Remedy of Havingness; see Havingness Processes,
Remedy of Havingness
remedy of restimulation, III-11
remembering, defn., process of knowing the past, II-440
and forget, II-298, 316
and forgetting, greatest automaticity in which
anyone was engaged, II-221
and returning, I-50, 107
assists to; see SA; CDN
handling case that couldn’t, II-220
handling of cases who flinch at remembering any-
thing at all, VII-65
unconscious people can, I-115
Remembering and Forgetting [process], II-68
repair(s), repairing, VII-324; X-221; see also Repair
Program
defn., patching up past auditing or recent life
errors, VII-46, 360
action, product purpose and Why and Word Clear-
ing error correction, X-220
actions, VII-65
an auditor, X-232
and case gain, X-232
and Full Flow Dianetics, X-101
and high TA, X-116
and “no interest” items, X-229, 236
and pre-OTs, X-211
repair, X-4243
auditing repair, VII-5 1
blind repair, VII-143
case, V-67
C/S, ingenuity is required of C/S only in area of
repair, VII-64
definition and data on, X-6
delays in, X-16
Dianetic, of flubsby L3, X-169
Dianetic pc repair, VI-429; see also list, L3RD
for pc running badly, X-4
general repair is harmful when big bug exists,
VII-218
repair(s), repairing (cont.)
general, you can get nice gains by prechecking all
rudiments, V-70
is undertaken to eradicate errors made in auditing
or environment which impede use of major
processes, VII-57
liability of goofed repair, VII-92
“Look at me. Who am I?” is used in repair session
when pc goes too wild to audit, VII-65
major action, don’t use to repair case, VII-47, 64,
360
many cases have to begin processing with ~, VII
65
mimicry is actually too high for repair, VII-65
of ARC restores havingness, III-177
of Int, X-77
of “no interest” items, VIII-169
overruns that can require repair, VI-176
pc red tabbed must be ~ within 24 hours, VIII
303
pc running well, never repair, VII-48, 278, 362
pcs who need lots of repair, use light handling,
VII-93
pc who isn’t running well is one you repair, VII
278
prepared listin repairs, VII-65
program goofed, repair auditor and goofed pc and
continue program, VII-276
programming errors and delay in repair can cause
pc illness, VII-61
repairing the pc instead of the auditor, VIII-412
repair while doing an Advance Program, IX-27
Tech Div action, VII-74
touching things and “Reach and Withdraw” in
repairs, VII-65
TRs are a fine unlimited repair action, VII-65
use Method 3 assessment, VII-51
Repair of Havingness; see Havingness Processes, Re-
pair of Havingness
Repair Program, X-62, 145; see also Progress Pro-
gram; repair
defn., eradicates case mishandling by current life
or auditing errors, VII-57
defn., takes case from where it has falsely gotten
to on Class Chart and gets off overwhelm with
light processes, VII-69
accepting a Repair Program, X-2
and their priority, X-16
dangerous to accept Repair (Progress) Program, if
it is old, VII-356
dated session by session, X-14
difference between ~ and Return Programs, VII-9
end phenomena, VII-62, 276, 278
EP of, X-17, 232
errors, VII-73
exact BPC of last session is always first action,
VII-3
Examiner Reports which routinely have sour
notes in them indicate need of Repair Pro-
gram, VII-62
Repair Program (cont.)
example, X-24-25
first on auditing, then on life, VII-70
gets off overwhelm, X-21
keep new auditors off, VII-93
listing, don’t list pc on Repair Program, use two-way comm, VII-93
must be very light, VII-61
on a red sheet, VII-60, 94
overwhelm would indicate need of ~ and Return, VII-101
pc routinely complaining means need of ~, VII-62
programming, BPC, X-18
repairing a Repair, VII-92
Return and Repair Programs, use of, VII-69, 70
sample, VII-62, 72
the case, X-17
using lists and errors in current life, X-13
when done, X-250
repeater technique, I-17
in Postulate Processing, 1-203
we no longer use, VI-336
repeating commands, theory of, III-355
repeating not only does not show pc auditor heard
but makes him feel auditor is a circuit, IX-75
repeating what pc says is evaluation, V-161, 414
repetition, rhythm is rhythm because of ~, VIII-298
Repetitive Prechecking; see Prechecking, Repetitive
repetitive rudiment; see Rudiment, repetitive
Repetitive Straight Wire, I-241; II-222
defn., help preclear to recall a decision again and
again, or try to get earlier one on same subject,
I-186
how it is done, I-164
report(s),
after session Examiner’s, X-46
all auditing reports of whatever type of action are
simply filed chronologically in current HGC
folder, IX-14
Auditor’s Report Form; see Auditor’s Report Form
false, VII-229, 362; VIII-129
miscellaneous reports, IX-52
out admin—liability, X-4647
staff auditor reports, IV-219
Summary Report Form, IX-35
represent list; see listing, represent list
research advances, IV-31
research management vs., I-335
research project, IV-55
resentment, antagonism and anger are most fixative
emotions, I-267
resistance is the one step necessary to entrapment,
II-147
resistance, passive, how to handle, IV-60
resistance, tone arm actually measures ~, VII-28, 169
resisted change is basis of all mass in physical universe
and every stuck point on track, IV-256
resist, first fatal step of thetan is to ~, 1416
resistive case; see case, resistive
resistive students; see students, resistive
Resistive V; see case, Resistive V
responsible, responsibility, III-321, 555; V-438; see
also irresponsibility; Responsibility Processes;
SOS; AP&A
defn., total responsibility would be willing to
admit the authorship of any created thing any
where whether yours or another’s, III-98
defn., admit causing, able to withhold, IV-14
defn., concept of being able to care for, to reach
or to be, V-8
anatomy of ~ is able to admit causation, able to
withhold from, IV-18, 19
ARC break is assignment of ~ for a sudden drop
in affinity, reality or communication, III-364
as-is-ing requires taking responsibility, III-555
auditor must take full ~ for the session, IV-43
automaticity and responsibility, III-167
case gain depends on taking responsibility, III-555
common denominator of the Goals Problem Mass
is “no responsibility”, V-8
Confrontingness Scale of Reality is a parallel to
Responsibility Scale, III-446
create is bad only when one does not take ~ for
the creation, IV-35
determinism and degrees of ~, V-8
difficulty stems from no responsibility, V-9
drop in responsibility from former OCA/APA is
auditor evaluation, III-334
E-Meter tone arm, level of responsibility causes it
to fluctuate, IV-18
failure to take responsibility, symptom of preclear
who is low on Tone Scale, I-210
for every ache and pain there is a memory for
which person will not take ~, I-210
Formula 19 improves ~ and brings up awareness
of withholds and improves case, IV-205
fourthdynamic responsibility, II-292
full responsibility is attained by, I-213
havingness is the lowest rung of responsibility,
IV-36
high and low tone arms, responsibility is key to
them, not overt, IV-17
increased responsibility will unflatten Zeros, V-90
is very aberrated in its reactive definitions, IV-87
key to all cases, IV-18
must go hand in hand with making an Operating
Thetan, III-555
needle drops only on those terminals that pc still
feels some responsibility for, IV-38
new overt is realized when ~ increases, IV-326
no great pc responsibility for his own overt below
Level IV, V-438
of individual for his creation, V-147
of manager, II-423
of the individual for the group, 1-210
one is as ~ as one can communicate, 1-351
overt act and withhold are evidently expressions
of abandoning ~ already extant, IV-37
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Responsible, responsibility (cont.)

Overt acts on E-Meter, it takes a certain level of responsibility to show up, IV-I 8
Overts, telling about, isn’t enough; it is necessary to take responsibility for them, III-551
O/W is an effort to regain status of independent being without taking responsibility for any of intervening steps, IV-186
Past lives and responsibility, III-555
PC is thrown out of session by having responsibility hung on him, V-414
PC’s bank becomes solid to the degree that he does not take ~ for his overts and withholds, III-552
Person must be willing to be causative, II-438
Person who does an overt act to another life form

Power of choice is senior to responsibility, IV-24
Power of choice is senior to responsibility, VIII-263
Realization that one has really done something is a return of responsibility and this gain is best obtained only by indirect approach, V-438
Refusal to take responsibility for actions, VIII-127
Relationship of ~ and Cause/Withhold, IV-19
Relationship to use and tolerance of force, I-293

Responsibility Process(es)(ing) (cont.)

How to run O/W and Responsibility, IV-37
Is Confront and is very senior to Confront as a process, IV-35
Is not workable when PC is in a valence, IV-116
Reduce the bank’s heaviness, IV-116
Run Responsibility on matter, energy, space, time, motion and thought, IV-50
Run Responsibility on wide-open case, I-305
Run Responsibility Process after O/W, IV-37
Stuck picture, handling by dating, Responsibility and O/W, IV-16
Theory of Responsibility Processing, IV-24
“What about a victim could you be responsible for?”, IV-16, 17, 49
“What have you done to a (terminal)?” “What have you withheld from a (terminal)?”, IV-25, 36
“What part of your life have you been responsible for?”, PE Co-Audit Process, IV-70
Responsibility Rundown, VIII-277
Responsibility Scale: see Scientology 0-8
Restimulate(s), restimulated, restimulation, II-505
Ability to confront the future without ~, III-488
And Bl, I-421
Any later grade run with more flows than used in earlier actions can throw earlier unflat flows into restim, VII-212
Auditor causes restimulation and then PC needs to answer question to get rid of restimulation, VII-244, IX-69
Auditing selectively restimulates, locates charge and discharges it, V-290, 335, 347, 416
Cycle of drug restimulation of pictures, VI-444
Difference between restimulation being drama tized and an origination, III-371
Engram, action of, and restimulation, II-172
Engram chains go into restimulation on overrun in life, VII-18
Engrams, in ~ only because they represent energy which the PC or the body pulls in, II-42
Game condition, violation of, brings about ~, II-505
Intelligence gain is loss of restimulation of stupidi ty by reason of attempts to confront or experien ce problems of life, III-428
Mechanism of permanent ~ consists of opposing forces of comparable magnitude which cause a balance which does not respond to current time and remains “timeless”, V-276, 416
Multiple illness means PC is physically uncomfortable or ill from several engrams of different types all restimulated, VI-342
Never leave a chain of engrams in ~, I-20
Occasioned by some part of the early recording being approximated in the environment in the present, I-285
Of student, how it is overcome, III-344
Over-restimulation, V-371, 413
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restimulate(s), restimulated, restimulation (cont.)

overrun results in high TA because it throws
engram chain into restimulation, VII-18, 122

remedy of restimulation, III-11

roller-coaster of processing results is never because
of restimulation caused by training, VI-94

sickness is the result of engram chains in ~, VI-
435

sources of restimulation, V-372

starvation for energy is keynote of case which
maintains facsimiles in ~, VIII-105

teaching of basic data restimulates confusions,
VI-365

tone arm, high, E-Meter reaction to increased
mass, VI-356

tone arm in Dianetics is high only for one reason,
one or more engram chains are in ~, VI-418

under-restimulation, V-371

when prior charge is ~ but not located so that it
can be blown, we get ARC breaks,
V-290, 416

restimator in the environment, people will not look
at, I-456

restimator, location as a, I-449

restimator, run O/W on constant restimulator of
PTPs, IV-39

insane should get rest and then exercise before
auditing, IV-88

rest point on the track, II-528

restraining, pc is ill because he is restraining himself
from doing wrong, IV-69

 restraint, reactive self-restraint is the purpose of all
engrams, IV-69

result(s),
defn., case achieves a reality on change of case,
somatic, behavior or appearance, for the
better, III-428

do not cripple auditing by leading pcs to expect
instant results every time, VII-6

honestC/Singgiveshonestresult, X-41

is result and time is just an entered arbitrary,
VII-88

organize to improve, IX-6

orgs didn’t attain result on the pc, X-38

reorganize to get results, when only 65~o F/Ning RI;
see item, reliable

sessions occur, X-173

reiation, overt act is the manifestation of, II-8

retrainer(ing), X-233

auditors, X-69

auditors from missions, X-235

flunk and, VII-128; X-60

is an inevitable part of C/S’s job, VII-152

problem of, III-78

retread and retrain, VIII-164

what it must include, VII-128

retread, X-233

it is illegal to give retread course away, VII-I 65

retrain and retract, VIII-164

returning; see also DMSMH
defn, to go back and re-experience an incident,
VI-271

and remembering, I-50, 107

developing knack of ~ in pc, I-15

laws of; see DTOT; DMSMH

to moment when ARC break occurred, I-103

Return Program; see Advance Program

reveal, pc is always willing to reveal, VIII-180

Revelation Process XI, V-34

RevelationProcessX2, commandsof, V-38

reverie, Dianetic, purpose and definition, I-15

reverse postulates, how to audit, II-463

review(s)(ing),

action, VI-129

actions are done in Tech as patch-up in Tech,
VII-183

auditor, importance of, VI-399

Case Supervisor plays adept Scientology ~ against
Dianetic auditing, VI-410

folder reviewing, VI-208; X-4546

never have a backlog of Reviews, VI-74

reviewing reviews, VII-362; X-8

“Review to handle”, VI-74

Scientology Review, V-332

Scientology Review for a “Green Form”, Vlrest,
395

standard procedure: don’t audit the case, audit
the procedure, VI-73

when high TA after Singles send pc to Review
before Triples, VII-I

when to send pcs to Review, VI-167, 256

Review Auditing Form; see Green Form

revolts kill an awful lot of natives, IV-60

revolutionaries, Scientologists are not ~, we are
humanitarians, IV-I 14

rewards and penalties; see ISE

R-factor; see reality factor

rhythm, VIII-298
defn, any kind of movement characterized by
regular recurrence of strong and weak ele-
ments, VIII-298; IX-500

in art forms, IX-501

is source of present time, V-276

RI; see item, reliable

ridge(s),

and flows, X-129

and masses come about from conflict of flows
opposing or being pulled back as in withholds,
VII-270

handling as an entity, II-23

often explode, II-31

thetan accumulates mental mass, pictures, ridges,
circuits, etc., to degree that he misassigns re-
sponsibility, IV-18

Ridge Running, I-290; II-23

ridicule, defn., the action of having something taken
out away from one and held there where one
cannot reach it, I-361
right, rightness(e)s, V-323

defn., forwarding a purpose not destructive to majority of dynamics, VI-197

absolute, I-70

and wrongness, resolution of all problems is a study in, I-69

and wrongness rules of a game, II-272

asserted rightness, V-322, 327

auditing, degree of rightness you have present

must exceed wrongness you are going to pick up, IX-83

auditing is a contest of maintaining rightnesses so that we can delete wrongnesses, IX-83

auditing is action by which wrongnesses can be deleted from case to degree that rightnesses are present in session, VII-258

auditing, we are only trying to find wrongnesses in order to increase rightnesses, IX-83

how to get another less compulsive on their “rightness”, V-23

insistence on ~ is a last refuge of beingness, VIII-257; IX-249

no absolute rights or absolute wrongs, V-321

recognition of rightness of the being, VII-257; IX-82

rehabilitating the ability to be right, V-322

rights,

defn, franchises of citizenship according to existing codes, II-514

honest people have rights, too, IV-27

individual, not originated to protect criminals, IV-27

of auditor with relation to C/S, VII-48, 363

rigid or sticky needle, I-270

rings on pc’s hands cause a false rock slam, VII-342, 424; VIII-364

riot(s), IV-60

defn, simply a psychosomatic momentary injury or traumatic condition on 3rd dynamic, III-261; VII-416

rise, use of in 37R on low TAs, X-141

rising needle(s), are disregarded, IV-274

has no meaning for purposes of assessment, IV-273, 333

in session, cause of, III-504

means pc can’t confront it, IV-333

SOP Goals assessment, ignore all rises of needle, IV-266

steadily rising needle, I-270

tells you the pc is being irresponsible, IV-42

Rising Scale Processing, X-40

basic version, III-243

CCH 15, Rising Scale Processing, III-72

commands and how to run, III-8

how to run, II-463

is in realty an OT process, III-243

run when the pc can change ideas, III-144

there are 18 pairs, each pair should be run to F/N, cog, VGIs, VII-90

Rising Scale Processing (cont.)

will sometimes restore fertility or change eyesight, VII-90

road to truth is begun with honesty, VIII-150

robotism, VIII-127-30

key to presence of continuous M/W/Hs and/or overts, VIII-236

rock, III-299; V-129; see also rock slam

defn, that which a person has used to reach people or things with and is determined in value by its creativeness or destructiveness; it is simply the reach and withdraw mechanism which makes a ridge and this causes the stuck of the needle, III-299

defn, basic, earliest shift of identity, III-411

basic locating question, III-300

chain, to key out and take out of restimulation, III-489

cycle of the rock (object): person (I) failed to communicate himself; (2) started using some thing to communicate with; (3) put the last item on automatic and it created for him; (4) it failed, III-299

HelpBracketontherock, III-320

is an object, not a sign of oldness, III-299

just below the rock lies pc’s goal, V-213

opposition rock and rock, two basic items of GPM, V-182

Step 6, caution: it is almost fatal to run Step 6 if the rock is not out, III-322

then thinks he needs problems to keep his attention exteriorized from the rock chain, III-304

whole track rock, III-295

rocket read(s); see also rock slam

always goes to the right with a fast spurt which rapidly decays, V-212

how to make RR appear on implant RIs, V-394

how to restore ability of pc to RR or R/S, V-250

implant GPMs read with a long clean enthusiastic RR, actual GPM chugs, V-402

is superior in value to an R/S; R/S is superior in value to a DR; DR is superior in value to a fall, V-212

is the read of goal or rock itself, V-213

rock slam and rocket read, relationship, V-249

what makes RR and R/S vanish; R2-10, R2-12, V-249

rock slam(s) (ing); see also rock; rocket read; rock slammer

defn, called a rock slam as found on many pcs in effort to locate rock, V-129

defn, convulsion of the mind and can reflect as a convulsion of the body, V-142

defn, response of E-Meter to conflict between terminals and opposition terminals, V-176

defn, repetitive slashing of needle of any width, V-205
rock slam(s)(ing) (cont.)
defn., read of rock vs. opposition rock and every pair above them on the cycle of GPM; it marks path to rock, V-213
defn., crazy irregular slashing motion of needle; it can be as narrow as one inch or more than a full dial in width, but it's crazy; it slams back and forth; it is actually quite startling to see one; it is very different from other meter phenomena, VIII-344
are strongest indicator [SOP Goals], IV-271
audit by tone arm (except in rock slam), assess by needle [SOP Goals], IV-3 18
case progress marked by rock slams, V-212
can be as narrow as one inch or more than a scale of, V-212
full dial in width, but it's crazy; it slams back Security Check by rock slam, V-140
and forth; it is actually quite startling to see sign of overt, V-129
one; it is very different from other meter phenomena, VIII-344 doesn't decay, it just ceases, V-212
are strongest indicator [SOP Goals], IV-271
indicate an area of psychosis which will ruin pc's life if allowed to go unhandled, VIII-345
instant rock slam, defn, that rock slam which begins at end of major thought of any item, V-176
Integrity Processing, R/S means crimes that must be pulled, IX-287
is the strongest reaction there is, IV-284
item, never represent it, always oppose it, V-172, 216, 221, 237
items are marked on left-hand edge of topmost program in red with date and worksheet page number, IX-28
item that R/Ses was part of GPM and has another item in opposition to it, V-212
List One R/S, V-210, 216, 220
marks path of interest of pc; R/S = interest= cognitions, V-213
matching [R2-12], V-236
minute rock slam, V-119
most difficult needle response to find or attain or preserve, V-176
“never R/Sing” pcs, V-212
only package wide ones in R2-12A, V-240
Opening Procedure by Rock Slam [R2-12], V-185
precisiong to die (evil purposes), IX-150
pcs who R/S are given Ex Dn, VIII-76, 345
persons who on Scientology or associated items cause of psychosis after Dianetic auditing, handling of, VI-109
are considered security risks for staff purposes, VIII-344
checklist to assist identification of ~, VIII-344
is a slow gain or non-gain case, V-187
is different from someone with a rock slam, VIII-345
persons who rock slam on Scientology or associated items are security risks, V-185
skills required to accomplish a 3GAXX for ~, V-189
slow student is always a rock slammer, V-185
we're probably all ~ somewhere on List One, V-218
roll book, defn, master record of course giving student's name, local and permanent address and date of enrollment and departure or completion, VII-141, 287; IX-312
roller-coaster, X-72; see also potential trouble source
defn, case that betters then worsens, VI-109
defn, Coney Island fast up and down quarter-mile of aerial railway, VI-162
defn, a slump after a gain, VII-452; VIII-330, 338
after Dianetic auditing, handling of, VI-410
and drugs, X-156
can be caused by bad Int RD or Int repair, out lists, by-passed charge of other descriptions, VII-453; VIII-339
cause of roller-coaster is PTS, VII-452; VIII-91, 92, 330, 338
causes of and handling, VI-109, 113
Ethics handles whole phenomena of case worsening (roller-coaster) after processing, VI-113
insane, as cases in normal processing they ~ continually, VII-155

rock slam(s)(ing) (cont.)
restoring the RR and R/S, V-249, 250
rings on pc's hands give false rock slam, VII-342, 424; VIII-364
rocket reads and R/Ses, relationship, V-212, 249
scale of, V-212
Security Check by rock slam, V-140
sign of overt, V-129
slash of an R/S is all of the same velocity and doesn't decay, it just ceases, V-212
vanished, cause of, V-234, 249
what rock slams mean, IV-272
where a pc R/Ses he will have evil purposes and be on a sucumb as a result, VIII-345
why a person who rock slams on Scientology or auditors can’t audit well, VII-25 1; IX-76
wide ~ is a quarter of a dial R/S to a full dial R/S, V-140
rock slammer(s), V-161; VIII-344; see also rock slam
deFn, preclear who rock slams on a present time GPM item in his or her immediate environment, V-186
deFn, one who produces a rock slam during the nulling of Scientology List on that list; persons who produce rock slam reactions on other lists are not rock slammers, V-203
are considered security risks for staff purposes, VIII-344
instant rock slam, defn, that rock slam which begins at end of major thought of any item, V-176
roller-coaster (cont.) of processing results is never because of restimulation caused by training, VI-94
only PTS situation that is serious and lasting and can cause roller-coaster comes from having
known person before this life, VII-452; VIII-330, 339
pc is always a potential trouble source if he roller-
coasters and only finding the right suppressive
will clean it up, VI-91
pcs who roller-coaster (regularly lose gains) are
PTS, VIII-95: IX-136
pc who has roller-coasted despite F/N at session
development must be handled by Tech or Qual within
24 hours, VII-474
person who roller-coasters is always PTS, VIII-19
"Roll Your Own" Prehav, V-173, 174
Ron; see Hubbard, L. Ron
room, rudiment on, IV-377
rote, auditor auditing by rote will make mistakes,
I-129
rote style auditing; see auditing, rote style
rough auditing, remedy for, is muzzled auditing, III-
397
Route 1, reactive mind prevented pcs from doing
Route 1drills, VI-19
Route 1, RI—5, II-182
Route 1: 5, 6, 7 (for exteriorized pc), II-253; see also
COHA
Route 2; see also COHA
R2—17, II-173; see also Opening Procedure by
Duplication
Dirty 30 is Procedure 30, which encompassed
what is now R2—17 and two other steps, II-172
R2—18, II-188
R2—20, Problems and Solutions, II-218, 250
R2—29: "Start Lying", VIII-114
R2—40, II-141
R2—63, Accept-Reject, II-182
R2—69, Mimicry, II-140
routine(s),
defn, a standard process designed for best steady
gain of pc at that level, V-517
designation of routines, V-262
is for normal case advance, V-485
Routine 0-A, V-518
(Expanded), steps of, V-520
Routine 0-B, 0-0, V-518
Routine 0-C, V-519
Routine 1[1961], IV-325, 348, 369
CCHs and Routine 1, IV-334
Routine 1A, [1961] IV-354, 369; V-67
steps, IV-354
value of Routine IA, IV-355
Routine 2, [1961] IV-326; V-68, 218, 219; see also
Routine 2 and/or Routine 3 [avoid R2-12, R3
type processes, V-406] opposition lists, right and wrong oppose, V-230
Route 2 (cont.)
steps, IV-326
Routine 2 and/or Routine 3; see also Routine 2;
Routine 3 [avoid R2-12, R3 type processes,
V-406] ARC break; see ARC break, R2 and R3 ARC
breaks
Clear test, V-259
don't force the pc, V-255
Drill One, V-254
item from another GPM, V-258
listing difficulties, cause and remedy of, V-255,
256
listing rules, V-241, 242
mid rud rule, V-251
minimize goal oppose lists, V-258
Model Session, V-243
pc in grief or apathy, cause and remedy of, V-251
rule: completing R2 or R3 package will not turn
on the RR or R/S, V-249
sadeffect, V-251, 252
TA position for the list must be motionless, V-241
traveling rocket read, V-257
upsets, how to prevent, V-254
wrong wording of item or goal, V-257
Route 2-G, designation of R2-GPH, R2-Gl, R2—2,
R2-G3, R2-G4, R2-G5, V-262 [avoid R2-12
type processes, V-406]
Routine 2H, ARC Breaks by Assessment, V-297
lecture graphs, V-343, 344
Routine 2-10, V-247, 249, 262; see also Routine 2-12
[avoid R2-12 type processes, V-406] defn., R2-12 short form for beginners, V-208
case to use Routine 2-10, 2-12 and 2-12A in
HGC and Academy and on staff clearing, with
two exceptions, V-247
target of, is fast result in pc and greater reality for
auditor, V-213
Routine 2-12, V-186; see also Rolltine 2-10; Routine
2-12A [avoid R2-12 type processes, V-406] assessments, V-208
what assessment is prevented by, V-203
auditor responsibility, V-219
avoid R2-12, R-3 and R4 type processes [1964],
V-406
basic auditing skills needed to audit with
V-193
case errors, points of greatest importance, V-217
case remedy, V-226
case to use Routine 2-10, 2-12 and 2-12A in
HGC and Academy and on staff clearing, with
two exceptions, V-247
coaching notes, V-194
gang, V-263
definitions of important terms, V-203
duration of process, V-219
eye pouches used as an indicator, V-235
failure to save records, V-220
fast step resume, V-190
fatal error, V-216
Routine 2-12 (cont.)
list(s)(ing), V-188
appearances, V-207
incomplete lists, V-221
never force pc to list, V-255
never null lists taken from wrong sources, just abandon, V-232
overlisting, danger sign of, is pc invalidating or questioning items as he or she says them, Routine 2-12, V-204
that won’t complete, V-223
writing the list, V-204
wrong way oppose, tests for, V-222
List One, the Scientology List, V-191, 195, 202, 215; see also EMD
failing to find R/Ses on List One, V-220
never represent a rock slam item, V-221
“never R/Sing” pcs, V-212
Opening Procedure by Rock Slam—an HPA/HCA skill, V-185
practical drills, V-193
removes unwanted valences that commit overts rather than endlessly sec checking pc, V-190
rocket reads vs. R/Ses, V-212
target of, is packages in present time which bend GPM out of shape and give pc PTPs and hidden standards, V-213
Tiger Drill for nulling by mid ruds, V-196
vanished R/S or RR, V-249
when to abandon R2-12 and begin R3M, V-250
Zero list questions or R2-12, V-211
Routine2-12A, V-240, 247; seealsoRoutine2-10; Routine 2-12 [avoid R2-12 type processes, V-406]
allow oneself listing of goals, V-238
ARC break always equals wrong Routine 2, handling of, V-237
case repair, V-237
ceased to be used, V-247
danger of, V-263
dope-off, V-237
four item packages, V-234
listing, auditor has no business with significances of items, V-235
listing, run all TA action out of listing; list at least 50 items beyond point TA became motionless, V-233
list is wrong way to, indicators, V-234, 236
never steer items, V-238
nulling, V-235
reliable items, you never audit an RI in any way but listing for another RI, V-237
right item signs, V-236
rock slam handling, V-235, 236, 240
source list is what you choose to get your first list from or List One, V-239
tone arm is used, V-233
vanished R/S or RR, V-249
wrong item signs, V-236
Routine 3, [1961] IV-326, 369; see also Routine 2 and/or Routine 3 [avoid R2-12, R3 type processes, V-406]
case repair, V-69
difficulties and liabilities in a ~ process, V-64
Model Session, V-278, 381
repair of, V-69
steps, IV-412
Routine 3D, IV-326, 369; see also Routine 3 [avoid R2-12, R3 type processes, V-406]
assessments, tips on, IV-427
cautions, IV-420
commands, IV-426, 438, 441
commands for whole track O/W, IV-458
command sheet, IV-437, 447, 457
goals assessment, IV-417
levels, tips on running, IV-429, 443
lock valences are appended to a real GPM 3D item, V-7
meter behavior on Routine 3D commands, IV-426
opposition assessment, IV-417
prerequisites, IV-445
repair of, V-69
reruns, IV-444
rules of thumb, IV-430, 462
terminal and oppterm, steps of running levels on, IV-443
terminal, produces a painful somatic, V-5
vocabulary, IV-419
Routine 3DXX (3D Criss Cross), V-4, 34 [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
assessment, V-10, 11, 17, 19
cautions regarding Routine 3G and ~, V-57
CCHs, Prechecking and ~ combination, V-43, 51, 52
complete list in 3DXX, V-17
differentiation step in 3DXX, V-11, 18
blows the lock valences, V-18
finding terminal and oppterm, V-5
items, V-25
line,
defn., a list of found 3D items each in opposition to the last item on that line, V-12
each line is an independent zig-zag of opposition items, V-10
ways to start a 3DXX line, V-17
listing on 3DXX, V-17
dirty needle in listing = an earlier item is wrong, V-57
is always derived from pc, V-10
pc who gets dopey or drowsy, handling of, V-10
repairing the process, V-69, 70
steps, V-4, 55
Routine 3G, V-53 [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
Routine 3GA, V-92 [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
Dynamic Assessment by Rock Slam, V-131, 135, 138, 142
experimental, V-64
Routine 3GA (cont.)
goals list, V-118
  length of, V-92
  pc must be warned not to read list back to himself, V-118
Goals Precheck Form, V-106
HCO WW Form G—Fast Goals Check, V-115, 165
HCO WW R-3GA Form 1—Listing Precheck, V-109
line wording, V-130, 134
listing, V-159
  bum goal results in a pc’s getting sick and dizzy, V-92
  by Prehav, V-163, 164
  by Tiger buttons—114 new lines for listing, V-147, 148
dominant rules of Routine 3GA listing, V-159
  “to be” goals line listing, V-139
wording, V-114
nulling by mid ruds, V-119, 122
scale of answering comm lags, V-159
steps, V-64
Routine 3GAXX, [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
assessment steps of 3GAXX, V-180, 203
dirty needles and incomplete lists, V-180, 181
overlisting, danger sign of, V-204
skills required to accomplish a for rock slammers, V-189
straightening up 3GAXX cases, V-179
target of, is items on which goals lists can be compiled and unburdening, V-213
Tiger Drill for nulling by mid ruds, V-196
Zero A steps and purpose of processes, V-210
Routine 3H, VI-239
Routine 3N, V-330 [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
Routine 3-R; see R3R
Routine 3, Service Facsimile Clear (R3SC), V-353
rundown on, V-356
slow assessment, V-379
Routine 3-21, V-170, 182 [avoid R3 type processes, V-406]
by-passed item defined, V-182
target of is Clear, theta clear and Operating Thetan, V-213
Routine 4, avoid R4 type processes, V-406
Routine 4MTA has been cancelled, V-376
routing form, IX-58
defn, form that lists the org terminals pc has to check through in order to arrive in HGC and in auditing chair, IX-11
RR; see rocket read
RS; see rock slam
rudiment(s) (ruds), II-289, 323, 327; III-487; IV-41, 163, 220, 423; VI-280; IX-277; see also ARC break; missed withhold; Model Session; overt; present time problem; withhold
defn., setting case up for session action; includes ARC breaks, PTPs, W/Hs, GF or O/R listing or any prepared list, VII-46, 360
rudiment(s) (ruds) (cont.)
  and GF, X-3
  and high TA, X-4
  and long C/Ses, X-87
  and sessions far apart, X-3
ARC breaks, PTPs and withholds all keep a session from occurring, VIII-178
are not something it is nice to do; they must be done, IV-56
are used to get pc in session, IV-274
auditing over out ruds, X-2-3, 149, 150
auditor and ARC break rudiment, IV-43
auditor clearance, IV-41, 194
beginning rudiments, [1961] IV-451, 453
and end rudiments, IV-215
Model Session, V-85, 398, 428; VI-44
withhold question change, V-72
CCH0 is firstly establishing the ~ of session, III-238
changein, [1961], IV-391
checking, V-81, 82
needle characteristics in ~ checks, V-84
steps, V-64
overlisting, danger sign of, V-204
dirty needles and incomplete lists, V-180, 181
clean rudiments, IV-271
clearing and rudiments [1961], IV-410
commands [1961], IV-377
commands for ARC break, PTP, missed withhold, VI-259
commands?important to clear, VI-265
C/S Series 37R and ruds, VII-296
definition and data, X-6
D of P checks pc out on rudiments, IV-215
don’t let pc use ~ to avoid body of session, V-76
don’t run a case by, IV-274
don’t run ruds if TA high, VI-277
double question is primary source of ARC breaks and out rudiments, V-74
E-Meter sensitivity is vital to get ~ in, V-91
end of session rudiment for withholds, V-27
eend phenomena, VIII-272
end rudiments, IV-43, 164, 222, 451, 455
end rudiments [Model Session], V-86, 399, 429; VI-45
end words of rudiments questions, checking pc on, V-102, 362
establish rudiments by control of pc, II-454
establish them more often with touchy pcs, IV-48
eexist to run enough to get pc into session, not to audit the case by rudiments, IV-363
fast checking, never say, “That still reads”, V-97, 361
flying ruds, VI-433
  added to Temperature Assist, VII-364
don’t fly when pc comes into session with an F/N, VI-280
F/N everything found on ruds and lists, VII-197
getting one’s own in, X-8
goals and rudiments, III-122
rudiment(s) (ruds) (cont.)
goals in the rudiments, IV-56
going out and handling, X-5-6
Green Form is done when ruds won’t fly, VII-45, 46, 357, 360
handle after Int and lists, X-149, 151
handling rudiment is just getting pc going, VI-97
Havingness, “Look around here and find something you can have” always works on any pc if rudiments are done thoroughly, IV-154
Havingness Process (or TR 10) can help on out ruds, IV-450
Havingness rud, V-101
how to get the rudiments in, V-361
if a rud reads you always follow it earlier until it F/Ns, VI-433
if it reads and pc gives one, don’t check the read again, VI-434
inability to fly ruds, VII-45, 357; X-3
instant read anticipated on rudiments, V-113
instant rudiment read, defn., V-264; see also EMD (EM Drill 18)
in2-way comm, X-54-55
Level One [1955], II-289
Levels III to VI Model Session, V-420, 448
life knocking out, X-94
life ruds, VI-435
list of rudiments bulletins, IV-450
metering rudiments [1961], IV-363
middle rudiments, V-99, 173
big mid ruds, V-446
goal will go null if big mid ruds are out, V-83
use of big mid ruds, V-248
Model Session, V-86, 244, 279, 382, 399, 421, 449; VI-45
prechecking the middle rudiments, V-83, 99
use of, V-97
will mush an engram, V-296
miss on one ~ and the next, even if really hot, can seem to be null by reason of ARC break, V-105
mutual out ruds, [1961], IV-450
modernized [1961], IV-450
mutual out ruds,
defn., two or more people who mutually have ruds out on wider group or other dynamics and do not get them in, VIII-259
can stall cases, VIII-259
C/S checks for mutual out ruds, VIII-259
handling of mutual out ruds, VIII-259; X-246
routine check for, X-246
never ask a question about an answer in cleaning any rudiment, V-75
of auditing, II-275, 283
out during 37R, X-133
rudiment(s) (ruds) (cont.)
out lists handled before ruds, VII-273
out ruds, VI-429
“audited over out ruds” reads on GF40, handle first, VII-35
auditing pc on something else whose ruds are out is a major auditing error, VII-356
C/S omits “Fly a rud” or “Fly ruds” does not justify auditor auditing pc over out ruds, VII-357
don’t audit with, X-2-3, 149, 150
don’t cram over out ruds, VIII-334
E-Meter can go gradiently dull in presence of out rudiments, V-96, 361
every out rud you get a read on is run E/S to F/N, VII-196
example of out ruds preventing clearing, IV 410
how to spot, IX-119
in Dianetics, VI-429
Int RD trouble is worse than list trouble is worse than out ruds, VII-396
life knocking ruds out faster than they can be audited in, VII-191
list of pc indicators and which rud is out, VII-454, 359-60
nothing else will straighten up and you mustn’t order auditors to audit with out ruds, VII 281
out ruds hide goals and terminals, IV-374, 423
pc himself can generate out ruds by lying; it shows up as out ruds, withholds, VI-430
pc is often ill because his ruds in life are out, VII-364
pc no interest = no interest in first place or out ruds = check for interest or put in ruds, VII-46, 360
pc with out ruds makes no real gain, it is wise to put ruds in “in life”, VI-435
problem shows up as an out rud in GF 40 and is simply put in as a rud not as a grade, VII-101
two-way comm session, ruds going out must be put in by auditor, VII-105
overt-withhold on auditor is far too accusative and invalidates pc, IV-194
parts of modern rudiments, IV-56
pc has not had a session for some time, ruds must be flown, VII-357
pc who has something to hide wants auditor to find rudiments in, V-82
pc with ruds out blows nothing, V-18
Prechecking, rudiments in, V-42, 63
Prechecking ~, you can get nice gains by, V-70
put in after Int and list repair, X-185
questions if not done in the same day tend to be unlimited, VI-280
random rudiment [Model Session], V-244
repetitive rudiment cycle, V-96, 361
rudiment(s) (ruds) (cont.)
repetitive rudiments and Repetitive Precheckpointing, V-361
repetitive rudiments, don’t use on end ruds of Precheck or R3 session, V-96
room rudiment dropped from Model Session in beginning ~, remains in end ~, V-101
R2 and R3 Model Session, rudiments, mid ruds, random rudiment, V-244
R3 Model Session, rudiments in, V-278, 381
sensitivity 16, rudiments are run at, VI-101
session without proper rudiments is a session without control, IV-56
should be established rapidly without too much talking, II-414
steer by repeated meter reaction, V-63
suppress and false, X-3
TA action ignored when a rudiment is used as a rudiment, V-76
TA action, lots of, on auditor rud, use CCHs, V-44
tone arm high, don’t fly ruds, VII-45, 197, 358
TR 1, if it is poor, you’ll miss rudiment’s outness and there goes your session, V-96, 361
use suppress and false, VI-281, 433; VII-45, 357
why rudiments are detested by some pcs, V-284
won’t register when pc is not in session, V-96
you don’t fly ruds over an out list, IX-157
Rudiments Check by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT4, IV-231
rule, auditor, F/N before next C/S action, X-136
blow up and 37R, low TAs, X-141
broad, and C/Sing, X-189
continued session, X-214
firm, C/S only with all folders to hand, X-45
general, return to where case was running well, X-113
Ivory Tower rule, X-170
mandatory, Cramming Officer in all HGCs, X-199
rumors break up an organization, I-313
rumors, not acceptable as evidence, II-160
rundown, one C/Ses or audits a as itself, not as a botch of several actions run into it, VII-289
rundowns, don’t let major as be done twice, VII-359
rundowns, integrity of, VIII-264
run what is offered, don’t force the pc, VI-341
Russian mental research, III-537
Russian propaganda, III-45
R (number); see Routine (number), except R3R and R6 [below]
R3R, R3Ring, V-294; see also Dianetics; engrams; incidents
R3R, R3Ring (cont.)
commands (cont.)
must be precisely given and all commands 1-9 A-D are used; it is never shorted “because the pc did it”, VII-189
on second run on an incident, VI-354
used on Quad Dianetics, X-103
on Triple Dianetics, X-101
don’t mix with earlier data on engram running, V-294
don’t use mid ruds or ask for M/W/Hs, V-296
drug items and Ev. Purps that have read are run R3R without asking for interest, VIII-161; IX 138
earlier beginning, VI-401
earlier incidents, VI-360
if a lock F/Ns you can get earlier incidents on same chain until pc actually runs engram or chain of engrams, VI-354
if a mental image picture goes more solid on second pass through, an earlier similar inci
dent must be found, VI-344
second time through, if TA rises, there is an earlier incident, VI-373
erasure; see erasure
evil purposes, common error on R3Ring, VIII-296
evil purposes, R3R all Ev. Purps culled from fol
der is done as first action in Ex Dn, VIII-277; IX-252
exactly followed gives uniform results, VI-366
floating needle on R3R, VII-20
flub, VII-189
flubbed, X-76
flubs, VIII-285, 375
imaginary incidents can be run R3R, VIII-388
inadequacy of a completely rote system, VIII-244
incidents can force pc’s TA below 2, but when erased TA comes back up to F/N, VI-398, 419
“interest”, can’t run on R3R, as positive don’t run, IX-168
interest is only absorbed attention and a desire to talk about it, V-301
it does not matter if pc stays within this lifetime or goes whole track so long as assessed chain is
followed and a basic eventually discovered for it, V-299
items R3R’d, marking of, IX-51
items: somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, VII-9
L&N item, IX-50
List L-3, V-308
listofwordsinR3Rprocedure, IX-129
L3RD; see list, L3RD
narrative items can give you trouble in R3R, VII-9
narrative R3R, use on accidents, illness, mental treatment, operations, VII-339
narrative secondaries, R3R, use on deaths of rela
tives, family insanity, VII-33940
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R3R, R3Ring (cont.)

operations, medical or dental treatment, deliver-
ies, should be audited out as soon as possible
by R3R, VI-348, 422

overrun, why it gives high TA, VII-18

PC originates “It’s erased” and TA remains high.
do ABCD once more or rehab last chain, VII-
208, 218-19

PC’s postulates, V-349

PC’s regard for or attitude about time can make it
difficult for auditor to run R3R or R3N,
V-330

PC telling there are several incidents, take earliest,
VI-401

Preliminary Step [1963], V-299

all rules of listing as developed in R2-12 apply
to R3R Preliminary Step, V-300

procedure; see also Dianetics Today

run the incidents given by PC or he doesn’t get
well, VI-346

run what reads, VI-350

significance and story content have no bearing on
rightness or wrongness of chain selected,
V-302

solid, example of PC saying “it was getting more
solid” to escape each incident, VI-430

steps, V-294

TA action exists on the correct chain, V-299, 300
TA and R3R, VI-397

two certain subjects the “interest?” question is
omitted from, IX-138

visible factors in R3R are: PC’s interest, TA ac-
tion, ability of PC to run incidents, V-301

which cases can run, V-331

R6; see also R6EW

ARC breaks, V-418

auditor musts, V-392

don’t use Clay Table Clearing after R6 begun,
V-493

list of good indicators on R6, V-390

pre-OT between R6 and OT III, it is possible to
repair grade he missed, VII-466

to OT III you have a closed band for other major
actions, VII-467

why PC can’t run at once, V-493

R6 bank; see reactive mind

R6EW; see also R6

falsely gotten to, X-22

Grade VI Release, VI-95

next step for a Grade VA Release in auditing is
R6EW, VI-38

OT III No-Interference Area, VIII-20

PC dramatizes = R6EW unflat, VII-70

when PC has taken locks off reactive mind itself,
using R6EW, he attains Fourth Stage Release,
VI-87

S

sacrifices, II-361, 374

sad,
auditor who goes sad is auditing PC’s over his own
ARC break, VII-362

effect, V-251, 252

cause of sad effect, VI-16

LIC handles ARC broken, sad, hopeless or nattery
PCs, VII-203

preclear sad = ARC break = locate and handle, itsa
earlier itsa, VII-46, 359

safeguarding Scientology materials, VI-105

safeguarding technology, VI-10

safe technique is that technique which always deals in
things of which the preclear is certain, I-388

Saint Hill HGC staff auditor is not the same as a Class
VI Saint Hiller, VI-34

Saint Hill Manor, III-522

data about, IV-29

Saint Hill Special Briefing Course (SHSBC), V-32,
156

checsheet should consist only of chronological
materials, studied in chronological order, VIII
201

sales failure, source of, III-534

S and Ds (S&Ds); see Search and Discovery
Sanderson RD (Expanded Dianetics), Wants Handled
RD was originally called, IX-142

sane, sanity, II-109, 224

defn, degree of rationality of an individual, I-69

defn, true sanity is that condition wherein one is
sufficiently intelligent to solve his problems
without physical violence or destroying other
beings and yet survive happily and prosper-
ously, VI-405

ability to tell right from wrong is legal definition
of sanity, VI-404

and certainty, parallel between, I-377

and insanity, I-68

basically honesty and truth, VIII-31

case level and ~, relationship to training, V-327

communication lag, direct index of sanity, I-466

concerned with the future, II-1

degree of sanity in government, II-272
degrees of, I-69

doubt about own sanity, answer to, VI-135

hattedness is basic of 3rd dynamic sanity, VIII-38

help is the make-break point between sanity and
insanity, IV-85

honesty is road to sanity, VIII-79

individual, what he is concerned with, I-239

is basically honesty and truth, X-208

is certainty, providing only that that certainty
does not fall beyond the conviction of another
when he views it, I-376

measurement of, II-1

needs creation-destruction balance, I-293

496
sane, sanity (cont.)
necessary to process every person on Earth to
bring sanity to Earth, IV-45
pc may be sane analytically and still react violently
to times in session, IV-88
pc’s sanity and continued happiness depend upon
ability to create new facts, II-178; VIII-114
person, passive and active, VI-197
psychiatric basic assumption that enough punishment
will restore sanity is disproven, IV-104
relationship to density, I-230
relationship to differentiation and identification,
I-244
road to sanity, VI-405
state, difference between a psychotic state and
sane state is ability to make things solid, III-188
the right to sanity, I-283
the road to sanity, I-377
true sign of sanity, VI-154
very sane confront the present entirely, II-1
scale; see also specific scales by name

gene
tic entity scale, I-302
gradient scale of cases, I-435
gradient scale of certainties, I-356
Hav
ing Scale, II-498
of increasing confidence, IV-8
of pc reality on terminals, IV-131
of wins [1947], IV-65
Postulate Tone Scale, I-184
scale of action, I-378
scale of awareness, I-378
Tone Scale, earliest, is in Book One, I-464
use of gradient scale, II-181
Scale of Awareness, description of, II-191
Scale of Reality, III-401
scanning,
defn., I-107
a chain of locks, I-109
A-R-C, I-186
in prenatal area can be dangerous, I-108
is between remembering and returning, I-107
lock; see lock scanning
mechanism of, I-107
reason why dropped as a process before publica-
tion of DMSMH, I-107
speeds, I-108
technique of, I-108, 204
through all auditing preclear has received, I-111
through each day, I-112
vocal, first and slowest rate of, I-108
scarce, incidents which are most scarce tend to stick
hardest, III-151
scarcity, scarcities, II-501
and abundance, III-148, 150
Expanded Gita resolves, I-439
Level Five, Remedy of Communication Scarcity,
II-291
remedying, I-493
Scenery, Exteriorization by, [process], I-396
scheduling, defn, hours of a course or designation of
certain times for auditing, VII-141, 287; IX-312
schema, German, II-350
schizophrenic,
defn, split personality; one in another’s valence,
III-11
how to handle, III-11
science(s),
a look at the sciences, III-423
and religion, where they meet, II-6
assumption points of, IV-102
essentials of, I-268
goes mad when it is “creating in order to de-
stroy”, IV-127
how to study a science; see also NSOL
physical sciences and religion, II-211
Scientology, not a speculative science, II-342
vocabularies of, II-533
scientific method, steps described, II-477
scientific treatment of the insane, IV-82
scientific truth, defn, something which is workably
and invariably right for the body of knowledge
in which it lies, I-71
scientists, non-understanding of, II-137
scientists once stood for truth and tried to serve
humanity; now they serve economics and po-
litical creeds, IV-146
Scientologist(s)’(s); see also Scientology
defn, specialist in spiritual and human affairs,
II-152
defn, one who controls persons, environments
and situations, III-55, 281
and medical doctors, conflicts between, how to
handle, II-156
and public, knowledge bridge must be in, VIII-202
“are harder to audit than new pcs”, answer is:
auditor speed, VI-420
are not revolutionaries, we are humanitarians, IV-114
are the free people, III-145
as a “counselor”, IV-114
be part of society and improve it, IV-107, 114
can get the job done, III-332
characteristics of, III-281
[1957] III-1; see also Scn 0-8
“doctors” on third and fourth dynamics, IV-113
Elementary Scientologist [1956], II-509
empire of wisdom, Scientologist’s empire, II-68
everybody is a Scientologist, some just haven’t
cognized yet, III-501
expected to be able to resolve problems in many
specialized fields of which auditing is the first
field he addresses so as to be conversant with
and capable in the phenomena of life, II-67
expected to support his own government, II-292
handle first and second dynamics only to achieve
better function on third and fourth, IV-112
Scientologist(s) (cont.)

has no specialized political or religious convictions beyond those dictated by wisdom and his own early training, II-67-68

have more training than psychologists, II-160 in his training, must approximate route of actual research and discovery, III-328

is first cousin to Buddhist, distant relative to Taoist, feudal enemy to enslaving priest and bitter foe of German, Viennese and Russian defamers of man, III-55

never be half-minded about being Scientologists, VI-8

one who is not a victim, III-494, 517 operates within the boundaries of Auditor’s Code and Code of a Scientologist, III-281 professional ~ is one who expertly uses Scientology on any area or level of society, IV-106 religion of Scientologist is freedom for all things spiritual on all dynamics, III-55

role in life, Special Zone Plan, IV-111 role of, II-67 should never let themselves be interviewed by the press, II-155 should utilize existing public facilities, II-168 what we expect of a Scientologist, IV-106

Scientology’s; see also Scientologist

defn, knowing about knowing or science of knowledge, I-268
defn., the science of knowing how to know, I-316, 376
defn, is the science of knowing how to know answers, II-67
defn., means knowledge; scio means knowing in the fullest sense of the word; studying how to know in the fullest sense of the word, II-214
defn., branch of psychology which treats of human ability, II-390, 405
defn., taken from scio (knowing in the fullest meaning of the word) and ology (to study), II-405
defn, knowing in the fullest sense of the word, III-281
defn, an organized body of scientific research knowledge concerning life, life sources and the mind and includes practices that improve the intelligence, state and conduct of persons, III-491
abbreviations and symbols of, VI-79
addressed to the working man, II-453
against Scientology, defn, attention off ~ and protesting ~ behavior or connections, V-347
aims and purpose of, I-317, 357
aims of Scientology, VI-88
an account of what you were doing before you forgot what you were doing, II-440
and civil government, II-168

anyone using it must state that he is using Scientology, II-159

Scientology’s (cont.)

area that thinks Dianetics and Scientology don’t work, reasons for, VI-323

as it should be presented to basic course people, II-404

assumption points of ~ and other subjects, IV-102 attackers of Scientology had criminal records, II-167

auditing is more delicate than Dianetic auditing, VII-21

auditing, pc must be well to start on, VI-325

auditor, ability to do Dianetic auditing is true fundamental background of Scientology auditor, VI-325

axioms and principles of Scientology are considerations agreed upon and from which stem this universe and livingness, III-344

Axioms of; see also Axioms; PXL; Scn 0-8

Axiom 58, III-393; see also Axioms & Logics background, general; see PXL

basic assumption: a being without aberration will be good, ethical, artistic and powerful; this has become a basic truth, IV-104

basic lessons of: spirit is source of all; you are a spirit, III-270

believes that no government should be interfered with, II-292

Buddhism, relation to Scientology, VI-195

chief uses are in fields of education, organization, mental disability and religion, III-281

clearing in ~ consists of discovering source of reactive mind itself and making it vanish, III-270

Clear Procedure; see Clear Procedure

communication lines of, II-92

consusions about, clear up earlier subject, VI-152

considers only those things which man or man as a spirit can make, II-409

courses, basic philosophy behind, II-339

Creed of the Church; see Scn 0-8

current state of the subject and materials, VIII-201

deals with thetan, being who is the individual and who handles and lives in body, VI-341

debates on Scientology, discourage, II-159
demands no belief or faith and thus is not in conflict with faith, III-514
described at public level in DMSMH, IV-79, 81
description of, II-152; see also PXL; Scn 0-8
development of Scientology, VIII-201, 202

Dianetics and Scientology, VI-160, 349, 351
difference between, I-316, 405; II-118; III-270; VI-325, 338; VIII-107

Dianetics is the branch of Scientology which deals with mental anatomy, III-470

discovered not invented, II-406, 434

dissemnitate ~ without telling what it is, III-476

dissemninating ~, how a confusion results, II-154
Scientology’s (cont.)
does not fit into any other frame of reference, but other things fit into its frame of reference, III-345

does not invade the 8th dynamic, II-432
don’t classify with psychology or medicine, II-264
early attacks on, III-172
employed by an auditor (one who listens and computes) as a set of drills (exercises, processes) upon the individual, and small or large groups, II-405
empowering a thetan to overcome his own problems, III-283
everything in ~ has been directly and actively postulated by person at some point in past, III-345
examples of ~ applied to third dynamic, IV-114
exists to further and better government of people, and believes in principles of democracy, Magna Carta, Constitution of the United States, and Bill of Rights, II-168-69
first principle of: it is possible to know about the mind, II-407
focal point is upon Scientology not its organizations or auditors or personalities, II-132
gives us the common denominators of objects, energies, spaces, universes, livingness and thought itself, II-437

gnostic faith in that it knows it knows, II-152
goals, III-55, 283
goal [1952]: to recover full identity and knowingness of being and causality of the immortal, imperishable self, forevermore, I-301
good press on Scientology, II-311
good how it is used, II-405
good how to study, II-407
impact of Scientology against the society, II-305
importance of delivering Dianetics and ~, II-481
improves the intelligence, ability, behavior, skill and appearance of people, II-405
indebted to psychoanalysis and Freud, II-465
in other languages, VII-443
integrity is hallmark of Dianetics and ~, VII-362
intended as an assistance to life at large, to enable life to make a better civilization and a better game, II-434
is a description born out of 25 years of investigation of how life and universes are put together, II-53
is a practical religion for all denominations, and doesn’t require faith in anybody until they have experienced something to have faith about, II-266
is a religion, why this is so, II-118, 209

Scientology’s (cont.)
is a work on the subject of the mind, not on the subject of the Supreme Being, II-409
is basic religion, II-237
is for the people and of the people, II-269
is making the individual capable of living a better life in his own estimation and with his fellows and playing of a better game, II-440
is not just processing, that’s only one use of fundamentals, VIII-202
is not political, II-268
is rehabilitation of the game, II-366
is the data necessary to live, III-236
keeping Scientology working, VI-4
language of, how to learn, II-534
lectures by Ron needed to give student flavor and idea of Scientology, IV-329
List One, V-191, 195, 202, 215, 220; see also EMD
lists vs. Dianetic lists, VI-390
living and Scientology; see also PXL
man who invented Scientology, III-470
materials, use of, II-86-88
medical doctors and Scientology, VIII-203, 204
mind, Scientology is only full study in field of mind developed in Twentieth Century, III-477
mission of Scientology is to raise knowingness of the spirit to a degree that it again knows what it is and what it is doing, II-153
mustn’t be confidential, III-147
nomenclature of, how formulated, II-535
not only accepts but can prove that man does have a soul, III-514
only pointing out things the individual has already agreed with or himself caused, II-438
only reason anyone has ever left ~ is because people failed to find out about them, IX-282
organizational goals [1959], III-548
organizations; see organizations ownership of, II-199
para-Scientology; see para-Scientology
phenomena of, discovered and held in common by all men and all life forms, II-434
philosophy of a new age, III-153
points out what can be seen or changed from a person’s own viewpoint to bring about a change in his own condition, II-438
power of ~ is that it, by stressing single, simple truths, eliminates oceans of mere data, III-346
practiced in daily life, II-406
practitioners are validated by official organizations, II-406
Preventive Scientology, II-441
product of, VI-160, 322, 326, 338, 351, 392
professional attitude of, V-59
proper attitude to Scientology, VI-9
public who seek to liken Scientology to something else, how to handle, VI-1 52
“Quickie Grades” crashed whole ~ network, VII-88
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Scientology(s) (cont.)
raw public tape and film presentations are a must
to keep flavor and meaning of ~, IX-366, 438
reactive mind and Scientology; see reactive mind
mind
relation to healing, VIII-203
religion, II-210
religion, all-denominational rather than non-de-
nominational, II-158
religious, Scientology is a religious philosophy and
practice, VI-195
religious traditions of, II-152
research was financed at first by Ron’s writings
and expeditions, III-172
results verify its basic assumption, IV-104
road to truth; he who would follow it must take
ture steps, VIII-203
science of human ability and intelligence, III-477
sell Scientology by action, IV-115
situation in South Africa [1960], IV-161
spiritual freedom is product of Scientology, VI-
323, 326, 338
student, subject of Scientology is as good or bad
in direct ratio to his knowledge of it, III-420
study Scientology with purpose of arriving at your
own conclusions as to whether or not the
tenets you have assimilated are correct and
workable, III-26
Theory 67, IV-149, 166
“the science of certainty”, I-340, 374
the way out, III-134
the work was free, III-173
third dynamic for Scientology, IV-2
thought is the subject matter of ~, I-268
tradition of; see also BCR; PXL
training; see training
ture story of; see NSOL
undercutting any older philosophy, III-345
use of, VI-135, 339, 341, 351; VIII-202
using ~ to handle situations in life is a whole
subject in itself and it isn’t auditing, V-491
validation of, II-102
vocabulary of, II-342, 535
Wedding Ceremony, II-425
what to disseminate, II-153
what we want out of Scientology, III-134
why Scientology allies itself with religion, II-73,
209
why Scientology does not conflict with other
religions, VI-195
why Scientology is a religion, VIII-107
with Scientology, defn., interested in subject and
going it used, V-347
workability of Scientology, V-425
Scientology: Clear Procedure—Issue One; see Clear
Procedure
scouting, handling the time track, V-288
scouting, how to run, III-297
screens, II-38
black, how to resolve, I-437
S-C-S; see Start—Change—Stop
Search and Discovery (S&D), VI-113, 127, 128, 136,
164, 165, 171, 206, 210, 213, 216, 218; see also BCR
defn., search and discovery of suppression; it
locates suppressives on case, VI-207
are listed by the laws of listing and nulling, VI-266
artists, purpose S&D is very magical on, VI-219
bad S&D makes a person sick, VI-165
commands, VI-164
correcting S&Ds, VI-263
disconnection letters, S&D and, VI-166
ers, VI-127, 136; VIII-211
errors, VI-127, 136; VIII-211
F/N, “S&Ds to F/N”, VI-218, 250
handles victims of psychotics, VI-134
item,
general item, how to handle, VI-208
missed item, VI-165
“myself” as item, VI-128
turns out to be a group, how to handle, VI
114, 164
wrong item on an S&D can make pc ill, VI-208
key S&D question: “Who or what has unmocked
you?”, VI-210
list error can make a person sort of PTS with a
wrong item, [X-169
listing questions, VI-207
must read, VI-218
listing rules, VI-129
List L4 used to assess an ARC break on ~
VI-171
List L4A, VI-213
meter just falls flat when you’ve got a complete
list; needle goes clean, VI-129
new S&Ds (3 S&Ds), PTS RD step, VIII-342
past S&Ds, PTS RD step, VIII-340
Purpose S&Ds, VI-218
service facsimile, locating, and Search and Dis-
covery, difference between, VI-115
SP, when discovered, give an S&D to his associ-
ates, VI-144
three S&Ds, procedure for, VI-436
trouble in, VI-128
types: Type S, Type U, Type W, VI-216, 219
more or less limited to one of each type,
VI-278
seasickness, example of handling, VI-202
secondary, secondaries, VI-232, 279; see also
engrams: grief engrams; locks; SOS
defn., separation of dynamics seven and six, caus-
ing grief due to loss, I-161
defn., those parts of time track which contain
misemotion based on earlier engramic experi-
ence, V-74
defn., mental image pictures containing misemo-
tion (grief, anger, apathy, etc.); they contain
no pain; they are moments of shock and stress
and depend for their force on underlying
engrams, VI-61, 86, 141
secondary, secondaries (cont.)
defn., mental image picture of a moment of severe and shocking loss or threat of loss which contains misemotion such as anger, fear, grief, apathy or “deathfulness”, VI-340
bury engrams, VI-163 called a secondary because it itself depends upon an earlier engram with similar data but real pain, VI-340 derives all its power from an underlying engram, VI-163 original use meant “a moment of loss”, VI-163 overt-motivator sequence of, VI-232 running ~ gives spectacular results, VI-I 59 universes, II-493
Secondary Scale; see Pre-Havingness Scale, Secondary Scale
secondary styles; see auditing, secondary styles second dynamic; see dynamic, 2nd second postulate; see postulate, second Second Stage Release, VI-56, 70, 71, 87 secrecy computation, I-475 secrets(s), only disturbing element in secrets is guilt which accompanies them, III-201; see also missed withhold prevent case advance, II-276 “Recall a secret” [process], III-93 Straight Wire on Secrets, Knowingness, II-251 two-way communication recalling pc’s ~, II-250 Security Check(s)(ing), IV-30, 355, 445; see also Confessional; Integrity Processing; EME against a chronic somatic, IV-389 always flatten original question, IV-449 based on “withhold”, “make guilty” and “prevent”, V-1 by rock slam, V-140 CCHs and, IV-348 Children’s Security Check, ages 6-12, IV-378 compose Sec Check, IV-415 confused area, IV-415 somatics, possible to eradicate by sec checking area of confusion, IV-409 don’t act accusatively, IV-98 “don’t know” version, IV-425 for staff, main points to be included [1960], IV-23 generalities won’t do, IV-424 help check as a security check, IV-98 HGC Auditor’s Sec Check, IV-356 HGC Pre-Processing Security Check, IV-403 how to do, IV-97 if a question doesn’t promptly clear on needle then it is part of a chain, V-62 increases responsibility, V-9 Johannesburg (Joburg) Security Check, IV-242, 275, 317 as preparation for assessment, IV-270 main danger of, IV-402 never leave a question unflat, V-1 Security Check(s)(ing) (cont.) “not know” version of Security Checking, IV-372 only valid Security Check, IV-275 Prechecking and-[1962], V-62 preventing a missed Sec Check question, IV-425 prevention of ~ being left unflat, IV-402 prior confusion and ~, IV-390, 406, 409, 415 Processing Security Check, IV-356 ratio of time run between Problem and Sec Check [RIA], IV-355 R-factor, IV-242, 276 run also Havingness, V-6 R3D, Sec Checks during, IV-422 Scientology Students’ Security Check, IV-349 Student Practice Security Check, used by Academy students learning E-Meter use, IV-400 Twenty-Ten; see Twenty-Ten unflat, remedy for, V-67 use of E-Meter in Security Check, IV-97 varying Sec Check questions, IV-449 when a person is flunked on a Sec Check, IV-275 Whole Track Sec Check, IV-337 withhold pulling and Sec Check, increase E-Meter sensitivity for, IV-273 Security Form 2 (Joburg Security Check Sheet), IV-242 Security Form 3 (the only valid Security Check), IV-275 Security Form 4 (Sec Check Whole Track), IV-337 Security Form 5 (Scientology Students’ Security Check), IV-349 Security Form 5A (for all HPA/HCA and above students before acceptance on courses), IV-407 Security Form 6 (HGC Auditor’s Sec Check), IV-356 SecurityForm7A(forstaffapplicants), IV-381 Security Form 7B (for persons now employed), IV-383 Security Form 8 (Children’s Security Check), IV-378 Security Form 8 (for pcs beginning intensives), IV-403 security, how to obtain org security, II-387 security risk, don’t let a bad security risk near a staff position, IV-89 security risks, rock slammers are ~, V-185 sedation, don’t process a person who is under, I-104 sedation, problemof, I-104 sedation, temporary effect of, I-105 sedatives and hypnotics, observed action of, I-105; see also DMSMH seeing, fear of seeing is fear of mass, III-209; see also eyes see, thetan’s ability to, III-209 Selected Person Overt, commands of and how to run, III-434 Selected Person Overt-Withhold, commands of and how to run, III-406 Selected Person Overt-Withhold used on present time problem, III-402 Selected Persons Overt Acts, commands of and how to run, III-389
Selected Persons Overt Straightwire, III-397
will bring up the responsibility of case to point
where he can be trusted to run engrams, III-453

Selected Persons Overt Straightwire, how to select
person, commands and how to run, III-427

Selected Persons Overt-Without on auditor as a se-
lected person, III-430

Selected Persons Overt-Withhold Straightwire, com-
mands of and how to run, III-417

selected person, III-430

selection of marriage partners, I-121

selection of personnel, I-9

selection, theory of natural, I-52

Self Analysis, II-144

advantages of Mock-up Processing, I-349
List Mock-ups [process], I-329
lists rehab, VI-188
next-to-the-last list, I-386, 388, 396, 425; II-220

Self Analysis in Scientology, ARC Straight Wire using
next-tolast list of, VIII-121

Self Analysis in Scientology, use of in group pro-
cressing children, I-319, 327

self, attacking, I-190
self-audit; see auditing, self
"self-coaching" is flunked, VI-234
self-confidence is self-determinism, I-184
self-determination, defn., the location of matter and
energy in time and space, and the creation,
change and destruction of time and space, I-295
self-determinism, self-determined, I-36; see also Scn
8-80
defn., I-214
defn., full responsibility for self, no responsibility
for other side of game, V-8
aberrated, is end product of failures to help, IV-
191
ability to own and control and fulfill various
efforts of theta, I-189
analysis of; see AP&A
and reason, I-149
basis, ability to withhold or give out a datum on,
III-118
being basically prosper only when they are self-
determined, VIII-130
circumscribed by environment of individual and
forces he faces, I-38

Effort Processing, ~ Effort Processing, I-167
entrance into ~ requires that thetan conceive idea
of other beings, III-465
established in direct ratio to increased ability to
handle mest, I-193
explained, I-153
fixed attention results in unawareness of other
things than object of fixation and lessening of ~
to a point of other-determinism, VIII-262

self-determinism, self-determined (cont.)
goal of, I-293
goes down as a person goes down the Tone Scale,
II-287
inflow and restrained inflow can be ~ actions,
V-14
interruption of, I-155
is mid-range on Tone Scale, III-465
is self-confidence, I-184
of pc proportional to amount of self-direction he
iscapableofexecuting, II-17
of pc reduced markedly by evaluating for pc,
II-475
processing; see AP&A
proportionate to ability to reason, I-150
proportionate to handling of mest, II-287
related to ability to impose space between
terminals, II-14
returning self-determinism to the pc, II-237

"Son, your self-determinism depends upon your
ability to tolerate the actions of others or to
direct them at will. It depends upon your
ability to have charity towards your fellow
men. It depends upon your ability when in a
position of trust to demonstrate mercy. It de-
pends upon your ability to make a postulate
stick on that body. When you tell it to walk, it
walks". II-214

validated, I-170

self-listing, cause of, VIII-96
selling, basic scale and ethics of, III-533, 534
selling Scientology, II-264
book distribution and~., II-320
semantic re-orientation, I-47
Senior C/S, lines of, VII-182

seniors, C/S (for tech) and D of P (for auditors and
bodies), X-84

seniors in tech, X-178

sensation(s) (sen.),
defn., all other uncomfortable perceptions than
pain stemming from reactive mind are called
sensation; these are basically "pressure", "mo-
tion", "dizziness", "sexual sensation", and
"emotion and misemotion", V-175; VII-192
and reason, I-149
diagnostic breakdown came in assessing only
somatics, ~, emotions, attitudes, VII-9
opposition terminal produces dizziness or "winds
of space" sensation, V-5
sense of reality, I-15
Senses, Orientation of, List; see SA
sensitivity, E-Meter; see E-Meter sensitivity
separate (Secondary Scale level), IV-297
Separateness [process], II-275, 283, 448
separateness, games condition evolves from ~, IV
54
separating universes, II-193
seriousness, I-211

and insanity, directconnectionbetween, I-212
the more mass the more ~, II-179
service and handling are the same thing, VII-4
service facsimile(s), VI-173; see also AP&A
defn., simply a persistence of non-admired things which resolve when admired, I-311
defn., a series of facsimiles which you call a facsimile, which can be applied to the control of others, III-231
defn., Advanced Procedure and Axioms defn., picture containing an explanation of self condition and also a fixed method of making others wrong, VII-258; IX-250
defn., Advanced Procedure and Axioms definition auditor and pc when they are cleared for session, accurate; add this: ~ is that computation generated by pc (not bank) to make self right and others wrong, to dominate or escape domination, and enhance own survival and injure that of others, V-353, 354
defn., Auditors Report, Crime to give any session or assist without making one, VI-364

Grading IV Release—Service Facsimiles, VI-95
is a solution, III-167
is generated by pc, not the bank, V-354
keynote of clearing a ~ is interest; if pc isn’t interested in it, assessment is wrong, V-356
pc giving a doingness, how to handle, VI-173
relationship to victim, III-519
Search and Discovery and locating service facsimile, difference between, VI-115
student’s or auditor’s service facsimile may contest instruction, how to handle, V-358
theory, IX-249
why it’s called that, IX-249

session; see also Model Session
defn., actor and preclear (patient) are together out-of-doors or in quiet place where they will not be disturbed or where they are not being subjected to interrupting influences, II-441
defn., auditor and preclear locate, step by step, any mental blocks to increased ability and freedom, VI-322
against session, defn., attention off own case and talking at auditor in protest of auditor, PT C/S for several sessions, X-214
auditing, environment or Scientology, data, important data in a session, VI-91
Dianetic sessions, X-87

antibiotics, person on antibiotics is given vitamins before session, VIII-405
ARC break caused by running pc over his head, IV-44
ARC breaks, PTPs and withholds all keep a session from occurring, VIII-178
ARC breaks, running O/Wto handle, IV-43
ARC break that comes up in session must be handled, VIII-409

auditing itself is a sort of time track, earliest session blows later sessions, VII-210; VIII-274
auditing results are best achieved in a session and a session depends upon a self-determined agreement to be audited, V-491
auditor and pc when they are cleared for session, only then begin on case, III-301
auditor clearance, IV-41
auditor does not grade his own, IX-29
auditor fully responsible for session, IV-43
auditor is responsible for session, VII-235, 250, 428
auditor is responsible for session environment, VIII-409
auditor is totally responsible for session, V-161, 425, 426
auditor remains at cause in all sessions without forbidding pc to be at cause, III-161
Auditor’s Report, Crime to give any session or assist without making one, VI-364
before stopping session auditor must reduce every engram contacted or basic engram on chain, I-19
before tackling a bank you have to have a ~, IV-66
blows, cause of, II-246
body of session [Model Session], V-280, 382, 421, 449
break, process can go F/N in, VI-277
by LRH, Effort Processing and lock scanning on wearing glasses, I-196
by-passed charge, X-18, 19
CCH 0 is a collection of mechanical aids to assist pc’s participation in session and auditor in ARC, III-158, 178
challenging people out of session as “having with holds” is illegal, VII-167
child must be given a very formal session, III-553
child, unwilling, use short sessions, III-526
cleaning up an old session will give you all the latent gain in that session, V-21
confront, ability to confront pc and session and parts of session permits one to accurately go from A to B, VII-289
continued session rule, X-214
control, don’t discard it by asking pc what to do, V-76
C/S for several sessions, X-214
data, important data in a session, VI-91
Dianetic sessions, X-87
difference between formal session and assist, III 260
economical, X-87
end body of session [Model Session], V-280, 383, 421, 449
ending a session, IV-43, 164, 223, 456
ending session is totally up to auditor, VII-44, 356
Ending the Session, Training 9(c), III-340; X-2

end of session [Model Session], V-86, 245, 280, 383, 399, 422, 450; VI-46
environment, IV-41
exteriorization and ending session, VIII-397
failed sessions, most common reason for, is inability of auditor to get reads on lists, VIII-233
failure, causes of, VI-274, 417, 449
failure, handling, VI-449
false TA must be handled before ~, VIII-411, 414
far apart barely keep up with life; ruds must be flown, VII-357
first sessions, IV-214
flubbed session is visible at Examiner, VII-138
Folder Summary for each session, VII-276
General Check-up on a Session by D of P [SOP Goals], HCO WW Form CT3, IV-230
General Overt/Withhold before session, V-101
general requirements of sessions, IV-129
getting the pc sessionable, V-491
goals, IV-41
goals, reason for session goals, IV-56
goofed ~ must be repaired within 24 hours, VII-138
goofed session, repair of, VII-61
go wrong, ask for missed withholds, V-61
going, VII-127, 180, 181; X-59, 82 by C/S, X-59-60
deft., when given, VII-128
form, X-81
no mention, defn., VII-127; X-59
very well done, defn., VII-127, 181; X-59, 82
deft., VII-127, 181; X-59, 82
“Well done by exam”, defn., VII-181; X-59, 82
how to establish, III-238
illness “running out” after session, VI-371
ill pc needs smooth and short sessions, VI-421
in session,
defn., pc is interested in and talking to auditor about his case, III-538
defn., pc interested in own case and willing to talk to auditor, IV-43, 62, 173, 175, 450; VII-230, 259; IX-84
defn., willing and able to talk to the auditor; interested in own case, V-18
difficult to keep pc in session, handling of, V-58
exception to case interest, pc going upscale goes through boredom, IV-175
going and keeping pc in session, II-16, 217, 443
getting pc in session, III-157, 301
how to get and keep pc in session, IV-43, 175
if pc is in session E-Meter will read, V-96
keeping pc in session is done with good ARC, III-243
key to fast, high results is “pc in session”, IV-175
pc participation in session, III-157, 176
how to gain, III-161, 181
pc participation in session (cont.)
is necessary for processing to work, how it is achieved, III-319
is necessary in order to place pc somewhat at cause point in actual fact of auditing, III-158, 178
pc in session will always tell withholds, V-23
pc who is not participating in session is not at cause, III-161, 181
pcs “getting an F/N at will” are not in session, VII-438
put pc more in session with goals, III-314
putting pc’s attention on E-Meter violates in session definition, IX-84
rudiments are used to get pc in session, IV-274
is a cycle of action, IV-56
listing out of session, VIII-96
logged, X-81
LRH model tape sessions, VIII-33
mechanics of session, great deal of value of auditing lies in, IV-56
Model Session; see Model Session
more economical if long, VII-186
must-nots, V-463
never permit the preclear to end the session on his own independent decision, II-98
never walk off from a pc during a session, II-99
opening and closing of, III-487
org having only 65% of its sessions F/N VGIs at Examiner, what to do, VII-366
out of session,
degrees of being out of session, IV-175
failure of most sessions is pc going out of session, II-524
pc is thrown out of session by having responsibility hung on him, V-414
pc out of session, VIII-410
pc out of session when he starts to control session, II-524
pc who stops or alters course of action of auditor is out of session, II-524
pc with dirty needle is a long way out of session a lot of the time, V-93
processes all fail if pc is out of session, IV-175
reasons for out of session, IV-62
when the pc goes more anaten than he is when not being audited, he is in the grip of a real or affected code break and is out of session, II-322
out of sessionness, III-304
patterns, well followed, tend to run out earlier sessions, IV-53
pc attempting to leave session equals M/W/H, V-59
session (cont.)

pc feels a security when all his sessions are predictable as to pattern, IV-53
pc permitted to be responsible for session will
ARC break, IV-373
pc’s attention, don’t put it out of session, IX-67
pc’s attention must be on his own case in session, not on meter or his hands, VIII-27
perfect session, VII-230
preliminaries [Model Session], V-243, 278, 381, 398, 420, 428, 448; VI-44
priorities, VII-61
priorities—Repair Programs and their priority, X-16
Q and A, session without Q and A is a smooth session, V-74
PTP is any worry that keeps pc out of session, III-243
PTP makes it hard for pc to confront session, III-311
red tagging flubbed sessions, VII-138
rough, angry ARC breaky session, auditor has failed to pick up missed withholds, V-58
rudiments at beginning of session [1961], IV-451
rudiments, don’t let pc use rudiments to avoid body of session, V-76
rudiments exist to run enough to get pc into session, IV-363
running badly, X-4
second session, IV-215
scheduling and programs, X-3
short sessioning has its uses: small children, sick people, psychos, VII-187
smooth out session [Model Session], V-280, 383, 422, 449
standardized sessions, IV-53
and ending session, characteristic, purpose, stable datum, III-479
bad off case and case in very good condition anxieties of sex: there must be additional bodies alike require special handling, III-159, 179
CCH 0; see CCH 0
how to start a session [1960], IV-41
points which should be in before starting session, IV-67
Training 9(b), III-340
start of session [Model Session], V-85, 243, 278, 381, 398, 420, 428, 448; VI-44
starts of sessions, types of, don’t mix them, VII-274; X-143
TA amount per session, V-367
tape recording sessions, points to look for, V-378
tone arm high at session start, VII-45; see also tone arm, high
that tries to go beyond a big dial-wide drifting floating F/N only distracts pc from his win, VII-144
that went wrong, X-9

session (cont.)

TR 0 exists so auditor is not ducking session but can sit there relaxed, doing his job, VIII-289, 383
unrepaired for more than 24 hours occasionally find pc physically ill, VII-139
violent session ARC break, X-184
went wrong, ask pc what auditor did, VII-48, 363
what session depends on, IV-66
when does it begin, III-259
without proper rudiments session is without control, IV-56
with session, defn, interested in in own case and willing to talk to auditor, V-346; see also session, in
if pc is with meter will read; if pc is partially against ~ meter will read poorly, V-361
sessionable, getting the pc sessionable, II-17
set-up(s), VII-51, 277, 467
defn, getting an F/N showing and VGIs before starting any major action, VII-47, 360; X-6
always set up case fully for next major action, VII-277
auditing set-up actions, VII-14
checklist, ExDn, IX-254
Expanded Dianetics, IX-251
forauditing, VI-283
for next major action, X-146
when Repair Program is concluded case is considered to be set up, X-21
Set-up Program; see Repair Program
seven flows, X-131
seven resistive cases; see case, resistive
seventh dynamic; see dynamic, 7th
severity, defn, increase in that discipline believed necessary by people to guarantee their security, II-514
sex(es), sexual, II-484; see also dynamic, 2nd
defn, low order massive level of creation, II-469
ability, lack of, II-108
admiration and evolution of, I-383
anxieties of sex: there must be additional bodies for next life, II-433
battle of, men vs. women, I-151
behavior; see also Science of Survival
concentration on sex, II-469
effect of insufficient admiration from sexual partners, I-385
emotional or ecstatic impact from, II-469
Freud’s libido theory, IV-103
harmonic of aesthetics and pain, I-418
incident is a bounce from a death, III-411
is symbolism of mock-ups, what to run, I-361
only one of numerous creative impulses, II-433
overweighted in importance in old psychotherapy, II-433
parts, audit sexual parts or psychosomatic difficulties last, III-93
reading of sexual significances into each and every action, II-470
sex(es), sexual (cont.)
  sudden loss of sexual partner, I-437
  suppression of by a group, I-160
sexual sensation, defn, any feeling, pleasant or unpleasant, commonly experienced during sexual
  restimulation reaction, V-175; VI-192
sF—small fall (a quarter to half an inch), VI-357
sheep-psychology mechanism, I-36
Sheet, P:. Assessment; see Preclear Assessment Sheet
  shifting environment during auditing, I-48
  shifting, valence, I-486
shock cures aberration: psychiatry’s basic assumption, IV-103
shock, effect of, on muscles, IX-503
shock, electric, III-15
shock, engrams contain, more important than pain and unconsciousness, the moment of shock, which is that period of realization by body and
  thanet that an overwhelming has occurred, II-398
shock, insane by reason of emotional shock, handling of, VI-319
shock, operational shock, cause of, V-464
shock treatment, what it does, V-408
shock treatment worsens and confirms mental derangement, I-432
short-cutting processes and programs, X-40
short-handing session actions on worksheets, IX-42
Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S, VIII-228, 282, 308, 356, 398; X-165; see also tone arm
short programs are for the birds, VII-87
short sessioning as a technique, III-368
short sessioning has its uses: small children, sick people, psychos, VII-187
short sessioning works very well with a child, III-553
Short Spotting, version of TR10, III-160, 180
Short 8, I-346, 348, 395
Step E, Duplication, I-425
Short 8A, I-346, 348
  defn, is a role process for the resolution of the
  Resistive V, I-410
  and occluded cases, I-410
SHSBC; see Saint Hill Special Briefing Course
  “Shut your eyes and look at my fingers”, assist, VII1418
Siberian Bill, unlawful confinement of mental patients, II-385
sick; see ill
sick animal, rehabilitation of, I-389
“sight” translator is one equally good in two languages who can hear one language and speak
  translation into other language without hesitation, VII-441
significance(s), II-448
  all forces in bank contain significances, VII-77
  Attention Subjective Repetitive, never run on significance, VIII-263
clay table, mass parts are done by clay, significance or thought parts by label, VII-163
significance(s) (cont.)
  Consideration in the form of Significances [process], II-68
C/Sing towards significance produces non-advancing cases, VII-77
  establish reality of terminal before you try to clear it with significance, III-235
  force vs. significance, VII-77, 85
  in clay table work; see clay table
  no change when only significance addressed, X-35
  no significance process moves a low graph case, IV-139
  of mechanics of the mental image picture, III-32
  on a nervous-dispersed case there is no real gain in running ~ until hellos and okays are run, 235
  one of three methods to move time track, V-287, 288
  pc search for significance, VII-77
  recovered or realized by the pc only shows up as cognitions, VII-77
  Responsibility can be run on a no-mass terminal or significance, IV-87
  rock is an object, not a significance, III-299
  and unconsciousness, the moment of shock, one of three methods to move time track, V-287, 288
  which is that period of realization by body and
  thanet that an overwhelming has occurred, II-398
  the search of the pc is for significance, X-29
  thetan can postulate or say or reason anything;
  thus there is an infinity of significances, VII-77
  total significance to existence is the significance that the being puts there, II-470
  you can only list and run intentions connected with terminal or mass or somatic never signifi
  cance, VIII-277
  you must combine significances with terminals, not with significance, IX-187
  silent subject, how to find out a person’s name on, IV-59
simplicities, postulates go from simplicities to complexities, III-345
simplicities, reaching back for earlier, is the direction of truth, I-148
simplicity, III-4
  situation, figure-figure mechanism about a ~, III-404
  situation, how to control a situation, III-261
  situations, how a person handles terminals and III-404
six basic processes, II-118; see also Dn 55!
Six Levels of Processing (SLP), II-282
  ad interim SLP, II-358
  Issue 5, auditing commands1955, II-275, 289
  Issue 7, II-321, 322
  SLP 5, 6 & 7, differences between, II-322
  SLP 8, II-553
Six Steps for Self-Auditing (SSSA), I-427, 468
  Change in Step 6 (Opposite Poles), I-463
Six Steps to Better Beingness, I-424
sixth dynamic; see dynamic, 6th
  skill(s),
  and talking the TA down, X-213
skill(s)  (cont.)
and training of auditors, I-365
auditing skill, improvement of, between R6 and 
OT III, X-212
recovery of past skills, IV-125
skipped gradient, defn., taking on a higher degree or 
amount before a lesser degree of it has been 
handled, VII-265; see also gradient
skunked, defn, list with R/Ses on it in listing that 
failed to produce a reliable item, V-203
slant, “/” symbol to show a goal reads, IV-266
slave(s), 
masters, IV-148
scientists as slaves, IV-146
society, principle of, I-283
state, IV-27
decline into, IV-24
slavery of thought, IV-147
slavery, political, on what it is built, IV-28
sleep, students who go to sleep during study, handling 
of, VII-67
slow assessment [R3SC], V-379
slow assessment, example of, V-373
slow-gain, no-gain case, V-185; see also case
slowness, VIII-128
robotness or slowness are keys to presence of 
continuous missed withholds or overts, VIII- 
236
small hands and can size, VII-107
snapping or closing terminals, phenomenon of, II-189
social aberration is only a composite of individual 
aberrations, IV-45
“social conduct”, “suppressor” is often considered ~,
V-37
social ills of man are a composite of his personal 
difficulties, IV-45
socialism, communism, fascism are bad management, 
I-143
social mores, overts depend on ~, V-40
social personality, VI-180; see also antisocial personal-
ality: Introduction to Scientology Ethics
antisocial personality vs. social personality, VI- 
183
basic motivation of the social personality, VI-182
criminal codes and violent punishment are not 
needed to regulate, VI-182
primary characteristics of, VI-181
society, societies, 
actual barrier in society is failure to practice truth, 
VII-203
actual worth compared to apparent worth; see 
Science of Survival
barbarian, III-251
basic building block of, is individual, VI-392
individual is building unit of a great ~, IV-45
Scientologists should be part of society and im-
prove it, IV-107, 114
solid(s), 
chronic somatics and solids, III-87
are contained in valences, V-9
solid(s)  (cont.)
engrams which go solid when you try to run them 
are too late on chain, VI-227
erasure or going solid, VI-344, 354, 397, 400, 
418, 453
facsimiles and solids, II-546
radiation is invalidation of solids, III-52
Solids [process], II-516; III-11
how to run, II-550
Objective Solids, commands, III-8
Subjective Solids, CCH13, III-70, 256
Solo auditing; see auditing, Solo
Solo folders, only separate category of folders, IX-14
solution(s),
are ordinarily an alter-is of problems, IV-54
Clear, you cannot stay Clear unless you solve 
things by the equation of the optimum solu-
tion, III-237
Consequences of Solutions [process], III-11
failure to make solutions (or postulates) stick else 
where makes then a belief that solutions col 
lapse problems on him, III-462
Problem and Solution Processes, II-218, 250; IV 
54
to automaticity of form, III-210
to danger and motion, reactively, is a stop point, 
II-529
to solutions, III-462
why these hang up problems, IV-62
somatic(s), VI-352; see also illness; psychosomatic 
defn, general word for uncomfortable physical 
perceptions coming from reactive mind, V-175
defn, “pain” or “sensation” with no difference 
made between them, VI-192
defn, this is general word for uncomfortable 
physical perceptions coming from reactive 
mind, VI-192
defn, essentially body sensation, illness or pain or 
discomfort; “soma” means body, VI-341
defn, pain or ache sensation and also misemotion 
or even unconsciousness, VI-352
aberrations, circuits, somatics and problems are 
postulate-counter-postulate situations, IV-414
after exteriorization, VII-42
auditor doesn’t get pc’s somatics, VII-238; IX-3
bulk of somatics which turn on are demonstration 
of loss of havingness, II-334
case has somatics = Dianetic level unflat, VII-70, 
99
CCHs, take a ~ or twitch or any pc reaction as an 
origin by pc and call pc’s attention to it, V-49
chains go quickly to basic and are important 
chains, VI-394
chronic somatic(s), II-375; X-28, 57; see also 
DMSMH; NOTL; SOS 
defn, any “illness” generated by an engram or 
engrams, I-24
almost all, have their root in force, VII-76
and solids, III-87

507
somatic(s) (cont.)
chronic somatic(s) (cont.)
can be alleviated, II-323
chronic aches and pains, to handle, there is C/S 54, VII-388
Dianetic handling of, VII-139; X-64
Dianetics and Class VI actions can and do handle, VII-15
failure to release, I-25
find out who pc is making guilty by having them, IV-7
grief charges, relation to, I-25
handling of, I-359; III-87
handling with “Invent a problem” Process, II-332
how to program a pc who has a chronic somatic, VII-123
how to release a chronic somatic, I-24
is an effort to succumb, IV-57
of wearing glasses, running regret, blame, sympathy, etc. on, I-196
pc attention on chronic SQmatic, how to handle, VIII-126
problems and, II-322
remedy, VIII-121
resolution of, I-393, 394, 397
running Responsibility on, IV-17
sec checking against a chronic somatic, IV-389
specific for a chronic somatic, III-319
sympathy predominates as emotional aspect of engrams carrying chronic somatic, I-25
technique to remedy, I-392
don’t run if it hasn’t read, VI-357
erase the picture, not only the somatic, VI-3; VII-356, 376
grinding can result from not following ~, VI-360
handling somatics by sec checking area of confusion, IV-409
Health Form, get somatics (not incidents) that can be assessed and run, VI-381
illness is a composite somatic, VI-415
in injured area, persistent or recurring, answer to, VII-110
lingering somatic, how to handle, VI-279
mental image picture is source of, VI-339
multiple, when to triple or quad narrative items or multiple somatic items, VIII-275, 378
narrative vs. somatic, VI-344, 352, 376, 394; VII-9
no-somatic pc is either high as an angel or being run too high, VII-86
OTs and somatics, VI-339, 395
passing through in session are a definite clue to force change, VII-86
pc has a field, somatics, malformity or aberration, how to clean them up, IV-7
pc still has somatics, no further items on assessment list read, cause of and handling, VII-11
process which turns on a ~ must be continued until it no longer turns on ~, III-159, 179, 192
somatic(s) (cont.)
running ~ permits you to get to a basic, VII-9
run somatics not medical terms, VI-389
shut-off is caused by drugs and alcohol, VI-377, 386
somatics, sensations, emotions, attitudes, R3R items, VII-9
student, why he may experience somatics and confusions, III-344
worry or somatic, use of SOP 8A to resolve, I-358
3D terminal produces a painful somatic, V-5
somatic mind, I-30; see also analytical mind; mind; reactive mind
defn, heavier type of mind than reactive mind;
contains no thinkingness, only actingness; im pulses placed against body by thetan through various mental machinery arrive at voluntary, and involuntary, and glandular levels, which have set methods of analysis for any given situation and so respond directly to commands given, II-431
reactive mind can hold a fixed command in place, causing a derangement in somatic mind, allowing illness to exist, II-431
thetan can independently affect the ~, II-431
somatic strip and file clerk, I-16; see also DMSMH
something out of nothing, body’s effort to make, I-482
sonic; see also Science of Survival
recall, cases which have and cases which do not have, I-79
turn on, I-17
viso turns on before, III-324
SOP for theta clearing; see Standard Operating Procedure for theta clearing
SOP Goals, IV-224; see also goals
assessing for SOP Goals improved, IV-270
assessment, IV-215
by elimination, steps, IV-265
for goals and terminals, IV-239, 326
for Prehav level, IV-268
for terminal by elimination, IV-240, 267
goals assessment problems sorted out, IV-236
ignore all rises of needle, IV-266
incorrect assessment on SOP Goals means an infinity of auditing without clearing, IV-265
Joburg Sec Check as preparation for ~, IV-270
mistakes, IV-273
must be perfect, IV-270, 271
right way to do ~, IV-265
sensitivity level during assessment, IV-273
two types of terminals to assess, IV-270
be—do—have coordinated, IV-206
cases not on SOP Goals, IV-218
CCHs, when to run before SOP Goals, IV-255
Change Process, when to run before ~, IV-253, 255
clearing by SOP Goals, IV-217
data on Goals SOP, IV-209
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

SOP Goals (cont.)
- errors, IV-246
- goals list, how to assess, IV-236, 239
- goals list, how to make, IV-266
- goofs, IV-234
- how to prove the terminal, IV-268
- intensives, IV-206, 224, 241
  - Prehav Scale used in SOP Goals Intensive, IV-206
- mistakes, IV-318
- modified, IV-227, 241
- pc’s priorly run on SOP Goals, handling of, IV-216
- preparatory steps of SOP Goals, IV-317
- primary sources of wasted time on ~, IV-246
- releasing and preparing a case for ~, IV-317
- repairing a case, IV-238
- session, example, IV-208, 226
- terminals list, how to make, IV-267
- tone arm behavior on Prehav levels, IV-238
- TRs, Model Session, meter, Change Processes, must be known to run SOP Goals, IV-264
- works too fast to allow bad technical application, IV-261
- SOP 8, I-349, 353, 357, 386, 387, 390, 490; see also Scn 8-8008; COHA
  - Appendix No.1, Step I, I-392, Step11, 393
  - Appendix No. 2—Certainty Processing, I-393
  - a safe technique, I-340
  - SOP 8A, Short 8, Short 8A, I-346
  - Step I, I-390, 392
  - Step II, I-390, 393
  - Step III—Spacation, I-390, 424, 426
  - Step IV—Expanded Gita, I-390
  - Step V—Present Time Differentiation; Exteriorization by Scenery, I-392
  - Step VI, 1416
  - A-R-C Straight Wire, I-392
  - Self Analysis, 1424, 426
  - Step VII, Contact, 1424, 426
  - Step VII on occluded case, 1433
  - Step VII, psychotic cases, I-392
  - what it is, I-396
- SOP 8A, I-340, 346, 349, 416
  - a summary of, I-353, 359
  - handles Step IV and V cases, pc uncertain of own mock-ups or occluded case, I-357
  - to resolve somatic or worry, I-358
  - what it attacks, I-362
- SOP 8-C, II-43, 51; see also COHA
  - Auditor’s Codebreaksinrunning, II-13
  - axioms of, II-13; see also Scn 0-8
  - formulas and steps, II-13
  - glossary; see COHA
  - SOP 8 modified for clinical, laboratory and individual human applications, II-10
  - special notes on SOP 8-C, II-16
  - Step I: Location, II-13, 43
  - Step II: Bodies, II-13

SOP 8-C (cont.)
- Step III: Space, II-13
- Step IV: Havingness, II-14
- Step V: Terminals, II-14
- Step VI: Symbolization, II-15
- Step VII: Barriers, II-15
- Step VIII: Duplication, II-15
  - theta clear, produced by SOP 8-C, II-12
  - use of, II-12
- SOP 8 D, II-45; see also Creation of Human Ability
  - soul; see also thetan
  - exteriorization, departure of the soul, II-430
  - man is his own immortal soul, II-7
  - man’s search for his soul, II-6
  - sound in communication, III-138
  - sound, Trio on, III-324
  - soup cans, use ~ as E-Meter electrodes, IV-460
  - source beingness, thetan tends to move from ~ to effect beingness, IV-131
  - source of life: the Greek letter theta [ff] is used in Scientology to indicate the source of life and life itself; the individual, person, actual identity is this living unit, II-153
  - source-point or cause, and effect, examples, II-437
  - South Africa, insanity rate of, IV-82
  - South African native, impossible to train, III-108
  - South Africa, Scientology’s situation in, [1960], IV-161
- SP; see suppressive person
  - Spacation [process], I-329
  - Step III, SOP 8, I-390, 424, 426
  - space, defn., viewpoint of dimension, I-375, 382, 465; II-11, 13
  - ability of thetan to make postulates is senior to his concerns over space, energy and objects, II-51
  - action requires time and space, I-293
  - affinity = space and willingness to occupy the same space of, VI-261
  - amount a person has is inverse to communication lag, 1465
  - anchor points are points which are anchored in space different to the physical universe space around a body, II-432
  - and God, 1440
  - becomes beingness, in life experience, II-13
  - beingness, communication, space, I-326
  - synonyms in action, I-352
  - body exists in its own space, II-432
  - caused by looking out from a point, II-435
  - center, centralness of all thinking is change of position in, 1443
  - could be said to be Be, I-295
  - Change of Space [process], II-42
  - communication can create spaces, II-467, 492
  - communication has the power of eradicating space and masses, II-467
  - considerations of time are mechanically tracked by alteration of position of particles in ~, II-143
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space (cont.)

Creative Processing, changing things in space, I-454
energy derives from imposition of space between terminals and a reduction and expansion of that space, II-13
exchange maintains inflow and outflow that gives a person space around him and keeps bank off of him, VIII-79
exists by reason of anchor points, II-14
explosion changes position all over space, I-144
exteriorization is phenomenon of being in a position of space dependent on only one’s consideration, able to view from that space, bodies and the room, as it is, III-149
facsimiles have no weight or wavelength, space or time (1952), I-225
fixation in, I-1453
ills are basically lack of own space, I-1426
impact seeks to fix a person in, I-444
is first barrier of knowingness, II-11
lack of space, resolution of, I-394
masses, spaces, conditions depend on misowner-ship for their persistence, II-236
only actuality of space is the agreed upon consider-ation that one perceives through some-thing and this we call space, II-435
primary overwhelming is to take space, II-397
self-determinism related to ability to impose space between terminals, II-14
space-be, energy-do, time-have triangle, II-16
space, time, and energy, have their parallels in start, stop and change, I-293
in experience become be, have and do, the component parts of experience itself, I-295
interacting triangle, I-293
symbol is an idea fixed in energy and mobile in havingness [process]; see also PXL
space, II-15
spatial, SI-149
tone drill, VII-149
spiritual being, timeless and deathless, proof that in dividual is, VII-27, 168, 420
spiritual being, you are a spiritual being not a body or an animal, as you will discover in processing, VI-322
spiritual freedom is end product of Scientology, VI-325
spiritual manifestations, religious philosophy implies study of spiritual manifestations; research on nature of spirit and study on relationship of spirit to body; exercises devoted to rehabilita-tion of abilities in a spirit, VI-195
spiritual state of person predisposes injury and illness, VIII-189
Splitting Universes [process], II-250
spot, Find a Spot, commands and how to run, III-8
Spotting, III-189
Connectedness, most basic of spotting processes, III-189
depends for its workability on the unlike of a thetan of being located, III-163
Short Spotting, version of TR10, III-160, 180
workability of, III-193
SpottingSpots [process], II-68, 117, 119, 188
above 3.6, II-252
adding creativeness to spotting, II-304
good is to bring pc to point where he can spot locations in space which do not have color, mass or shape, but which are simply locations, and spot that same location repeatedly with out variation, II-188
how to run Spotting Spots, II-188
Level Six, Remedy of Havingness and Spotting Spots, II-278, 285, 291, 326
Spotting Spots in Space [process] and Remedy of Havingness [process]; see also PXL
spotting tone drill, VII-149
squirreling; see also technology, out atomy of Sissing Spring Business Service, II-362
squirrels; see also technology, out
speed, going off into weird practices or altering Scien-tology, why it exists, VI-8, 10
liability and honest results, VII-87, 90, 91; X-40-41
stress of all training is speed and accuracy, VI-417
tolerance for, II-541
spiral, dwindling, of mest universe, 1444
spirit, defn., called in Scientology the thetan, II-428; see also thetan
staff (cont.)
is the public of a Staff C/S, X-194
members or executives who show signs of obsessive
transfer of staff, handling of, VII-439
position, don’t let a bad security risk near a staff
position, IV-89
procedure for putting auditors on staff [1956], II-519
should know what’s going on in the org, II-315
stage four needle, VI-77
all machine, no pc, VI-201
sweep, stick, sweep, stick, VII-145
stage manners, VIII-293; IX-498
drills, IX-499
“stale dated program” or a “stale dated C/S” means it is
too old to be valid, VII-356; X-2
stalled cases and mutual out ruds, X-248
Standard Dianetics; see Dianetics
Standard Operating Procedure; see also SOP 8;
NOTL; Scn 8-8008
for theta clearing, Steps I-VII, I-289
SOP 5: amended, I-311
Standard Procedure, I-50; see also NOTL
Steps One, Two, Three, I-15
standard tech; see technology, standard
standard techniques and experimental auditing, III-282
star-rated checkout, defn., very exact checkout which verifies full and minute knowledge of student of portion of study materials and tests his full understanding of data and ability to apply it, VII-140; IX-312
star-rated checkouts of processes are required before application, VI-156
“star-rated” means 100% letter perfect in knowing and understanding, demonstrating and being able to repeat back material with no comm lag, VI-157
Start—Change—Stop, II-444, 517, 553; III-205, 296, 297, 317; IV-48; see also change; control; stop; CMSCS
commands and how to run, III-6, 185, 296
end result of this process is exteriorization, II-521
how to run, II-521, 522
inability to, II-433
is the anatomy of control, II-521
oldest version, III-294
on a person, CCH 3(c), commands and how to run, III-317
on objects, II-522
phenomena while running, III-187
processes may not be drills, VI-40
“stand still” step, IV-202
steps, III-162, 192
stop is most important part of, II-523
unflat, remedy for, V-68
what it does, III-187
when to run, II-517
Start—Change—Stop, SCS Control Process, Thinking version, III-454
start—continue—complete, cycle of action redefined as ~, V-410
start, high tone arm shows loss of ability to start or reach, IV-38
starting the case, I-15
stat(s),
after SP is removed, if stats are still down, look for another SP, VI-144
auditor’s stat, VII-129, 147, 150; X-226
C/S’s stat, VIII-1 50
depend on volume and quality of service, VII-367; IX-7
Dir of Tech Services’ stat, VIII-150
D of P’s stat, VIII-150; X-226
drops after tape congresses, explanation, VII-436
org wins and stats, VII-367
poor post stats, how to handle, VII-33
results and statistics count: an organizational principle, II-359
student’s stat down, check for misunderstood word, VII-302; IX-399
success stories, real stat of an org, VII-88
State of Case Scale; see Scn 0-8
states and positions and conditions run as concepts, I-276
static,
defn., has no mass, no motion, no wavelength, no location in space or in time: it has the ability to postulate and to perceive, II-435
clearing a static, why it is painful, III-280, 324
loss prevents pc from conceiving a static; he associates a static with loss, III-324
non-viewable but is experienceable, II-535
story of a static, III-4
what keeps a pc from conceiving a static, III-120
Static Preparation, command of, III-111
static thinking, areas of, I-183
statistic; see stat
stealing and DEI Scale, III-257
steering,
how to ~ pc by repeated meter reaction, V-63, 78
never steer items [R2-12], V-238
steering a pc, VII-259; VIII-180; IX-84
steering in withhold pulling, use of, IX-283
Step E, Short 8—Duplication, 1424
Steps I-111, Standard Procedure [1950], I-15
Steps I-VII, Standard Operating Procedure for theta clearing, I-289
Steps I-VII, Standard Operating Procedure 8, I-390
Step 1, 2, 3, levels of case, 1490
Step III, auditing commands, 1472
Step IV and V cases, SOP 8A devoted to, I-357
Step IV case, I-386
Step IV, Expanded Gita, I-333
Step V and IV cases, SOP 8A devoted to, I-357
Step V case, I-386
defn., anyone capable of using energy flows, I-311
Step 6, III-295, 298, 341
caution: it is almost fatal to run Step 6 if the rock is not out, III-322
Step 6 (cont.)
change in Step 6 (Opposite Poles) of Six Steps of Self Auditing, I-1463
Creative Processing, III-191; see also Creative Processing
Help and ~ do not work on low level cases, III-322
how to run Clear Procedure Step 6, III-322
made the whole bank toughen up, if Help was unflat, IV-116
processes, experiences with, III-539
running Step 6 in a valence is courting disaster as pc is in a picture that increases in mass and gives him somatics, IV-109
Step 6 Mock-ups and Help CCH Ob clear a pc, III-243
totally clear up a field before running ~, III-207
was abandoned, IV-92, 109
sticky or rigid needle, I-270
stimulants, Benzedrine and coffee, I-8
stimulus response mechanism, Pavlov and, VI—404
stimulus-response mechanism, reactive mind is a ~, ruggedly built, and operable in trying circumstances, II-429, 430
stomach and bowel complaints, handling of, VIII-407, 408
Straightstomach, guilty of the overt act of eating, III-14
stomach, terror stomach, III-15
defn., simply a confusion in a high degree of restimulation in the vicinity of the vagus nerve, III-14
Locational Communication, relieves face pressures and terror stomachs, III-1466
specific for, III-14
stop; see also Start—Change—Stop
chronically high TAs mean person can still stop things and is trying to do so, VII-76
common denominators of bank are out of ARC and stop, VII-269
Creative Processes, motions, stops and perceptions, II-528
effort to, X-127
effort to stop or effort to stop him makes a thetan believe something can be overrun, VII-268
individual close to being destroyed is bent mainly upon stopping things, I-1433
insanity, “stop everything” is entrance point of theory of Straight Wire, II-222
lown tone arm (below the clear reading) shows loss of ability to stop or withhold, IV-38
point on the track, II-529
remedy of havingness in the light of stops, II-496
solution to danger and motion, reactively, is a stop point, II-529
why a person will not stop, II-433
why emphasis on, III-9
Stop-C-S [process], II-517, 554
“stop” is not a read, VII-49
Stop Processes, II-517
Stop Supreme, commands of, III-186
STP, a drug, description of, VI-244
straight line memory, I-15, 19, 63
straight memory case scouting and reverie, I-24; see also Science of Survival
Straight Wire (Straightwire), II-142; III-441, 480; see also recall
defn, stringing a straight wire of memory between the actual genus of a condition and present time, II-142, 226
a manual of operation, II-216
and pictures, II-228
and present time, II-227
ARC Break Straightwire; see ARC Break Straight wire
ARC Straight Wire; see ARC Straight Wire can pick up occluded data, I-144
Cause ARC Straight Wire, IV-48, 51
Cause Elementary Straight Wire turns on recall in the pc, IV-52
characteristic, purpose, stable datum of ~, III-480
colors, III-9
Dissociation Straight Wire, “What would you permit to have happen again?”, IV-52
Dynamic Straight Wire; see Dynamic Straight Wire easiest process to teach, II-238
Elementary Straightwire; see Elementary wire
history of Straight Wire, II-219
how to run modern Straight Wire [1955], II-216, 234
keys out engrams, II-227
new HGC process—a new Straight Wire, III-363
Not-1s Straight Wire; see Not-1s Straight Wire on secrets, knowingness, II-251
Overt-Withhold Straight Wire; see Overt-Withhold Straight Wire
Postulate Processing by, I-186
processing sessions, purpose of, I-50
psychoanalysis and Straight Wire, II-232
Repetitive Straight Wire; see Repetitive Straight Wire
run straightwire processes muzzled, III-441
Selected Persons Overts Straightwire; see Selected Persons Overts Straightwire
Selected Persons Overt Withhold Straightwire; see Selected Persons Overt Withhold Straightwire
theory of Straight Wire, II-222
Validation Straight Wire, II-220
Viewpoint Straight Wire, II-55
who it can be used on, II-217
Straight Wire Release, VI-175
Straight Wire Triple, VI-294
Streptomycin can cause pregnant mothers to give birth to children who have impaired hearing, VIII-1404
stress(es),
E-Meter used to detect, VII-68
is basic cause in physical illness, VIII-206
is located by E-Meter, I-227
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stress(es) (cont.)
nutrition and biochemistry may not work at all until stress is relieved by processing, VIII-206
person under stress is actually under a suppression on one or more dynamics, VIII-209
structure and bacteria, 1431
structure, mind monitors, VIII-205
stuck,
in a loss, II-462
in an incident, II-462
in a win, II-462
only when he intended to lose and won, II-462
in time, II-528
in universes, reason for, II-367
on the track, I-15, 441
even if appears to be in PT, I-16
pc is stuck in any reversal between intention or expectation, II-462
pc "stuck" in time can make medicine ineffective, VI-371
picture, I-302
how to handle, IV-9, 16, 48
reasons for, VI-227
point,
don't run, II-529
handling, VIII-238
how to get out of, II-529
most stuck point on track is a problem, IV-414
resisted change is basis of every stuck point on track, IV-236
where pc is stuck on whole track, II-195
stuck needle,
defn., I-229
can be freed by processing or by getting off with-holds [in Sec Checking], IV-276
run Concentrate—Shift Attention Process, IV-218
student(s)'s; see also study; training answer the student’s questions, III-50
apply, student who can’t, reason for, IX-314
ask questions about "What is meant", reason for, IX-451
assists, VI-75
auditing assignments, V-431
auditing skill of any student remains only as good as he can do his TRs, VII-348
blows, cause of, VII-162, 198
blows, reasons for, VIII-193
“bright” students, V-488
can produce results with standard auditing, II-339
case of, III-309
certification, I-372
coaching, handling justifications of student, VI-234
completions, VII-369
Confessional on students, VIII-173
counseling, defn., personal handling of student problems or progress by a qualified consultant, VII-141, 287; IX-312
Course Supervisor actions regarding student; see also Course Supervisor

)student(s)'s (cont.)
Course Supervisor checking students for misunder
stood on E-Meter, IX-397
course tapes are never played to a group of stu-
dents, VII-435
cycle of decline of student, VI-273
difficult, I-366; see also Remedy A; Remedy B
difficulties with tape recorded materials, how to handle, VII-67
drift off of courses because they lack somebody to talk to, VII-175
drugs fog up a student and prevent gains, VIII-137; IX-325; see also drugs
drugs, students who are or have been on drugs need a Drug Rundown before tackling Word Clearing Method 1, IX-325
E-Meter check, action of checking reaction of student to subject matter, words or other things, isolating blocks to study, interpersonal relations or life, VII-286; IX-311
enrolling, if you pause you’ll lose them, II-391
errors of students, VI-169
fast flow student, VIII-162, 163
passes courses by attestation, VIII-162
file system, VII-447
F/Ning students, VII-412
glib students, VII-264; IX-314, 345
can confront the words and ideas; he cannot confront the physical universe or people around him and so cannot apply, VIII-99
can’t demonstrate, V-488
handling, VIII-99; IX-345
in trouble, Remedy A & B, V-506, 507
gasp of materials, VII-236
handling of students or even executives who will not even go to study, VIII-158
HCO WW Security Form 5A, for all HPA/HCA and above students before acceptance on courses, IV-407
honesty of a student, VIII-172, 174
how students are handled, VII-347
idle student, VIII-158; IX-327
in Academy are auditors, they are not pcs, III-250
in trouble. handling of, VII-162
IQ of student, effect of processing on, II-340
is a customer, II-392
learns rapidly, has a high ability to confront that subject, VII-264
more esoteric and difficult subject is made, less student will be able to handle subject, III-114
must look up every definition of the word being cleared, IX-479
new students asking technical questions, how to handle, VII-236
student(s)'s (cont.)
only fails by not confronting, duplicating, absorbing and using the materials before him exactly like it says, VII-237
paying students, VIII-15
point system is system of assigning and counting up points for studies and drills that give progress of student and measure his speed of study, IX-312
Practice Security Check used by Academy students learning E-Meter use, IV-400
Primary Rundown, students who struggle with, are given Primary Correction Rundown, IX-326
product flow, steps to speed, IX-402
psychotic, I-51
Qual Consultant service for students, VII-176
Qual tools to handle a bogged or failed ~, IX-452
queries, handling of student queries by Course Supervisor, VIII-29, 42, 302, 424; IX-451
questions about “What is meant”, reason for, VIII-29, 42, 302, 424
quick student who somehow never applies what he learns, V-480
reasons why student would be refused training or completion, III-51
recovering students and pcs, VIII-193
resistive students, VIII-158; IX-327
restimulation of, how it is overcome, III-344
Scientology Students’ Security Check, IV-349
service facsimile may contest instruction, how to handle, V-58
sleeping during study, handling of, VII-67, 162, 175, 301, 448; IX-89
slow or blows, reason for, V-431, 451
slow student as well as slow gainer, is always a rockslammer, V-185
slow student, totally, how to handle, IX-398
stat down, check for misunderstood word, VII-302; IX-399
stats, trend of stats, use of, IX-88
study mainly the research line, VI-242
symptoms of students who are withholding, VIII-173
theory checkouts must consult student’s understanding, V-480
there is no student we enroll who cannot be properly trained, VI-8
university students, suicide and nervous breakdown, III-29
what the student should know, II-67
who can’t apply, reason for, VII-264
who learns rapidly has a high ability to confront that subject, IX-314
who make nothing out of everything, II-345
who succeed, VIII-172
who will not even go to study, handling of, IX-327
why he may experience somatics and confusions, III-344

student(s)'s (cont.)
words a student misunderstands and looks up can yet remain troublesome, cause of, VI-14
S-C on students, III-90
Student Hat, IX-91
Student Hat and Study Tapes, VIII-76, 77
Student Integrity List, IX-305
Student Rehabilitation List, VIII-359
Student Rescue Intensive, VI-451
study(ing); seealso student
barriers to study, VII-293; IX-393
by-passed definition, VII-293
cramming without mass, VII-293
too steep a study gradient, VII-293
blows charge, VI-281
correction list, VIII-16; IX-329; X-231
cramming and study, IX-312
cramming a person is a waste of time if he never learned to study, VIII-65
definitions, VII-140, 286; IX-311
Dianetic Study Intensive, VI-452
primary concept is to gather around subject of study, VI-451
gradient of confronting study, VII-265; IX-315
gradient, too steep, IX-393
how to study Scientology, II-407
mass, study without, symptoms of, IX-393
materials, course can be wrecked by lack of study materials, VI-406
part-time study on next level while auditing is a failure, VIII-15
point system, IX-312
poor study record, how to handle, VII-33
procedure for resolving study difficulty on a tape, with Method 2 Word Clearing, IX-372
rundowns, require C/S okay, VII-192; X-95
Scientology auditing and study are the road to ability and freedom, VI-322
Scientology study is therapeutic, II-406
slowness, VI-437
starrate checkout, defn., IX-312
stats, trend of stats, use of, IX-88
students or even executives who will not even go to study, handling of, VIII-158; IX-327
tech,
course supervision, it is out tech to fail to knowandusedstudytech, VIII-41
Course Supervisor is a specialist in, VIII-43
cramming, it is obviously senseless to cram someone whose study tech is out, VIII-66
High Crimes, VIII-42
Primary Rundown and, VIII-135
Supervisor has to know study tech, not necessarily subject taught, VIII-41, 42
two different sets of physiological and mental reactions that come from 3 different aspects of study, IX-393
Study Correction List, VIII-16; IX-329; X-231
Study Tapes, only piece of technology you use on a course, VII-302
Study Tapes, Primary Rundown handling of, VIII-75, 76; IX-322
Study Tapes, use of, IX-399
stupidity is the effect of misunderstood words, VII-383; IX-427
stupidity, wrong definitions cause ~, V-489
sub-brain, I-360
subjective, defn., proceeding from or taking place in an individual’s mind, VIII-393
Subjective Confrontingness, commands and how to run, III-319
Subjective Havingness [process], II-545; see also havingness
CCH12, Limited ~, III-70
commands, III-8
how to run, III-400
subjective process(es), II-280; VIII-393
defn., inside the mind only, II-448
defn., pc is processed between himself and his mind, II-449
characteristic, purpose, stable datum of, III-479
objective vs. subjective processes, II-448; VIII-393
Subjective Solids, CCH13, III-70
commands of CCH13, III-256
substitute (Secondary Scale level), IV-308
Sub-Zeros, VI-190, 294
success, conditions of; see also POW
success depends upon being willing to be cause equally in ratio to being willing to be an effect, II-440
successful things expand, disseminate and invade, II-466
success level of a person is his communication level, 92
Success, meter check at, VIII-31; X-208
success story, defn., statement of benefit or gains or wins made by student or pc or pre-OT to Success Officer, VII-141, 288; IX-313
real stat of an org, VII-88; X-38
succumb, and survive are simply a consideration, II-299
chronic psychosomatic is anefect to ~, IV-57
goals, pc in bad condition is more likely to have succumb than survive goals, IV-58
postulates, III-315
problems, scale of, III-315
where a pc R/Ses he will have evil purposes and be on a succumb as a result, VIII-345
sugar, result of heavy intake of, VIII-207
sugar vs. protein, VIII-207
"suggested" is evaluation, what it does, V-119
suicide and nervous breakdown, university students, III-29
suicide, cause of, V-252, 517
suicide or illness in the field of study or education, cause of, VII-293
sulfa drugs, VIII-406
Summaries, Folder Error; see Folder Error Summaries
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summary, folder summary of each session, X-145
Summary Report, VI-47, 112, 247, 364; IX-37
Form, IX-35
defn., written by auditor after session on fill-in type standard form, it is an exact record of what happened and what was observed during session, IX-10
summary, session summary and pre-OTs, X-215
Sunday service, as a dissemination method, II-352
super, defn., superiority in size, quality, number or degree, VIII-314; IX-483
superficial actions and fast quick results, X-37
super-literacy, super-literate, VIII-314; IX-464, 465, 483
end product of Primary Rundown, VIII-135, 155
Primary Rundown consists of Word Clearing and study tech; it makes a student super-literate, IX-323, 464
what it is, IX-483
when one is super-literate, one reads not words but understandings, andsoonecanact, lX-485
督visor, see Case Supervisor; Course Supervisor
suppress and invalidate, buttons, VII-11, 50
list isn’t null; it is suppressed or invalidated, VII 11
pc suppresses or invalidates something, read trans fers to suppress or invalidate, VII-12
ruds, use suppress and false to fly, V-447
use of on prepared lists, VIII-213
suppress and not-ised used to get item to read, V-447
suppress button, use of in rudiments, VI-281, 433;
X-3
suppressed, suppression; see also suppressive person can keep a goal or invalidation, suggestion, mis III take, assertion or M/W/H on goal from reading,
V-119
dynamics suppressed in two ways, I-159
presenceей, onemakesmistakes, VI-219
is overcome when you run havingness on a pc, V-37
mistakes or accidents or injuries occur in presence of, VIII-237
of dynamics by other dynamics, examples of, I-160
of some sort, PTS is from, IX-166
pcs and PTS tech, VIII-95; IX-136
people who are PTS became that way because of by persons or objects, VI-216
person faced with, is facing a counter-postulate, VI-109
person under stress is actually under a suppression on one or more dynamics, VIII-209
PTS, when someone is suppressed he becomes PTS, VIII-330, 338
PTS who finds the “good hats” suppressive, VIII 98
when someone is suppressed he becomes a poten tial trouble source, VII-452
suppressive person(s), X-72; see also aberrative personality; antisocial personality; suppressed actions of SPs, VI-39, 68, 136, 137
actual SP vs. apparent SP, VI-114
apparent SP only reminds pc of actual one and so is restimulated into being a PTS; actual SP actually suppresses another, VI-114
are SPs because they deny hav and enforce un-wanted hav, VIII-141
are themselves PTS to themselves, VIII-95; IX-136 characteristics classified as those of SP are in fact those of insane person, VII-155
cleared, situation of, VIII-260
degraded being is not a suppressive, VI-193
dominates an area, only Ethics actions can handle, VI-146
gets no case gain, VI-76, 103
give S&D to associates when SP is discovered, VI-144
go for new Releases when the Release is still finding his or her “feet”, VI-68
handling of SPs, VI-77
standards, suppressives and, VI-109
how a suppressive becomes one, VI-128
indicator of the presence of, VI-146
insane is a being who has been overwhelmed by an actual SP until too many persons are apparent SPs, VI-115
is always a person, a being or a group of beings, VI-114
no case gain in the past = SP, VI-75; see also case, resistive
often located in childhood, VI-137
pc will worsen after auditing if connected to a suppressive person, VI-76
person totally overwhelmed by a suppressive assumes valence of suppressive, VI-128
PTS is a person connected to ~, IX-136
PTS Type One, SP on case is right in present time, actively suppressing person, VI-113
PTS Type Two, apparent suppressive person in present time is only a restimulator for actual suppressive, VI-113
Release becomes a particular target for ~, VI-39
Search and Discovery locates suppressives on case, VI-207; see also Search and Discovery unmocking (an effort to reduce or make disappear) is primary effort of suppressives, VI-208
wants other person to reach less, VI-137
was one that wove a dangerous environment around pc, VI-136
suppressors, defn., impulse to forbid revelation in primary law of Book One, dominant part of another, V-37
Supreme Being, Scientology is a work on the subject of the mind, not on the subject of the ~, II-409
supreme test of a C/S or auditor, VII-289, 290; X-154
supreme test of a thetan is his ability to make things go right, VI-197
surprise, defn., rapidity of change of state, un-predicted, IV-54
survival, survive, III-320; see also dynamics; DTOT; DMSM~; SOS accomplished by continuance of motion at given optimum rate, I-167
all processes have aligned on “survive””, III-320 and succumb are simply a consideration, II-299
basic axiom of Di-anetics, I-6
basic dynamic principle of existence, I-167
basic principle of existence; only true for the body, II-209
basic purpose of theta, I-188
central dynamic of individual is urge toward, I-157
confront and ~ are of same order of thing, III-539
considered as single and sole purpose subdivides into at least four dynamics, II-107
continue is the reverse action to overrun; continue equals survival, VII-269
could be represented best by “continuous con hidden standards, suppressives and, VI-109
~” at a process level, III-539
“create” is dynamic principle of existence in Scientology as “survive” was in Dianetics, III-539; see also Fundamentals of Thought
creation brings about an effort to continuously create which becomes “survive”, IV-126
dependent upon reason, I-149
depend upon ability to reason; I-156
depends upon reason, I-156
destroy in order to ~ is not a duplication, IV-126
dynamic principle which motivates most bio-logical life, IV-126
Factors List; see Self Analysis
function of the mind is to pose and resolve prob-lems relating to survival, II-295
goals, I-135
help is an identification of mutual interest in survival, IV-123
intelligence is the ability to pose and resolve prob-lems relating to survival, II-224
is subdivided into eight parts, I-157
is the apparenacy of creating, IV-126
is translated for processing as Continuous Con-front, IV-127
of group, mechanics of, I-87
of spirit, II-209
of things, which causes it, III-137
only an apparenacy and only one facet of existence, II-412
pleasure and pain, I-151
potential, measurement of, I-91
primary law of Book One, dominant part of create—survive—destroy, IV-126
principle of existence is survive, II-106
rightness is stuff of which ~ is made, V-322
scale of, III-209
(Secondary Scale level), IV-300
survival mechanisms and withholds, IX-271
survival, survive (cont.)

Tone Scale is “scale of potential survival”, I-243
tone scale of survival vectors, I-168
“sweetness and light”, II-464
defn., person who cannot conceive of ever having
done anything bad to anybody or anything, III-519
“theetie weetie case”; IV-325
symbol(s), I-239; II-483
defn., an idea fixed in energy and mobile in space,
II-15
Clay Table Clearing is a process of clearing words
andsymbols, V-474
words are symbols for mest action, I-190
symbolisms, mind handles problems in terms of loose
symbolisms, I-76
Symbolization, SOP 8-C Step VI, II-15
Symbological Processing, I-267
general purpose of, I-241
goal of, I-239
key to the unconscious, I-239
procedure of, I-241
questions of the ~ counselor, I-240
symbole of language, I-190
sympathetic nervous system pains, VII-110
sympathize, do not ~ with the preclear, II-98
sympathy, I-205
and wearing of glasses, I-203
is responsible for many “epidemics”, I-213
key-in of, I-203
mechanisms of, 1415
preceded by an overt, I-203
predominates as emotional aspect of engrams
conveying chronic somatics, I-25
symptoms, asking pc to describe them may worsen
them, II-448
symptoms, illness has several symptoms, run each as a
chain, VI-337
symptoms of insanity are from the same cause, X-73
syonym, don’t substitute a word for word being
word cleared, VI-14
synthetic valence; see valence, synthetic
sphyalis, effects of and cure, VIII-406
S2 Process, “From where could you communicate to
a victim?”, III-478, 497, 508, 519
end phenomena, III-493
flat when pc can confront calmly a victim, III-497
or S22 to remedy victim valence, III-504
S22 Process, “Think of a place from which you could
communicate to a victim”, III-478

talking obsessively reduces havingness, II-449, 467
talk, pc with overts and withholds is afraid to talk or
talks to cover up, IV-62
talk, willing to talk about difficulties, IV-442
Taoist, Scientologist is distant relative to ~, III-55
Tape(s),
basic tape rundown, IX-377
briefing tapes, designed for a special and informed
audience, VII-436
course checksheets, IX-381, 382
course notebooks, VII-447
course study tapes, VII-435
course translation to tape, VII-441; IX-349, 379
file, IX-362
four classes of, IX-364, 436
how to use, VII-434; IX-364, 436
LRH Model Auditing Tapes, VIII-33
misunderstood words on, handling of, IX-440
model performance tapes, VII-437
notes, IX-362
player and earphones used must be high fidelity,
VII-435
players used must be equipped with a foot pedal
start-stop control, VII-441
public lecture tapes, VII-435
raw public tape and film presentations are a must
to keep flavor and meaning of Dianetics and
Scientology, VII-436; IX-366, 438
sent airmail should be wrapped in tinfoil, II-306
stat drops after tape congresses, explanation, VII
436
student difficulties with tape recorded materials,
how to handle, VII-67
Study Tapes, VIII-75, 76, 77; IX-322, 399
tape lectures are necessary to get the meaning and
ethic of Scientology, V-229
tape recording sessions, points to look for, V-378
teaching a tape course, VII-446; IX-354
to whom tapes are sold and played, IV-10, 149
types oftapes, VII-434
Word Clearing Method 2 on, IX-372
Word Clearing Method 3 on, IX-370
Word Clearing Method 4 of, VIII-166, 305; IX
466
tape player(s),
description and care, IX-357, 368
diagram, IX-358
tape collection, a disease to national
care and economy, III-495
tax, ills of income tax, what they are cured by,
III-496
tax, income tax reform, III-495
teachers, “teaching” vs. using study tech, VIII-42
teaching, good rules for, [1951], I-131; see also
teaching, “talent” and “native ability”, related to ability to
counter, VII-264; IX-314

teaching, good rules for, [1951], I-131; see also
Course Supervisor
teaching problem and handling of, I-367

team action, auditing is ~, VI-402, 412, 450; VII-365; X-172

teammate, defn, someone who assists in the overwhelming of the enemy, II-397

tech: see technology
Tech Div (Technical Division), actions, VII-74, 183

and Qual Div terminals and lines, C/S must co-ordinate, VII-375
corrects its own flubbed pcs; it does not send them to Qual, VIII-320

highest crime in, VI-156

vs. Qual Div, VI-167

Tech Establishment Officer is concerned with establishing, VII-377
technical degrades, VII-80

technical, it takes about 2 admin personnel to keep a tech personnel going, VI-402

technical OKs, IX-100
technical point, sending pc to attest, X-153
technical quality, staff morale, the unit, broad dissemination depend basically upon, V-324
technician, keynote of skilled technician is that he is a product of practice, VI-90
technique(s), defn, process or some action that is done by auditor and pc under auditor’s direction, V-385
defn, a patterned action, invariable and unchanging, composed of certain steps or actions calculated to bring about tone arm action and thus better or free a thetan, V-386
defn, what button has to be pressed; what has to be as-ised and how you go about it, IX-63

basic auditing is called basic auditing because it goes prior to the technique, VII-239

basic auditing is necessary for ~ to work, V-385

communication cycle must exist before technique can exist, VII-229; IX-164

computation of workability of, 1456

dirty needle, its cause lies in basic auditing not in hat of finder of lost tech worn by Ron, VIII-202
technique errors, V-384, 414

evaluation of, 1431

is what has to be as-ised, and how you go about it, VII-238

negative-gain techniques, I-393

new-donothaveonlyonesusable, I-303

positive-gain techniques, I-393

recommends, [1953], 1432

repeater, I-17

safe technique is that technique which always deals in things of which preclear is certain, I-388

safe technique, SOP 8, I-341

unlimited, I-358

defn, techniques which are susceptible of being audited without time limit, I-329

when you want results you had better use standard techniques, III-282

workable, I-331

Technique 80, I-229

basic on chain, relation to, I-300

cannot find first engram, use ~, I-305

motivator and overt, DED and DEDEX, is ~, I-301

reduction of arthritis, I-273

Technique 88, I-267; see also HOM

a step by step breakdown of, I-267

Scientology, auditing formula from, I-278

“Technique100” or “Associative Processing”, I-269

technology (tech), defn, application of precise scientific drills and processes of Scientology, VI-89
defn, methods of application of an art or science as opposed to mere knowledge of science or art itself, VI-89

action regarding repair, X-26

applied, ten points to get the correct technology applied, VI-4

aspects of out-ethics, VIII-101

auditing session is 50% technology and 50% application, V-58
basics are not cancelled by later developments, VII-100

counter-tech and counter-policy, IX-89

C/Sing, don’t wander off known tech points in, VII-279

decay of tech begins with hidden data lines that are not true, VII-279

degraded, X-241

degraded and down stats, X-241

degraded, handling, X-241-42

degraded, policy covering, X-241-42

done in proper administrative framework, works, VII-368; IX-8

ethics must be in to get tech in, VIII-172

ethics, tech, admin sequence, VIII-78, 172

exactness, X-152

getting in tech, VI-93

group will dream up bad ~ to destroy good ~, VI-5

hat of finder of lost tech worn by Ron, VIII-202

hierarchy of org, VII-377

interpretation of, is an Ethics matter, VI-209

out tech, VI-89, 93, 242; VII-115

defn, Scientology is not being applied or is not being correctly applied, VI-89

course supervision, it is out tech to fail to know and use study tech, VIII-41

C/S overloaded is a potential cause of, VIII-318

description of, VI-209

Exam non-F/N indicates flagrant out tech in programming and C/Sing and auditing, VII-217

flagrant out tech and non-F/Ns, X-112

how to get it in, VI-89

source of, is only laziness and dishonesty, VIII-426
technology (tech) (cont.)
out tech (cont.)
to solve an earlier out tech situation one does not "give the next level", VI-310
can to be able to handle Scientology technology to handle his own bank, VI-19
primary failure of new technology, VII-378
Qual Sec is responsible for overall tech quality, how he achieves this, VII-183
queries, cause of, and handling, VIII-424
quickie tech is a symptom of out-ethics, VIII-94
recovery, VI-143
Registrar must not give ~ advice to pc, VII-7
results, to improve, you must improve administration, VII-365; IX-5
safeguarding technology, VI-10; see also ISE
savvy, VI-139; VII-254; IX-79
Scientology technology is its actual application to oneself, a preclear or situations one encounters in life, VI-89
standard tech, VI-268
alone resolves all cases, VI-242
and invalidation, VII-23
auditors are not gauged by results, but by flawless application of standard tech, VI-273
consists of exact grade processes and case repair, VI-242
how a Class VIII gets in, VIII-391
is invariable in results and the only variables are C/S and auditor, V1449
is not a process or a series of processes; it is following the rules of processing, VII-23
only reason it doesn’t work is that it hasn’t been applied, VI-268
produces 100~o results, VI-273
purpose of, III-25
study; see study tech
technical person must keep up with advances in technology, VII-378
two areas of cramming: tech and admin, IX-96
verbal tech explanations, result of, VIII-424
verbal tech, how it comes about, VII-303
verbal tech is deadly, result of, IX-400
will be as good as Cramming Officer can cram, VII-184
Word Clearer actions illustrated, IX11
Tech Page, VII-181; X-84
Tech Sec, main concern is production, VII-377
Tech Services,
defn, activity which enrolls, routes, schedules, distributes mail of and assists housing of students, VII-140; IX-312
actions of, VI-181 ; X-82-84
teenagers, why they revolt, III-212
teeth or gums get sore, push in lots of Vitamin C, VIII-407
telex, use of, III-508
temperature; see also Temperature Assists
bringing down, with antibiotics, VIII-403, 404, 405

temperature (cont.)
illness, when accompanied by temperature, anti
biotics is usually the first thought, VIII-403
it is difficult to audit someone who is running a
temperature, VI-422
persistent, can be brought down by running pc on
Objective “Hold it still”, how to run, VII-335
Temperature Assists, VIII-238; see also assists; tem
perature
flying ruds added to ~, VII-364
Version A, VII-335
Version B, VII-336
tenets of an organization, I-143
Ten Minutes of Nothing [process], I-142, 425
tensor beam, II-497
terminal(s) (term.), terminaling, IV417
defn., live mass or something that is capable of
causing, receiving or relaying communication,
III-114
defn, it would be any fixed mass utilized in a
communication system, III-164
defn, an item or identity pc has actually been
sometime in past (or present); it is “the pc’s
own valence” at that time, V-175
abandoned terminal, symptom of, is a steadily
rising needle, III-504
always run process of problems on subject of
terminals, never on conditions, II-323, 332,
354
anchor point is any particle or mass or terminal,
II-14
assess for ~ by elimination [SOP Goals], IV-267,
271
beware running adjectival commands such as
“frigid woman”, IV-17, 50
body is a mass, a solid terminal, III-240
by profession [Help], IV-124
central valence or terminal is built in to demand
total attention from pc, IV-406
choosing terminals, pc is not to choose what ter
minal to run, III-434, 438
clear just like a pc clears on a meter, III-504
combined terminal,
defn, an item or identity pc has both been and
opposed produces therefore both pain and
sensation when it is “late on the track”,
V-176
item turns on both pain and sensation, it is a
combination terminal, V-177
most PT terminals and opterms look more
like coterms than clean terminalsor opposi
tion terminals when first contacted, V-230
condition and ~, difference between, II-516; III
164
Creative Processing, mocking up ~, II-277
do not run a massless terminal such as “sex” or
“help”, IV-50
do not run things that are not real to pc, reason
why, IV-17
don’t close terminals when lecturing, II-159
terminal(s) (term.), terminaling (cont.)
don’t run terminals totally unreal to pc, III-433, 438
Double; see Double Terminaling
dual terminal nature of this universe, IV-61
energy, derived from mass by fixing two terminals in proximity in space, II-14
energy derives from imposition of space between terminals and a reduction and expansion of that space, II-13
establish the reality of a terminal before you try to clear it with significance, III-235
finding terminals on HASCo-Audit, III-513
finishing off a difficult terminal [Help], IV-110
flatten the terminals, IV-109, 209
generalized vs. proper names, III-503
generalize terminal if overt is very bad, for Responsibility Process, IV-48
general terminal, most of pc’s case will be found connected with some, IV-49
general terminals run better than specific, why, IV-109, 119
get first terminal that dropped on pc, convert it to general form, run terminal with Communication Process [1959] , III-513
goal and modifier must be contained in one basic terminal, otherwise postulates would not be out of reach of pc [R3A], IV-413
goal has anatomy of problem and is terminal-counter-terminal, IV-416
goals and terminals, Does P must check all new terminals [SOP Goals], IV-216
goals and terminals, out rudiments hide ~, IV-423
goals and terminals searches require a repeat over and over of goal or terminal on list in order to get them to go null [SOP Goals], IV-273
goal(s) terminal, IV-418
defn., that valence into which pc has interiorized and which carries goal, modifier and aberration which pc attributes to self, IV-419
assessing goals terminal with Primary Prehav Scale, IV-283
for pc’s goal + modifier [R3D], IV-418
when is a goals terminal flat [SOP Goals3, IV-209
GPM is full of pairs of terms and oppterms, V-179
hellos and okays are run on terminal to improve reality on it, III-243
Help does not flatten very easily on a late specific terminal, IV-119
Help on ~ reduces a heavy or thick bank, IV-116
Help terminal, Regimen 8, never change Help terminal, IV-174
Help terminals, IV-124, 125, 128
identities in GPM producing pain, V-175
improperly assessed, how to detect during auditing, IV-132
lines and terminals, III-140
Reality Scale of, III-139

terminal(s) (term.), terminaling (cont.)
list [SOP Goals],
always recheck terminals list, IV-271
assessing terminal list by elimination, IV-240
causative list of terminals, IV-271
effect list of terminals, IV-271
how to do a terminals list on SOP Goals assessment, IV-267
Matched; see Matched Terminaling
most PT terminals and oppterms look more like coterms than clean terminals or opposition terminals when first contacted, V-230
must be real ~o pc and must show charge on E-Meter, III-550
needle drops only on those terminals that pc still feels some responsibility for, IV-38
one of most effective light ~ is a body part, III-519
opposition terminal (oppterms), IV-417
defn., person, group or object that has consistently opposed pc’s goal, making it a terminal-counter-terminal situation of long duration, IV-419
defn., an item or identity pc has actually opposed (fought, been an enemy of) some time in past (or present), V-176
GPM is full of pairs of terms and oppterms, V-179
modifier is part of oppterms so its use is dropped, V-57
most PT terminals and oppterms look more like coterms than clean terminals or opposition terminals when first contacted, V-230
produces dizziness or “winds of space” sensation, V-5
rock slam is response of E-Meter to conflict between terminals and opposition terminals, V-176
R2-12A package must have two terminals and two oppterms, opposing and cross opposing, V-235
steps of running levels on 3D terminal and oppterms, IV-443
terminals and oppterms, difference between, V-5, 12, 175, 176, 177, 230, 231
ways of asking for terminal and opposition terminal, V-177
Overt/Withhold Process on general and specific terminal, IV-37
Overt/Withhold Process on terminal representing dynamic, IV-26
pc considers himself messy or massy so second terminal is required to discharge energy, VII 238; X-63
phenomenon of snapping or closing ~, II-159, 189
Prehav Scale, when first terminal is flat, IV-216
problem is not a condition or a terminal; it is a “how” or “whether”; it is a doingness, not a person, III-315
problem is two-terminalized, III-303

520
terminal(s)(term.), terminalizing (cont.)
PT problem itself, not just its terminals, must exist in PT, III-296
reality of terminals, I-433
Recall a Terminal and Problems Intensive, alternated with R-2H, IV-06
Responsibility can be run on a non-mass terminal or significance, IV-87
run always causative ~, never effect ~, IV-132
run intentions only on terminals (Expanded Dianetics), IX-153, 158
R2-12A package, V-235
scale of pc reality on terminals, IV-131
self-determinism related to ability to impose space between terminals, I-14
sensible terminal, in Dynamic Straight Wire never run one, III-438
situations and ~, how a person handles, III-404
SOP Goals assessments for goals and ~, IV-326
SOP Goals, how to prove the terminal, IV-268
SOP 8-C Step V, II-14
stable data on selecting terminals, IV-1-65
start case on first terminal ever run, IV-108
switching around terminals without flattening results in rising needles, III-513
terminals to which Communication Processes are addressed must be real terminals never significances only, III-503
thetan’s reality on a terminal depends upon degree of outflow thetan can tolerate from that class of terminals, IV-131
turns on pain in pc’s body, it is a ~, V-12, 177
two types of ~ to assess [SOP Goals], IV-270
using the body as a communication terminal, II-theft of objects is really an effort to steal a self, 276
ways of asking for ~ and oppterm, V-177, 230
you must combine significances with terminals, not with significance, IX-187
3DXX, finding terminal and oppterm, V-5
3 terminal universe, VI-288, 307
terminology, need to know, II-533
terminology of Scientology, how formulated, II-535
terra incognita: the mind, I-6
Terrible Trio; see Trio
terrorist is insane, IV-83
terror stomach; see stomach, terror
test(s)(ing), accurate test of case change, I-351
and D of P, X-205-06
Army Alpha, 140
as a screen, I-51
California Test for Mental Maturity, 140
foreign language persons use translated tests, IX-420
for exteriorization, II-50
for IQ and personality, II-392
for sanity: what is communication lag of individual, I-310
for types of cases, I-82
give an idea of how charged up case may be, VI-281

test(s)(ing) (cont.)
idea of, I-480
if auditing is working: “Did it increase pc’s ARC?”, II-246
Johnson Temperament Analysis Profile, 140
Level Two: this is what changes the Scientometric tests [1956], II-324
line is a check on C/S and auditing quality, VIII-31
misunderstood son tests, IX-420
OCA, X-207; see also OCA/APA
profile patterns, valences are source of, IV-102, 104
psychoanalysis, failure to validate by testing, II-479
psychemetry must be tempered by common sense, I-51
psychemetry, purpose of, I-39
results from HGC and Academy [1956] , II-417
scores, low aptitude, IQ and leadership, handling of, VII-34
section, PE, what it does, IV-182
that declares only antisocial personalities without also being able to identify social personality would be itself a suppressive test, VI-180
were originally devised in the total belief that man could not be changed, III-199
why ~ “happy” trait moves, II-337
why question sheets for tests must not be word cleared, VIII-30, 32
word clearing any words on any test at any time is a High Crime, IX-420
“thetie weetie case” (sweetness and light), IV-325

Then and Now Solids, CCH14, III-33, 71, 265
commands, III-8
makes pc capable of contacting and handling present time and any segment of the past, III-34
procedure, III-265, 266
purpose of, III-265
theory; see also training
defn., data part of course where data as in books, tapes and manuals is given, VII-140, 286;IX-311
and practice, difference between, VI-89
checkouts must consult student’s understanding, V-480
checkout system, V-488
is only as good as it can be proven or as it works, VI-134
no good unless it works, II-408
practical goes through the simple motions, theory covers why one goes through the motions, V-482
theory coaching, defn., getting student to define all words, give all rules, demonstrate things in bulletin with his hands or bits of things, and also may include doing Clay Table Definitions of Scientology terms, V-489
Theory 67, V-149

defn., IV-166

target of Theory 67 is mest, IV-166

therapeutic factor, the only ~ possessed by man is his own spirit, 1486

therapy, good, defn., would wake people up, make them more alert, make them more able, happier, more competent, II-478

therapy, most significant therapy is changing the mind, II-447

theta; see also thetabeing; thetan actions, key, reach and withdraw, 1407

and mest, group is composed of, I-87

as pure reason and mest as entire unreason, gradient scale between, I-173

basic laws of; see also Science of Survival

basic purpose of thetassurvival, I-188

bop; see theta bop
capability of, I-293
clear; see also Clear, theta

cleared theta clear, single attribute of, I-296

Connectedness is basic process on association of theta with mest, III-163

conquest of mest by, I-88, 173, 188

creates space and time and objects to locate in them, II-13; see also Prelogics

explosion, similarities to theta, 1467

free theta, defn., I-418

goal, pretended, destroys the group, I-141

line, history of; see History of Man

lines explode when tampered with, I-139 of group; its ideas, ideals, rationale and ethic, I-175

purity of, I-91

symbol for thought as an “energy”, I-268

tendency to own or be owned, I-189

traps; see History of Man

vs. mest, I-154, 174

theta being(s); see also theta; thetan; HOM

and mest bodies, I-404

capabilitiesof; see HistoryofMan

preclear is, I-1403

principal target of auditor is, I-1403

qualities of, I-1404

theta body, defn., thetan very often carries with him a theta body, which he mocked up on past track and which is a number of facsimiles of old bodies he has misowned and is carrying along with him as control mechanisms which he uses to control body he is using, III-228

bop; see also EME; BIEM
defn., I-229

needle reaction, III-225

theta clear; see Clear, theta

theta exteriors, problem of pc who can’t get out again, I-356

theta-mest theory, I-356; II-47; see also SOS; Scn 8-8008

and auditing, I-360

thetan(s)’, III-530; see also awareness of awareness unit; being; individual; man; person; soul; theta; theta being
defn., I-379
defn., energy-space production unit, II-10
defn., a knowingness, total in a cleared state, who yet can create space and time and objects to locate in them, II-11
defn., a thetan himself, the awareness of awareness unit, is understanding, II-137

defn., from the Greek letter theta [0], used in Scientology to indicate the source of life and life itself; the individual, person, actual identity, is this living unit, II-153

defn., spirit, called in Scientology the thetan, II-428

defn., spirit; has no mass, no wavelength, no energy, and no time or location in space except by consideration or postulate; spirit is not a thing, it is the creator of things, II-428

defn., awareness of awareness unit which has all potentialities but no mass, no wavelength and no location, III-480

aberration is that he thinks he is not the ~, I-267

abilities of, III-169

ability to see, III-209

accumulates mental mass, pictures, ridges, circuits, etc., to degree that he misassigns responsibility, IV-18

afraid of being nothing, I-406

all things are initiated by the thetan, II-434

analytical mind consists of visual pictures, either of the past or of physical universe, monitored by and presided over by knowingness of thetan, II-429

and mest, I-342

answer to being threatened or struck is to create, III-320

anxiety to effect, II-438

ARC breaks, thetan will dream up ARC breaks to exteriorize his attention from a present time problem, III-304

as he considers, so he is, II-434

atomic radiation wavelength can reach strata of a thetan, II-379

attention gets stuck, only thing wrong with thetan, II-317

Axiom10 becomes confused by thetan with cycle of action, III-539

banks, without banks thetans have different retheta sponses, VI-6

bank, there’s nothing wrong really with a thetan but his reactive bank, VI-18

basic game of a thetan, II-503, 556

basic personality, thetanhasa, III-257

basic qualities of knowingness and understanding, II-143

behavior like mest, II-137

big PTPs a thetan has are his body, VI-339
thetan(s)’s (cont.)

body, improperly fed, absorbs energy put out by thetan, II-97

body is identifying form or non-identifiable form to facilitate the control, communication and havingness for theth in existence in mest universe, III-480

body vs. thetan, V-255

can affect the somatic mind independently, II-431 can do anything forever, VII-268
can escape an unbearable Pr by dropping into past, even without drugs, VI-292
cannot die; his only out is to try to stop something as he himself cannot stop living, VIII-257; IX-249
can only become disabled by becoming too little pan-determined, II-434
can only be trapped when he considers that he is, II-437
can postulate or say or reason anything; thus there is an infinity of significances, VII-77
can suffer from being out-created (created against too thoroughly), II-434
capabilities and potentials of, II-153

capable of making space, energy, mass and time, II-432

can only become disabled by becoming too little pan-determined, II-434

can only be trapped when he considers that he is, II-437
can postulate or say or reason anything; thus there is an infinity of significances, VII-77
can suffer from being out-created (created against too thoroughly), II-434

capabilities and potentials of, II-153

capable of making space, energy, mass and time, II-432

child is a thetan in usually rather bad condition, III-34

communication, I-352

condition of thetan, can be in one of four conditions: first, entirely separate from a body or bodies, or even this universe; second, near a body and knowingly controlling the body; third, in the body (the skull); fourth, an inverted condition compulsively away from the body and cannot approach it, II-429

considering himself mest, liability of, VII-238; getting in trouble by being only one viewpoint, II-181; VIII-116

can only become disabled by becoming too little pan-determined, II-434

can only be trapped when he considers that he is, II-437
can postulate or say or reason anything; thus there is an infinity of significances, VII-77
can suffer from being out-created (created against too thoroughly), II-434

capabilities and potentials of, II-153

capable of making space, energy, mass and time, II-432

DMSMH, II-120

exteriorization is stable when thetan is used to mest, IV-166

fight of thetan is to remain unsolid, mobile or immune at will, and capable of decision, V-277, 417

find counter-forces objectionable, VII-76

first fatal step of thetan is to resist, 1416

force-shy thetan, how one becomes a, VII-86

forgettingness of spiritual being, III-223

gets in trouble by being only one viewpoint, II-181; VIII-116

ghosts and spirits, don’t invalidate, III-226

has no mass, no wavelength, no actual position in space other than his own declaration of it, II-137

has no problems of his own, II-434

has to be at earliest end of incidents to erase them, VII-212; VIII-286, 381

havingness must be up to run “thetan”, IV-195

himself without body is capable of performing all functions he assigns to body, III-480

human spirit, evidence of, III-223

illness, while a thetan can produce illness, it is the body that is ill, VI-338

immortal and cannot actually experience death and counterfeits it by forgetting, II-433

inability to duplicate on any dynamic is the primary degeneration of the thetan, II-15

individual himself is a spirit controlling a body via a mind, II-432

in good shape can be cause, III-159, 179

in the physical universe, II-492

...
thetan(s)’s (cont.)

is not the astral body, II-428
is source of all creation, III-270
is subject to deterioration, II-429
keeping things from going away cultivates ability
of thetan to remain where he is, III-232
keynote of thetan is order, III-262; VII-417
life in body, thetan puts it there, VIII-126
living in body makes a being vulnerable, VII-79
located in a space is less than theta itself but a
thetan located is much greater than homo sapiens, II-145
man, divisible into three parts: thetan, mind, body, II-428; III-129, 223
man is a human spirit which is enwrapped, more
or less, in a mind, which is in a body, III-223
mass, loss of, VIII-105
massy thetans, VII-212; VIII-286, 380
most difficult thing he does is handle the environ-
ment, II-448
natively capable of logical thought, VII-77
native state of, II-504
 operating Thetan; see Operating Thetan
out-created, thetan can be brought to believe that
he is trapped, II-434
painless, I-461
pain depressants, effect on thetan, VI-443
paradoxes of, II-11
parts of man: thetan, mind, body, II-428; III-129,
223
person could feel pain only as himself (thetan plus
body), V-176
position of Tone Scale, IV-131
power of choice, how it has been overthrown,
VII-257; IX-82
present time, under threat thetan goes out of PT,
VI-291
pretending to be injured, III-518
primary obsession, II-223
psyche is a Greek word meaning “spirit”, II-
405
puts life in the body, II-362, 374
reality on a terminal depends upon degree of
outflow a thetan can tolerate from that class
of terminals, IV-131
receives impressions of physical universe and past
activities, II-429
reduces his own power, IV-19
relation to energy, VIII-105
residence of, II-429
right, thetan even when pressed or suppressed to
absolute limit of near extinction will still try, even
when “cooperating”, to some way be
right, VIII-257

thetan(s)’s (cont.)

Scientology considers only those things which
man or man as a spirit can make, II-409
Scientology deals with thetan, being who is the
individual and who handles and lives in body,
VI-341
Scientology, mission of, is to raise knowingness of
spirit to degree that it knows what it is and
what it is doing, II-153
Scientology, organized from the viewpoint of the
spirit and contains a precise and usable defini-
tion of the spirit, and charts and studies and is
able of changing the behavior of the spirit,
II-152
self-determinism, entrance into, requires that
thetan conceive idea of other beings, III-465
senior to mind and body, II-432
separable from body without the phenomena of
death, and can handle and control a body from
well outside it, II-432
some thetans are bigger than others, none are
equal, VI-194
SOP 8-C: the rehabilitation of the human spirit,
II-10
spiritual being, timeless and deathless, proof that
individual is, VII-27, 168, 420
spiritual freedom and ability, thetan wants, VI-
339
Spotting depends for its workability on the dislike
of a thetan of being located, III-163
staying in the game and keeping it going, II-434
supreme test of a thetan is his ability to make
things go right, VI-197
survival of spirit, II-209
takes a valence that he believes will help others or
the universe, IV-109
tends to become that on which he has produced
non-beneficial effects, IV-131
tends to move from source beingness to effect
beingness, IV-131
things which can deteriorate thetan, V-277
things wrong with thetan are lower harmonics of
characteristics of a thetan, III-257, 271
thinks he needs problems to keep his attention
exteriorized from rock chain, III-304
thirst for knowledge would be the thirst for other
thetans’ postulates, II-438
time track and thetan; see time track
to a thetan, anything is better than nothing, II-14
to be “ sane”, thetan must learn how he’s been
caring for body, I-301
to make postulates is senior to his concerns over
space, energy and objects, II-51
totality of aberration is basically considerations a
thetan is making, II-437
trapped in another thetan, seen in valences, III-530
tries to be right and fights being wrong, V-322
tries to help something or somebody and fails and
last stage of his effort is to mock up a picture
of the thing and try to help it, IV-109
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thetan(s)’s (cont)

trying to prove he is not simple, III-4
unhappiness, inability to heal, and psychosomatic illness are best healed by immediate address of human spirit, II-153
unmocking, when threatened with, a thetan mocks up obsessively, VI-291
uses pictures to assist memory, II-230
usual position and only interest, I-267
valences, thetan valences are preferable over body valences, III-284
viewpoint scarcity of thetan, remedy of, VIII-116
what he is trying to do, I-461
when you add something to the being he gets worse, VII-257
who sleeps too much does too little, IV-24
why thetan makes his postulate fail to stick, III-465
willingness of thetan to duplicate, how rehabilitated, II-15
will not let himself go free unless he can operate without danger to others, IV-19
things done twice, VII-359

think(ing), thinkingness, II-483; see also thought ability to, defn., capability of the mind to perceive, pose and resolve specific and general problems, I-77
areas of static thinking, I-183
as-is unwanted thinkingness, III-479
body control comes before control of ~, III-479
change of position in space is center centralness of all thinking, I-443
compulsive position precedes compulsive thinking, II-13
consists of, I-128
consists of comparing particular datum with physical universe as it is known and observed, III-424
control of thinkingness, III-119
pc’s ~, how to bring under his control, III-255
purpose of, II-21
(Secondary Scale level), IV-314
thinking at command is a sort of CCH on thinkingness, IV-121
thinkingness processes reduce havingness, II-489
“think of” command rather than “recall”, III-485
think processes are unlimited, VI-282
“think” undercuts “recall”, III-435

“Think about matter”, pretty steep for most cases and would not be real to many, IV-54
Think a Thought [process], II-193
Think a Thought, TR12, III-71
“Think a thought” “Receive a thought” [process], II-206, 250
Thinking Placed Thought [process,1956], II-455
“Think of something you could withhold.” “What could you admit causing?” [process], IV-7
third dynamic; see dynamic, 3rd
third party action in child education,148
third party law, VI-288

third postulate, II-282, 297
Third Rail, a special form of Factual Havingness, III-486
Third Stage Release, VI-56, 61, 70, 71, 87
thirty-seven R; see 37R
thirty-six new preessions, IV-156; see also preessions
thought(s); see also think; AP&A; FOT
defn., is concerned with the estimate of effort, I-214
defn., manifestation of evolving a low-level certainty of observation from a number of past observations,1433
analytical, I-380
electrical impulses, connection between, I-221
cognitions show that thought is releasing from force, VII-77
critical thought is a symptom of overt, not the overt itself, V-469
direct observation infinitely superior to,1433
discharges dependency on language, IV-54
E-Meter registers shifts in, I-225
emotion, thought or effort (the various categories of doingness), I-296
evolution of, II-300
exterio head and bank, II-325
Formula 20 is an effort to run Control on thought level, IV-213
if you start running thoughts about thoughts you’ll pull thoughts out of engrams and restim the devil out of the bank, IX-187
major thought; see major thought
Mest Processing underlies thought and all symbols and communication representing thought, I-193
minor thought; see minor thought overt, I-244
pc tends to dive for ~ imbedded in force, VII-79
pc whose “thought has no effect on his or her bank”, cause of, V-36
picking thoughts out of forces in bank brings a no-change, VII-85
power of thought, II-208
process of, defn., I-461
Q and A with thoughts already in full view and you’ll never really ease up bank, VII-84
Run Responsibility on matter, energy, space, time, motion and thought, IV-50
slavery of thought, IV-147
subject matter of Scientology, I-268
the most senior thing there is, II-215
thetan is natively capable of logical thought, VII-77
Thought Processes, III-8
Thoughts in Walls, commands and how to run, III-8
threat, under threat thetan goes out of PT, VI-291
three classes of universes—physical universe, other fellow’s universe, one’s own universe, II-436
three S&Ds; see Search and Discovery three universes, I-356, 375, 376

525
three universe-types, I-349
three-valued logic, I-69
“throw it away” and “hold it in”, III-232
tick(s),
defn., small jerk of needle, VI-357
is always noted, X-79
not asking right question gives you ∼, V-396
“stop” or a “tick” is not a read, VII-49
Tiger Drill(ing), V-122, 150
defn., series of buttons which are capable of preventing a right goal or level from reading or making a wrong level read, combined in an appropriate exercise, V-173
Big Tiger Drill, V-196
buttons, V-148
mid ruds (Tiger Drill), V-129
tight shoes can cause high TA, VII-424
time, I-443; V-330; see also present time; PXL
defn., simply a consideration mechanically tracked by alteration of position of particles in space, II-143
defn., rate of persistence of space and particles is what we measure with clocks and the motion of heavenly bodies, II-435
defn., process of knowing in the present and not-knowing in the future or the past, II-440
aberration
II-224
action requires space and time, I-293
attitudes of the pc about time, II-1
auditing time, it takes as long as it takes, VII-91
basis of aberration, VII-87
by a sequence of de-solidifying present time, one evidently achieves time, III-34
command is uttered newly and in its own area of time, III-355
considerations take rank over mechanics of space, energy and time, II-67
consists of, I-380
creation of time and creation of memory were concurrent incidents, II-222
essence of time is apparently possession, I-295
facsimiles have no weight, wavelength, space or time, I-225
factor; see also NOTL
of the viewpoint, I-442
failure to handle time in incidents, V-273
handling time on pc’s time track, V-287, 288
how to master the subject of time, II-313
is actual but is also an apparency, V-330; see also PXL; Dn 55

is the basic on loss, I-416
mechanics of time, V-330
mind files first by, I-231
“omitted time” is a basic insanity, VII-90
Orientation List; see Self Analysis
OT ability in handling time, III-98
possession absorb and enforce time, I-296
present; see also present time; SOS
present and past, II-409
time (cont.)
result is result and time is just an entered arbitrary, VII-88
sense, compared to case level, V-330
sense, deterioration of; see Sun 0-8
shift, III-98
single source of aberration is time, V-287
space and, I-465
space-be, energy-do, time-have triangle, II-16
stuck in time, II-528
the one arbitrary, I-245
there is no time in reactive mind, IV-332
theta creates space and time and objects to locate in them, II-13
theta orients objects in space and time, II-13
tone arm and time, V-325, 329, 330
track; see time track
understanding and time = ARC, VI-261
Waterloo Station handles time, II-324
what it is, I-375
Zero questions time limiter, V-99
“timeless”, cause of, V-276
timelessness or no change in an engram, II-143
timelessness, unknowness, survival, reactive mind is composed of, V-78
Time Process, II-555
time track, V-273, 287, 292, 299; see also whole track
defn., time span of individual from beingness to present time on which lies sequence of events of his total existence, IV-51
defn., a very accurate record of pc’s past, very accurately timed, very obedient to auditor, at least 350,000,000,000,000 years long, probably much longer, with a scene about every 1/25 of a second, V-274
defn, endless record, complete with 52 perceptions, of pc’s entire past, V-274
defn., consecutive record of mental image pictures which accumulates through pc’s life or lives, VI-342
argument with pc during dating can group track, V-293
auditor must know basic laws and mechanics of time track in order to run engrams, V-273, 288
can become a hodge-podge of violence withheld which pulls in then violence others caused, III 432
charge and the time track, V-289, 416
charge prevents pc from confronting time track and submerges time track from view, V-290, 416
creation of the time track, V-275, 276, 291
earlier on time track pc had stronger postulates, V-349
engram running by chains and the time track
bulletins, V-273, 287, 292, 299
exceeds a trillion, trillion years, V-296
time track (cont.)

faults, there are no faults in recording of time track; there are only snarls caused by groupers, and unavailability and lack of perception of time track, V-275

free track, that part of time track that is free of
pain and misadventure, V-274

grouping of time track, causes of, V-293, 329

influencing agencies for pc are ~ and PT, V-275

mechanism of, V-277

most stuck point on track is a problem, IV-414

move a ~ by any one of these three methods:

significance, location, time, V-287, 288

move only the track; don’t mix it and also move pc, V-288

obeyes auditor; time track does not obey a preclear (early in auditing), V-274

of drug takers and insane is not being made up wholly of present time events; it is a composite of past track, imagination and present events, VI-291

origin of the time track, V-276

pc cycling on, II-217

rest and stop points on, II-528, 529

some parts are permanently in a state of creation, majority becoming created when thetan’s attention is directed to them, V-276

sticks on ~ stick because of prior confusion, IV-414

stuck on, I-15, 16, 441: see also NOTL

three principal tracksinwhichthe auditorisinterested, I-232

track dating; see EMD (EM Drill 25)

unavailability, cause of, V-275

tired, chronically tired pc who is not eating won’t get TA for there’s no as-is of locks, V434

tired, dopey = stuck in something or failed purpose, IX-213

tiredness, colds and psychosomatics, process to cure, III-246

tiredness routinely responds to Dianetic processing, VI-348

tired pc = no sleep or failed purpose = check which it is and handle, VII-46, 359

tolerance for speed, II-541

Tolerance of Motion and Stillness [process], II-554

tolerance of random action, II-542

tone; see also Tone Scale

chronic tone and social tone, VII-149

emotional, X-72

improvement of, X-205

is established by ARC, III-104

most directly observed by communication, III-104

lowered, X-221

tone arm(s), IV-144; V-233; see also EME; BIEM

action, IV-134

and cognitions are indicators that level is still charged, VII-78

as indicator of what to run, V44, 48

tone arm(s) (cont.)

action (cont.)

blowdown of tone arm is meter reaction of having found correct by-passed charge, V-346

body motion and TA; see body motion case must not be run without TA action or with minimal TA action, V-331, 413

cause of, V-370

CCHs produced TA action while higher level processes did not, V43

charge and TA action, V-290

without TA motion no charge is being re leased, V-329, 413

continue the process so long as you have tone arm motion, V40, 75

correct track significances run but without TA action will not change but can deteriorate a case, V-335

discharged process no longer gives TA, VII-77

energy contained in confusions blowing off cause cases TA motion, V-375

falsified by overcompensation of tone arm, VI-7

how it is measured, V-367

how often one reads and notes TA action, V43, 444

how to get, V-324, 369, 374, 377, 397

indicates case progress, IV-144, 207, 225

inhibitors of,

chronically tired pc who is not eating, V434

less active the TA the more over-estimula
tion is present (though restimulation can also be absent), V-371, 413

slows down as soon as pc goes into more charge than he can itsa easily, V-374

small if any when pc has a PTP, V468

TA action has to have been prevented; it doesn’t just not occur, V-370

whatsit reduces TA action, V-334, 370, 378

when pc is talking and you’re getting no TA you already have an ARC break or are about to get one, V-336

is best index of case levels, V-330

itsa and whatsit, relation to TA, V-334, 370, 378

keys to TA action, (a) havingness, (b) overts, IV-144

list to assess for TA motion, V-372

pc audited a bit below or at his level of aware is ness gets tone arm action, case gain and has cognitions (new concepts of life), VI-33

pc interest and TA action tell you program ming is right, V-325

pc’s gain is directly proportional to TA action, V-325, 327, 367, 368

quantity of,

amount per session and intensive, V-4, 367
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tone arm(s) (cont.)

action (cont.)

quantity of (cont.)

auditor skill measured by amount of TA he can get, V-327, 373, 413
greater on higher levels, V-397, 504
lots of TA = bright pc, small TA = dull pc, V-373
reacts on things that will give TA, V-369
R3R and TA action, V-299, 300
shows that force is coming off case; amount is index of gain, VII-77
superior to what is run, V-336
that which moves the tone arm down will give
tone arm action, that which moves only the
needle seldom gives good TA, V-369
time concept of pc and TA action, V-325, 330
what produces TA action and what doesn’t, V-375
when a rudiment is used as a rudiment, ignore
TA action, V-76
always audit a process until tone arm is lower on
it than when process was started, IV-42
assessment and TA, VI-388
audit by tone arm (except in rock slam), assess by
needle, IV-284, 318
auditing a pc under protest will cause the TA to
stay up and no F/N, VII-208
auditor calling pc’s attention to, VI-69
body motion and TA, V-241, 373, 397, 443; see
vanishing cream, why one doesn’t use, VIII
also body motion
conditions that make an auditor mess up a pc’s
TA, VIII-227, 416
deadliest faults on cases are running same action
twice; this drives TAs up through the roof,
VII-276
depends on normally moist hands, VIII-226, 415

Dianetic auditing, on second time through, if TA
rises, there is an earlier incident, VI-373
“drift down” and “drift up”, V-48
drug users get blown out of their heads and bog,
TA up, VII-1 60
end phenomena, if you go past EP the F/N will
pack up (cease) and TA will rise, VII-20
end phenomena of TA Handling Rundown, VII-
270
erase, when basic erases, TA will fall or rise to area
between 2 and 3 and needle will F/N, VI-
373
erasing—solid, one does not need to ask this when
TA rises as obviously it (incident) is going
more solid, VI-453
false TA, VII-421, 438; VIII-24, 26, 34, 226, 414
auditing pc over false TA, handling of, VIII-
409
auditor not getting false TA handled before
session, handling of, VIII-411
auditor overrunning due to false TA, handling
of, VIII-411
causes of false TA, VII-55, 117
checklist, VIII-34, 417; X-230
consequences of false TA, VII-421
dry and wet hands make false TA, VIII-226,
415
E-Meter discharged gives false TA, VI1422
E-Meter improperly trimmed gives false TA,
VII-421
E-Meter trim knob thrown off gives false TA,
VII-24
F/N wide persistent with TA too high or low
means false TA, VIII-227, 416
footplates generally give a wrong TA position,
VIII-414
hand cream and false TA, VIII-226, 414
handling of, VIII-411, 414
HCO Bs, Examiner and Success must know of
False TA HCO Bs, why, X-208
high TA caused by dry hands, remedy of,
VIII-226, 415
low TA, dry condition of hands or feet pro
duces, VIII-226, 415
must be handled before session, VIII-414
one-hand electrode sometimes obscures an F/N
and gives false TA, VI-275
pcs who falsify TA, VII-438
Solo cans can give false TA, VII-422
vanishing cream, why one doesn’t use, VIII
414
floating needle is valid only between 2.0 and 3.0
TA position on a meter, VII-117, 421
floating needle, overrun, and TA will go up, VI
275, 277
floating TA, VII-424
flying up in a break, cause of, VI-277
footplates generally give wrong TA position and
obscure F/Ns and reads, VIII-414
“fragile TA”, V-329
Full Flow Dianetics, if pc’s TA begins to average
higher, overrun is occurring, VII-227
goes up after 37R, X-1 33
goes up means an overrun in life or on a process or
grade of release, VI-147
going up, up, up means picture isn’t erasing but is
getting more solid, VI-397, 418
high TA, VII-18, 27, 76, 168, 179, 194, 213, 267,
268, 282, 337; see also tone arm, high TA
and low TA
ARC breaks and high TA, VII-274; X-143
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tone arm(s) (cont.)
high TA (cont.)

arthritic hands give high TA, VII-423 assessment, VI-397, 418; VII-179,194, 337 assessment rules, VII-282 assessment (L IX) to detect reasons for, VIII-1 at Exam after F/N at session end, reasons for and handling, VII-122 at session start, VII-45, [Dn] 208, 358 handling for Dianetic auditor, Scientology auditor, VII-45, 208 being high, there are exact reasons for, VIII-25 by-passed flows can cause high TA, VII-212 can come down by pc destimulating, VII-122 can come from by-passed flows, VIII-286, 380 cases have been run on something that didn’t erase, VII-28,169 cause of, VII-76,122, 212, 270, 281, 424 chronic high TA, X-28 defn., one which is found high two sessions running (consecutive); “high” means around 4.0 or above; but 3.8 can also be called “high” if it occurs at session beginning too often, VII-19 means person can still stop things and is trying to do so, VII-76 Remedy DDDA 400A for chronic high TA, VII-19
cold cans give high TA, VII-438 cold pc sometimes has falsely high TA, VII-244, 438 commonest sources of, VIII-24 C/S 53, VII-228, 282, 308, 356, 398 if C/S 53 done and TA still high, VIII-1 Dianetics, high TA at session start, how to handle, VII-45, 208 don’t fly ruds, VII-45, 358 drug chain makes high TA if in existence or unflat, VII-189 dry hands give high TA, VII-423 equals mental energy mass, VI-397, 418 exteriorization and high TA, VII-19, 27, 36, 168, 208, 212, 400, 460; X4,106,109-10 floating needle by-passed, why it gives high TA, VII-18 floating needle, “high TA F/Ns” during rehab, VII-251 flows and, X-105,108,129-30 flows opposing, making a mass or ridge, cause high TA, VII-270 handlingbyrehabbingoverruns, VI-251 handling, Class VIII Course recommendations to list “What has been overrun” are cancelled, VII-269 handling high TA after running single item, VII-1441 handling of, VII-18,19, 45, 208, 213, 268, 337; VIII-25, 287, 381 how to get down, VI-277 illness and high TA, VII-124; X-58

tone arm(s) (cont.)
high TA (cont.)
in Dianetics, is one or more engram chains in restimulation, VI-356, 418 in Scientology high TA is always an overrun, VI-356, 397, 418 interiorization is out, get a soaring TA, VII-281 Interiorization RD, unrun, unrepaired, causes high TA, VII-224, 457 late at night pc’s TA may be very high, VII-281 list errors and W/Hs can cause high TA, VII-281 mental image pictures, why they make TA go high, VI-356 never touch ARC breaks on, IX-224 overrun and high TA, VII-18, 22, 212, 227 pc goes exterior in auditing, later his TA goes high, then you do an Int RD, VIII-280 pc goes exterior in session, TA high at Examiner, rehab exteriorization point, VII-19; see also Interiorization Rundown pc in trouble and TA high, what your first suspicions should be, VII-457 pcs are uncomfortable, feel under pressure, when their TA is high, VII-28,169 pc slacking grip on cam gives a high TA, VII-423 "protest" is a frequent reason for high TA, Quad Flows and high TA, VIII-381 right way to handle, X-213 ruds, don’t run if TA is high, VI-277 same action or Grade done twice, X-145 Short Hi TA Assessment C/S, VII-337 shows loss of ability to start or reach, IV-38 source of high TA, VIII-24, 286, 380; X-105, 108 talking the TA down, VIII-25 tight shoes can cause, VII-424 Triple Flows and high TA, VIII-287 unflat engram chains and high TA, VII-18, 76, 122,123; X-28, 56 usual reasons for, X-56 what to run, III-297 when high TA after Singles send pc to Review before Triples, VII-1 Word Clearing and, X-247 Word Clearing Method1, 2 or 4, don’t use on person whose TA is high at session start, IX482 3.5, above 3.5, VI-388, 441 4.0 is high, VI-277, 356, 397 high TA(s) and low TA(s); see also tone arm, high; tone arm, low auditor qualifications for 37R, VII-271 breakthrough, VII-268; X-127 cases have low objective havingness, IV-144 do not widely F/N, VIII-227, 416
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tone arm(s) (cont.)
high TA(s) and low TA(s) (cont.)
handling, VII-33, 271
high or low, X-117
high or low on Q and A, X-33
Hi-Lo TA Assessment, VII-194; VIII-1
C/S 53, VIII-228, 282, 308, 356, 398
rules, VII-282; X-131
Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S, X-165
L1C and Method 3 are not used on high or
very low TAs to get them down or up,
VII-318
LIX Hi-Lo TA List revised, VIII-1
never try to fly ruds or do L1B on a high or
low TA, VII-197
pc in an area in time when pc was being very
irresponsible, IV-18
responsibility is key to them not overts, IV-17
Word Clearing, high or low TA at start of W/C
session, how to handle, VIII-304
W/Cing red tab with high or low TA, VIII-303
listing to a still tone arm, what it takes, V-241
low TA(s), VII-55, 76, 268, 270, 272, 282, 283;
VIII-26; X-28, 29, 141; see also tone arm,
high TA and low TA
defn., below 2, VI-388, 397, 419
answer to low TA because of wet hands is foot
plates, VIII-27
assessing, VII-272, 283; X-129, 132
assessment, X-141
bad TRs can cause low TA as auditor is over-
whelming the pc, VI-388, 419
can blow up to 2.0 + and F/N, VII-272
carry on till it comes up, IX-152
cases (who go below 2.0) will not react to any
processing but Power Processing [1965],
VI-121
case, thorough job must be done on, X-131
cause of low TA, VI-397
commonest sources of, VIII-24, 27
don’t get pc to wipe hands every minute, VIII-
27
Exam, low TA at, VII-124
false, overly wet condition of hands or feet
produces, VIII-226, 415
flows and, X-129
handling, VII-55, 270, 272, 282, 283
handling rundown [37R], how to assess and
list, VII-272
incidents can force pc’s TA below 2, but when
erased TA comes back up to F/N, VI-398,
419
invalidation of pc can cause, VII-124, 423
means pc is overwhelmed and has retreated,
VI-397, 419
moist hands give low TA, VII-422
overwhelmed being, low TA is symptom of,
VII-55, 76, 124, 230, 270
overwhelming flow and out TRs can cause,
X-129
soaring TA = O/R or protest = find which and handle; such an O/R is usually by rehab, VII-46, 359
stuck TA, cause of, V-350, 419
TA change requires two locations—location of pc and location of mass, V-49
talking down, in order to do a Hi-Lo List, IX-224
talking the TA down, VIII-25
talking the TA down modified, X-213
TA, rather than needle, is foremost in analyzing case, IV-18
time and the tone arm, V-329
what the tone arm tells you, IV-144
Word Clearing, never clear words over a soaring TA, IX-206
Word Clearing, TA must be in normal range to start Word Clearing on meter, VIII-303, 304
3TR TA handling rundown, VII-269
tone arm counters, use of, V443
tone of voice, acknowledged, III-383
Tone Scale; see also tone; DTOT; DMSMH; NOTL; ACS; Scn 8-80; Scn 9-8
ARC, basis of the Scientology Tone Scale, II-413
arthritis occurs at three places on, I-272
as people descend the Tone Scale, II-413
cause and effect and the Tone Scale, I-436
characteristics of top and bottom of, I-381
downward and upward spirals on, I-381
earliest Tone scale is in Book One, I-464
Emotional, part of Know to Mystery Scale, II-173
Emotional Tone Scale, VI-200
Ethics, relation to morals and height on ~, I-294
expanded, VII-404
gives a prediction of human behavior, II-413; see also Science of Survival
havingness changes pc position on Tone Scale, II-337
how a 1.1 and 1.5 handle others, II-287
how to spot people on, VII-148,149
is divided into three parts: highest is pan-determinism, mid-range is self-determinism, low range is other-determinism, III-465
mood of game, II-367
of governments or companies or groups, I-137
of motion, I-167
of survival vectors, I-168
pc comes up to degradation, up to apathy, V-286, 419
pc’s position on Tone Scale established by communication lag, II-128
person broadens up the Tone Scale, III-140
persons below 2.0 regard the organisms in vicinity as most, I-189
plotting pc on, II-128
political philosophies placed against, VI-317
position on Tone Scale is determined by willingness and ability to duplicate, IV-155
Postulate Tone Scale, I-184
Tone Scale processes, where they are on the ARC Tone Scale, II-131,138
relation of energy to, I-92
responsibility, failure to take, symptom of pre-clear who is low on Tone Scale, I-210
scale of emotional tones, I-243
“scale of potential survival”, I-243
scale of “relative success in estimating efforts”, I-243
self-determinism goes down as a person goes down the Tone Scale, II-287
thetan tends to maintain a position on Tone Scale where inflows are comfortable, IV-131

Tone 40, defn., giving a command and just knowing that it will be executed despite any contrary appearances, III-240
defn., positive postulating, III-240
defn., positive postulate with no counter-thought, III-386
auditing, defn., is control by direct Tone 40 commands, III-242
auditing, defn., positive, knowing, predictable control toward the pc’s willingness to be at the cause concerning his body and his attention, III-480
Book Mimicry and Hand Space Mimicry are not Tone 40, III-400
CCH starts with Tone 40, but the training continuity of CCH does not, III-394
control by Tone 40 is taught in Upper Indoc, III-242
don’t Tone 40 ask items or goals pc gives you, V-56
formal auditing and Tone 40 auditing, two different types of auditing, III-242
group auditing is done from Tone 40, III-242
nothing to do with voice, III-385
originations, in all processes not Tone 40 pc’s originations are handled, III-370
process, how to run, III-254, 255
unconscious, psycho, non-communicative, electric shock case pc, Tone 40 is for, III-242
Tone 40 Book and Bottle is not Opening Procedure by Duplication, III-395
Tone 40 “Hold it still”, CCH10, III-69
Tone 40 “Keep it from going away”, CCH9, III-69
Tone 40 Locational Processing, purpose, procedure and commands of CCH 5, III-254
Tone 40 “Make it a little more solid”, CCH11, III-69
Tone 40 on an Object; see TR 8
Tone 40 on a Person; see TR 9
Tone 40 8-C; see CCH 2
Tone 40 8-C processes, CCH 7, 8 & 9, III-255
tools of a C/S, X-183
tools of auditing, X-182
too steep a study gradient, VII-293
toothache, VII-111
toothache, “Hello and Okay” Process on, III-136
Touch Assist, VI-318; VII-323; VIII-191; IX-502; X-160; see also assists and reach and withdraw, X-20
Contact Assists and ~ are not only legal, they are mandatory when any injury occurs, VII-167
Contact Assists and interrupting a general course of auditing, often to no F/N, VII-191
don’t confine handling of injuries to, VIII-190
EP—pain gone, cog, F/N, VII-323
errors, IX-502
exception to Repair Pgm, X-7
fragment of whole array of “touch”, VII-65
if right hand is injured you include also left hand, VII-110
importance of balance, IX-502
is short sessioned and always balanced, IX-503
you don’t want rapport, IX-505
you must go to extremities, IX-503
touching things and “Reach and Withdraw” in repairs, VII-65
track; see time track
track map, I-232; see also History of Man
tractor beam, II-497
training, II-67; VII-99; see also Academy; checkouts; coach; course; Course Supervisor; drills; education; hatting; practical; student; study; theory; TRs
ability and gain are achieved by, VI-322
Academy of Scientology, purpose of, III-25
Academy, student must be concentrated upon factual precise processes and be able to perform these processes regardless of his understanding, II-344
auditors are goofing, what it means regarding training, VII-301; IX-398
auditor training, IV445
better to indoctrinate student into auditing attitude for seven weeks and teach him to remedy havingness for the final week than let him through with poor auditing attitude, II-343
case level and sanity, relationship to ~, V-316, 327
clay table work in training, VII-162
clay table work in training and processing, V451
coachless training—use of a doll, V-103
course creates a beingness, not imparts data, III-464
course ladder of courses, III-288
C/S is training officer of auditing, X-176
C/S responsibility for training, VII-152,161, 375; X-69-71
demonstrating in training, VI-205
difference between education and Scientology, III-22
Doctors of Scientology, III-102
don’t cut affinity lines to data, II-163
don’t demand things student has not yet reached, V479
don’t give experimental data, II-163
drills; see TRs
training (cont)
duplication and training, VIII-110
education mustn’t skip gradients, VIII-171
essential to give people tools to live better, II-369
exact application, importance of, II-342
failures in, will cause trouble for orgs and Scientology, VI-8
far better to teach and process a person than only to process him, II-406
fast flow training, VIII-162
former training not wasted, V-316
gains vs. auditing gains, II-369
gives bigger IQ rises than group processing, II-391
gradient scale in training, III-345
handle the individual student, not the class as a whole, VI-8
HGC processes and training, V-324
how it can de-aberrate, IX-310
instruction and examination: raising standard of, V-478
instruction attitude, proper, VI-8
instruction, consideration, mechanics and theory behind; see PXL
instruction is done on a gradient scale, V-479
instruction protocol, I-51
instructor directs student auditor’s attention toward Scientology body of data in order to get effective auditing done, V-357
lack of, means more trouble for pc in making his gains stably, VII-60
level of skill at Saint Hill, V-51
levels, VI-97
materials, scarcity of, slows down ~, VI-406
medical doctors in orgs, VI-309
necessity of, II-169; III-77,128; IV-133, 261
not only for professional auditors, VII-391
only reason we have to train anyone is that we are training them to unlearn, II-344
order of training processes, III-394
organizations should be selling more training than processing, VII-368
part-time study on next level while auditing is a failure, VIII-15
pc who has trouble needs training, VII-99; X49
plan [1958], III-330
practical goes through the simple motions, theory covers why one goes through the motions, V482
prerequisites for, I-51
professional auditors, central org function, II-384
program and end product of, I-52
Registrar, why he should not be too harsh in forbidding admittance to training, II-343
Release’s increased abilities regarding ~, VI-39
retraining, what it must include, VII-128
roller-coaster of processing results is never because of restimulation caused by training, VI-94
schedule [1960], IV 69
Scientology training gives more fundamentals than exist in all other subjects combined, VIII-202
training (cont.)
sending auditors to upper orgs for training, VIII-13
skill and training of a Class VIII auditor, VIII-391
skill of auditors and training, I-365
skills, III-76
speed and accuracy is stress of all training, VI-417
stable datum in training: when in doubt handle
student with much stricter positive placement
and direction, III-90
stable datum of all training: “A student is gradu-
ated when his training level is such that he
could be entrusted with an HGC preclear”,
III-40
staff auditors, training of, [1961], IV-389; VIII-
12
stages, VII-152; X-69
stress basic auditing skill, V-326
student auditor training [1964], V431
student is slow or blows, reason for lies in failure
to understand words used in his training, VII-
162
student should be able to connect the Axioms of
Scientology with the processes and activities of
Scientology, II-420
student’s or auditor’s service fac may contest in-
struction, how to handle, V-358
studying Scientology is therapeutic, II-406
study teacher nothing further than he has been
taught until sure that he has excellent data
workability and use reality on what he has been
taught at that point, II-69
things to be stressed in training, IV-246
tough training, importance of, VI-8
trained Scientologist, greatest adventurer of all,
II-244
train individuals, not a class, IV-329
troubles with students in training, I-51
TRs, why they must be learned early in training,
VII-348
use checksheets, IV-329
value of training; see also Dianetics Today
vs. auditing gains, II-369
why it fails, V-359
why Scientology training is non-aberrative, III-
344
wrong definitions cause stupidity or circuits,
followed by overts and motivators, V489
X unit, V-214
8-C = good course, IV-71
training drills or routines; see TRs
training pattern, II-430; see also reactive mind
training processes, HPA-HCA, [1956], II-545; see
also HCA; HPA
training routines; see TRs
tranquilizer, Cal-Mag replaces any, VIII-355
tranquilizers (psychotropic drugs), how they work,
VI-314, 443
transference,
defn., in psychoanalysis used to denote the trans
ference of the patient into the valence of the
practitioner, II-468
destructive to personality of patient, II-468
transfer of staff, handling of executives or staff mem-
ers who show signs of obsessive, VII-354, 439
transfer, O/W by transfer, IV-186
“transferred”, pc to D of P, how to handle, IV-216
transferring, I-415
transfer, tendency to, how to handle, VIII-50
transgressions against mores of a group, IX-270, 291
transgressions against the mores of one’s race, group,
family cause unhappiness, IV-387
transgressions, clearing of one’s, IV-46
translated,
checksheet and course rules are also translated and
printed in local language, IX-350
materials, IX-351, 361
materials an org needs, minimum, VII-443
tapes, minimum list of, IX-352
translation, II-404, 405
translations of Scientology books, III-471
translator, “sight” translator is one equally good in
two languages who can hear one language and
speak translation into other language without
hesitation, VII-441; IX-349
translators, word clearing translators, IX480
trap(s), trapped; seealso Dianetics ’55!
always preceded by one’s own choice of entrance,
II-437
are part of games, II-485
how barriers can trap a man, II-423
how to free a thetan from, II-439
how you are kept in one, III-202
individual only gets into traps he intends to get
into, II-437
only reason a person can get trapped is that he
can’t have traps, II-496
thetan can only be trapped when he considers that
he is, II-437
thetan, if out-created, can be brought to believe
that he is trapped, II-434
thetan is misowning the mind in which he is
trapped, III-530
traumatic barriers, VI-423
Treasure, out-ethics people go rapidly into, VIII-101
Treble Assessment, AEI, VIII-277; IX-252, 256
intentions in, VIII-277
triangle of certainties, I-349
triangle of certainty of awareness, I-378
trim, E-Meter; see E-Meter trim
Trio, II-545, 551; III-401; see also Control Trio
brings about a very high rise in tone, II-444
can be self-audited, II-397
can pull up any case, II-396
CCH 8, Trio, III-68
commands, “can’t have” substituted for “have”
for very unable pc, II-445
Trio (cont.)
commands of, III-323, 401
condition to running Trio, III-323
Control Trio; see ControlTrio
flat point, II-396
Havingness Process, how to run, II-444
how to run, III-117, 323
objective variety Havingness, III-190
old-time Trio, commands of, III-190
on sound, III-324
purpose of, to bring pc to a condition where he can have whatever he sees, II-444
ratio of flattening commands, II-396
“Recall a moment of loss” and Trio, chief exteriorization processes, III-325
run outside can produce a collapse of case, II-414
Terrible Trio, II-396, 545
commands and how to run, III-7
commands of Terrible Trio, II-396
undercut in Trio, III-119
what it does, III-324
Trio on Valences, commands and how to run, III-7
Triple Dianetics, VI-438, 440, 441; VII-43, 54, 210; X-7, 89, 100; see also Dianetics, Full Flow
always run Dianetic Triples, X-74
C/Sing, X-89
“earlier” commands, VII-43
errorsin, VII-1
Flow 2 and 3 commands, VI-440
importance of, VII-157
item must be made plural on Flow 3 when one is running Triples, VI-442
multiple somatic items, X-101
narrative items, X-101
necessity of, VI-439
okay to audit Dianetics Triples, requirements, VII-233
OTs and, X-101
repair, X-101
running Triples after running Single flow, VII-1
safe actions, X-120
Triples, VI-307, 308; VII-54; see also grades advantage of, VI-309
Expanded vs. Triples Grades, VII-432
lower grades, VI-305
Quad and Triple reruns, VII-212
Scientology Triples, VII-54
“tripper”, defn., somebody who has taken drugs, VI-258
Trouble Area Assessment, VIII-83
Trouble Area Short Form, VIII-84
troubled/worried, PTS RD step, VIII-342
trouble, formula of attack on area where pc is having trouble, IV-25
troublesome possessions, how to handle, II-448
TRs, VII-348; X-118, 122-23; see also auditing comm cycle.
defn., training regimen or routine, often referred to as training drill, TRs are a precise training action putting student through laid out prac-
TRs (cont.)
defn. (cont.)
tical steps gradient by gradient to teach student to apply with certainty what he has learned, VII-288
defn., training drills, VII-341
defn., training drills for auditing, IX-433
admin personnel need, as much as tech personnel, IX-118
Anti-Q and A TR, VIII-221
are a program, VII-261
are important, why, V-266
are just learned with no other consideration, V461
auditing skill of any student remains only as good as he can do his TRs, VII-253, 348
auditor must be drilled on acknowledgement, on putting a question, on an exact communica
tion bridge, and on handling the pc’s origin, II-418
auditors failing to handle E-Meters, chief reason is TR failures, mainly confront, IV-261
auditor who can’t do his TRs can’t audit, VI-118, IX-78
bad TRs can cause low TA, VI-388, 398, 419
bad TRs cause dirty needles, VI-375
cancellation of permissive TRs, VII-8
cognitions and TRs, VII-230
correction lists and TRs, VII-464, 465; X-209-10
correct TRs and application are HCO B17 April 1961, VII-8
Course,
and auditing—mixing major actions, X-136
how to handle student study of bulletin, VII-300; IX-397
produces changes up and down and up that are not possible to also audit around, VII-262
public courses on TRs are not “softened”, VII-348
rules regarding TR Course, VII-260
special, for people on drugs, VII—319, 328
study definitions for the TR Course, VII-286
what is learned on a TR Course, VII-390; IX-433
cramming order, every cramming order includes TRs, VIII-164
C/S trouble comes from factors of, VIII-292, 386
Dianetic TRs are TR101,102,103 and104, VI-414
done solo in absence of good coaches, except TRs (94, V-103)
don’t mix with auditing actions, VII-260, 261
drugs and, X-20,157
drugs, TRs help people get off, VII-65, 319, 328
errors are as fundamental errors as you can get on an auditor, IX-90
explained, II-443
failed sessions due to out TRs, X-244
remedy for, X-244
flubs in TRs are basis of all confusion in subsequent efforts to audit, IV-249
going over and over TR 04, VIII-186
gradients in TRs, VIII-186
honest TRs, VIII-33
how to flunk Upper Indoc TRs, III-385
in Cramming, IX-102
invalid TR is one which gives a wrong impression of auditing, V-80
LRH Model Auditing Tapes are models of correct use of, VII-33
major program, X-137,138
meter reading TRs, V-264
modernized [1961], IV-249
must be good to run SOP Goals, IV-264
must contain correct data of auditing, V-79
Mutter TR, VI-104; VIII-395
No-Interference Area and, X-2II-12
OT TR 0; see TRs, TR 0
out TRs and no impingement gets no reads, V-82
overwhelming TRs is commonest reason for low TAs, VIII-27
pc audited under tension of poor TRs has a hard time and does not F/N sometimes, inviting overrun, VII-197
procedure of auditor must be good before the techniques used by the auditor work uniformly well, II-397
processes do not work without skillfully practiced TRs, V-263
processes will not function in presence of bad TRs, VII-348
reason for TRs, VII-226, 348; VIII-289, 383
repair action, TRs are a fine unlimited, VII-65
result of poor TRs, VIII-33
rough TRs make no case gain, VII-230
Solo and Advanced Courses and TRs, VII-341, 466
there is no substitute for perfect TRs, VI-91
tone arm, low, poor TRs cause, VII-55, 270, 423
TR training, IX-102
TR0, Confronting Preclear, III-61,100,116; IV-247, 249; V-266; VII-349; see also confront
“auditors mustn’t do TR 0 in Cramming as it stirs up their cases” is a complete lie, VIII-194
auditors who can’t do TR 0 aren’t enough there to read a meter, IV-264
blinkless TR 0, there is no such thing, VIII-369
Bullbait, Confronting Bullbaited, VII-349
bullbait that uses actual processes or implants should be stamped out hard, VII-192

TRs (cont.)
TR 0, Confronting Preclear (cont.)
confronting, first step on the road to Clear, III-101
confronting isn’t just looking; don’t try to confront with your eyeballs only, III-101
exists so an auditor is not ducking session but can sit there relaxed, doing his job, VII-226; VIII-289, 383
how it is run, III-115
OT TR Zero and TR 0 are a routine action for auditors, VIII-164
OT TR 0, Operating Thetan Confronting, VII-348
TR1. Dear Alice, III-61; IV-247, 250; [1963] V-268; VII-350
defn., to say something to somebody with the full confidence that they will receive it, III-336
and Tone 40 on an Object, III-335
Case Supervisor gets auditor’s TR1 corrected, VIII-233
how to do TR One, III-337
if poor you’ll miss the rudiment’s outness, V-96, 361
must be done so pc can hear and understand the auditor (without blowing pc’s head off either), VII-226; VIII-289, 383
overwhelming, causes low TA, VII-270
reactive mind, banks don’t read, only thetans impinged upon by bank; therefore TR1 must be addressed to thetan, VII-10
weak TR1, end words of rudiments reading by themselves occurs mainly in presence of weak TR1, V-102, 362
TR2, Acknowledgement, II-205; III-61, 350; IV 247, 250; [1963] V-269; VII-351; see also acknowledgement
how TR Two is done, III-350
is a very necessary study; an auditor must always acknowledge what the pc has said, II-235
more on Training Drill Two, III-308
must be done so that pc gets acknowledged, VII-226; VIII-289, 383
note on TR 2 and TR 4, VIII-395
not so much how to acknowledge but when, III-543
TR3, Duplicative Question, II-236, 444; III-62; IV-248, 251; [1963] V-269; VII-351
basically exists so that auditor will continue to give pc commands and not squirrel off or pack up with total silence, VII-226; VIII-289, 383
example of, II-218
how TR Three can unjam the track, III-356
theory of TR Three, III-355
TR4, Preclear Originations, III-62, 370; IV-248, 252; [1963] V-271; VII-352; see also originations
CUMULATIVE INDEX—1950/1975

TRs (cont.)

TR 4, Preclear Originations (cont.)
be sure it is excellent in that you understand (really, no fake) what pc is saying and acknowledge it (really, so pc gets it) and return to pc's session, V-362
exists so that pc's origins are accepted and not Qed and Aed with or invalidated, VII-226; VIII-289, 383
handling of pc origin, VII-246
how to do, III-371, 372; VIII-183, 184
note on TR 2 and TR 4, VIII-395
three steps in handling an origin, VIII-183

TR 5, Hand Mimicry, III-63; IV-248
“Seat that body in that chair”, III-111
Sit in that Chair, III-91
“You make that body sit in that chair”
“Thank you”, III-243

TR 5N, III-468
Auditor Clearance, IV-194
commands of, III-497
is ARC break handling, III-353
to replace TR 5 as Comm Course drill, III-353
TR 6, Plain 8-C, III-63, 91
TR 6, 8-C (Body Control), VI-111, 228
TRs 6-9 are scrapped [1962], V-79
TR 7, Hi-School Indoc, III-63; VI-111, 228
how to run, III-384
TR 8, Tone 40 on an Object, III-64; VI-229
how to do, III-385
TR 9, Tone 40 on a Person, III-64, 386; VI-111, 230
TR 9(b), Starting the Session, III-340
TR 9(c), Ending the Session, III-340
TR 10, Locational Processing, III-67, 160, 180, 190; see also Locational Processing
make the pc use his eyes to view the objects, III-159, 179
on auditing room, IV-194
or Havingness Process can help on out ruds, IV-450
ShortSpotting, version of TR Ten, III-160, 180
“You notice that object”, III-159, 179
TR 11, ARC Straight Wire, III-69, 316; see also ARC Straight Wire
TR 12, Think a Thought, III-71
TR 13, Fishing a Cognition, III-73
Upper Indoc TRs, VI-40, 111, 228
how to flunk, III-385
true group; see also group
defn., I-87, 136
how ARC breaks affect, I-137
true, what is true for you, IV-203
trust—distrust, I-213
true gained by great results, X-170
truth, II-436
absolute, I-71; VII-285
actual barrier in society is failure to practice truth, VIII-203
truth (cont.)
and declares, X-153
auditing, part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
datamand truth, VIII-114
good indicators in auditors are made with ~, VII-398
good indicators in auditors are made with ~, VII-398
group can’t evolve truth, V-5
handling of; see also Science of Survival
is built by those who have the breadth and balance to see also where they’re wrong, V-322
it takes truth to live with a swiftly changing world, III-153
Know thyself . . . and the truth shall set you free, VI-1
most fundamental truths are first ten Axioms of Scientology, II-436
part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
relation to uniform workability, I-71
road to truth is begun with honesty, VIII-150
sanity is basically honesty and truth, VIII-31
scientific, defn., I-71
scientific idea of regarding as a truth only that which could be demonstrated with a result was never really applied to the mind, VI-391
Scientology is road to truth and he who would follow it must make true steps, VIII-203
seekers after, II-6
vs. apparency, II-407
true group; see group
true, what is true for you, IV-203
true gained by great results, X-170
true, what is true for you, IV-203
truth (cont.)
and declares, X-153
auditing, part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
datamand truth, VIII-114
good indicators in auditors are made with ~, VII-398
group can’t evolve truth, V-5
handling of; see also Science of Survival
is built by those who have the breadth and balance to see also where they’re wrong, V-322
it takes truth to live with a swiftly changing world, III-153
Know thyself . . . and the truth shall set you free, VI-1
most fundamental truths are first ten Axioms of Scientology, II-436
part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
relation to uniform workability, I-71
road to truth is begun with honesty, VIII-150
sanity is basically honesty and truth, VIII-31
scientific, defn., I-71
scientific idea of regarding as a truth only that which could be demonstrated with a result was never really applied to the mind, VI-391
Scientology is road to truth and he who would follow it must make true steps, VIII-203
seekers after, II-6
vs. apparency, II-407
true group; see group
true, what is true for you, IV-203
true gained by great results, X-170
truth (cont.)
and declares, X-153
auditing, part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
datamand truth, VIII-114
good indicators in auditors are made with ~, VII-398
group can’t evolve truth, V-5
handling of; see also Science of Survival
is built by those who have the breadth and balance to see also where they’re wrong, V-322
it takes truth to live with a swiftly changing world, III-153
Know thyself . . . and the truth shall set you free, VI-1
most fundamental truths are first ten Axioms of Scientology, II-436
part of auditing is recognition of fact that truth is present, VII-258; IX-83
relation to uniform workability, I-71
road to truth is begun with honesty, VIII-150
sanity is basically honesty and truth, VIII-31
scientific, defn., I-71
scientific idea of regarding as a truth only that which could be demonstrated with a result was never really applied to the mind, VI-391
Scientology is road to truth and he who would follow it must make true steps, VIII-203
seekers after, II-6
vs. apparency, II-407
true group; see group
true, what is true for you, IV-203
true gained by great results, X-170
truth, II-436
absolute, I-71; VII-285
actual barrier in society is failure to practice truth, VIII-203
ugliness; see Scn 8-80
UK case; see case, UK
“Ultimate” Processes I-6, IV-195

U

ugliness; see Scn 8-80
UK case; see case, UK
“Ultimate” Processes I-6, IV-195
unauthorized processes, IV-439
unburdening, defn., VI-343
causebringsupconfront, VII-110
clear clue to erasure is unburdening down to first time
and erasing first time, VI-400
uncertainties, control is effected by introducing ~
and hidden influences, I-389
uncertainty is the product of two certainties, I-379
unconsciousness, I-441; II-191; see also anaten;
coma; DMSMH
anaesthetic or ~, complete silence is mandatory,
II-430
and yawns, I-17
boil-off, manifestation of, I-321
caused by a flow which has flowed too long in one
direction, II-450
common to all engrams, I-17
“dopeness”, ~ or agitation on part of the pc,
indicator of loss of havingness, II-449
flow run too long in one direction gives anaten,
unconsciousness, IV-121
from pain or shock, anything said while uncon-
scious is recorded, I-6
how to audit unconscious pc, VII-323
pain, misemotion, insanity, all resulting from caus-
ing things others could not experience easily,
III-432
participation by unconscious person, III-159,178
people can remember what is said during uncon-
sciousness, example, I-115
person, what to run, III-183, 468, 497; VII-422
reactive mind, never stops operating even in deep
states of unconsciousness, II-430
reason for removal in basic area, I-25
Symbological Processing, a key to, I-239
Tone 40 is for unconscious, psycho, non-commu-
icative, electric shock case pc, III-242
unconscious pc, audited off a meter, X-160
underrunning cases, III-404
undercutting cases, III-404
undershooting, defn., leave a cycle incomplete and go
off to something else, VII-130; X-62
undertaking(s), V-508; see also ARC
defn., to have a clear and true idea or conception,
or full and exact knowledge, of something; in
general it may be said that understand refers to
result of a mental process or processes (a clear
and exact idea or notion, or full knowledge);
understand implies power to receive and reg-
ister a clear and true impression, VIII-317;
IX486
affinity, reality and communication together make up understanding,
VII-232, 291
ARC breaks, high percentage of ARC breaks
occur because of failure to understand pc,
VII-251
auditor fails to understand what pc said or meant,
correct response for, V-161, 414
auditor response when he doesn’t understand pc,
VII-250, 428
understand(ing)(s) (cont.)
cleared word is a word which has been cleared to
point of full conceptual understanding, VIII
317
communication and ~, VIII-185
life exists in presence of ~, in presence, then, of
affinity, reality and communication, VII-291
super-literate, when one is super-literate one reads
not words but understandings, and so one can
act, VIII-316
understanding and time = ARC, VI-261
underweight or debility, defn., inadequate or lacking
foods, substances or gases which are needed
for activity, maintenance or repair of body,
VIII-402
undesirable conditions persist until admired, I-311
un-doable commands, III-467
unethical auditory actions, III-392
unflat, unflattening; see also end phenomena; flat
chain left, X-69
on Dianetics, X-13,14
process flattening and unflattening, II-328
process has priority, X-16
R&E, X-22
unflat engram chains and high TA, VII-18, 76,
122,123; X-28, 56
unfixing attention, III-428
unhappiness,

due to lack of problems, II-424
happiness and ~, difference between, I-454
inability to heal, unhappiness and psychosomatic
illness are best healed by immediate address of
human spirit, II-153
is inability to confront that which is, III-431
relation to reduced energy (havingness), II-38;
VIII-105
United States economic system, a Marxist tax
principle, I-496
Universal Processes, III-524, 531
universal(s), I-350; see also mest universe; Universe
process; valences
defn., considered the playing fields of life, II-436
a “contract” or agreement, II-436
basic unit of any ~ in terms of energy is two,
I-382
basic unit of this universe is two not one, IV-62
cycle of, I-293
fundamentals of a universe, defn., honorable bar
gain with fellow beings to hold Axioms in
common, II-436
how to make different kinds, II-436
in order to perceive it one must agree that it
exists, II-346
man is his own, I-294
O/W is not the senior law of ~, IV-1 87
own universe, II-499
physical; see mest universe
pc is interiorized into, II-196, 206
pc who is difficult to process is not in contact
with his own universe, II-52
principles and axioms of Scientology are considerations agreed upon and from which stem this universe and livingness, III-344 problem with, II-41 process for separation from all universes the thetan is anxious about, III-524 Scientology is a description born out of 25 years of investigation of how life and universes are put together, II-53-54 secondary, II-493 separating, II-193, 250 stuck in a universe, reason for, II-367 three, I-349, 356, 375, 376 and the eight dynamics, I-380 valences and ~, the same thing, essentially, II-436 victimized by another’s universe only when in protest against, II-436 Waterloo Station can make universe vanish, II-324 weak, II-336 3 terminal universe, VI-288, 307 Universe Process(es)ing, II-44, 45; III-529, 530; see also universe key command in, II-41 or Beingness Processing, apathy on, cause of and remedy, II-44 Universe Comm Process, III-524, 531 Universe O/W, III-529, 530 unknown, DEI Scale, III-533 “unknown”, engram running using, [1961], IV-372 unknown incident pins chains, V-41 unknownness, reactive mind is composed of timelessness, unknownness, survival, V-78 “unknown” used on pictures, IV-374 unlimited processes, six, I-424 unlimited technique; see technique(s), unlimited unmocked, key S&D question: “Who or what has unmocked you?”, VI-210 unmocking (an effort to reduce or make disappear) is primary effort of suppressives, VI-208 Unmocking [process], I-329 unnecessary repair when pc is running well, VII-48, 362 unpredicted change lessens havingness, IV-54 unpredicted change of state, rapidity of, would be a definition of surprise, also of death and forgetfulness, IV-54 unproductive, basicWhyforbeing, VIII-130 beingunread questions and items, VII-45, 49, 357; X-3; seealso items; read unreality, defn, action of realizing things are there and then saying they aren’t there (not-ising them), II-208 unresolved pains, VII-110 unrun flows; see flows, unrun unstable gain, cause and handling of, III-285, 292 unusual solutions, defn, a phrase describing actions taken by auditor or case or auditing supervisor when he has not spotted the GAE; it seldom resolves any unusual solutions (cont.) defn. (cont.) case because data on which it is based (observation or report) is incomplete or inaccurate, V-509 auditor asking for, what it means, VI-49 don’t use, VI-144 unwillingness to do, IV-24 unwilling to be audited, psychotic persons, what to run, III-468, 497 Upper Indoc; see indoctrination, Upper Upper Indoc TRs; see TRs, Upper Indoc upset(s), upstats, when you reward a downstat you not only deprive upstats, you also cave the downstat in, VIII-179 upset(s), when a loss of havingness is experienced, a pc will agitate or go anaten and tend to be upset in general, III-187 upstats, when you reward a downstat you not only deprive upstats, you also cave the downstat in, VIII-80 V vacuum(s), II-504, 547 defn., isn’t a hole; it’s a collapsed bank, II-473 defn., super-cold mass or an electric shock, II-473 defn., super-cold object which, if brought in contact with bank, drinks bank, II-504; III-11 defn., super-cold object that attracts electronically into the whole track, II-530 and havingness, problems of, II-473 formula for handling vacuums, II-473 valence(s), II-507; III-454; see also universes; Valence Processes; DMSMH; SOS defn, by valence we mean personality, denotes the borrowing of the personality of another, II-224 defn., extra personalities, cells, apparent nesses, II-436 defn., one’s own universe overwhelmed by the universe of others, II-436 defn., mental package of ideas and considerations really belonging to another person and knowingly borrowed by pc, III-276 defn, mocked up other-beingnesses a person thinks he is, IV-104 defn, form and identity of pc or another, the beingness, VI-343 all valences are circuits are valences, V-6; VIII-181; IX-284
valence(s) (cont.)

and universes, the same thing, essentially, II-436
answer to valences, II-526
are all “can’t-haves” so when valence is off,
havingness of pc comes up, IV-110
are the sum of overwhelments of the pc, III-274
as-is, person out of valence does not easily as-is his
bank, VI-426
assumption of valence on the death of ally, II-9
attention valence, defn., valence one has assumed
because it got attention from another valence,
II-471, 507
best solution to valences is Beingness Processing,
III-257, 271
body valence (human identity), II-471
central valence or terminal is built in to demand
total attention from pc, IV406
chronic somatics and behavior patterns are con-
tained in valences, V-9
commands and demon circuits, removing, I-18
conversation in engrams, relation to ~, I-17
direct valence, defn., the pc has transferred identity
with someone who has directly confronted
him, II-507
E-Meters don’t register well on, III-284
exchanged valence (direct assumption of another
valence), II-471
five types of valences, II-471
folder, if folder gets too fat you can assume case is
out of valence, VI-426
freeing of ~ remedies pain and aberration, IV-105
goals terminal is that valence into which pc has
interiorized and which carries goal, modifier
and aberration which pc attributes to self, IV-
419
GPM is made up of past selves or “valences”, V-8,
468
help as valence problem, IV-109
Help basically sheds valences, IV-110
how it replaces “I”, I-169
how to separate valences, II-505
how to split a valence, II-472; III-11
identification and valences stem from help, IV-
119
if pc were in no valence but was himself com-
pletely, he would have perfect test response
and would be wholly Clear, IV-102
improve the pc, not the valence, IV-368
influence on recall and perception, I-1-5
in presence of valences pc cannot change his mind
easily when he misowns the consideration, III-
275
in which the preclear has settled, locating, I-19
is the way pc used to prevent experience of an
environment he never as-is, IV-368
key to clearing, IV-368
least desirable valences persist, V-8
list; see SelfAnalysis
lists, LX1, LX2, LX3, VII-330
468
valence(s) (cont.)

lock valences, V-17,18
are appended to a real GPM 3-D item, V-7
low tone arm is valence of a mindless object and
last resort of pc to withhold, IV-16
LX lists serve to isolate reasons being is charged
up to such an extent that he is out of valence,
VI-426
major error in [Dianetic] theory of, I-300
no responsibility for game, for either side of game
or for a former self, V-8
OCA/APA profile is a picture of a valence, III-274
of another individual, going into, II-9
of famous figures, VI-345
out of own, I-16
out of valence, VII-330; X-162
how to handle, III-11
OCA/APA drop after auditing, pc was out of
valence, VII-330
OCA/APA with any point on left side of graph
in low or undesirable range means pc is out
of valence, VII-462
pc who is trying to get off withholds someone
else had is making a sort of out-of-valence
effort to avoid giving his own withholds,
VII-13
reads on GF 40, handle last, VII-35
SP has to be out of valence to be SP, VII-330
overts, why they recoil, IV-105
own valence (identity), II-471
past track valences are preferable to run over
present life valences, III-284
people from whom one felt one could not with
hold anything are most aberrative valences on
case, III-202
personalities obsessively held or dramatized, II-185
person in any valence is victimized by his own
creation, IV-116
person takes many valences, II-225
person takes the strong valence, II-225
person who can have a valence isn’t subject to it,
III-275
person who is in treason on 1st dynamic is always
out of valence, VI-426
person whose ethics have been out over a long
period goes “out of valence”, VIII-101
persverts, suppressives and critical, snide, ruthless,
arrogant or contemptuous personalities are
always out of valence, VI-426
profile on our tests is picture of a ~, IV-102,
104
pro-survival valences, never run, III-284
Responsibility is not workable when pc is in a
valence, IV-116
running Step 6 in a valence is courting disaster as
pc is in a picture that increases in mass and
gives him somatics, IV-109
result of acquisition of additional valences, II

539
vanishing cream, unsuitable as solution to dry hands, VIII-414
Vedic hymns, II-72
Vedic hymn, “The Hymn to the Dawn Child”, IV 126
venereal disease, I-119; III-147; VIII-406
verbal
advice or tech is deadly and will turn any Aca
demy sour, IX-400
C/S instruction, auditor accepting, is a High
Crime, VII-94
direction from LRH, put it in writing, III-111
giving and accepting verbal C/S instruction is a
High Crime, VI-245
or written correction that is not in an HCO B or
tape, auditor must never take, VII-363
techn explanations, result of, VIII-424
techn, how it comes about, VII-303
very well done, session grading,
\textit{defn.}, VII-127, 181; X-59, 82
C/S, never give a “very well done” on wins only,
give them on tech exactness, VII-284
VGLs; see indicators
via, II-142
a picture is memory on a via, III-375
confronting on a via (using a relay point), VII-265
rock is confrontingness on a via, III-320
victim(s), III-494, 557
\textit{defn.}, unwilling and unknowing effect of life,
matter, energy, space and time, III-518
ARC, low, whole answer to it is contained in
victim, III-516
audittortreatingcasavictim, III-516
basic postulate of injury or death (or harmful
communication) is best summed up by
“victim”, III-518
button and organization, III-517
cases not to run on Victim Process, III-519
central button of overt act-motivator sequence,
III-516
Christianity is based on the victim, III-494
death is just one of varied forms of game of
victim, III-518
flatten Responsibility on, IV-17
flat, when is Victim flat, III-520
game of, IV-94
game of, where it began, III-518
in any overt act-motivator sequence, there is a
villain and a victim, III-518
item, how to audit, III-516
money and victim are buttons we want flat on
everybody in Scientology, III-508
person doesn’t get sick or injured unless he’s cast
himself in role of victim by reason of the game
and his overt acts, III-520
Process S2; see S2 Process
Process S22; see S22 Process
relationship to service facsimile, III-519
Scientologists, people who aren’t ~, III-494, 517
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim(s) (cont.)</th>
<th>Vocabularies of science, II-533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To restrain others one sets an example as a victim, III-518</td>
<td>Volume and quality of service, stats depend on, VII-367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valence; see valence, victim</td>
<td>Volume, quality and viability, C/S is trying to obtain, VII-375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;What about a victim could you be responsible for?&quot; [process], IV-16, 49</td>
<td>V unit Class 0, first phase, V-227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why &quot;victim&quot; works as a process, III-518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint(s), I-356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Defn.</strong> is only a point of awareness from which one can perceive, I-362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abilities of, I-375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention is concern of two, I-382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can never perish, I-375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation is the shifting of viewpoint or the effort to do so, I-441; First action of beingness is to assume a ~, I-375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is not a method of thinking about something from a certain attitude, I-362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC most heavily uses viewpoint of another when the other has evaluated for him, I-362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary requisite of the viewpoint, I-441</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive mind’s conception of ~ is evaluation, I-384</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space is a viewpoint of dimension, II-11,13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thetan gets in trouble by being only one, II-181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time factor of the viewpoint, I-442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valence shifting is enforcement of ~, I-369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint Processing, I-431, 433, 437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to run, I-440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolves dependencies, I-439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewpoint Straight Wire, II-55; see also PXL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence, III-343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure of insanity is light handling, no violence, IV-83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leads to barbarianism, III-343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track can become a hodge-podge of violence withheld which pulls in then others caused, III-432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfixing attention by violence throws a case downscale, III-428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus, effect of most antibiotics on virus is zero, VIII-403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision; see also DMSMH; SOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of, is assignment of a tremendous amount of cause to another individual, I-206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical mind is itself capable of, I-426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of vision, an inability to see pictures, solved by getting date and duration, VI-158, 386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of body, thetan’s only interest, I-267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process to turn on, III-324; turns on before sonic, III-324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision, wide, VII-178; see also eyes; glasses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital information, VIII-327, 336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor not writing down vital information on well done auditing session, X-81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worksheets, handling of, VIII-412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dramatization of withholds on ~ lines, VIII-336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital Information Rundown, VIII-328, 337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Dianetics OCA right-hand side handling, VitalInfoRDBelongson, VIII-328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins; see nutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**W**

| Wait (Secondary Scale level), IV-300 | |
| Wait, DEI Scale, III-533 | |
| Waiver, X-33 | |
| “Walking out” type of process, II-344; see also Waterloo Station | |
| Wants Handled Rundown, VIII-277; IX-252 | |
| Was originally called Sanderson RD, IX-142 | |
| Want to know (Secondary Scale level), IV-305 | |
| War, III-113, 423; IV-45 | |
| An idea versus war, II-245 | |
| Cause of, VI-288 | |
| Warfare, law of: troops to be effective, 50~ for attack, 50~ for defense, II-440 | |
| Waste, wasting, anchor points, I-333 | |
| Cycle of, I-416 | |
| People usually have to waste before they can have, III-275 | |
| (Secondary Scale level), IV-302 | |
| Third Rail, to remedy obsessive waste, III-486 | |
| What you can’t have, III-141 | |
| Waste Help [process] violates rule of terminals—run terminals, not conditions, III-285, 292 | |
| Wasting Valence, commands for, III-284 | |
| Waterloo Station [process], II-275, 324 | |
| Can make universe vanish, II-324 | |
| Difficulties with, due to pc inability to remedy havingness, II-336, 373; VIII-125 | |
| End goal of, is disappearance of entire universe, II-445 | |
| First Postulate Union Station, II-284 | |
| For a pc in very good condition, II-445 | |
| Handles time, II-324 | |
| How to run, II-324, 445 | |
| W/C; see Word Clearing | |
| “Weakest universe” processing, II-323 | |
| “Wearing Heads”: a valence process, I-427, 463 | |
| Wedding Ceremony, Scientology, II-425 | |
| Welfare states, why they get lots of criminals, VII-79 | |
| Well and sane beings, sent on to Scientology, then become brilliant and very able beings, VI-392 | |
| Well done by exam, session grading, defL, VII-181; X-82 | |
| Well done, session grading, def-, VII-127, 181; X-59, 61, 82 | |
| Well, getting well or able depends on establishing truth, VII-449 |
“went in” and “go in” must read in order to run Int Rundown, VII-400
western society, economic strangulation of individual, IV-24
western world is a barbarism, III-251
W/F (White Form); see Preclear Assessment Sheet
W/H; see withhold
“what about a victim could you be responsible for?” [process], IV-16, 49
“what creation could you confront?” “what creation would you rather not confront?” [process], IV-116
“what force would it be all right to use?” [process], III-545
what has been overrun”, Class VIII Course recommendations to list, are cancelled, VII-269
“what have you done to a (terminal)?” “what have you withheld from a (terminal)?”, Responsibility Process, IV-25, 36
“what have you done to me?” “what have I done to stuff, don’t hand out to public, II-265
“what has been overrun”, Class VIII Course recom-
mendations to list, are cancelled, VII-269
“what have you done to me?” “what have I done to stuff, don’t hand out to public, II-265
“what part of your life (past) could you be responsible for?” [process], III-552
“what problem could help be to you?” [process], IV-87
what the C/S is doing, X-28
“What unkind thought have you had about (terminal)” [process], IV-180
“What wouldn’t you mind communicating with?” “what wouldn’t mind you communicating with?” [process], II-240
“what would you like to confront?” “what would you like to confront?” [process], III-462
“what question(s), Prechecking; see Prechecking
What question what’s-it and itsa, relation to TA, V-334, 370, 378
what’s-it line is auditor’s line to the pc, VII-243; IX-68
“what solution could you make stick?” [process], III-462
White Dianetics, I-282
White Form; see Preclear Assessment Sheet
whole track, V-41; see also time track
defn., the moment to moment record of a person’s existence in this universe in picture and impression form, VI-61, 87,142
and exteriorization phenomena, entirety of, covered between 1952 and 1954, II-459
auditing the whole track, II-195
whole track (cont.)
bits and pieces of ~ remain after locks, second aries and engrams are reduced; these bits in habit the being from recovering knowledge, VI-61
control on, III-454
goal + modifier is whole track desire of pc plus threat to self or others if that desire is not accomplished, IV-419
mental “healing” on whole track, how to handle, IV-195
occlusion, cause and remedy of, V-9
O/W, 3D commands Whole Track O/W, IV-458
pictures, drugs can turn on violently, VII-320, 328
recall; see also Mission Into Time
rock, III-295
run down any famous or enduring identities of pc. on whole track, IV-17, 49
R3R, pc going whole track, V-299
stuff, don’t hand out to public, II-265
where preclear is stuck on the ~, II-195
Whole Track Release—Grade VI Release, VI-96, 98
Why(s),
administration, below, there is usually an Ethics situation, VIII-100
Cramming finds the real Why, X-199
evaluation, long times to do, handling of, VIII-145
examples of finding Why on a person and handling, IX-123
found, correction of, suspect listing errors, VIII-96
how to find a Why on a person and handle, IX-122
invalidation and correcting the wrong Why, IX-91
metered Why finding, IX-124
self listing for, VIII-96
why finding worksheets must go into pc folder, VIII-96, 303; IX-482
“Whys have been found” but person is not doing well; this is case of wrong items, VIII-157;
IX-326
widening of F/N, X-67-68
wide-open case; see case, wide-open
wide vision in session, X-80
wife; see husband and wife
willingness to do, importance of, III-80
willingness to do, rehabilitation of, IV-25
willingness to learn, III-79
willing to talk about difficulties, IV-442
win(s), II-462, 463
auditing wins are not always fast, total and ap
preciated volubly, VII-5
auditor wins that a C/S wants are exact tech
application, VII-284
big win (F/N dial-wide, cog, VGIs) gives you per
sistent F/N, VII-144
blows occur when coach gives too few ~, III-116
C/Sing a win is Q and A, VII-83; X-27
C/S wants, X-152
C/S wins, VII-461
how to end session when pc exteriorizes on a good win, VIII-397, 410
letting pc have his win, VII-144; X-67
org wins and stats, VII-367; X-174
pc being made to go on past a win acts as invalidating, VII-194
pc win, don’t use as item, VII-75, 82; X-27
to clear a pc give him or her a series of wins he or she realizes are wins, IV-65
what it consists of, VI-408
winds of space,
  defn., pc is getting his or her face pushed in, V-65
  defn., feeling of being blown upon, especially from in front of the face, V-175; VI-192
winner, problems of, II-398
winning valence; see valence, winning wisdom is meant for anyone who wishes to reach for it, VI-1
wisdom, Scientologist’s empire, empire of ~, II-68
withdrawal symptoms of drugs, how to handle, VII-425; VIII-354; see also drugs
withdraw and reach; see reach and withdraw
withdrawn, pc looking withdrawn after Prechecking, cause and remedy of, V-67
withdraw (Secondary Scale level), IV-308
withheld communication, single and sole reason for the accumulation of ridges and barriers, II-415
withheld flow, basic aberration is ~, V-16
withheld(s)(ing), IV-377; see also missed withhold; overt/withhold; rudiment; Withhold Process
  defn., a games condition on communication, III-201
  defn., an undisclosed contra-survival act, V-58
  defn., something pc did that was an overt act, towithholds developing, V-66
which pc is withholding and thus keeping Formula 19 improves responsibility and brings up awareness of withholds and improves case, IV-204
ability to withhold, III-202
  advances IQ, III-201
  furthers willingness to do, IV-25
  IQ is the ability to withhold or give out a datum on a self-determined basis, III-118
  added to rudiments, IV-204
ARC breaks, presenttimeproblemsandwithholds
  all keep a session from occurring, IX-281
  as a case progresses it becomes conscious of more withhold, IV-234
  auditing over a W/H and PTP = no case gain, VII-123
auditors’ critical remarks about pc means withholds, VII-345, 362
auditor who won’t hear what pc is saying have made him have a withhold and it responds as a missed withhold, VI-22
beginning rudiments withhold question, V-0, 72
big withhold case, IV-178
can cause high TA, VII-281
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cases don’t move when heavy withholds or PTPs are present, IV-5, 207, 210, 217
case with withholds will not clear, IX-270
cause-withhold version of Responsibility, IV-17, 19
chain behaves exactly like any chain, V-28
challenging people out of session as “having with holds” is illegal, VII-167
cleaning a clean develops a “withhold of nothing”, V-335, 415
coming after confusion of overt, hang up on track and tend to stop pc in time, V-14
communication, III-93
compulsive outflow and obsessive withhold are alike aberrated, V-14
continuous missed withhold, VIII-235
critical, only reasons a pc is critical are a withhold or misunderstood word, VI-91
depends utterly on pc’s idea of what is an overt, V-40
dirty needle indicates that a pc has withholds or is ARC broken, VI-375
dirty needle is caused by M/W/Hs, not ~, V-59
don’t have to clean up all withholds if missed withholds kept cleaned up, V-61
dramatization of withholds, VIII-336
effects of, III-413
end of session rudiment for ~, V-27
entirely the cause of continued evil, IV-12
evil purpose, individual with, has to ~ himself because he may do destructive things, VIII-127
false reads on ~ and asking for some ~ more than once will ARC break pc, VIII-409
flows, running too long on one flow is conducive towithholds developing, V-66
Formula 19 improves responsibility and brings up awareness of withholds and improves case, IV-205
general withholds and other people’s withholds, handling, VIII-176; IX-279
get “dones” not thoughts or natter, IV-424
halfteruthsandtruths, IV-391
havingness is cut down by, IX-284
Havingness must be run to get the benefit of having pulled most withholds, V-6; VIII-181;
IX-284
how to clear withholds and missed withholds, V-23
how to get withholds off irresponsible pc, IV-424
importance of, III-551
inadvertent withhold wherein pc thinks he is withholding because auditor didn’t hear or ac knowledge, VI-90
in session, refusing to give ~, is a no report, VI-23
in trying to locate withhold it is not a motivator done to pc but something pc has done, VI-91
“irresponsible pc”, how to get ~ off, VII-176
keep session from occurring, VIII-178
knowledge to the average person is only this: a
knowledge of his or her withholds, V-26; IX-
281
laudatory withholds, V-1
level below withholding that an auditor should be
alert to in some pcs, for these “have no with-
holds” and “have done nothing”, V440; VIII-
379; IX-269
lists of withholds required of a staff member with-
out proper sessioning are now illegal, VII-167
low TA (below clear reading) shows loss of ability
to stop or withhold, IV-38
low tone arm is the valence of a mindless object
and last resort of pc to withhold, IV-16
makes one feel he or she cannot reach, V-6; IX-
284
missed and partial, V-26; VIII-178; IX-281
missed withhold; see missed withhold
nattery pc has withholds, VII-58
no reason to withhold own actions or regret them
if one’s own actions are easily experienced by
others, III-431
of doingness, IV-24
of nothing, V-335, 415
of nothingness, students who are interrupted too
often when F/Ning may blow on a “withhold
of nothingness”, VIII-193
other people’s withholds, VII-13
out-ethics withholds, people with, cannot see,
VIII-101
out of session, caused by W/Hs and PTPs, IV-62
overt is forward motion, withhold coming after it
is inward motion, V-14
overts are biggest reason why a person restrains
himself and withholds self from action, V439;
VIII-370
overt give highest gain in raising cause level be-
cause they are biggest reason why person re-
strains himself and withholds self from action, IX-
268
pc critical = W/H = pull W/H, VII-46, 359
pgivinganother’s ~, VIII-176; IX-279
pc himself can generate out ruds by lying; it shows
upaswithholds, VI-430
pc in session will always tell withholds, V-23, 63
pc is not sent to Ethics because of withholds
suppressors and ~ are opposite, V-37
pc go groggy, lose interest and refuse to list only
when session withholds are missed, V-6
pc stuck in a past session, clean up the ~, V-21
pc who refuses to answer has an ARC break or
withhold, IV-175
pc with withholds will be critical, natter or blow
and is out of comm, VI-76
people from whom one felt one could not with-
hold anything are most aberrative valences on
case, III-202
people withhold overt acts because they conceive
teUing them would be another overt act, IV-12
perception decreases in proportion to number of,
VIII-128
premie acknowledgement leads to inadvertent
withholds, VI-26; VII-252
pre-OTs often have plain withholds with no overt
connected, VI-280
Prechecking was developed to handle auditor’s
difficulty in “varying the question” in pulling
withholds, V-28
PreSession 37 is a method of getting off ~, IV-180
prior confusion, get the withholds in, IV401
PTP or ~, don’t mistake for an ARC break, VI-77
PTS person is withholding himself, VIII-129
pulled will not cause a question to still react,
IX-271
pulling,
data on, IX-271, 272
“don’t know” version, IV424; VIII-176; IX-
279
increase E-Meter sensitivity, IV-273
motivators, how to handle when pulling ~,
IX-285
“murder routine”, IX-143
use of steering, VIII-180
recurring, cause of, V41
rehabilitate pc’s ability to withhold, IV-7,17
responsibility, anatomy of, is able to admit causa-
tion, able to withhold from IV-14,19
responsibility level and withholds, IX-272
restrained outflow is ~, V-14
reverse of ~ flow is “afraid to find out”, V-33
“ridges” and masses come about from a conflict
of flows opposing or being pulled back as in
withholds, VII-270
savage justice aberrates because it prevents getting
off withholds, VIII-172
scale of, III-230, 233
(Secondary Scale level), IV-296
Security Check based on withhold, make guilty
and prevent, V-1
since last session, V42
stuck needle can be freed by processing or by
getting off withholds, IV-276
stuck picture, run W/H on terminal in picture,
IV48
suppressors and ~ are opposite, V-37
survival mechanisms and withholds, IX-271
symptoms of students who are withholding, VIII-
173
system; see Withhold System
thetan cannot withhold then compulsively causes
things that are bad, IV-19
thetan will not restore his own ability until he is
certain he can withhold from things, IV-19
vital information, VIII-327, 328
what it does, III-413
when pc doesn’t change despite skillful ARC
break handling, locating and indicating, it was
a withhold in the first place, VI-22

544
withhold(s)(ing) (cont.)
when to report withholds, VI-50
withholdy pc that ARC breaks a lot, way to handle, VI-22
Withhold Process(es), III-93
psychosomatic difficulties handled by, III-118
running on valences and body parts, III-325
“What could you withhold from a _____?”, IV-17

Withhold System,
add “appear, not appear” after “all” in, V-41
difficulty, what, when, all, who, V-23, 24
don’t ask it on any late incidents, V-9
Prepchecking uses the Withhold System, V-28
Repetitive Prepchecking replaces Prepcheck by the Withhold System, V-98

Word Clearer training, IX-434, 478
Word Clearing, VII-292; IX-392; see also misunderstood
stood; word; Word Clearing Series, IX-387
and F/Mng each word, X-247
briefing tape, word clear on tape afterwards, IX-438
can become lengthy until Method One is completed, IX-475
case trouble and Word Clearing, VIII-304
chain of words, all must F/N, VIII-303; IX-482
correction, VIII-96
Correction List, VII-333; X-231
most common C/S error has been to fail to order Word Clearing Correction List, VII-407
must be used at the first hint of trouble in Word Clearing, IX-475
revised, IX-455
unthinkable to do Word Clearing without ever using a WC Corr List, VII-465
use of, VII-390; IX-433
difficulties with, IX-475
done by normal Word Clearing procedures in HGC, VIII-76
each word of each command, X-218
eaters, VIII-304; IX-479; X-247
are red tabbed, VIII-304
commonest is failure to use correction lists,
VIII-67
correction is done by Word Clearing Correction List, VIII-96, 304
Festival actions, IX-445
F/N, always F/N a word being cleared on meter,
VIII-303, 304; IX-482
F/N, get an F/N between the words, IX-204, 206
drug case who cannot be gotten through, how to handle, VIII-137, 163
undefined or misunderstood produce blows, IX-394
end phenomena, VIII-76, 132
Word Clear, a Word Clear is produced by Word Clearing Method1, IX-324
Word Clearers, qualifications of, VII-385, 391
Word Clearing (cont.)
Method 1 (cont.)
has yet to foul up any other auditing, VII-315; IX-417
is not a prerequisite to Word Clearing Method 4, IX-450
is unlimited, IX-417
procedure, VIII-132
produces a Word Clear, IX-324
students who are or have been on drugs need a
Drug Rundown before tackling, IX-325
symptom of a person requiring, IX-475
Word Clearing can become lengthy until Metho-
d One is completed, IX-475

Method 2, VII-292, 373
defn., metered action of clearing up words in
specific materials, VII-385; IX-429
defn., IX-392
as a study method on tape materials, IX-374, 444
commands used, VII-393
don’t do before Method 1, VIII-10; IX-447
don’t use on person whose TA is high at ses-
sion start, VIII-303; IX-482
EP, VIII-10
EP of Method 2 can be many times repeated
on different subjects or branches of sub-
jects, VIII-10; IX-447
example of clearing up a confusion with Word
Clearing Method 2, IX-421
in the course room, IX-401
is likely to foul up auditing, VII-315; IX-417
is not done on someone incomplete on Method
1, IX-445
on tapes, IX-372, 442
Post Purpose Clearing is done after M4 in gen-
eral and M2 on duties and texts of post, IX-429
procedure, IX-401
protest reads, VIII-10
two uses of, IX-442

Method 3, VII-292; IX-392, 448
defn., looking up words seen and not under-
stood by student or reader, VII-385; IX-429
on tapes, IX-370, 440
steps of, IX-448
use of, VII-10; IX-447

Method 4, VIII-28, 301; IX-450
books, Method 4 of, VIII-166, 305; IX-375, 466
break down the materials when doing, VIII-
166
correct question to use, IX-322
course is slow, Supervisor uses, IX-409
don’t use on person whose TA is high at ses-
sion start, VIII-303; IX-482
E-Meter Drill 21 to be drilled for use on, VIII-
28, 301; IX-450

Word Clearing (cont.)
Method 4 (cont.)
errors in Word Clearing Method 4, VIII-166;
IX-376, 467
is used by Course Supervisors to handle stu-
dent queries, IX-451
limitations, VIII-152; IX-461
Method 1 is not a prerequisite, VIII-28, 301;
IX-450
misunderstood word, use M4 when fishing for,
VIII-301
Post Purpose Clearing is done after M4 in gen-
eral and M2 on duties and texts of posts, IX-429
Primary Rundown, use of M4 on, VIII-77
procedure, VIII-28, 301; IX-450
questions to use, VIII-75, 77, 305
requires no C/S OK for it to be done, VIII-28,
301; IX-450
Supervisor’s use of, VIII-29, 302; IX-451
tapes, Method 4 of, VIII-166, 305; IX-375, 466
too heavy on pc or doesn’t clean up, suspect
implants, VIII-96
use of, IX-450

Method 5, Material Clearing, VIII-152; IX-461
procedure, IX-461
Method 6, Key Word Clearing, VIII-153; IX-462
post trouble remedied by, VIII-153
procedure, IX-462
Method 7, Educational Word Clearing, Reading
Aloud, VIII-154; IX-473
as the major undercut Word Clearing process,
may require an undercut, by a direct ad
dress to alphabet, IX-475
children or foreign language persons or semi
literate, use Word Clearing Method 7, IX-
463
is reading aloud, IX-463
procedure, IX-463
Method 8, VIII-155; IX-464
is an action used in the Primary Rundown,
IX-464
procedure, IX-464
used in the Primary Rundown, VIII-155
Method 9, Corrective Word Clearing, IX-473
procedure, IX-473
OCAs, word clearing OCAs is forbidden, VIII-30;
X-207
OK to do, IX-446
OK to Word Clear system, IX-454
on auditors, X-178, 179-80
pc red tabbed because of Word Clearing must be
repaired within 24 hours, IX-482
pc word cleared on meter without F/Ning or with
or to a high or low TA, whole folder must be
red tabbed, IX-482
person trying to “blow” (leave) and refusing further
~ almost always has a huge misunderstood on
some word not yet located, VII-390; IX-433
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Word Clearing (cont.)
Primary Rundown consists of ~ and study tech, VIII-135
program, VII-385; IX429
protest reads, IX447
red tabs, VIII-303
sequence for three types, VII-385
steps to speed student product flow, IX402
success from Flag D of T, IX412
TA must be in normal range to start Word Clearing on meter, IX482
TA, never clear words over a soaring TA, IX-206
TA trouble at start of ~, handling of, VIII-303, 304
tests, word clearing tests is a High Crime, VIII-32; IX420
translators, word clearing of, IX480
troubles, VII-390; IX433
use of Qual Word Clearer, IX410
word clearing Word Clearers, VII-386; IX430
words in tests forbidden, VIII-30; X-207
worksheet must show truthfully all words F/Ned, VIII-303
workheets must be placed in folders, VIII-303, 304
worksheets must go into pc’s folder, IX482
Yellow Sheet, sheet detailing each correction list or set of commands which have been word cleared; lists pc’s current Havingness Process and type of cans pc uses, IX-10
word-of-mouth communication, from general public to general public, II-92
word-of-mouth, entheta can threaten, II-93
work,
avoidance of, indicator of a decayed state, II-2, 424
child’s work low quality yet IQ high, I-328
confronting work, III-214
craving for, I-333
cycle of action applied to work, IV-126
forcing people to work, IV-24
illiteracy and, VIII-170; IX470
is it necessary; see Problems of Work
merchant of fear will not work, I-476
workability of subject, more important than legal papers or levels of service, II-456
worksheet(s) (W/S), VI-246, 311; 363; VII-215, 433; IX41; see also Auditor Admin Series, IX-1
defn., sheets on which auditor writes a complete running record of session from beginning to end, page after page, as session goes along, IX-10
assists, W/S must be done and pc taken to Examiner afterwards, VII-191
auditor is expected to see meter, pc and W/S all at one time, VII-178
Auditor Report Forms or worksheets are never re-copied, VI-247, 364; VII-215, 359
auditor’s, X-196
Contact Assist, X-247

worksheet(s) (cont.)
C/S misunderstands from worksheets, VII-433; IX44
C/S not reading ~ or missing corny errors and not correcting auditor, handling of, VIII-413
illegible worksheets, handling of, VIII-412
must communicate to C/S what actions were taken during session, IX42
never try to C/S an illegible worksheet, VII-96
“non-session” worksheets, X-247
one never writes up worksheet after session from notes, VI-311
PTS Interview worksheets, X-222
session notes [1959], III-406
Touch Assist, X-247
two-way comm worksheets are detailed, VII-40
Why finding worksheets must go into pc folder, VIII-96, 303; X-247
Word Clearing worksheet must show truthfully all words F/Ned, VIII-303
Word Clearing worksheets must go into pc’s folder, VIII-96, 303, 304; IX482; X-247
world conqueror operates with a perverted dynamic, I-35
World Federation of Mental Health, VII-113
world, toward a saner; see Child Dianetics
worry is the most easily dramatized O/W, IV-187
worry or somatic, use of SOP 8A to resolve, I-358
worry pc has can be found in a dramatization of the people around his early life in the exact words he uses to describe his worry, I-19
Worry Process, IV-187
Worse Than Process, “Think of something worse than a bad foot”, IV-58
writing, Ron lecturing on, III-80
wrong, wrongness(es), IX-83; see also rightness absolute, I-70
if pc knew what was wrong with him it wouldn’t be wrong, IV-332
in auditing we are only trying to find ~ in order to increase rightnesses, VII-257, 258
in terms of flow is inflow, II-14
only thing wrong with pc is his lack of confidence in handling himself without hurting others, IV-67
pc is ill because he is restraining himself from doing wrong, IV-69
“We don’t treat wrongness. We treat people”, IV 69
whether pc thinks is wrong he has failed to help, IV-210
what is right and wrong with pc, scale of, in order of importance, IV-121
W/S; see worksheet
Wundt, VII-383; IX427
Wundtian psychology, developed by Wundt in1879 in Leipzig, Germany, III-46, 477
Wundtian psychology, slave subject, II-405
W unit Class Ia, second phase, V-227
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X

X—didn’t read, VI-357
XDN; see Expanded Dianetics
x-rays, III-52
“X” symbol, use of in goals nulling, IV-266
X unit Class Ib, third phase, V-228
X unit, training, V-214

Y

yawns and unconsciousness, I-17
yellow card is clipped to outside of folder by C/S until pc finishes PTS RD, IX-54
Yellow Sheet, defn., sheet detailing each correction list or set of commands which have been word cleared; lists pc’s current Havingness Process and type of cans pc uses, IX-10, 20
yellow tab, Case Supervisor must put a yellow tab marked PTS on a PTS pc folder, VIII-92; X-217

Y unit Class Iib, fourth phase, V-228

Z

zealotism, I-38
Zero Flow; see Flow 0
Zero list questions or R2-12, V-211
Zero question(s),
don’t forget “guilty” in Zero questions, V40
Prepcheck(ing) Zero question, V-34, 60
list of Prepchecking Zero questions, V-83
responsibility increased will unflatten ~, V-90
time limiter, V-99
Zero A questions and Zeros, how to derive, V-30
zero rate, defn., material which is only checked out on basis of general understanding, VII-140;
IX-312
zone, Special Zone Plan, IV-111,114
Z unit Class Iib, fifth phase, V-229

Numerals

1.1 criticism, effort to reduce size of target of overt, IV-13
2-way comm; see communication, two-way
3D; 3GA; etc.; see Routine 3D; Routine 3GA; etc.
3 May PL comes before or after PTS RDs, IX-160
3 May PL, Danger Rundown, VIII-100
VA pcs, PTS Rundown, L~N for places and planets, how to do, VIII-142; see also Grade VA;
Power Plus
V level case, trouble with a ~ and solution [1953], I-362
V, resistive, II-19; see also case, resistive
7 resistive cases; see case, resistive

VIII; see Class VIII
8-C = good course, IV-71; see also control
8-C Processes, II-545; III-384
Body Control; see TRs, TR 6
commands for, III-384, 394; VI-111
one of HGC allowed processes [1964], V406
Opening Procedure of 8-C, II-17, 68, 119, 146,
277, 285; VIII-107, 108; see also PXL
above I.9, II-251
basic theory of, II-147
benefits from, II-147
for use on psychotics, II-76
part (a) auditing commands, II-76
part (b) auditing commands, II-77
part (c) auditing commands, II-77
psychotic, neurotic or having any psycho somatic difficulty, run on , II-81
three parts to, II-146
part A of 8-C, II-553
Plain 8-C, TR 6, III-63, 91
SOP 8-C; see SOP 8-C
Tone 40 8-C; see Tone 40 8-C
types of, III-184
why 8-C works, II-280
8-C Solids, commands and how to run, III-6
8-8008, Scientology, Unlimited Techniques, I-329
20th ACC training procedure, III-294
24 hour rule, Examiner’s, VII-138
24 hour rule. Integrity Processing, IX-275
“26” perceptics, I-145
37R, C/S Series 37R, High and Low TA Break through, VII-268, 282, 283, 296
assess 37R slowly, VII-297
best done as part of L9S [L11] , VII-296
blow up rule only applies to 37R, VII-272
doesn’t all have to be done in one session, VII-296
don’ts, VII-297
don’ts regarding, X-134-35
end phenomena of, VII-282, 283
flows, VII-269, 296, 297
Hi-Lo TA Assessment rules, X-131
listing, peculiarities of, VII-296
low TA assessing, X-132
process commands, X-128
ruds, X-133
ruds and 37R, VII-296
special, X-135
steps of 37R, VII-297; X-134
TA handling rundown, VII-269, 272
88; see Technique 88
“/” slant, symbol to show a goal reads, IV-266
“X” symbol, use of in goals nulling, IV-266
AC, Ability Congress
ACSA, South African Anatomy Congress
AHMC, Anatomy of the Human Mind Congress
AICL, Advanced Indoctrination Course Lectures
ALS, Academy Lecture Series
AO, Advanced Organization
ARC, Anti-Radiation Congress
ASMC, Anatomy of the Spirit of Man Congress
ATE, Auditors’ Training Evening
AUDC, Auditors’ Conference
AX, Axioms
BL, Birmingham Lectures
CAC, Completed Auditor Conference
CC, Clearing Congress
CHC, Clean Hands Congress
CONF, Conference
DAS, Demonstration Auditing Session
DCL, First December Conference Lectures
ESTO, Establishment Officer Tape
FAC, Foundation Auditor’s Course
FC, Freedom Congress
GC, Games Congress
GPSpec, Group Processing Special
GR/PROC, Group Processing
HCA, Hubbard Certified Auditor’s Course
HCAP, Hubbard Certified Auditor’s Course, Phoenix
HCL, Hubbard College Lectures
HCS, Hubbard Clearing Scientologist Course
HDA, Hubbard Dianetic Auditors’ Lectures
HDFL, Hubbard Dianetic Foundation Lecture
HEV, Human Evaluation Course
HPC, Hubbard Professional Course
HPCA, Hubbard Professional Course August 1956
HPCF, Hubbard Professional Course February
HPC N5, Hubbard Professional Course November 1955
ICDS, International Congress of Dianeticists and Scientologists
LAM, London Auditors’ Meetings
L&A, Logics and Axioms Lectures
LCC, London Clearing Congress
LCHP, London Congress on Human Problems
LCNRH, London Congress on Nuclear Radiation and Health
LECT, Lecture
LGC, London Group Course
LOE, London Open Evening Lectures
LONLECT, London Lectures
LPC, London Professional Course
LPLS, London Public Lecture Series
LRH/MTS, LRH Model Tape Session
LS, Lecture Series
MC, Melbourne Congress
OAK PLS, Oakland Public Lecture Series
OCTSER, October Series
OS, Organizational Series
PAC, Professional Auditors’ Congress
PDC, Philadelphia Doctorate Course
PDC Supp, Philadelphia Doctorate Course Supplementary Lectures
PHC, First Phoenix Congress
PIP, Printed Intensive Procedure Lectures
PLS, Public Lecture Series
PPS, Public Processing Series
R/BRCST, Radio Broadcast
SAC, Staff Auditors’ Conference
SC, Success Congress
SH DEMO, Saint Hill Demonstration
SHPA, Special Hubbard Professional Auditor’s Course (London)
SHSBC, Saint Hill Special Briefing Course
SHSC, Saint Hill Staff Course
SH TVD, Saint Hill Television Demonstration
SMC, State of Man Congress
SO, Sea Organization
SO FEBC, Sea Organization Flag Executive Briefing Course
SOP, SOP for Theta Clearing and Lecture Series
SO XDN, Sea Organization Expanded Dianetics Lectures
SPEC LECT, Special Lecture
SPRL, London Spring Lectures
ST, Study Tapes
STP, Standard Procedure Lectures
TCC, Theta Clear Congress
T80, Technique 80 Lectures
T88, Technique 88 Lectures
T88 Supp, Technique 88 Supplementary Lectures
UC, Unification Congress
UPC, Universe Process Congress
VMP, Validation and Mest Processing Lectures
VP, Validation Processing Lectures
WSO, Welcome to the Sea Org
WST, Washington Staff Talk
1MACC, First Melbourne Advanced Clinical Course
1SHACC, First Saint Hill Advanced Clinical Course
2ACC, Second American Advanced Clinical Course
3ACC, Third American Advanced Clinical Course
3ICGB, Third International Congress—Great Britain
3SA ACC, Third South African Advanced Clinical Course
4ACC, Fourth American Advanced Clinical Course
4LACC, Fourth London Advanced Clinical Course
5ACC, Fifth American Advanced Clinical Course
5LACC, Fifth London Advanced Clinical Course
6ACC, Sixth American Advanced Clinical Course
6LACC, Sixth London Advanced Clinical Course
7ACC, Seventh American Advanced Clinical Course
8ACC, Eighth American Advanced Clinical Course
9ACC, Ninth American Advanced Clinical Course
10ACC, Tenth American Advanced Clinical Course
15ACC, Fifteenth American Advanced Clinical Course
16ACC, Sixteenth American Advanced Clinical Course
17ACC, Seventeenth American Advanced Clinical Course
18ACC, Eighteenth American Advanced Clinical Course
19ACC, Nineteenth American Advanced Clinical Course
20ACC, Twentieth American Advanced Clinical Course
21ACC, Twenty-first American Advanced Clinical Course
21ACC-S, Twenty-first American Advanced Clinical Course—Supplementary
22ACC, Twenty-second American Advanced Clinical Course